



ANNALES

DE

L'INSTITUT FOURIER

Lucia ALESSANDRINI & Giovanni BASSANELLI

On the embedding of 1-convex manifolds with 1-dimensional exceptional set

Tome 51, n° 1 (2001), p. 99-108.

http://aif.cedram.org/item?id=AIF_2001__51_1_99_0

© Association des Annales de l'institut Fourier, 2001, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Annales de l'institut Fourier » (<http://aif.cedram.org/>), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://aif.cedram.org/legal/>). Toute reproduction en tout ou partie cet article sous quelque forme que ce soit pour tout usage autre que l'utilisation à fin strictement personnelle du copiste est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

cedram

*Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du
Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques
<http://www.cedram.org/>*

ON THE EMBEDDING OF 1-CONVEX MANIFOLDS WITH 1-DIMENSIONAL EXCEPTIONAL SET

by L. ALESSANDRINI & G. BASSANELLI *

Introduction.

Any 1-convex (i.e. strongly pseudoconvex) space X is a proper modification at finitely many points of a Stein space Y ; X is called embeddable if it is biholomorphic to a closed analytic subspace of $\mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_n$, for suitable m and n . C. Banica [2] and Vo Van Tan [15] had shown that every 1-convex surface is embeddable; the case when $\dim X > 2$ has been studied in some papers of M. Coltoiu and Vo Van Tan (see [5] and [16] for the references), mainly when X is smooth and its exceptional set S is 1-dimensional. Up to now, the best result is the following:

THEOREM. — *Let X be a 1-convex manifold with 1-dimensional exceptional set S . Then X is embeddable, with a possible exception given by*

*$\dim X = 3$ and S contains a rational curve of type $(-1, -1)$ or $(0, -2)$
or $(1, -3)$.*

In these three exceptional cases, there are some examples of non-embeddable manifolds, only one of which is completely clarified (see [5]); anyway, the above result gives no criterion in order to check the embedding

* Partially supported by MURST research funds.
Keywords: 1-convex manifolds – Kähler manifolds.
Math. classification: 32F10 – 53B35.

property in these situations. In this paper, we give a precise criterion, which turns out to be topological, namely:

THEOREM I. — *Let X be a 1-convex manifold with 1-dimensional exceptional set S . The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) X is Kähler,
- (ii) S does not contain any effective curve C which is a boundary, i.e. such that $[C] = 0$ in $H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$.

THEOREM II. — *Let X be a 1-convex manifold with 1-dimensional exceptional set S . If $H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is finitely generated, then X is embeddable if and only if it is Kähler.*

The proofs do not depend on the results of M. Coltoiu and Vo Van Tan, nevertheless using them one can show that condition (ii) in Theorem I is equivalent to

- (ii') $\dim X \neq 3$ or S does not contain any effective curve which is a boundary and such that its irreducible components are rational curves of type $(-1, -1)$ or $(0, -2)$ or $(1, -3)$.

Theorems I and II give a partial answer to several questions of [16] (in particular to Question 2.6, Problem 2.7 and Problem 3.9), and support the idea of a parallelism between Moisëzon and 1-convex manifolds (see the Introduction of [15]); more precisely, one should compare Theorem II with the fact that a Moisëzon manifold is projective if and only if it is Kähler. Finally we remark that all the known examples of non-embeddable 1-convex manifolds with 1-dimensional exceptional set satisfy our hypothesis, that is $H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is finitely generated. We also hope that our result will give some suggestion in order to study the general case.

Let us conclude with a remark on techniques; as to the author's knowledge, the duality between forms and currents on a non-compact manifold is used here for the first time (in the compact case, see [9], [10], [1] et al.), and this new tool provides quite elementary proofs.

Results.

First of all, we fix some notations and recall the characterization of 1-convex and embeddable manifolds which we need in what follows.

