

NIKOLAI S. NADIRASHVILI

**Metric properties of eigenfunctions of the  
Laplace operator on manifolds**

*Annales de l'institut Fourier*, tome 41, n° 1 (1991), p. 259-265

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIF\\_1991\\_\\_41\\_1\\_259\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIF_1991__41_1_259_0)

© Annales de l'institut Fourier, 1991, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'institut Fourier » (<http://annalif.ujf-grenoble.fr/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

## METRIC PROPERTIES OF EIGENFUNCTIONS OF THE LAPLACE OPERATOR ON MANIFOLDS

by Nikolai S. NADIRASHVILI

---

In this note, we prove two theorems which express a quasi-symmetry relation between the positive and the negative part of the distribution function of an eigenfunction of the Laplace operator on a Riemannian manifold.

### 1. An estimate of the volume of a domain on which an eigenfunction of the Laplace operator on a Riemannian surface has constant sign.

Let  $M$  be a two-dimensional compact real analytic Riemannian manifold,  $u_1, u_2, \dots$ -eigenfunctions of the Laplace operator on  $M$ ,  $\Delta u_i = \lambda_i u_i$ .

**THEOREM.** — *There exists a positive constant  $C$  which depends on  $M$  such that, for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ,*

$$\text{vol}\{x \in M, u_i(x) > 0\} > C .$$

The proof is based on the two following lemmas.

Let  $f$  be a bounded function, continuous on  $[0, 1]$ . Let us denote by  $N(f)$  the number of changes in the sign of the function  $f$  on  $[0, 1]$ .

LEMMA 1. — Let  $f_n$  be a sequence of non-zero continuous functions, defined on  $\mathbf{R}$ , with values in  $\mathbf{R}$ , with support in  $[0, 1]$  and assume that  $N(f_n)$  is bounded by some fixed number  $N$ .

Then there exists a subsequence  $n_i$  of  $\mathbf{N}$  ( $n_i \rightarrow \infty$ ), real numbers  $\alpha_{n_i}$ , such that  $\alpha_{n_i} \cdot f_{n_i}$  converges as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , for the (weak-)topology of the space of distributions  $\mathcal{D}'$  to a non-zero distribution of order less than  $N$ .

*Remark.* — First, we recall that a distribution is said of order less than  $N$  if it is a sum of derivatives of order less than  $N$  of Radon measures. Moreover, if  $P$  is a polynomial of degree  $N$  and  $\mu$  a Radon measure, the set of all  $T \in \mathcal{D}'$  satisfying  $PT = \mu$  is an  $N$ -dimensional affine subspace of the space of all distributions of order less than  $N$ .

*Proof of Lemma 1* (suggested by Y. Colin de Verdière). — Let  $P_n = \prod_{k=1}^N (x - x_k)$  be a sequence of polynomials of degree  $N$  such that  $P_n \cdot f_n$  is  $\geq 0$ . By renormalisation and taking a subsequence, we may assume that  $\int_0^1 P_n \cdot f_n = 1$ , that  $P_n \cdot f_n$  converges to a probability measure  $\mu$  and that  $P_n$  converges to a polynomial  $P$  of degree exactly  $N$ .

Let  $T_0 \in \mathcal{D}'$  be such that  $PT_0 = \mu$ .

Let  $T_n = f_n - T_0$ , then we get :

$$\lim P_n \cdot T_n = 0 .$$

We introduce now the following decomposition of the space of distributions :

$$\mathcal{D}' = Z_P \oplus W ,$$

where  $Z_P = \{T \in \mathcal{D}' | PT = 0\}$ , and  $W$  is a topological complement of  $Z_P$ .

$W$  is a complement to  $Z_{P_n}$  if  $n$  is big enough. Now we can write uniquely :

$$T_n = z_n + w_n ,$$

where  $z_n \in Z_{P_n}$  and  $w_n \in W$ . Now  $P_n \cdot w_n \rightarrow 0$  and we deduce that  $w_n \rightarrow 0$ , because the multiplication by  $P_n$  is uniformly invertible in  $W$ .

