

ROGER C. BAKER

GLYN HARMAN

Sparsely totient numbers

Annales de la faculté des sciences de Toulouse 6^e série, tome 5, n^o 2
(1996), p. 183-190

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AFST_1996_6_5_2_183_0

© Université Paul Sabatier, 1996, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de la faculté des sciences de Toulouse » (<http://picard.ups-tlse.fr/~annales/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

Sparsely totient numbers^(*)

ROGER C. BAKER⁽¹⁾ and GLYN HARMAN⁽²⁾

RÉSUMÉ. — Soit $P_1(n) \geq P_2(n) \dots$ la suite décroissante des diviseurs premiers de l'entier n . Nous montrons le résultat suivant : si $(m > n \Rightarrow \phi(m) > \phi(n))$, alors $P_1(n) < C(\log n)^{37/20}$, où C est une constante absolue. Nous utilisons le crible de Harman et les estimations de Fouvry et Iwaniec pour les sommes trigonométriques.

ABSTRACT. — Let $P_j(n)$ be the j -th largest prime dividing n . We show the following result: if $(m > n \Rightarrow \phi(m) > \phi(n))$, then $P_1(n) < C(\log n)^{37/20}$, where C is an absolute constant. We use Harman's sieve and the estimates of Fouvry and Iwaniec for trigonometric sums.

1. Introduction

A positive integer n is said to be *sparsely totient* if

$$\phi(m) > \phi(n)$$

for all $m > n$. This definition was introduced by Masser and Shiu [9], who proved several interesting properties of sparsely totient numbers. Subsequently Harman [6] sharpened some results from [9]. In particular, he showed that $P_j(n)$, the j -th largest prime dividing n , satisfies

$$P_j(n) \leq \left(\frac{j}{j-1} + \varepsilon \right) \log n \tag{1.1}$$

(*) Reçu le 7 mars 1994

(1) Department of Mathematics, Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah 84602, U.S.A.

Research supported in part by grants from the National Science Foundation and the Ambrose Monell Foundation

(2) School of Mathematics, University of Wales, Senghennydd Road, Cardiff, CF2 4 AG, United Kingdom

for a given $j \geq 2$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Here we suppose $n \geq n_0(j, \varepsilon)$. For $j = 1$, the corresponding bound is of weaker order [6, theorem 1]:

$$P_1(n) < (\log n)^{2-8/65+\varepsilon}. \quad (1.2)$$

As regards $Q_j(n)$, the j -th smallest prime dividing n , Harman showed in [6] that

$$Q_j(n) > \left(\frac{j}{j+1} - \varepsilon \right) \log n$$

for $n > n_1(j, \varepsilon)$.

In the present paper we sharpen the bound (1.2).

THEOREM . — *Let n be a sparsely totient number. Then*

$$P_1(n) < C(\log n)^{37/20}$$

where C is an absolute constant.

The key to the improvement is work of Fouvry and Iwaniec [4] on exponential sums

$$\sum_m \sum_{m_1} \sum_{m_2} a_m b_{m_1 m_2} e(Am^\alpha m_1^{\alpha_1} m_2^{\alpha_2}) \quad (1.3)$$

where $e(\theta) = e^{2\pi i\theta}$. The sums we need here are of the particular form

$$\sum_h \sum_s \sum_t a_s b_t c_h e\left(\frac{hx}{st}\right). \quad (1.4)$$

It is well-known that there are devices for estimating this sum more efficiently than (1.3) (e.g. Iwaniec and Laborde [7], Baker [1], Fouvry and Iwaniec [4], Wu [10], Liu [8] and Baker and Harman [2]). These devices would make no difference to the final result if we employed them here; see the remark following the proof of Lemma 3.

We shall deduce the theorem from the following proposition.