DEFINITION 1. — *Let X be a complex manifold.*

A real $(1, 1)$ -form $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,1}(X)$ is called positive (semi-positive) at $x \in X$ if the hermitian form induced by φ_x on the holomorphic tangent space $T'_x X$ is positive definite (semi-definite); we shall write $\varphi_x > 0$ ($\varphi_x \geq 0$). If $\varphi_x > 0$ ($\varphi_x \geq 0$) for every $x \in X$, φ is called positive (semi-positive), and we shall write $\varphi > 0$ ($\varphi \geq 0$).

A current $T \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,1}(X)'$ is positive (in the classical sense of Lelong) if $T(\varphi) \geq 0$, for every $\varphi \geq 0$.

An effective curve is a finite combination $\sum_{j=1}^N n_j C_j$ of irreducible curves C_j with integral coefficients $n_j > 0$.

Let L be a holomorphic line bundle on X endowed with a hermitian metric h ; if Θ is the associated curvature form, we denote by $c_1(L, h) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \Theta$ its Chern form. L is positive if and only if there is a metric h such that $c_1(L, h) > 0$.

Let us denote by $\tilde{H}^p(X, \mathbb{Z})$ the range of the map $i^* : H^p(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^p(X, \mathbb{R})$ induced by the inclusion $i : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. So a real, closed p -form ψ is called integral if its class $[\psi] \in H^p(X, \mathbb{R})$ belongs to $\tilde{H}^p(X, \mathbb{Z})$.

Consider the map

$$\delta : H^1(X, \mathcal{O}^*) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}),$$

arising from the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^* \rightarrow 0.$$

It is well known that if L is a holomorphic line bundle, for every hermitian metric h on L , $c_1(L, h) \in i^*(\delta(L))$.

DEFINITION 2. — *A complex space X is said 1-convex (or strongly pseudoconvex) if it satisfies one of the following equivalent conditions:*

(i) *there exists a smooth exhaustion function $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is strictly plurisubharmonic outside a compact subset of X ,*

(ii) *there exists a proper surjective holomorphic map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ onto a Stein space Y , and a finite subset $B \subset Y$ such that, if $S := f^{-1}(B)$, the induced map $X \setminus S \rightarrow Y \setminus B$ is biholomorphic and $\mathcal{O}_Y \simeq f_* \mathcal{O}_X$.*

Remark 3. — The equivalence of the above conditions was proved in [11]. The map f is called the Remmert reduction, and S , which is the

maximal compact analytic set of positive dimension in X , is called the exceptional set of X .

DEFINITION 4. — A 1-convex space X is called embeddable if there is a holomorphic embedding $j : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_n$, for some m and n .

Roughly speaking, a 1-convex space X “differs” from a Stein space Y (its Remmert reduction) in its exceptional set S ; Y is embeddable in some \mathbb{C}^m , and S is Moisézon and sometimes projective. Therefore it seems natural to ask when X is embeddable in $\mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_n$, for some m and n (this is not always the case, see [5]). As for the compact/projective case, to prove that a 1-convex space is embeddable, it is useful to search “positive” holomorphic line bundles on it: see [14] and [13]. Since we shall assume that X is a manifold, we use a simpler result, due to Eto, Kazama and Watanabe:

PROPOSITION 5 ([8], Theorem III). — Let X be a 1-convex manifold carrying a positive holomorphic line bundle L . Then X is embeddable.

To prove Theorems I and II, we need a couple of Lemmata; the first one is an elementary result in group theory.

LEMMA 6. — If g_1, \dots, g_q are elements of an abelian group G such that, for suitable real numbers $r_1, \dots, r_q > 0$, $g_1 \otimes r_1 + \dots + g_q \otimes r_q = 0$ in $G \otimes \mathbb{R}$, then there exist positive integers n_1, \dots, n_q such that $n_1 g_1 + \dots + n_q g_q = 0$ in G .

