



ANNALES

DE

L'INSTITUT FOURIER

Marc TROYANOV & Sergei VODOP'YANOV

Liouville type theorems for mappings with bounded (co)-distortion

Tome 52, n° 6 (2002), p. 1753-1784.

http://aif.cedram.org/item?id=AIF_2002__52_6_1753_0

© Association des Annales de l'institut Fourier, 2002, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Annales de l'institut Fourier » (<http://aif.cedram.org/>), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://aif.cedram.org/legal/>). Toute reproduction en tout ou partie cet article sous quelque forme que ce soit pour tout usage autre que l'utilisation à fin strictement personnelle du copiste est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

cedram

*Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du
Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques
<http://www.cedram.org/>*

LIOUVILLE TYPE THEOREMS FOR MAPPINGS WITH BOUNDED (CO)-DISTORTION

by M. TROYANOV & S. VODOP'YANOV *

1. Introduction.

A mapping $f : M \rightarrow N$ between oriented n -dimensional Riemannian manifolds is said to have *bounded s -distortion* (or *s -dilatation*) ($1 \leq s < \infty$) if $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(M, N)$ and

$$(1) \quad |df_x|^s \leq K J_f(x)$$

a.e. $x \in M$.

The Sobolev class of mappings $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(M, N)$ is defined in Section 3 below; these mappings have a formal differential $df_x : T_x M \rightarrow T_{f(x)} N$ almost everywhere; in the above inequality, $|df_x|$ denotes its operator norm and $J_f(x) = \det df_x$ its Jacobian.

Mappings with bounded s -distortion are generalizations of quasi-regular mappings; they have been studied (under various names and viewpoints) since about 30 years, see [6], [8], [24], [25], [28], [30], [41], [44] among other works. In the special case of homeomorphisms with bounded s -distortion with $s > n - 1$, a metric characterization has been given in [8].

These mappings originated as suitable class of mappings in the change-of-variable formula for functions in the Sobolev spaces $\mathcal{L}^{1,s}$ (see Section 4). As it turns out, this class of mappings feels quite well the

* This work is partially supported by the INTAS, grant N° 10170.

Keywords: Mapping with bounded distortion – Capacity – Parabolicity.

Math. classification: 30C65 – 31B15 – 26B10.

asymptotic geometry of Riemannian manifolds. In [6], J. Ferrand was able to prove that a Riemannian manifold is characterized up to bilipschitz equivalence by its Royden algebra; the proof heavily uses the theory of mappings with bounded s -distortion. In [28], P. Pansu gave conditions on the geometry of manifolds implying that mappings with bounded s -distortion are quasi-isometries. The work of Ferrand and Pansu has been extended to the case of metric measure spaces in the recent thesis of K. Gafaïti.

Mappings with bounded s -distortion are a subclass of the so-called *mappings with finite distortion* which are defined by the condition that $J_f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$ and $|df_x|^n \leq \Phi(x)J_f(x)$ where $\Phi(x)$ is finite a.e. (see the argument in the proof of Corollary 7.1). Mappings with finite distortion play an important role in non-linear elasticity (see e.g. [27]) and they are now being intensively studied. See e.g. the papers [16], [18], [41] and the rich references therein.

Another important generalization of mappings with bounded s -distortion is given by the class of mappings such that $|\Lambda_k f_x|^q \leq KJ_f(x)$ where $\Lambda_k f$ is the k -th. exterior power of df , i.e. the effect of df_x at the level of k -forms. These mappings appear in L^p cohomology; see e.g. the recent paper [29] of P. Pansu, where flows of such mappings are used in the computation of L^p -cohomology of manifolds with negative curvature and solvable Lie groups.

In the present paper, we will consider the case $k = n - 1$; let us thus define a *mappings with bounded q -codistortion* ($1 < q < \infty$) to be a mapping $f \in W^{1,1}_{\text{loc}}(M, N)$ for which there exists a constant K' such that

$$(2) \quad |\Lambda_{n-1} f_x|^q \leq K' J_f(x) \quad \text{a.e.}$$

We now state a number of questions, concerning mappings with bounded s -distortion, we are interested in

1) What are the obstructions to the existence of a non constant mapping with bounded s -distortion $f : M \rightarrow N$?