PROPOSITION .— For all x, v with v sufficiently large and

$$v^{37/20} \leq x \leq v^2,$$

there are

$$\gg \frac{x}{v \log x}$$

solutions in primes p to

$$1 - \frac{x}{16v^2} < \left\{ \frac{x}{p} \right\} < 1, \quad \text{with } 2v < p < 3v. \quad (1.5)$$

The proposition is proved by the sieve method developed by Harman [5] and Baker, Harman and Rivat [3]. We are able to use the same numerical work as in [3]; this saves a great deal of space. Sums (1.4) arise, as one would expect, in bounding the remainder terms of the sieve.

The deduction of the Theorem from the Proposition follows [6] closely, but we give it here for completeness. Suppose that n is a sparsely totient number and

$$P_1(n) \geq C(\log n)^{37/20},$$

so that n is large. By (1.2), we know that

$$P_1(n) < (\log n)^2.$$

Let $p_1 = P_1(n)$ and write $m = n/p_1$. We apply the Proposition with $x = p_1$, $v = \log n$. It follows that there are

$$\gg \frac{p_1}{v \log p_1}$$

solutions to (1.5). From (1.1), there are at most three primes between $2v$ and $3v$ which divide n . We deduce that (1.5) has a solution with $p \nmid n$. Let

$$r = \left[\frac{p_1}{p} \right] + 1.$$

Evidently $m r p > n$. We now use (1.5) to show that $\phi(m r p) < \phi(n)$. We have

$$\phi(m r p) \leq r \phi(m) p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \leq \frac{r p}{p_1} \frac{1 - 1/p}{1 - 1/p_1} \phi(n). \quad (1.6)$$

Now

$$r - \frac{p_1}{p} = 1 - \left\{ \frac{p_1}{p} \right\} < \frac{p_1}{16v^2} < \frac{9p_1}{16p^2}$$

from (1.5). Hence

$$\frac{rp}{p_1} < 1 + \frac{9}{16p}. \quad (1.7)$$

Combining (1.6) and (1.7),

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(mrp) &\leq \phi(n) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} + O\left(\frac{1}{p_1}\right) \right) \left(1 + \frac{9}{16p} \right) \\ &\leq \phi(n) \left(1 - \frac{7}{16p} + O\left(\frac{1}{p^{37/20}}\right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since p is large, we have

$$\phi(mrp) < \phi(n),$$

which is absurd. The Theorem is proved. \square

2. Exponential sums

Let ε be a sufficiently small positive number and let $\eta = \varepsilon^2$. Constants implied by “ \ll ”, “ \gg ” and “ $O_\varepsilon(\cdot)$ ” will depend at most on ε . Constants implied by “ $O(\cdot)$ ” will be absolute. We use the abbreviation “ $m \sim M$ ” for

$$M < m \leq 2M.$$

We write $\alpha = 3/20$.

LEMMA 1. — Let a_s ($s \sim M$), b_t ($t \sim N$) be complex numbers of modulus ≤ 1 . Suppose that

$$v^{2-\alpha} \leq x \leq v^2, \quad (2.1)$$

$$v^{\alpha+\varepsilon} \ll N \ll v^{1-5\alpha-\varepsilon}. \quad (2.2)$$

Then

$$\sum_{h \leq v^{\alpha+5\eta}} \left| \sum_{\substack{s \sim M \\ 2v < st \leq 3n}} \sum_{t \sim N} a_s b_t e\left(\frac{hx}{st}\right) \right| \ll v^{1-6\eta}. \quad (2.3)$$

Sparsely totient numbers

Proof. — This follows from Lemma 9 of [1], with $H \leq v^{\alpha+5\eta}$ and $Q = NH^{-1}v^{-\varepsilon}$, using the exponent pair $(1/2, 1/2)$.

LEMMA 2. — *The conclusion of Lemma 1 holds if the hypothesis (2.2) is replaced by*

$$v^{3\alpha+\varepsilon} \ll M \ll v^{1-3\alpha-\varepsilon}. \quad (2.4)$$

Proof. — Using the technique in [1, lemma 15], it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{n \sim H} \sum_{s \sim M} \sum_{t \sim N} a'_s b'_t c_h e\left(\frac{hx}{st}\right) \ll v^{1-7\eta}$$

for $H \leq v^{\alpha+5\eta}$, where a'_s and b'_t have modulus ≤ 1 . We obtain this bound by an appeal to Lemma 1 for [3] (a variant of a result in [4]), taking (M_1, M_2, M) to be either (H, M, N) or (H, N, M) .