Proof. — Let G' be the subgroup of G generated by g_1, \dots, g_q . Since $G' \otimes \mathbb{R}$ is a subgroup of $G \otimes \mathbb{R}$, G' satisfies the hypotheses too; so there is no restriction in assuming that G is finitely generated. Therefore $G = F \oplus T$, where F is free and T is the torsion part, and $g_j = f_j + t_j$, $j = 1, \dots, q$, with $f_j \in F$ and $t_j \in T$. Thus by the hypothesis $f_1 \otimes r_1 + \dots + f_q \otimes r_q = 0$; identifying F with $\mathbb{Z}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, for a suitable n , we get, in \mathbb{R}^n ,

$$r_1 f_1 + \dots + r_q f_q = 0.$$

This turns out to be a linear system with integral coefficients: therefore there are positive integers m_1, \dots, m_q , such that $m_1 f_1 + \dots + m_q f_q = 0$. For a suitable positive integer m : $mt_1 = \dots = mt_q = 0$; thus

$$mm_1 g_1 + \dots + mm_q g_q = 0$$

Q.E.D.

LEMMA 7. — Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,1}(X)$ be a closed $(1, 1)$ -form on X which is positive on S (i.e. $\varphi_x > 0$, for every $x \in S$). Then there exists a smooth function $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\varphi + \frac{i}{2\pi} \partial\bar{\partial}F$ is positive on X .

Proof. — Let $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$ be the proper holomorphic map given by the composition of the Remmert reduction $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and an embedding $j : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$. Denote by $z = (z_1, \dots, z_N)$ coordinates in \mathbb{C}^N and let $\alpha := \frac{i}{2\pi} \partial\bar{\partial}|z|^2$; then $g^*\alpha \geq 0$ on X and $g^*\alpha > 0$ on $X \setminus S$. Since $\varphi > 0$ in a neighborhood of S , for every compact subset K of X there exists a positive constant M_K such that $\varphi + M_K g^*\alpha > 0$ on K .

Let $K_1 := \overline{B(1)} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^N; |z| \leq 1\}$ and for every $n \geq 1$, $K_{n+1} := \overline{B(n+1)} \setminus B(n)$. Therefore, for suitable $M_n > 0$:

$$\varphi + M_n g^*\alpha > 0, \text{ on } g^{-1}(K_n).$$

Choose a smooth function $u : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $u'(t) > 0$, for every $t \geq 0$, and $u(|z|^2) > M_n$ on K_n , for $n \geq 1$.

Let $v(s) := \int_0^s u(t)dt, s \geq 0$. We get

$$i\partial\bar{\partial}v(|z|^2) = v''(|z|^2)i\partial|z|^2 \wedge \bar{\partial}|z|^2 + v'(|z|^2)i\partial\bar{\partial}|z|^2.$$

The first term in the right hand side is ≥ 0 , hence on K_n we have

$$\frac{i}{2\pi} \partial\bar{\partial}v(|z|^2) > M_n \frac{i}{2\pi} \partial\bar{\partial}|z|^2;$$

therefore we get on X :

$$\varphi + g^* \frac{i}{2\pi} \partial\bar{\partial}v(|z|^2) > 0.$$

Q.E.D.

Let us go to the proofs of Theorem I and Theorem II.

THEOREM I. — Let X be a 1-convex manifold with 1-dimensional exceptional set S . The following statements are equivalent:

- (i) X is Kähler,
- (ii) S does not contain any effective curve C which is a boundary, i.e. such that $[C] = 0$ in $H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. — (i) \Rightarrow (ii): In a Kähler manifold no positive combination of compact analytic subsets can be a boundary.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Let

$$A := \{\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,1}(X); \varphi_x > 0, \text{ for every } x \in S\}$$

and

$$B := \{\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,1}(X); d\varphi = 0\}.$$

Let us argue by contradiction and assume that $A \cap B = \emptyset$. Since A is a non-empty open cone in the natural topology of $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,1}(X)$ and B is a linear subspace, by the Hahn-Banach Theorem ([12], II.3.1), we get a closed hyperplane in the topological vector space $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,1}(X)$, containing B and not intersecting A . That is, there exists a current $T \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,1}(X)'$ such that $T(\varphi) > 0$ for every $\varphi \in A$, and $T(\varphi) = 0$ for every $\varphi \in B$.

