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0. Introduction.

In multivariate statistical analysis the spaces of positive definite matrices (real or complex) are of considerable interests. One studies the spherical polynomials, central limit theorem on them. See [M]. A natural generalization of those spaces is the cone of positive elements in a formally real Jordan algebra. This class of cones includes cones of positive definite real, complex and quaternion matrices and the forward light cone. In this paper we will prove a kind of central limit theorem on any such symmetric cones.

In his paper [T2] Terras obtained a central limit theorem for rotation-invariant random variables on the space of $n \times n$ real positive definite (symmetric) matrices in the case $n = 3$. This has been recently generalized to any $n$ by Richards [R]. In this paper we will generalize this central limit theorem to the symmetric cone of positive elements in a Jordan algebra.

The main tools used in [R] are the Binet-Cauchy theorem and certain coefficients in some recurrence formulas of zonal (spherical) polynomials in [Ku]. Recently we have found some recurrence formulas on any simple and formally real Jordan algebra [Z]. To prove our central limit theorem we will
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1. Preliminaries.

In this section we recall some facts about the symmetric cone in a Jordan algebra. Most results in this section can be found, e.g. in [UU] and [KS] (on formally real Jordan algebras) and [T1] (in the special case of real symmetric matrices).

Let $X$ be a simple and formally real Jordan algebra [BK] with product $x \circ y$ and unit element $e$. Basic examples are the space $X = \mathcal{H}_r(K)$ of all self-adjoint $r \times r$-matrices over $K = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{H}$ (quaternions) with the anti-commutator product $x \circ y = (xy + yx)/2$, and the “spin factor” $X = \mathbb{R}^{1+n}$ of all vectors $x = (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = (x_0, x')$, with product $x \circ y = (x_0y_0 + x'y', x_0y' + y_0x')$. The open set

$$\mathcal{P} = \{x^2, x \in X \text{ invertible}\}$$

is a convex cone. For the matrix algebras, $\mathcal{P}$ is the cone of positive definite matrices, whereas for the spin factor $\mathcal{P}$ is the forward light cone. The linear automorphism group

$$GL(\mathcal{P}) = \{g \in GL(X) : g(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{P}\}$$

is transitive on $\mathcal{P}$. Let $G$ be the identity component of $GL(\mathcal{P})$. $G$ has Lie algebra

$$g = \{M \in g(\mathcal{X}) : \exp(tM) \in GL(\mathcal{P}), \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

with the commutator bracket $[M, N] = MN - NM$. The Lie algebra $g$ has a Cartan decomposition $g = k + p$, where $k$ is the Lie algebra of the “orthogonal” group

$$K = O(\mathcal{P}) = \{g \in G : ge = e\}$$

and $p = \{M_x : x \in X\}$ consists of all multiplication operators

$$M_x y = x \circ y$$
on $X$. Moreover $\mathcal{P} = G/K$ is now a Riemannian symmetric space.

Let $e_1, \ldots, e_r$ be a maximal orthogonal system of idempotents in $X$. Then we have

$$e = e_1 + \ldots + e_r.$$ 

Let

$$X = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq r} X_{ij}$$ 

be the Peirce decomposition induced by $\{e_1, \ldots, e_r\}$. Here

$$X_{i,j} = X_{j,i} = \left\{ x \in X : e_k \circ x = \frac{\delta_{jk} + \delta_{ik}}{2} x \right\}$$

are the joint eigenspaces of the multiplication operators $\{M_{e_j} \}_{j=1}^r$ on $X$. The integer $r$ is independent of the choice of the maximal orthogonal system of idempotents and is called the rank of $X$.

Let

$$a = \mathbb{R}M_{e_1} + \ldots + \mathbb{R}M_{e_r}$$

be the subspace of $\mathfrak{p}$ generated by $M_{e_1}, \ldots, M_{e_r}$. Then $a$ is maximal abelian in $\mathfrak{p}$. So $r$ is the rank of the Riemannian symmetric space $\mathcal{P}$. We have thus a root space decomposition of $(\mathfrak{g}, a)$ [H1]. We let $\{\gamma_j \}_{j=1}^r$ be the dual basis in $a^*$ of $\{M_{e_j} \}_{j=1}^r$, i.e.

$$\gamma_j(M_{e_k}) = \delta_{jk}.$$ 

Then the corresponding root system consists of $\frac{\gamma_j - \gamma_k}{2}, j \neq k$ with common multiplicity $a$. The Weyl group is the symmetric group $S_r$. For example in the case of matrix algebra $\mathcal{H}_r(K)$ the rank is $r$ and the multiplicity is $a = 1, 2$ or $4$ according to $K = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C},$ or $\mathbb{H}$ respectively.