2) Describe the set of all $s \geq 1$ for which there exists a homeomorphism (or a diffeomorphism) $f : M \rightarrow N$ with bounded s -distortion.

3) Suppose that $f : M \rightarrow N$ is a non constant mapping with bounded s -distortion: How big may the omitted set $N \setminus f(M)$ be? (In particular, when can it be said that f is onto?)

4) Assuming that $f : M \rightarrow N$ is a continuous mapping with bounded

s -distortion. What can be said about the topological and or the geometrical properties of f ?

Similar questions may be raised about mappings with bounded q -codistortion.

We will give some answers to all of these questions. The main techniques we use are based on potential theory: Recall that a *condenser* in M is a pair (D, A) where $A \subset M$ is a connected open subset and $D \subset A$ is compact. The p -capacity of the condenser (D, A) is defined as

$$\text{Cap}_p(D, A) = \inf \left\{ \int_A |\nabla u|^p : u \in C_0^0(A) \cap W^{1,p}(A) \text{ and } u \geq 1 \text{ on } D \right\}.$$

DEFINITION. — *The manifold M is p -parabolic if $\text{Cap}_p(D, M) = 0$ for all compact subsets $D \subset M$ and p -hyperbolic otherwise.*

We have included in Section 7.2 below a brief discussion of this notion.

An answer to the first question above is the following Liouville type theorem:

THEOREM. — *Let M and N be oriented n -dimensional Riemannian manifolds and let $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(M, N)$ be a mapping with bounded s -distortion with $s > (n - 1)$. Assume that M is p -parabolic, where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{n-1}{s} = 1$. Then either f is constant a.e. or N is also p -parabolic.*

This result is a consequence of Theorem A and Corollary 7.1 in the present paper; it is in fact proved for a wider class than $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(M, N)$.

In the special case of quasi-regular mappings (i.e. $s = p = n$), this result has been obtained around 1968 by Y. Reshetnyak and, independently, by O. Martio, S. Rickman and J. Väisälä (see [32] and [22]). At the end of the paper we shortly recall the original argument of Reshetnyack.

Some answers to the other questions mentioned above are given in Sections 2, 4 and 7.

The paper is organized as follow: In Section 2, we give some additional definitions, state the main results of the paper and give some corollaries. In Section 3 we recall some basic facts about Sobolev mappings, in Section 4 we discuss homeomorphisms with bounded s -distortion and in Section 5 we prove a capacity inequality. After these preparations, we prove the main theorems in Section 6. Finally, in Section 7, we give some complementary information on mappings with bounded s -distortion.

2. Definitions and statement of the results.

Throughout the paper M and N are oriented, connected n -dimensional Riemannian manifolds. We denote by $d\mu$ and $d\nu$ the volume elements of M and N respectively.

In order to state our results, we need some additional definitions:

DEFINITIONS. — (1) *The map f has essentially finite multiplicity if $N_f(M) < \infty$, where*

$$N_f(A) := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_y \operatorname{Card}(f^{-1}(y) \cap A)$$

for any measurable subset $A \subset M$.

(2) *A continuous map is open and discrete if the image of any open set $U \subset M$ is an open set $f(U) \subset N$ and the inverse image $f^{-1}(y)$ of any point $y \in N$ is a discrete subset of M . The branch set of such a mapping is the set $B_f \subset M$ of points $x \in M$ such that f is not a local homeomorphism in a neighborhood of x .*

The next two definitions are regularity assumptions. They are always satisfied if one assumes e.g. that f is locally Lipschitz, or that $f \in W_{\operatorname{loc}}^{1,s}(M, N)$ for $s > n$, or that f is locally quasi-regular.

(3) *A measurable map $f : M \rightarrow N$ satisfies Lusin's property if the image of any set $E \subset M$ of measure zero is a set $f(E) \subset N$ of measure zero.*

An important and well-known result (see Proposition 3.2) states that for any map $f : M \rightarrow N$ belonging to $W_{\operatorname{loc}}^{1,1}(M, N)$ there exists a sequence of compact sets $A_i \subset M$ such that the restriction of f to each A_i is Lipschitz and the complementary set $E_f := M \setminus \cup_i A_i$ has measure zero. We call E_f the exceptional set of f .

