

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

SOPHIE CHEMLA

Extremal projectors in the semi-classical case

Annales de l'institut Fourier, tome 47, n° 5 (1997), p. 1335-1343

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIF_1997__47_5_1335_0

© Annales de l'institut Fourier, 1997, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'institut Fourier » (<http://annalif.ujf-grenoble.fr/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/legal.php>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

EXTREMAL PROJECTORS IN THE SEMI-CLASSICAL CASE

by Sophie CHEMLA

1. Introduction.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a complex semi-simple finite dimensional Lie algebra, \mathfrak{h} a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} and Δ the root system associated to \mathfrak{h} . We will write Δ^+ (respectively Δ^-) for the set of positive (respectively negative) roots of Δ and put $\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta^+} \gamma$. We will denote by $B = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l)$ the set of simple roots. Let \mathfrak{g}_γ be the root space associated to the root γ . We put

$$\mathfrak{n} = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Delta^+} \mathfrak{g}_\gamma, \quad \mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}, \quad \mathfrak{n}_- = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Delta^+} \mathfrak{g}_{-\gamma}.$$

Let $R(\mathfrak{h})$ be the field of rational functions on \mathfrak{h}^* . One introduces the algebra $U'(\mathfrak{g}) = U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes R(\mathfrak{h})$. Let us consider the generic Verma module $S(\mathfrak{h})$

$V = \frac{U'(\mathfrak{g})}{U'(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{n}}$. Zhelobenko ([Z1]) showed that $V^n = R(\mathfrak{h})1_+$ (where $1_+ = 1 + U'(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{n}$). The decomposition $V = \mathfrak{n}^-V \oplus R(\mathfrak{h})1_+$ defines a projector p onto $R(\mathfrak{h})1_+$ called the extremal projector. Inspired by a work of Asherova, Smirnov and Tolstoy ([AST]), Zhelobenko ([Z1]) showed that p factorizes into elementary projectors. Let $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m)$ be a normal ordering on the positive roots. Introduce the following notations:

$$p_\alpha = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k! f_{\alpha,k}} e_{-\alpha}^k e_\alpha^k$$

$$f_{\alpha,0} = 1,$$

$$\text{if } k > 0, \quad f_{\alpha,k} = (h_\alpha + \rho(h_\alpha) + 1) \dots (h_\alpha + \rho(h_\alpha) + k)$$

Key words: Extremal projectors – Semi-simple Lie algebras.

Math. Classification: 17B20.

(e_δ being the root vector associated to the root δ and h_δ the coroot). We have $p = p_{\gamma_1} \dots p_{\gamma_m}$ ([Z1]). Let $w = s_1 \dots s_j$ be a reduced decomposition of $w \in W$ (with $s_k = s_{\beta_k}$, β_k a simple root). Put $w_i = s_1 \dots s_i$. The roots $\gamma_i = w_{i-1}(\beta_i)$ ($w_0 = 1$) are pairwise distinct and

$$\Delta_w = \{\alpha \in \Delta_+ \mid w^{-1}(\alpha) < 0\} = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_j\}.$$

Put $\mathfrak{n}_w = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_w} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. In [Z2], Zhelobenko gives an explicit description of $V^{\mathfrak{n}_w}$. We will establish similar results for the symmetric algebra (the so-called semi-classical case).

Let us consider the analytic manifold $(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{n})^*$. We will endow it with the following coordinate system $((e_{-\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Delta_+}, (h_{\alpha_i})_{i \in [1, l]})$. We will call U_δ the open subset of $(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{n})^*$ defined by the equation $h_\delta \neq 0$. We define Φ_δ to be the following rational map of U_δ :

$$\forall \lambda \in U_\delta, \Phi_\delta(\lambda) = \exp\left(\frac{e_{-\delta}(\lambda)}{h_\delta(\lambda)} e_\delta\right) \cdot \lambda$$

where the dot denotes natural action of \mathfrak{n} on $(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{n})^*$. By composition, Φ_δ defines an algebra morphism of $\mathcal{A}(U_\delta)$ which we call π_δ . We put

$$U_w = U_{\gamma_1} \cap \dots \cap U_{\gamma_j}.$$

We will denote by $\mathcal{P}(U_w)$ (respectively $\mathcal{A}(U_w)$) the set of regular functions (respectively analytic functions) on U_w and we will write $\mathcal{P}(U_w)^{\mathfrak{n}_w}$ (respectively $\mathcal{A}(U_w)^{\mathfrak{n}_w}$) the set of invariant functions of $\mathcal{P}(U_w)$ (respectively $\mathcal{A}(U_w)$) under the action of \mathfrak{n}_w . We prove the following result:

THEOREM. — *The algebra morphism $\pi_w = \pi_{\gamma_1} \circ \dots \circ \pi_{\gamma_j}$ does not depend on the reduced expression of w . It establishes an isomorphism between*

$$\mathcal{C}_w = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A}(U_w) \mid \frac{\partial f}{\partial e_{-\gamma_1}} = \dots = \frac{\partial f}{\partial e_{-\gamma_j}} = 0 \right\}$$

and $\mathcal{A}(U_w)^{\mathfrak{n}_w}$. Moreover π_w sends $\mathcal{C}_w \cap \mathcal{P}(U_w)$ onto $\mathcal{P}(U_w)^{\mathfrak{n}_w}$.

Let N_w be the connected simply connected group whose Lie algebra is \mathfrak{n}_w . The main ingredient of the proof will be the choice of a point in each N_w -orbit lying in U_w in accordance with the following proposition:

PROPOSITION. — *Let λ be in U_w . The point $\Phi_{\gamma_j} \Phi_{\gamma_{j-1}} \dots \Phi_{\gamma_1}(\lambda)$ is the unique point of the orbit $N_w \cdot \lambda$ whose coordinates $e_{-\gamma_1}, \dots, e_{-\gamma_j}$ vanish.*

In the appendix, we shall give a factorization for the extremal projector of the Virasoro algebra in the semi-classical case. Note that the non commutative case is still open. It is very different from the semi-simple case because the Virasoro algebra does not admit any normal ordering.

Notations. — Along all this article \mathfrak{g} will denote a complex semi-simple finite dimensional Lie algebra and $\mathfrak{h}, \Delta, \Delta_+, \Delta_-, \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}_-, B = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l)$ will be as above. Denote by W the Weyl group associated to these choices and \bar{w} its longest element. Let γ be an element of Δ^+ and let h_γ be the unique element of $[\mathfrak{g}_\gamma, \mathfrak{g}_{-\gamma}]$ such that $\gamma(h_\gamma) = 2$. If e_γ is in \mathfrak{g}_γ , then there exists a unique $e_{-\gamma}$ such that $(h_\gamma, e_\gamma, e_{-\gamma})$ is a $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -triple. If α and β are two roots, we set $[e_\alpha, e_\beta] = C_{\alpha, \beta} e_{\alpha+\beta}$ with the convention that $C_{\alpha, \beta}$ is zero if $\alpha + \beta$ is not a root.

The ordering $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m)$ on the positive roots is normal if any composite root is located between its components. Thus for all positive roots $\gamma_i, \gamma_j, \gamma_k$, the equality $\gamma_k = \gamma_i + \gamma_j$ implies $i \leq k \leq j$ or $j \leq k \leq i$. There is a one to one correspondence between normal orderings and reduced expression of \bar{w} ([Z2]). Let us recall it. Denote by s_i the reflexion with respect to a simple root β_i . If $\bar{w} = s_1 \dots s_m$, then $(\beta_1, s_1(\beta_2), \dots, s_1 \dots s_{i-1}(\beta_i), \dots, s_1 \dots s_{m-1}(\beta_m))$ are in normal ordering.

If V is a vector space, $S(V)$ will be the symmetric algebra of V . Lastly, if P is in $S(V)$, $S(V)_P$ will be the localization of $S(V)$ with respect to $\{P^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Acknowledgments. — I would like to thank M. Duflo for suggesting to me to study the semi-classical case and for helpful discussions. I am grateful to the referee for indicating to me that the main theorem could be proved in a geometric way.