We fix an ordering of $a^*$ by letting

$$\gamma_1 < \gamma_2 < \cdots < \gamma_r.$$ 

Therefore, the positive roots are $\frac{\gamma_j - \gamma_k}{2}, j > k$. Let $\rho = \sum_{j=1}^r \rho_j \gamma_j$ be, as usual, the half sum of positive roots

$$\rho = \frac{a}{2} \sum_{j \geq k} \frac{\gamma_j - \gamma_k}{2} = \frac{a}{2} \sum_{j=1}^r \left( j - \frac{r+1}{2} \right) \gamma_j.$$

We will now describe the spherical functions and the heat equation on the symmetric space $\mathcal{P}$. We need the notion of Jordan algebra determinants.
Let \( P(x) := 2(Ma;)^2 - Ma;^2 \). The determinant of it is up to a constant a unique (integer) power of an irreducible polynomial \( \Delta(x) \) of degree \( r \) on \( X \), normalized so that \( \Delta(e) = 1 \). \( \Delta(x) \) is called the determinant of the Jordan algebra \( X \). It can also be defined as the unique irreducible polynomial given by the "Cramer's rule":

\[
x^{-1} = \frac{\text{cofactor of } x}{\Delta(x)}, \quad (x \in X \text{ invertible})
\]

normalized so that \( \Delta(e) = 1 \).

Let \( X_{ij} \) be given in the Peirce decomposition (1.1) we put

\[
X_j = \sum_{k, \ell \leq j} X_{k\ell}
\]

and let \( P_j \) be the orthogonal projection of \( X \) on \( X_j \); we define

\[
\Delta_j(x) = \Delta_{X_j}(P_jx)
\]

where \( \Delta_{X_j} \) is the corresponding determinant in \( X_j \).

For any \( t = (t_1, \ldots, t_r) \in \mathbb{C}^r \) we define

\[
(1.2) \quad \Delta_s(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{r} \Delta_{s_j}^j(x).
\]

Let \( \lambda = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_j \gamma_j \in a^*_\mathbb{C} \), the complexification of \( a^* \), we let \( s = (s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_r) \) be defined by

\[
(1.3) \quad s_j = \lambda_j + \rho_j - \lambda_{j+1} - \rho_{j+1},
\]

where we put \( s_r = \lambda_r + \rho_r \). The spherical function \( h_\lambda \) is then

\[
h_\lambda(x) = \int_{K} \Delta_s(kx)dk = \int_{K} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \Delta_{s_j}^j(kx)dk.
\]

Then \( h_\lambda(x) \) are \( K \)-invariant eigenfunctions of all the \( G \)-invariant differential operators on \( P \). The Helgason-Fourier transform of a \( K \)-invariant function \( f \) on \( P \) is defined by

\[
\hat{f}(\lambda) = \int_{P} f(x)h_\lambda(x)d^*x,
\]

where \( d^* \) is the \( G \)-invariant measure on \( P \).

For later use we also introduce the spherical polynomials, which is the analytic continuation of \( h_\lambda \) (in \( \lambda \)). Let \( m = (m_1, \ldots, m_r) \) be a \( r \)-tuple of integers with \( m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \ldots \geq m_r \geq 0 \). The functions

\[
\phi_m(x) = \int_{K} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \Delta_{m_j-m_{j+1}}(kx)dk,
\]
where \( m_{r+1} = 0 \), are the spherical polynomials on \( \mathcal{P} \). In particular if we put

\[
\mathbf{1}^j = \sum_{k=1}^j \gamma_k,
\]

the functions

\[
\phi_{j}^{L}(x) = \int_{K} \Delta_{j}^{L}(kx)dk = \int_{K} \Delta_{j}(kx)dk
\]

are called the fundamental spherical polynomials on \( \mathcal{P} \).