Now  $z_n \in Z_{P_n}$  and  $Z_{P_n}$  converges to  $Z_P$ .

Two cases are possible :

*First case :*  $z_n$  is bounded and we can extract a convergent subsequence converging to  $T_1$  in  $Z_P$ . Then  $T_0 + T_1$  is not zero and we get the conclusion.

Second case :  $z_n$  is unbounded; then there exists a sequence  $\beta_{n_i} \rightarrow 0$  such that  $\beta_{n_i} \cdot z_{n_i}$  converges to a non-zero distribution  $T_1$  and then :

$$\beta_{n_i} \cdot f_{n_i}$$

converges to  $T_1$ .

Let us denote by  $B$  the unit disk in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ ,  $S = \partial B$ , if  $f$  is a continuous function on  $S$  then  $N(f)$  is the number of changes of sign of the function  $f$  on  $S$ .

LEMMA 2. — Let  $u$  be a harmonic function in  $B$  which is continuous in  $\bar{B}$ ,  $u|_S = f$ ,  $u(0) = 0$ . Let  $N(f) = k < \infty$ . Define

$$G_u = \{x \in B, u(x) > 0\} .$$

Then  $\text{mes } G_u > C$ , where constant  $C > 0$  is dependent on  $k$  .

*Proof.* — Let us assume the contrary. This means that there exists a sequence of harmonic functions  $u_n$  in  $B$ ,  $u_n|_S = f_n$ ,  $u_n(0) = 0$ ,  $N(f_n) \leq k$ ,  $\text{mes } G_{u_n} \rightarrow 0$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . According to lemma 1 there exists a real valued sequence  $\alpha_m$  and a subsequence  $f_{n_m}$  such that,  $\alpha_m f_{n_m} \rightarrow \tilde{f} \neq 0$  in the sense of distributions. From the convergence of the distributions  $\alpha_m f_{n_m}$  on  $S$  it follows that in an arbitrary compact subdomain of  $B$  the convergence of functions  $\alpha_m u_{n_m}$  is uniform. Let  $\alpha_m u_{n_m} \rightarrow U$  in  $B$ . From [1] it follows that  $U \neq 0$  in  $B$  if  $\tilde{f} \neq 0$  on  $S$ . We have  $U(0) = 0$ . From the assumption  $\text{mes } G_{u_n} \rightarrow 0$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , it follows that  $U \leq 0$  in  $B$ . Equality  $U(0) = 0$  and inequality  $U \leq 0$  in  $B$  contradicts the maximum principle for harmonic functions.

*Proof of the theorem.*

1. Let us denote by  $B_r^x$ ,  $x \in M$ ,  $r$ , the geodesic circle on  $M$  with centre  $x$  and radius  $r$ .

There is a constant  $C_0 > 0$ , such that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists points  $x_1 \dots x_N \in M$ ,  $N > C_0/\varepsilon^2$ , such that the circles  $B_\varepsilon^{x_1} \dots B_\varepsilon^{x_N}$  mutually have no intersections.

2. There exists a constant  $r_0$ , such that for every  $x \in M$ ,  $0 < r < r_0$ ,  $B_r^x$  is diffeomorphic to a disk.

3. There is a constant  $C_1 > 0$ , such that for all  $x \in M$ ,  $\lambda > 0$  in the circle  $B_{1/C_1\sqrt{\lambda}}^x$  there exists a positive solution of the equation  $\Delta u + \lambda u = 0$ .

4. Let  $x \in M$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $r = 1/C_1\sqrt{\lambda} < r_0$ ,  $u$  is a solution of the equation  $\Delta u + \lambda u = 0$  in  $B_r^x$ . Then there exists a diffeomorphism  $h$  of the

unit disk  $B$  on  $B_r^x$ ,  $h(0) = x$ , and a function  $s$  in  $B$ ,  $0 < s < \infty$ , such that  $s.u(h)$  is a harmonic function in  $B$  (by a representation theorem in quasiconformal mapping theory, [2]). From the compactness of  $M$  it follows that the Jacobian of the mapping  $h$  is uniformly bounded.