(4) *The map $f \in W_{\operatorname{loc}}^{1,1}(M, N)$ is almost absolutely continuous if it is continuous and for any bounded domain $\Omega \Subset M$ the following property holds: for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find $\delta = \delta(\Omega, \varepsilon) > 0$ such that for any finite or infinite sequence of pairwise disjoint balls $\{B(x_i, r_i)\}$ contained in Ω with center $x_i \in E_f$, we have*

$$\sum \operatorname{vol}(B_i) \leq \delta \implies \sum \operatorname{diam}(fB_i)^n < \varepsilon.$$

Remark. — The notion of almost absolute continuity appeared in [41], [42]; it is a generalization of absolute continuity in the sense of Malý

as defined in [19]. In particular any mapping in $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(M, N)$ with $p > n$ and any continuous mapping in $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with monotone coordinate functions is an example of almost absolutely continuous mapping, see [19].

In dimension 2, a mapping has bounded s -distortion if and only if it has bounded s -codistortion. In higher dimension, we have the following relation between distortion and codistortion:

LEMMA 2.1. — *Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a mapping with bounded s -distortion for some $s > n - 1$, then f has bounded q -codistortion for $q = s/(n - 1)$.*

Conversely, if $f : M \rightarrow N$ is a mapping with bounded q -codistortion for some $q < \frac{n-1}{n-2}$ such that $J_f > 0$ a.e., then f has bounded s -distortion for $s = \frac{q}{(n-1)-q(n-2)}$.

The exponents in this lemma are sharp.

Proof. — It is a trivial consequence of the inequalities

$$|\Lambda_{n-1}f_x| \leq |df_x|^{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad |df_x| J_f(x)^{n-2} \leq |\Lambda_{n-1}f_x|^{n-1}.$$

□

We now state the main results of the present paper:

THEOREM A. — *Let $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,s}(M, N)$ be a continuous open and discrete mapping with bounded s -distortion, where $s > (n - 1)$, satisfying *Lusin's property*. If M is p -parabolic with $p = \frac{s}{s-(n-1)}$, then N is also p -parabolic.*

Recall that a map $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,s}$ always satisfies *Lusin's property* if $s > n$. In Section 3 below we give other sufficient conditions. In Section 7.1 below, we will also give sufficient conditions for a continuous mapping with bounded s -distortion to be discrete and open.

The next result is an analog of Theorem A. It holds without any topological restrictions but assumes that f has finite essential multiplicity:

THEOREM B. — *Let $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,s}(M, N)$ be a mapping of essentially finite multiplicity with bounded s -distortion where $s > (n - 1)$. Assume either*

- 1) $|\Lambda_{n-1}f| \in L_{\text{loc}}^{n/(n-1)}(M)$, or
- 2) f is almost absolutely continuous and $J_f \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(M)$.

If M is p -parabolic with $p = \frac{s}{s-(n-1)}$, then either f is constant a.e. or N is also p -parabolic.

In Theorem B (under assumption 2) no continuity is assumed. The proofs of theorems A and B are based on quite different approaches; it would be interesting to have a unified method proving both results.

Remark 1. — These results are sharp. They say for instance that there is no mapping of finite essential multiplicity with bounded s -distortion from the Euclidean space to the hyperbolic space for $s > (n - 1)$. This is optimal since the Riemannian exponential $\exp : T_{x_0} \mathbb{H}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^n$ (where \mathbb{H}^n is the hyperbolic space) is a diffeomorphism with bounded $(n - 1)$ -distortion. Other comments on the optimality of these results are given in [7].

Theorem B will be obtained as a consequence of the following result on mappings with bounded codistortion:

THEOREM C. — Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a mapping of essentially finite multiplicity with bounded q -codistortion where $q > 1$. Suppose that $J_f > 0$ on some set of positive volume. Assume furthermore either

- 1) $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n-1}(M, N)$ and $|\Lambda_{n-1} f| \in L_{\text{loc}}^{n/(n-1)}(M)$, or
- 2) f is almost absolutely continuous, $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,s}(M, N)$ for some $s > (n - 1)$ and $J_f \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(M)$.