Finally we recall the heat equation on \( \mathcal{P} \). Let \( L \) be the Laplace-Beltrami operator on \( \mathcal{P} \). We normalize the \( K \)-invariant norm on \( \mathfrak{p} \) so that \( \text{norm } M^j \text{ has norm } 1 \). Then \( M_{e_1}, \ldots, M_{e_r} \) are orthonormal basis of \( \mathfrak{a} \). This also gives a normalization of the Riemannian metric on the cone \( \mathcal{P} = G/K \).

Then \( \phi_{\lambda} \) are eigenfunctions of \( L \):

\[
L \phi_{\lambda} = \left( \sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_{j}^2 - \frac{a(r^3 - r)}{48} \right) \phi_{\lambda}.
\]

The heat equation is defined

\[
\begin{cases}
\partial_{t} u(x, t) = Lu(x, t), (x, t) \in \mathcal{P} \times \mathbb{R}^+ \\
u(x, 0) = f(x).
\end{cases}
\]

2. The main theorem and the proof.

Before stating our main theorem we introduce some notation. Let \( H = \sum_{j=1}^{r} h_{j} M_{e_{j}} \in \mathfrak{a} \). We let \( J(\exp H) \) be the function defined on \( \mathfrak{a} \) by

\[
J(\exp H) = \frac{1}{2^{(\frac{n}{2})}} \prod_{i \geq j} (e^{h_{i}} - e^{-h_{i}})^{a} \prod_{i=1}^{r} e^{-a \frac{(n-1)}{2}} h_{k}.
\]

Our main theorem is the following central limit theorem.

**Theorem 1.** — Let \( Y_{m}, m \geq 1 \) be a sequence of independent, identical distributed, \( K \)-invariant random variables in \( \mathcal{P} \), with common density function \( f(Y) \). Assume that \( f(Y) \) satisfies

\[
\int_{\mathfrak{a}} h_{i} f(\exp H) J(\exp H) dh_{1} \cdots dh_{r} = 0
\]
\[
\int_\mathfrak{a} h_i h_j f(\exp H) J(\exp H) dh_1 \cdots dh_r = 0, \quad i \neq j
\]

and the convolution \( S_m = Y_1 \circ Y_2 \circ \cdots \circ Y_m \) is normalized as in (3.39) of [T1] and that \( S_m \) has density function \( f_m^\sharp^{\star} \). Then for any measurable subset \( S \subset \mathcal{P} \)
\[
\int_S f_m^\sharp(Y) d^\star(Y) \approx \exp \frac{a^3(r^3 - r)}{96(2 + ar)} \times \int_S G_\frac{(2 + ar)}{2(2 + ar)} \ast F_\frac{a}{2 + ar}(Y) d^\star(Y)
\]
as \( m \to \infty \).

In the above, \( G_t \) is the fundamental solution of the heat equation on \( \mathcal{P} \) with Helgason-Fourier transform
\[
\widehat{G_t}(\lambda) = \exp \left( t \left( \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i^2 - \frac{a(r^3 - r)}{48} \right) \right).
\]

Further \( F_t \) has Helgason-Fourier transform
\[
\widehat{F_t}(\lambda) = \exp \left( t \left( \sum_{1 \leq k < j \leq r} \lambda_i \lambda_j \right) \right).
\]

Following [T1] and [R] we need to find the expansion of the spherical functions \( h_\lambda(\exp H) \) on \( a \) around \( H = 0 \). Recall that spherical functions \( h_\lambda(\exp H) \) are Weyl group invariant in \( \lambda \) and \( H \in \mathfrak{a} \). The expansion is (recalling that \( H = \sum_{j=1}^r h_j M_{e_j} \))
\[
h_\lambda(\exp H) = 1 + \left( \alpha_{11} \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i + \alpha_{12} \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^r h_i \right)
\]
\[
+ \left( \alpha_{21} \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i^2 + \alpha_{22} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \lambda_i \lambda_j + \alpha_{23} \sum_{i=1}^r h_i + \alpha_{24} \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^r h_i^2 \right)
\]
\[
+ P(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r) \left( \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} h_i h_j \right) + \text{higher terms};
\]

namely we need to find the six coefficients \( \alpha_{11}, \alpha_{12}, \alpha_{21}, \alpha_{22}, \alpha_{23}, \alpha_{24} \).