2. Extremal equations in $(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{n})^*$.

We consider $(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{n})^*$ as an analytic manifold. We endow it with the following coordinate system $((e_{-\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Delta_+}, (h_{\alpha_i})_{i \in [1, l]})$. If δ is a positive root, we will denote by U_δ the open subset of $(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{n})^*$ defined by the equation $h_\delta \neq 0$. If U is an open subset for the Zariski topology, we will write $\mathcal{A}(U)$ for the algebra of analytic functions on U and $\mathcal{P}(U)$ for the algebra of regular functions on U . We will define Φ_δ to be the following rational map

of U_δ

$$\forall \lambda \in U_\delta, \Phi_\delta(\lambda) = \exp\left(\frac{e_{-\delta}(\lambda)}{h_\delta(\lambda)} e_\delta\right) \cdot \lambda.$$

By composition, Φ_δ defines an algebra morphism of $\mathcal{A}(U_\delta)$ which we call π_δ . We will denote by X_δ the natural action of e_δ on $\mathcal{A}(U_\delta)$. Remark that X_δ is a derivation. If f is in $\mathcal{P}(U_\delta)$, we have

$$(*) \quad \pi_\delta(f) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{e_{-\delta}^k}{k! h_\delta^k} X_\delta^k \cdot f$$

where $e_{-\delta}$ denotes the multiplication by $e_{-\delta}$. The operator π_δ is the commutative analog of the Zhelobenko's elementary projector.

Let $w = s_1 \dots s_j$ be a reduced decomposition of $w \in W$ (with $s_k = s_{\beta_k}$, $\beta_k \in B$). Put $w_i = s_1 \dots s_i$. The roots $\gamma_i = w_{i-1}(\beta_i)$ ($w_0 = 1$) are pairwise distinct and

$$\Delta_w = \{\alpha \in \Delta_+ \mid w^{-1}(\alpha) < 0\} = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_j\}.$$

An ordering in Δ_w is called normal if it coincides with the initial segment of some normal ordering in Δ^+ (that is compatible with one of the reduced expression of \bar{w}). Note that $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_j)$ is a normal ordering of Δ_w . Put

$$U_w = \bigcap_{\delta \in \Delta_w} U_\delta.$$

We have

$$\mathcal{P}(U_w) = \left(\frac{S(\mathfrak{g})}{S(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{n}}\right)_{h_{\gamma_1} \dots h_{\gamma_j}} = S\left(\frac{\mathfrak{g}}{\mathfrak{n}}\right)_{h_{\gamma_1} \dots h_{\gamma_j}}.$$

We will denote by N_w the connected and simply connected group whose Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{n}_w = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_w} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. We will start by proving the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 2.1. — *Let λ be in U_w . The point $\Phi_{\gamma_j} \Phi_{\gamma_{j-1}} \dots \Phi_{\gamma_1}(\lambda)$ is the unique point of the orbit $N_w \cdot \lambda$ whose coordinates $e_{-\gamma_1}, \dots, e_{-\gamma_j}$ vanish. In particular $\Phi_{\gamma_j} \Phi_{\gamma_{j-1}} \dots \Phi_{\gamma_1}$ does not depend on the normal ordering on Δ_w .*

Proof of Proposition 2.1. — Complete $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_j)$ into a normal ordering on the positive roots $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m)$. $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{n}$ is endowed with the basis $(e_{-\gamma_1}, \dots, e_{-\gamma_m}, h_{\alpha_1}, \dots, h_{\alpha_l})$. Let $(e_{-\gamma_1}^*, \dots, e_{-\gamma_m}^*, h_{\alpha_1}^*, \dots, h_{\alpha_l}^*)$ be the dual basis. We will often identify the point $a_{\gamma_1} e_{-\gamma_1}^* + \dots + a_{\gamma_m} e_{-\gamma_m}^* + b_1 h_{\alpha_1}^* +$

$\dots + b_l h_{\alpha_l}^*$ with its coordinates $(a_{\gamma_1}, \dots, a_{\gamma_m}, b_1, \dots, b_l)$. Let us see that there is a unique point in $N_w \cdot \lambda$ whose coordinates $e_{-\gamma_1}, \dots, e_{-\gamma_j}$ vanish. Assume that there are two such points $f = (0, \dots, 0, a_{\gamma_{j+1}}, \dots, a_{\gamma_m}, b_1, \dots, b_l)$ and $f' = (0, \dots, 0, a'_{\gamma_{j+1}}, \dots, a'_{\gamma_m}, b'_1, \dots, b'_l)$. Then there exist complex numbers (t_1, \dots, t_j) such that $\exp(t_1 e_{\gamma_1} + \dots + t_j e_{\gamma_j}) \cdot f = f'$. One can show easily the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} e_{\gamma_l} \cdot e_{-\gamma_k}^* &= -C_{\gamma_l, -\gamma_k} e_{-\gamma_l - \gamma_k}^* \\ e_{\gamma_l} \cdot h_{-\alpha_i}^* &= -h_{-\alpha_i}^*(h_{\gamma_l}) e_{-\gamma_l}^* \end{aligned}$$