If M is p -parabolic with $p = q/(q - 1)$, then N is also p -parabolic.

Remark 2. — The condition that $J_f > 0$ on some set of positive volume cannot be replaced by the weaker condition that f is not constant a.e. For instance, look at the hyperbolic three-space in the upper-half space model $\mathbb{H}^3 = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid z > 0\}$ (with metric tensor $ds^2 = (dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2)/z^2$). Then the mapping $f : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3$ given by $f(x, y, z) = (x, 0, 1)$ is of finite essential multiplicity and has bounded q -codistortion for all $q \geq 1$. Yet \mathbb{H}^3 is p -hyperbolic for all p and \mathbb{R}^3 is p -parabolic for all $p \geq 3$.

The next result goes in the other direction:

THEOREM D. — Let $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(M, N)$ be a continuous non constant proper mapping with bounded s -distortion of finite essential multiplicity. If M is s -hyperbolic, then so is N .

Remark 3. — The hypothesis that f is proper is necessary. For

instance if N is a compact manifold and $M \subset N$ is an open domain whose complement $N \setminus M$ has non empty interior, then N is s -parabolic for all s and M is s -hyperbolic for all $s \in [1, \infty]$. Yet the inclusion $f : M \hookrightarrow N$ has bounded s -distortion for all s .

We now give some applications of our results. We begin by a Picard type theorem for mappings with bounded s -distortion.

COROLLARY 2.1. — *Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a continuous mapping with bounded s -distortion, $s > (n - 1)$ satisfying the hypothesis of Theorem A. Assume that the manifold M is p -parabolic where $p := \frac{s}{s - (n - 1)}$. Then f is surjective if $p > n$, and the omitted set $N \setminus f(M)$ has Hausdorff dimension $\leq (n - p)$ if $p \leq n$.*

Proof. — Observe that f actually maps M onto $N' = f(M)$ (which is an open subset of N). By Theorem A, the manifold N' is thus p -parabolic and therefore the Hausdorff dimension of $N \setminus N'$ is $\leq n - p$. □

For a quasiregular mapping on Euclidean space $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, a stronger result is due to S. Rickman. He proved that f omits at most finitely many points (see theorem 2.1 in [34], chapter IV).

COROLLARY 2.2. — *Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be an injective C^1 mapping with bounded q -codistortion. Assume that $q < \frac{n}{n - 1}$ and that M is p -parabolic with $p = \frac{q}{q - 1}$. Then f is a diffeomorphism.*

For the proof of this corollary, will need a lemma. Recall that the principal dilatation coefficients (or singular values) at $x \in M$ of a mapping $f \in W_{loc}^{1,1}(M, N)$ are the square roots $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$ of the eigenvalues of $df_x df_x^t$; they are defined almost everywhere. Observe the following useful inequalities:

$$|df_x| = \lambda_n, \quad |J_f(x)| = \lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_n, \quad |\Lambda_{n-1} f_x| = \lambda_2 \cdot \lambda_3 \cdots \lambda_n.$$

LEMMA 2.2. — *Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a mapping with bounded q -codistortion. If $q < \frac{n}{n - 1}$ then either $J_f = 0$ a.e. or there exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that all the principal dilatation coefficients are almost everywhere $\geq \delta$.*

Proof. — Let $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$ be the dilatation coefficients of f at x . We have by hypothesis $|\Lambda_{n-1} f_x|^q \leq K |J_f(x)|^q$ a.e., i.e. $(\lambda_2 \cdot \lambda_3 \cdots \lambda_n)^q \leq$

$K(\lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_n)$. This implies $\lambda_1^{(n-1)(q-1)} \leq (\lambda_2 \cdot \lambda_3 \cdots \lambda_n)^{q-1} \leq K \lambda_1$, from which one obtains $\lambda_1 \geq K^{1/(n+q-nq)}$, provided $q < \frac{n}{n-1}$. \square

Proof of Corollary 2.2. — By the previous lemma, all principal dilatation coefficients are bounded below, in particular f is a local diffeomorphism. Assume now that f is not surjective. Then there exists a point $y_0 \in N \setminus f(M)$. Let $N' := N \setminus \{y_0\}$, this is a p -hyperbolic manifold (since $p > n$). By Theorem C, the manifold M must therefore be p -hyperbolic; but this contradicts the hypothesis and we thus conclude that f is surjective. \square

If $M = N = \mathbb{R}^n$, we don't need to assume global injectivity in the previous corollary.