LEMMA 3. — *Let $M \leq v^{3-3\alpha-\varepsilon}$. We have*

$$\sum_{h \leq v^{\alpha+5\eta}} \left| \sum_{s \sim M} a_s \sum_{\substack{N < t \leq N_1 \\ 2v < st < 3v}} e\left(\frac{hx}{st}\right) \right| \ll v^{1-6\eta} \quad (2.5)$$

for any complex numbers a_s of modulus ≤ 1 .

Proof. — Suppose first that

$$M \leq v^{1/2}.$$

Then (2.5) follows from Lemma 2 of [3], with X, K replaced by v, M , and with $H \leq v^{\alpha+5\eta}$. Now suppose that

$$v^{1/2} < M \leq v^{3-3\alpha-\varepsilon}.$$

Then Lemma 3 follows from Lemma 2.

We have not been able to improve the bound $v^{3-3\alpha-\varepsilon}$ in Lemma 3 by taking advantage of the fact that $b_t = 1$ in (2.5), together with the special features of sums (1.4) mentioned in the section 1. This is perhaps surprising.

3. Proof of the proposition

We write $\delta = x/(16v^2)$. Let \mathcal{B} be the set of integers in $(2v, 3v)$, and let \mathcal{A} be the set of k in \mathcal{B} for which

$$1 - \delta < \left\{ \frac{x}{k} \right\} < 1.$$

For $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{A}$ or \mathcal{B} , we write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_d &= \{k \in \mathcal{E} : d \mid k\}, \\ S(\mathcal{E}, z) &= |\{k \in \mathcal{E} : p \mid k \Rightarrow p \geq z\}|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the number of primes in \mathcal{A} is $S(\mathcal{A}, (3v)^{1/2})$. We shall prove that

$$S(\mathcal{A}, (3v)^{1/2}) > \frac{\delta v}{4 \log v} \tag{3.1}$$

which establishes the Proposition.

LEMMA 4.— *Let $a_s, s \leq 2M$, and $b_t, t \sim N$, by complex numbers with $|a_s|, |b_t| \ll v^\eta$.*

For $M \leq v^{1-3\alpha-\varepsilon}$, we have

$$\sum_{s \leq M} a_s |\mathcal{A}_s| = \delta v \sum_{s \leq M} \frac{a_s}{s} + O(\delta v^{1-3\eta}). \tag{3.2}$$

For M in any of the intervals

$$[v^{\alpha+\varepsilon}, v^{1-5\alpha-\varepsilon}], \quad [v^{3\alpha+\varepsilon}, v^{1-3\alpha-\varepsilon}], \quad [v^{5\alpha+\varepsilon}, v^{1-\alpha-\varepsilon}], \tag{3.3}$$

we have

$$\sum_{\substack{st \in \mathcal{A} \\ s \sim M \\ t \sim N}} a_s b_t = \delta v \sum_{\substack{st \in \mathcal{B} \\ s \sim M \\ t \sim N}} \frac{a_s b_t}{st} + O(\delta v^{1-3\eta}). \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. — We prove (3.1) by combining the argument of Lemma 2 of [5] with Lemma 3. The proof of (3.4) is similar, using Lemma 1 or Lemma 2 in place of Lemma 3.

We may now follow the analysis of [3] very closely indeed. In place of Lemmas 6 and 7 of [3] we have Lemma 4, with v , α playing the roles of X and $1 - \gamma$. We summarize the results obtained, leaving the details of proof to the reader. Let

$$P(y) = \prod_{p < y} p, \quad g(x) = \exp\left(1 - \frac{1}{x} \log \frac{1}{x}\right).$$

LEMMA 5. — For $M \leq x^{11/20-\varepsilon}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \sim M} a_m S(\mathcal{A}_m, v^\varepsilon) &= \\ &= \delta \sum_{m \sim M} a_m S(\mathcal{B}_m, x^\varepsilon) \left(1 + O(g(\lambda)) + O_\varepsilon(L^{-1})\right) + O_\varepsilon(\delta v^{1-2\eta}). \end{aligned}$$

Here $\lambda = 30\varepsilon$, $|a_m| \ll v^\eta$ and $a_m = 0$ unless $(m, P(x^\varepsilon)) = 1$.