5. There is a constant  $C_2 > 0$ , such that for all  $x \in M$ ,  $\lambda > 0$  in the circle  $B_{1/C_2\sqrt{\lambda}}^x$  every solution  $u \neq 0$  of the equation  $\Delta u + \lambda u = 0$  changes its sign [3].

6. Let  $u_i$  be an eigenfunction,  $\Delta u_i = \lambda_i u_i$  on  $M$ ,  $\gamma$  is a nodal line of the function  $u_i$ . For a two-dimensional real analytic manifold the following estimate is true, [4],

$$\text{length } \gamma \leq C_3 \sqrt{\lambda_i}$$

where constant  $C_3 > 0$  is dependent on  $M$ .

7. Let  $u_i$  be an eigenfunction,  $\Delta u_i = \lambda_i u_i$  on  $M$ . According to 1 we can choose circles  $B_\varepsilon^{x_1} \dots B_\varepsilon^{x_n}$  with  $\varepsilon = 2/C_2\sqrt{\lambda_i}$ . We have  $N > C_0 C_2^2 \lambda_i/4$ .

According to 5 there exist points  $y_n \in B_{\varepsilon/2}^{x_n}$ ,  $n = 1 \dots N$ , such that  $u_i(y_n) = 0$ .

According to 6 at least  $N/2$  points  $y_{k_1} \dots y_{k_J}$ ,  $J > N/2$ , from the set  $\{y_n\}$  have the following property : for all  $j = 1 \dots J$  there exist  $r_j$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2C_1\sqrt{\lambda_i}} < r_j < \frac{1}{C_1\sqrt{\lambda_i}},$$

such that restriction of the function  $u_j$  on  $\partial B_{r_j}^{y_{k_j}}$  has no more than

$$\frac{8C_1C_3}{C_2^2C_0}$$

zeros.

According to 4 and lemma 2 for all  $j = 1 \dots J$

$$\text{mes}\{x \in B_{r_j}^{y_{k_j}}, u_i(x) > 0\} > C_4 \varepsilon^2.$$

We have  $J > C_0/2\varepsilon^2$  and so the theorem is proved.

## 2. An estimate of the relation between the positive and the negative extrema of an eigenfunction.

Let  $M$  be a  $n$ -dimensional compact smooth Riemannian manifold,  $u_1, u_2, \dots$ -eigenfunctions of the Laplace operator on  $M$ ,  $\Delta u_i = \lambda_i u_i$ .

THEOREM 2. — *There exists a positive constant  $C$  which depends only on  $n$  and a positive constant  $N$  which depends on  $M$  such that, for every  $i > N$ ,*

$$\frac{1}{C} < \frac{\sup_M u_i(x)}{|\inf_M u_i(x)|} < C .$$

We denote by  $B_r \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  the ball centered at 0 of radius  $r$ .

In  $B_r$  we consider a uniformly elliptic second order operator  $L$  defined by

$$L = \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}) , \tag{2.1}$$

where  $a_{ij}$  is a symmetric positive definite matrix in  $B_r$ . If the eigenvalues of the matrix  $\|a_{ij}(x)\|$  lie on the segment  $[e^{-1}, e]$ ,  $e \geq 1$  we say that the operator  $L$  has an ellipticity constant  $e$ .

LEMMA 3. — *Let  $u$  be a solution of the equation*

$$a(x)Ly + \lambda u = 0$$

*in the ball  $B_1$ ,  $1/A < a(x) < A$ ,  $A > 0$ ,  $L$  is an elliptic operator with the ellipticity constant  $e$ ,  $\lambda$  is a constant such that  $|\lambda| < C$ . Let us assume that  $u(x_0) > 0$  and that there exists  $x_0 \in B_{1/2}$  with  $u(x_0) = 0$ . Then*

$$|\inf_{B_1} u| > \delta u(0) ,$$

*where the constant  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\delta = \delta(n, A, e, C)$ .*