COROLLARY 2.3. — *Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a C^1 mapping with bounded q -codistortion where $q < \frac{n}{n-1}$ and such that $J_f \neq 0$. Then f is a global diffeomorphism.*

Proof. — By Lemma 2.2 all the eigenvalues of $df_x^t df_t$ are uniformly bounded below. We thus conclude from a recent theorem of M. Chamberland and G. Meister that f is injective (see [1], th. 1.1).

Now set $p := \frac{q}{q-1}$, then $p > n$ and hence \mathbb{R}^n is p -parabolic. We conclude the proof from the previous corollary. \square

We also have similar results for mappings with bounded s -distortion.

COROLLARY 2.4. — *Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be an injective C^1 mapping with bounded s -distortion.*

Assume that $(n-1) < s < n$ and that M is p -parabolic with $p = \frac{s}{s-(n-1)}$. Then f is a diffeomorphism.

The proof is similar to that of Corollary 2.2. \square

COROLLARY 2.5. — *Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a non constant C^1 mapping with bounded s -distortion where $(n-1) < s < n$. Then f is a global diffeomorphism.*

Proof. — This is clear from Lemma 2.1 and the previous corollaries. \square

This last result also holds for $s = n \geq 3$. Indeed, V.A. Zorich has

proved that a quasi-regular mapping $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, which is a local homeomorphism is in fact a global homeomorphism provided $n \geq 3$, see [46].

3. Calculus of Sobolev mappings.

Since a mapping $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is given by its components which are n functions: $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)$, it is natural to say that f belongs to the Sobolev space $W_{loc}^{1,s}(M, \mathbb{R}^m)$ if each component $f_i \in W_{loc}^{1,s}(M, \mathbb{R})$.

In the case of a continuous mapping $f : M \rightarrow N$ between Riemannian manifolds, we may define the condition $f \in W_{loc}^{1,s}(M, N)$ by the use of local coordinates charts; however, such a procedure is in general not possible for a discontinuous map and we have to proceed differently to define the class of Sobolev mappings between Riemannian manifolds.

We follow the approach of [33], [42].

DEFINITIONS. — 1) *The mapping $f : M \rightarrow N$ belongs to $L_{loc}^s(M, N)$, $1 \leq s \leq \infty$, if and only if the function $[f]_y : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by $[f]_y(x) = d(f(x), y)$, is in $L_{loc}^s(M, \mathbb{R})$ for all point $y \in N$.*

2) *The map f belongs to $W_{loc}^{1,s}(M, N)$ if and only if $[f]_y \in W_{loc}^{1,s}(M, \mathbb{R})$ and there exists a function $g \in L_{loc}^s(M, \mathbb{R})$ such that $|\nabla[f]_y|(x) \leq g(x)$ a.e. in M for any point $y \in N$.*

3) *The map f belongs to $ACL_{loc}^s(M, N)$ if it satisfies the following three conditions:*

- i) *the function $M \ni x \rightarrow [f]_z(x) = d(f(x), z)$ belongs to $L_{loc}^s(M)$ for every point $z \in N$;*
- ii) *the mapping $f : M \rightarrow N$ is absolutely continuous on lines in the following sense: for any coordinate chart $\varphi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ on M , the function*

$$(x, \tau) \rightarrow g_i(x, \tau) := \text{length}(f \circ \varphi^{-1}([x, x + \tau \mathbf{e}_i]))$$

is absolutely continuous in the parameter τ for all i and almost all $x \in \mathbf{e}_i^\perp$.