CLAIM. — Let $T \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,1}(X)'$.

- (i) If $T(\varphi) > 0$ for every $\varphi \in A$, then T is positive and $\text{supp}(T) \subset S$;
- (ii) If $T(\varphi) = 0$ for every $\varphi \in B$, then $i\partial\bar{\partial}T = 0$.

Proof of the claim. — Let $\psi \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,1}(X)$, $\psi \geq 0$, and let $\varphi \in A$: then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $\psi + \varepsilon\varphi \in A$, hence

$$T(\psi) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} T(\psi + \varepsilon\varphi) \geq 0.$$

Now let $\psi \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,1}(X)$, $\text{supp}(\psi) \subset X \setminus S$, and let $\varphi \in A$. For every $c \in \mathbb{R}$, $c\psi + \varphi \in A$, so that

$$cT(\psi) + T(\varphi) > 0 \quad \forall c \in \mathbb{R};$$

this implies $T(\psi) = 0$.

To prove the second assertion, notice that for every $f \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}}^0(X)$, $i\partial\bar{\partial}f \in B$ so that $i\partial\bar{\partial}T(f) = T(i\partial\bar{\partial}f) = 0$. Q.E.D.

The claim allows to apply Theorem 4.10 in [3] to the current T arising from the Hahn-Banach Theorem: therefore, there are some irreducible components of S , say C_1, \dots, C_q , and some positive real numbers r_1, \dots, r_q such that

$$T = \sum_{j=1}^q r_j C_j$$

(in particular, T is closed). Let $\varphi = \bar{\varphi}_{02} + \varphi_{11} + \varphi_{02}$ be a closed real 2-form on X ; define $\pi(\varphi) := \varphi_{02}$. Then π induces a linear map $\Pi : H^2(X, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_{\bar{\partial}}^{0,2}(X) = H^2(X, \mathcal{O})$. But S is 1-dimensional, thus ([4], Lemma 1) $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}) = 0$; since Π is the null map, every class belonging to $H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ can be represented by means of an element of B . Hence “ T vanishes on B ” means that $\sum_{j=1}^q r_j [C_j]$ vanishes on every class of $H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$. But $H^2(X, \mathbb{R}) = \text{Hom}(H_2(X, \mathbb{R}), \mathbb{R})$, thus $\sum_{j=1}^q r_j [C_j] = 0$ in

$H_2(X, \mathbb{R}) = H_2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{R}$. Applying Lemma 6 we get a contradiction with (ii). Therefore there exists $\varphi \in A \cap B$; by means of Lemma 7 the form φ can be modified in order to obtain a closed Kähler form for X . Q.E.D.

Remark 8. — When S is irreducible, condition (ii) in Theorem I means that the class of S in $H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is not a torsion class: therefore the map $H_2(S, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_2(X, \mathbb{R})$ turns out to be injective and $\gamma : H^2(X, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^2(S, \mathbb{R})$ is surjective. In this case there is another proof¹ of Theorem I. Denote by \mathcal{H} the sheaf of germs of real pluriharmonic functions; as in [9], we get easily that $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \rightarrow 0$ is exact and that $H^1(X, \mathcal{H})$ is the space of real closed $(1, 1)$ -forms, modulo the space of $i\partial\bar{\partial}$ -exact forms. Now for a suitable embeddable neighborhood U of S (see [6], p. 563) we get that in the following commutative diagram with exact lines:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \dots & \rightarrow & H^1(X, \mathcal{O}) & \rightarrow & H^1(X, \mathcal{H}) & \rightarrow & H^2(X, \mathbb{R}) & \rightarrow & H^2(X, \mathcal{O}) = 0 \\ & & \alpha \downarrow & & \beta \downarrow & & \gamma \downarrow & & \\ \dots & \rightarrow & H^1(U, \mathcal{O}) & \rightarrow & H^1(U, \mathcal{H}) & \rightarrow & H^2(U, \mathbb{R}) & \rightarrow & H^2(U, \mathcal{O}) = 0 \end{array}$$