From these equalities, one deduces easily that the term in $e_{-\gamma_1}^*$ of $\exp(t_1 e_{\gamma_1} + \dots + t_j e_{\gamma_j}) \cdot (0, \dots, 0, a_{\gamma_{j+1}}, \dots, a_{\gamma_m}, b_1, \dots, b_l)$ is $-t_1 f(h_{\gamma_1})$. As f is in U_w , we get $t_1 = 0$. We reproduce the same reasoning to show that t_2, t_3, \dots, t_j are zero. So that we have proved that the two points f and f' coincide. It is not difficult to deduce from the normal ordering property that Φ_{γ_i} sends the point $(x_{\gamma_1}, \dots, x_{\gamma_m}, y_1, \dots, y_l)$ to a point $(x'_{\gamma_1}, \dots, x'_{\gamma_{i-1}}, 0, x'_{\gamma_{i+1}}, \dots, x'_{\gamma_m}, y_1, \dots, y_l)$ and that it sends the point $(0, \dots, 0, x_{\gamma_i}, \dots, x_{\gamma_m}, y_1, \dots, y_l)$ to a point $(0, \dots, 0, x'_{\gamma_{i+1}}, \dots, x'_{\gamma_m}, y_1, \dots, y_l)$. So that $\Phi_{\gamma_j} \Phi_{\gamma_{j-1}} \dots \Phi_{\gamma_1}(\lambda)$ is the unique point of $N_w \cdot \lambda$ whose coordinates $e_{-\gamma_1}, \dots, e_{-\gamma_j}$ vanish. This finishes the proof of Proposition 2.1.

As a consequence of the previous proposition, we may write Φ_w for the operator $\Phi_{\gamma_j} \Phi_{\gamma_{j-1}} \dots \Phi_{\gamma_1}$. The algebra homomorphism defined by Φ_w on $\mathcal{A}(U_w)$ will be denoted by π_w . Using Proposition 2.1, we will give a geometric proof of the following result.

THEOREM 2.2. — 1) If \bar{n}_w denotes the linear hull of $(e_{-\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Delta_w}$, one has $\text{Ker} \pi_w = \bar{n}_w \mathcal{A}(U_w)$.

2) The operator π_w is the projector onto $\mathcal{A}(U_w)^{n_w}$ with kernel $\bar{n}_w \mathcal{A}(U_w)$ and its restriction to $\mathcal{P}(U_w)$ is the projector onto $\mathcal{P}(U_w)^{n_w}$ with kernel $\bar{n}_w \mathcal{P}(U_w)$.

3) The operator π_w establishes an isomorphism Π_w between

$$\mathcal{C}_w = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A}(U_w) \mid \frac{\partial f}{\partial e_{-\gamma_1}} = \dots = \frac{\partial f}{\partial e_{-\gamma_j}} = 0 \right\}$$

and $\mathcal{A}(U_w)^{n_w}$. Moreover Π_w sends $\mathcal{C}_w \cap \mathcal{P}(U_w)$ onto $\mathcal{P}(U_w)^{n_w}$. If f is in $\mathcal{A}(U_w)^{n_w}$, $\Pi_w^{-1}(f)$ is the restriction of f to the subvariety of equations $e_{-\gamma_1} = \dots = e_{-\gamma_j} = 0$.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. — From the previous proposition, the inclusion $\bar{n}_w \mathcal{A}(U_w) \subset \text{Ker} \pi_w$ is clear. Moreover, a standard reasoning shows that

$$\mathcal{A}(U_w) = \mathcal{C}_w \oplus \bar{n}_w \mathcal{A}(U_w).$$

Then one sees easily that $\text{Ker} \pi_w \cap \mathcal{C}_w = \{0\}$. So that we have $\bar{n}_w \mathcal{A}(U_w) = \text{Ker} \pi_w$.