*Proof.*

1. We shall prove Lemma 2 under the assumption that  $\lambda = 0$ . Denote

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1 &= \sup\{0, u \mid_{\partial B_1}\} \\ \varphi_2 &= \inf\{0, u \mid_{\partial B_1}\} . \end{aligned}$$

Let  $u_1, u_2$  be the solutions of the following Dirichlet problems :

$$\begin{aligned} Lu_1 &= 0 \quad \text{in } B_1, \quad u_1 \mid_{\partial B_1} = \varphi_1, \\ Lu_2 &= 0 \quad \text{in } B_2, \quad u_2 \mid_{\partial B_1} = \varphi_2. \end{aligned}$$

Then,  $u = u_1 + u_2$ ,  $u_1 > 0$  in  $B_1$ ,  $u_1(0) \geq u(0)$ . From the Harnack inequality [5] it follows that there exists a constant  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\delta = \delta(n, e)$  such that

$$u_1 \mid_{B_{1/2}} > \delta u_1(0) .$$

Since  $u(x_0) = 0$ ,  $x_0 \in B_{1/2}$ , then

$$\inf \varphi_2 < -\delta u_1(0) < -\delta u(0) .$$

2. Let  $\lambda \notin 0$ . Let us make a cylindric extension of the functions  $u(x), a(x)$  and the operator  $L$  in the new coordinate  $x_{n+1}$ . After this extension we shall keep the notations  $u, a, L$ . Denote

$$v = ue^{\sqrt{\lambda} x_{n+1}}$$

clearly the function  $v$  is a solution of the elliptic equation

$$aLv + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x_{n+1}^2} = 0 .$$

Now the statement of Lemma 3 follows from the assertion 1 to the function  $v$  in the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ .

*Proof of Theorem 2.*

1. There are constant  $C_1 = C_1(M) > 0$ ,  $C_2 = C_2(M) > 0$  such that for all  $x \in M$ ,  $\lambda > C_2$  any solution of the equation  $\Delta u + \lambda u = 0$  in the ball  $B_{C_1/\sqrt{\lambda}}^x$  change its sign.

2. There exists a constant  $N > C_2$ ,  $N = N(M)$ , such that for all  $x \in M$  there exists a diffeomorphism

$$d : B_{2C_1/\sqrt{\lambda}}^x \subset M \rightarrow B_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$$

such that the equation  $\Delta u + \lambda u = 0$  in  $B_{2C_1/\sqrt{\lambda}}^x$  viewed in the ball  $B_1$  has the form

$$a(x)Lu + \lambda'u = 0 \tag{2.2}$$

where  $L$  is an elliptic operator of the type (2.1),  $e = 2$ ,  $A = 2$ ,  $|\lambda| < C = C(n) > 0$ . We can obtain such a diffeomorphism  $d$  if we introduce in the ball  $B_{2C_1/\sqrt{\lambda}}^x$  a normal coordinate system. Applying Lemma 3 to the solution  $u$  of the equation (2.2) we obtain the statement of Theorem 2.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] J.-P. LIONS, E. MAGENES, Problèmes aux limites non homogènes et application, vol. 1, Dunod, Paris, 1968.
- [2] L. BERS, F. JOHN, M. SCHECHTER, Partial differential equations, Providence, R.I., 1974.
- [3] J. BRÜNING, Über Knoten von Eigenfunnktionen des Laplace-Beltrami Operators, Math. Z., 158 (1978), 15-21.

- [4] H. DONNELLY, C. FEFFERMAN, Nodal sets of eigenfunctions on Riemannian manifolds, *Invent. Math.*, 93 (1988), 161–183.
- [5] D. GILBARG, N.S. TRUDINGER, Elliptic partial differential equations of second order, Second Edition, Springer, 1983.

Manuscrit reçu le 15 février 1991.

N.S. NADIRASHVILI,  
Universität Bielefeld  
Fakultät für Mathematik  
Universität Strasse  
Postfach 8640  
4800 BIELEFELD  
(Allemagne).