α is an isomorphism, $H^2(U, \mathbb{R}) = H^2(S, \mathbb{R})$, γ is surjective. Hence β is surjective, thus we can extend a Kähler form on U to a closed $(1, 1)$ -form on X ; by Lemma 7, X is Kähler.

THEOREM II. — *Let X be a 1-convex manifold with 1-dimensional exceptional set S . If $H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is finitely generated, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) X is Kähler,
- (ii) S does not contain any effective curve C which is a boundary, i.e. such that $[C] = 0$ in $H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$,
- (iii) X is embeddable.

Proof. — (iii) \Rightarrow (i) is obvious, so by the previous theorem it is enough to show (ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Let

$$B' := \{ \varphi \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,1}(X); \varphi = c_1(L, h), \text{ for some holomorphic line bundle } L \text{ on } X \text{ and some hermitian metric } h \text{ on } L \}.$$

Let us assume $A \cap B' = \emptyset$. In order to argue exactly as in Theorem I, with B' instead of B , only two remarks are necessary. First, from $A \cap B' = \emptyset$ it follows $A \cap (B' \otimes \mathbb{R}) = \emptyset$ where $B' \otimes \mathbb{R}$ is the linear subspace generated by B' ; so A and B' can be separated as above. Second, let f be a real smooth

¹ Private communication of M. Coltoiu.

function on X and L a holomorphic line bundle with a hermitian metric h ; then

$$\frac{i}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} f = c_1(L, h) - c_1(L, e^f h) \in B' \otimes \mathbb{R}.$$

Thus Claim (ii) in the proof of Theorem I holds with B' instead of B . Therefore there is a current $T = \sum_{j=1}^q r_j C_j$, with $r_j > 0$, which vanishes on B' .

As we have just noted, $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}) = 0$, thus the map $\delta : H^1(X, \mathcal{O}^*) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is surjective. Therefore each integral class can be represented by an element of B' , thus $\sum_{j=1}^q r_j [C_j] \in H_2(X, \mathbb{R})$ vanishes on $\tilde{H}^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$. Since $H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is finitely generated, $H^2(X, \mathbb{R}) = \tilde{H}^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{R}$: thus $\sum_{j=1}^q r_j [C_j]$ vanishes on $H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$. As we have just seen in the previous proof, this gives a contradiction, so we conclude that there are L and h such that $c_1(L, h) \in A \cap B'$. Finally, applying Lemma 7, it follows that $c_1(L, e^{-F} h)$ is positive on X . Q.E.D.

COROLLARY 9. — *In the hypotheses of Theorem I, the statements (i), (ii) are also equivalent to*

(ii') *dim $X \neq 3$ or S does not contain any effective curve which is a boundary and such that its irreducible components are rational curves of type $(-1, -1)$ or $(0, -2)$ or $(1, -3)$.*

Proof. — Obviously (ii) \Rightarrow (ii'). Assume that (ii) is false, that is, there are positive integers n_1, \dots, n_q and irreducible components C_1, \dots, C_q of S such that $T = \sum_{j=1}^q n_j C_j$ is a boundary. Then the canonical bundle K_X vanishes on T , that is, $0 = \sum_{j=1}^q n_j K_X \cdot C_j$, so $K_X \cdot C_j = 0$ for every $j = 1, \dots, q$. By the Step 1 of Theorem 1.5 in [16], every C_j is the exceptional set of a 1-convex neighborhood U_j of it. Now $0 = K_X \cdot C_j = K_{U_j} \cdot C_j$; so K_{U_j} is not ample and, by means of Theorem 3 in [5], this implies that C_j is rational, $\dim X = 3$ and (see [5], Remark p. 463) C_j must be of type $(-1, -1)$, $(0, -2)$ or $(1, -3)$. Q.E.D.