We fix \( \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_c^* \) and \( s \) as in (1.3). Consider the formula (1.2) in the polar coordinates
\[
(2.1) \quad \Delta_s^\sharp(k a \cdot e) = \prod_{j=1}^r \Delta_j^s (k a \cdot e)
\]
and put \( a = \exp H \). Following [R] we take logarithm differentiation to obtain
\[
(2.2) \quad \frac{1}{\Delta_s^\sharp(k a \cdot e)} \frac{\partial}{\partial h_1} \Delta_s^\sharp(k a \cdot e) = \sum_{j=1}^r s_j \frac{1}{\Delta_j(k a \cdot e)} \frac{\partial}{\partial h_1} \Delta_j(k a \cdot e).
\]
Integrating over $K$ and putting $H = 0$ we get
\begin{equation}
\frac{\partial}{\partial h_1} h_\chi(a \cdot e)\bigg|_{H=0} = \sum_{j=1}^{r} s_j \frac{\partial}{\partial h_1} \phi_{\mathbb{H}}(a \cdot e)\bigg|_{H=0}.
\end{equation}

We adopt the notation $[R]$ to denote
$$f'_j(k) = \frac{\partial}{\partial h_1} \Delta_j(ka \cdot e)\bigg|_{H=0}$$
$$f''_j(k) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial h_1^2} \Delta_j(ka \cdot e)\bigg|_{H=0}$$

and
$$E(f) = \int_K f(k) \, dk$$
for a function $f$ on $K$.

We need a result of Faraut and Koranyi [FK].

**Lemma 1.** — For $a = \exp H$ we have
\begin{equation}
\prod_{j=1}^{r} (1 - te^{h_j}) = \sum_{j=0}^{r} (-1)^j \binom{r}{j} \phi_{\mathbb{H}}(a \cdot e)t^j.
\end{equation}

**Proof.** — This is a special case of Theorem 3.8 in [FK]. See also Remark 2 after the theorem. We give here a simple independent proof. We know that the polynomial $\Delta(e - z)$ is a polynomial of degree $r$ on $X$ and is $K$ invariant. Thus we have an expansion
$$\Delta(e - tz) = \sum_{j=0}^{r} c_j \phi_{\mathbb{H}}(z)t^j,$$
for some constants $c_j$. Now take $z = a \cdot e$ the above equation reads
$$\prod_{j=1}^{r} (1 - te^{h_j}) = \sum_{j=0}^{r} c_j \phi_{\mathbb{H}}(z)t^j.$$
Evaluating the equation at $H = 0$ and noting that $\phi_{\mathbb{H}}(e) = 1$ we find the coefficients $c_j$. □

Lemma 1 has also been proved previously by J. Arazy and Z. Yan [A].

Now we differentiate the expansion in Lemma 1 and set $H = 0$,
$$-t(1 - t)^{r-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{r} (-1)^j \binom{r-1}{j-1} \frac{r}{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial h_1} \phi_{\mathbb{H}}(a \cdot e)\bigg|_{H=0} t^j.$$
however the LHS of the above is
\[-t(1 - t)^{r-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{r} (-1)^j \binom{r-1}{j-1} t^j,\]
thus we find
\[\mathcal{E}(f'_1) = \frac{\partial}{\partial h_1} \phi_{11}(a \cdot e) \bigg|_{H=0} = j/r.\]
Using this we see
\[\alpha_{11} = \frac{1}{r}, \quad \alpha_{12} = 0.\]

Note that if we differentiate (2.4) twice we get
\[\mathcal{E}(f''_1) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial h_1^2} \phi_{11}(a \cdot e) \bigg|_{H=0} = j/r.\]