Let us now show that $\text{Im} \pi_w = \mathcal{A}(U_w)^{n_w}$ and that π_w is a projector. Let α be in Δ_w . For any f in $\mathcal{A}(U_w)$ and any λ in U_w , we have

$$(X_\alpha \circ \pi_w)(f)(\lambda) = \frac{d}{dt} f(\Phi_{\gamma_j} \dots \Phi_{\gamma_1} \exp(-te_\alpha)\lambda) |_{t=0}.$$

But for any t , $\Phi_{\gamma_j} \dots \Phi_{\gamma_1} \exp(-te_\alpha)\lambda$ is the unique point of $N_w \cdot \lambda$ whose coordinates $e_{-\gamma_1}, \dots, e_{-\gamma_j}$ vanish. So that $X_\alpha \circ \pi_w = 0$. We have thus proved the inclusion $\text{Im} \pi_w \subset \mathcal{A}(U_w)^{n_w}$. Now it is clear that π_w is a projector : check that $\pi_w \circ \pi_w = \pi_w$ on coordinates using the formula (*). The reverse inclusion $\mathcal{A}(U_w)^{n_w} \subset \text{Im} \pi_w$ will be a consequence of the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.3. — *Let k be in $[1, j]$ and let f be in $\mathcal{A}(U_w)$. If $X_{\gamma_k} f = 0$, then $\pi_{\gamma_k} f = f$.*

Proof of Lemma 2.3. — We first remark that $(\pi_{\gamma_k}(e_{-\gamma_1}), \dots, \pi_{\gamma_k}(e_{-\gamma_{k-1}}), e_{-\gamma_k}, \pi_{\gamma_k}(e_{-\gamma_{k+1}}), \dots, \pi_{\gamma_k}(e_{-\gamma_m}), h_{\alpha_1}, \dots, h_{\alpha_l})$ is a coordinate system in U_w . Indeed, one may see by induction that for any $i \leq k - 1$ (respectively $i \geq k + 1$), $e_{-\gamma_i}$ may be expressed as a regular function of $(\pi_{\gamma_k}(e_{-\gamma_1}), \dots, \pi_{\gamma_k}(e_{-\gamma_i}), h_{\alpha_1}, \dots, h_{\alpha_l})$ (respectively $(\pi_{\gamma_k}(e_{-\gamma_i}), \dots, \pi_{\gamma_k}(e_{-\gamma_m}), h_{\alpha_1}, \dots, h_{\alpha_l})$). We put $(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{m+l}) = (\pi_{\gamma_k}(e_{-\gamma_1}), \dots, \pi_{\gamma_k}(e_{-\gamma_{k-1}}), e_{-\gamma_k}, \pi_{\gamma_k}(e_{-\gamma_{k+1}}), \dots, \pi_{\gamma_k}(e_{-\gamma_m}), h_{\alpha_1}, \dots, h_{\alpha_l})$. In these coordinates, we have $X_{\gamma_k} = h_{\gamma_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon_k}$. So that if $X_{\gamma_k} f = 0$, then f does not depend on ϵ_k and it becomes clear that there exists g such that $f = \pi_{\gamma_k} g$. As π_{γ_k} is a projector, we have $\pi_{\gamma_k} f = \pi_{\gamma_k} \pi_{\gamma_k} g = \pi_{\gamma_k} g$, which finishes the proof of the lemma.

It is clear from the proof that π_w sends $\mathcal{C}_w \cap \mathcal{P}(U_w)$ onto $\mathcal{P}(U_w)^{n_w}$.

In particular $\pi_{\bar{w}|\mathcal{P}(U_{\bar{w}})}$ is the projector onto $S(\mathfrak{h})_{h_{\gamma_1} \dots h_{\gamma_m}}$ with kernel $n_{\bar{w}} \mathcal{P}(U_{\bar{w}})$. By analogy to Asherova, Tolstoy, Smirnov and Zhelobenko's work, we will call it the extremal projector.

Proposition 2.1 gives a geometric interpretation of the projector π_w .

3. Appendix: Extremal projector for the Virasoro algebra in the semi-classical case.

In this section, we shall give a factorization of the Virasoro algebra extremal projector in the semi-classical case. Note that the non commutative case is still open. It is very different from the semi-simple case because the Virasoro algebra does not admit any normal ordering. Recall that the Virasoro algebra Vir is the infinite dimensional Lie algebra generated by $\{e_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{c\}$ with commutation rules

$$[e_i, e_j] = (j - i) e_{i+j} + \frac{(j^3 - j)}{12} \delta_{i+j,0} c, \quad [e_i, c] = 0.$$

Vir admits the following triangular decomposition:

$$\text{Vir} = \text{Vir}_+ \oplus \text{Vir}_0 \oplus \text{Vir}_-$$

where

$$\text{Vir}_+ = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} \mathbb{C}e_i, \quad \text{Vir}_0 = \mathbb{C}e_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}c, \quad \text{Vir}_- = \bigoplus_{i \leq -1} \mathbb{C}e_i.$$

We will also use the notation

$$\text{Vir}_{r,+} = \bigoplus_{i \geq r} \mathbb{C}e_i \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Vir}_{r,-} = \bigoplus_{i \leq -r} \mathbb{C}e_i.$$

$\text{Vir}_{r,+}$ and $\text{Vir}_{r,-}$ are Lie subalgebras of Vir .