LEMMA 6. — Let $u \geq 1$ be given and suppose that $\mathcal{D} \subset \{1, \dots, u\}$ and M lies in one of the intervals (3.3). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p_1} \cdots \sum_{p_u}^* S(\mathcal{A}_{p_1 \dots p_u}, p_1) &= \\ &= \delta \sum_{p_1} \cdots \sum_{p_u}^* S(\mathcal{B}_{p_1 \dots p_u}, p_1) \left(1 + O_\varepsilon(L^{-1})\right) + O(\delta v^{1-2\eta}). \end{aligned}$$

Here * indicates that p_1, \dots, p_u satisfy

$$v^\varepsilon \leq p_1 < \cdots < p_u, \quad \prod_{j \in \mathcal{D}} p_j \sim M,$$

together with no more than ε^{-1} further conditions which take the form

$$R \leq \prod_{j \in \mathcal{F}} p_j \leq S.$$

LEMMA 7. — Let $M \leq v^{11/20-\varepsilon}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \sim M} a_m S(\mathcal{A}_m, v^{1/10-2\varepsilon}) &= \\ &= \delta \sum_{m \sim M} a_m S(\mathcal{B}_m, v^{1/10-2\varepsilon}) \left(1 + O(g(\nu)) + O_\varepsilon(L^{-1})\right) + O(\delta v^{1-2\eta}) \end{aligned}$$

where $\nu = 100\varepsilon$, $0 \leq a_m \ll v^\eta$ and $a_m = 0$ unless $(m, P(v^{1/10-2\varepsilon})) = 1$.

We may now carry out the decomposition of $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}, (3v)^{1/2})$ in exactly the same fashion as [3, sect. 5] with v in the role of X . A few changes by a factor v^ε in the endpoints of intervals will occur. These will in turn alter the coefficients in the inequalities defining the regions of integration by $O(\varepsilon)$. This clearly does not alter the final result, which is the lower bound (3.1). This completes the proof of the Proposition.

Acknowledgments

The first named author would like to thank the University of Wales at Cardiff, where part of this work was done, and the Institute for Advanced Study at Princeton, where the final version was prepared.

References

- [1] BAKER (R. C.) . — *The greatest prime factor of the integers in an interval*, Acta Arithmetica **47** (1986), pp. 193-231.
- [2] BAKER (R. C.) and HARMAN . — *Numbers with a large prime factor*, Acta Arith. **73** (1995), pp. 119-145.
- [3] BAKER (R. C.), HARMAN and RIVAT (J.) . — *Primes of the form $[n^c]$* , J. of Number Theory, **50** (1995), pp. 261-277.
- [4] FOUVRY (E.) and IWANIEC (H.) . — *Exponential sums with monomials*, J. Number Theory **33** (1989), pp. 311-333.
- [5] HARMAN (G.) . — *On the distribution of αp modulo one*, J. London Math. Soc. **27** (1983), pp. 9-18.
- [6] HARMAN (G.) . — *On sparsely totient numbers*, Glasgow Math. J. **33** (1991), pp. 349-358.
- [7] IWANIEC (H.) and LABORDE (M.) . — *P_2 in short intervals*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble, **31** (1981), pp. 37-56.
- [8] LIU (H.-Q.) . — *The greatest prime factor of the integers in an interval*, Acta Arith., **65** (1993), pp. 301-328.
- [9] MASSER (D. W.) and SHIU (P.) . — *On sparsely totient numbers*, Pacific J. Math. **121** (1986), pp. 407-426.
- [10] WU (J.) . — *P_2 dans les petits intervalles*, Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres de Paris (1989-90), Birkhäuser.