Now the coefficients of \(2 \sum_{i=1}^{r} h_i^2\) is
\[Q(s_1, \ldots, s_r) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial h_1^2} h_\lambda(a \cdot e) \bigg|_{H=0}.\]
We have
\[Q(s_1, \ldots, s_r) = \mathcal{E}\left( \left( \sum_{j=1}^{r} s_j f'_j \right)^2 \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{r} \left( s_j \mathcal{E}(f''_j) - s_j^2 \mathcal{E}((f'_j)^2) \right).\]

We need to find
\[\mathcal{E}(f'_1 f'_2), \quad \mathcal{E}((f'_1)^2).\]
We start to calculate \(\mathcal{E}(f'_1 f'_2)\). It follows from §1 that
\[\Delta_{11+11} = \Delta_{1j}.\]
Differentiating at \(H = 0\) and integrating we find
\[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial h_1^2} \phi_{11+11}(ka \cdot e) \bigg|_{H=0} = \mathcal{E}(f''_1) + \mathcal{E}(f''_2) + 2\mathcal{E}(f''_1)\mathcal{E}(f''_2).\]

To find out the LHS we need the a result proved in [Z] (see also [St]).

**Lemma 2.** We have the recurrence formula of the product \(\phi_{11} \phi_{11}\)
of the spherical polynomials
\[\phi_{11} \phi_{11} = \frac{j(1 + \frac{a}{2} r)}{r(1 + \frac{a}{2} j)} \phi_{11+11} + \frac{r-j}{r(1 + \frac{a}{2} j)} \phi_{11+1}
\]
for the product \(\phi_{11} \phi_{11}\).
The lemma can also be written as
\[ \frac{j(1 + \frac{a}{2}r)}{r(1 + \frac{a}{2}j)} \phi_{11+1} = \phi_{11} - \frac{r - j}{r(1 + \frac{a}{2}j)} \phi_{11+1}. \]

Thus
\[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial^2 h_1} \phi_{11+1}(ka \cdot e) \bigg|_{H=0} = \frac{(1 + \frac{a}{2}j)(2j + r(j + 1)) - (r - j)(j + 1)}{rj(1 + \frac{a}{2}r)}. \]

Now we substitute this to (2.6) to get
\[ \mathcal{E}(f'_1 f'_j) = \frac{2 + aj}{2r + ar^2}. \]

In particular
\[ \mathcal{E}((f'_1)^2) = \frac{2 + a}{2r + ar^2}. \]

Thus the coefficient of \( \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \) in (2.5) is
\[ 2\alpha_{22} = 2(\mathcal{E}((f'_1 f'_2) - \mathcal{E}((f'_1)^2))) \]
\[ = \frac{2a}{2r + ar^2} \]

and the coefficient of \( \lambda_1^2 \) is
\[ 2\alpha_{21} = \mathcal{E}((f'_1)^2) \]
\[ = \frac{2 + a}{2r + ar^2}. \]

The coefficient of \( \lambda_1 \) in (2.5) is
\[ 2\alpha_{23} = \mathcal{E}(f'_1) - \mathcal{E}((f'_1)^2) - a \sum_{j=2}^{r-1} \mathcal{E}(f'_1 f'_j) + \frac{a}{2}(r - 1)\mathcal{E}(f'_1) \]
\[ = 0. \]

On the other hand, we have \( Q(s_1, \ldots, s_r) = 0 \) for \( s = 0 \) or for \( \lambda_j = -\frac{a}{2}(j - \frac{r + 1}{2}) \), that is
\[ 0 = \alpha_{21} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_j^2 + \alpha_{22} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \lambda_i \lambda_j + \alpha_{24} \]

which gives us
\[ \alpha_{24} = -\frac{a(r^2 - 1)}{48(2 + ar)}. \]
Finally we get the required expansion

\[ h_\lambda(\exp H) = 1 + \left( \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_i \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{r} h_i \right) + \left( \frac{2+a}{2(2r+ar^2)} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_i^2 + \frac{a}{2r+ar^2} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \lambda_i \lambda_j - \frac{a(r^2-1)}{48(2+ar)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{r} h_i^2 \right) \right) \]

\[ + P(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r) \left( \sum_{i \leq j \leq r} h_i h_j \right) + \text{higher terms.} \]

The rest of the proof is similar to that in [T1].
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