Remark 10. — All the examples given in the literature in connection to the embedding problem satisfy the property “ $H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is finitely generated”; nevertheless, using the example given in [7], it is easy to build a 1-convex threefold whose second homology group is not finitely generated.

Now assume that the exceptional curve S is irreducible. One can use the proof of Theorem II or apply the argument of Remark 8 to the sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^* \rightarrow 0$, to see that (without the hypothesis

“ $H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is finitely generated”) X is embeddable if and only if there exists a homomorphism in $\text{Hom}(H_2(X, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z})$ which does not vanish on S , that is, the map $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$ is not the zero map.

Therefore a comparison between this last condition and Theorem I may suggest the way to a counterexample (if it exists!) of a 1-convex Kähler manifold, with 1-dimensional exceptional set, which is non-embeddable.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] L. ALESSANDRINI, G. BASSANELLI, Metric properties of manifolds bimeromorphic to compact Kähler spaces, *J. Differential Geom.*, 37 (1993), 95–121.
- [2] C. BANICA, Sur les fibres infinitésimales d'un morphisme propre d'espaces complexes, Sémin. F. Norguet, Fonctions de plusieurs variables complexes, IV Springer Lect. Notes Math., 807 (1980).
- [3] G. BASSANELLI, A cut-off theorem for plurisubharmonic currents, *Forum Math.*, 6 (1994), 567–595.
- [4] M. COLTOIU, On the embedding of 1-convex manifolds with 1-dimensional exceptional set, *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 60 (1985), 458–465.
- [5] M. COLTOIU, On 1-convex manifolds with 1-dimensional exceptional set, Collection of papers in memory of Martin Jurchescu, *Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl.*, 43 (1998), 97–104.
- [6] M. COLTOIU, On the Oka-Grauert principle for 1-convex manifolds, *Math. Ann.*, 310, n° 3 (1998), 561–569.
- [7] M. COLTOIU, On Hulls of Meromorphy and a Class of Stein Manifolds, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup.*, XXVIII (1999), 405–412.
- [8] S. ETO, H. KAZAMA, K. WATANABE, On strongly q -pseudoconvex spaces with positive vector bundles, *Mem. Fac. Sci. Kyushu Univ.*, Ser. A, 28 (1974), 135–146.
- [9] R. HARVEY, J.R. LAWSON, An intrinsic characterization of Kähler manifolds, *Invent. Math.*, 74 (1983), 169–198.
- [10] M.L. MICHELSON, On the existence of special metrics in complex geometry, *Acta Math.*, 143 (1983), 261–295.
- [11] R. NARASIMHAN, The Levi problem for complex spaces II, *Math. Ann.*, 146 (1962), 195–216.
- [12] H.H. SCHÄFER, *Topological Vector Spaces*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 3, Springer, 1970.
- [13] M. SCHNEIDER, Familien negativer Vektorbündel und 1-convexe Abbildungen, *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg*, 47 (1978), 150–170.
- [14] A. SILVA, Embedding strongly (1,1)-convex-concave spaces in $\mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_n$, Several complex variables, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XXX, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., (1977) 41–44.

- [15] VO VAN TAN, Embedding theorems and Kählerity for 1-convex spaces, *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 57 (1982), 196–201.
- [16] VO VAN TAN, On the Kählerian geometry of 1-convex threefolds, *Forum Math.*, 7 (1995), 131–146.

Manuscrit reçu le 24 mars 2000,
accepté le 7 septembre 2000.

Lucia ALESSANDRINI & Giovanni BASSANELLI,
Università di Parma
Dipartimento di Matematica
via Massimo D’Azeglio, 85/A
43100 Parma (Italy).
giovanni.bassanelli@unipr.it
lucia.alessandrini@unipr.it