Let $R(\text{Vir}_0)$ be the field of fractions of $S(\text{Vir}_0)$. We introduce the algebra

$$S'(\text{Vir}) = S(\text{Vir}) \otimes_{S(\text{Vir}_0)} R(\text{Vir}_0) = S'(\text{Vir}/\text{Vir}_-).$$

There is a natural action of Vir_- on $S'(\text{Vir}/\text{Vir}_-)$. Through this action, for any negative i , e_i defines a derivation X_i of $S'(\frac{\text{Vir}}{\text{Vir}_-})$. Set

$$T_r = \left(\frac{S'(\text{Vir})}{S'(\text{Vir})\text{Vir}_-} \right)^{\text{Vir}_{r,-}}.$$

The result and the proof of the following lemma is left to the reader.

LEMMA 3.1.

$$T_r = \bigoplus_{k_1, \dots, k_{r-1} \in \mathbb{N}} R(\text{Vir}_0) e_1^{k_1} \dots e_{r-1}^{k_{r-1}}.$$

As a consequence of Lemma 3.1, we have the following decomposition:

$$S'(\text{Vir}/\text{Vir}_-) = T_r \oplus \text{Vir}_{r,+} S'(\text{Vir}/\text{Vir}_-).$$

The proof of the next lemma is an easy computation.

LEMMA 3.2. — *For any $i > 1$, the operator*

$$\pi_i = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k! \left(2ie_0 + \frac{(i^3 - i)c}{12} \right)^k} e_i^k X_{-i}^k$$

is an algebra morphism and satisfies the relations

$$X_{-i} \circ \pi_i = 0 \text{ and } \pi_i \circ e_i = 0$$

(where e_i denotes multiplication by e_i).

It is not hard to see that the operator $\Pi_r = \prod_{i=r}^{\infty} \pi_i$ is well defined.

Actually $\prod_{i=r}^{\infty} \pi_i(e_1^{a_1} \dots e_k^{a_k}) = \prod_{r \leq i \leq k} \pi_i(e_1^{a_1} \dots e_k^{a_k}) = 0$ (by Lemma 3.2).

THEOREM 3.3. — *The operator Π_r satisfies the relations*

$$\forall i \geq r, X_{-i} \circ \Pi_k = 0, \Pi_k \circ e_i = 0.$$

It is the projector onto T_r with kernel $\text{Vir}_{r,+} S' \left(\frac{\text{Vir}}{\text{Vir}_-} \right)$.

In particular, Π_1 is the extremal projector.

Proof of Theorem 3.3. — The relations of the theorem are easy to check and they prove that Π_r is a projector. To prove that the kernel of Π_r is $\text{Vir}_{r,+}$, we proceed as in the semi-simple case. The inclusion $\text{Im}\Pi_r \subset T_r$ is a consequence of the theorem. To prove the reverse inclusion, remark that if x is in T_r , then $\Pi_r x = x$, so that x is in $\text{Im}\Pi_r$.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [AST] R.M. ASHEROVA, Y.F. SMIRNOV, V.N. TOLSTOI, Description of a class of projection operators for semi-simple complex Lie algebras, *Matem. Zametki*, 26, No. 1 (1979), 15-25.
- [Z1] D.P. ZHELOBENKO, An introduction to the theory of S -algebras over reductive Lie algebras, *Representations of infinite Lie groups and algebras*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1986.
- [Z2] D.P. ZHELOBENKO, Extremal cocycles of Weyl groups, *Functional analysis and its applications*, 21, No 3 (1987), 11-21.

Manuscrit reçu le 14 octobre 1996,
révisé le 15 juin 1997,
accepté le 8 septembre 1997.

Sophie CHEMLA,
Institut de Mathématiques
Université Paris VI
Case 82
4, place Jussieu
75252 Paris Cedex 05 (France).
schemla@math.jussieu.fr