- iii) *the derivative $\partial_i g_i : x \rightarrow \lim_{\tau \rightarrow +0} \frac{g_i(x, \tau)}{\tau}$, which exists almost everywhere in U , belongs to $L_{loc}^s(U)$ for all i .*

PROPOSITION 3.1. — *The following assertions are equivalent:*

1) $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,s}(M, N)$;

2) $f \in ACL_{\text{loc}}^s(M, N)$;

3) $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^s(M, N)$ and there exists a function $g \in L_{\text{loc}}^s(M, \mathbb{R})$ such that for any Lipschitz function $\psi : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the function $\varphi := \psi \circ f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ belongs to $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,s}(M, \mathbb{R})$ and $|\nabla \varphi(x)| \leq \text{Lip}(\psi) g(x)$ a.e. in M .

4) for any isometric embedding $i : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ all coordinate functions of the composition $i \circ f$ belong to $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,s}(M, \mathbb{R})$.

Proof. — The proof follows the order (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (2). Observe that (3) \Rightarrow (1) is trivial since distance functions are 1-Lipschitz.

Then (1) \Rightarrow (2) and (2) \Rightarrow (3) are proven in [42, Proposition 3] (notice that (1) \Rightarrow (3) is also proven in [33, Theorem 5.1] by other arguments).

The proof of (4) \Rightarrow (2) is given in [34, Proposition 1.2] for the special case $N = \mathbb{R}^n$. Its extension to the case of a submanifold $N \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ is based on the formula

$$g_i(x, \tau) = \int_0^\tau \left| \frac{d}{dt} (f \circ \varphi^{-1}([x, x + t\mathbf{e}_i])) \right| dt$$

which holds for all absolutely continuous curves in the \mathbb{R}^k . The general case now follows from the fact that any Riemannian manifold admits an isometric embedding in some Euclidean space.

(3) \Rightarrow (4). We consider an isometric embedding $i : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ and some coordinate function z_j in \mathbb{R}^k . The restriction $z_j|_N$ is a Lipschitz function on N , thus the composition $z_j \circ f$ belongs to $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,s}(M, \mathbb{R})$. \square

The next proposition says that a Sobolev mapping is Lipschitz on a big set.

PROPOSITION 3.2. — *Let $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(M, N)$. Then there exists a measurable decomposition $M = E_f \cup \cup_{i=1}^\infty A_i$ such that $\mu(E_f) = 0$, A_i is compact for all i and $f|_{A_i}$ is Lipschitz.*

Proof. — Using the previous proposition (assertion 4) we can reduce the proof to the well-known Whitney's approximation theorem for Sobolev function (see e.g. [4, p. 254]). \square

As a consequence of this proposition, we have the following version of the change of variables formula for integrals (also known as the area formula), recall that χ_A denotes the characteristic function of a set $A \subset M$.

PROPOSITION 3.3. — *Let $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(M, N)$ be a Sobolev mapping between Riemannian manifolds of the same dimension. Then there exists a subset $E_f \subset M$ of measure zero such that for all measurable function $\psi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ we have*

$$\int_M \psi(x) |J_f(x)| d\mu(x) = \int_N \left(\sum_{f(x)=y} \psi(x) \chi_{M \setminus E_f}(x) \right) d\nu(y).$$

If f satisfies Lusin’s property, then one may take $E = \emptyset$.

See e.g. [11] for a proof. □

For the area formula to be useful, we need to work with mappings having a locally integrable Jacobian. Observe in particular that if $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(M, N)$ has bounded s -distortion and $J_f \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(M)$, then we have in fact $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,s}(M, N)$.

The next two lemmas give us sufficient conditions for the local integrability of the Jacobian.

LEMMA 3.1. — *Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a mapping such that $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(M, N)$ and $|\Lambda_{n-1}f| \in L_{\text{loc}}^{n/(n-1)}(M)$. Then $J_f \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(M)$.*

Proof. — This is a trivial consequence of the inequality $J_f \leq |\Lambda_{n-1}f|^{n/(n-1)}$. □

LEMMA 3.2. — *If $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(M, N)$ is continuous and has essentially finite multiplicity or is open and discrete, then $J_f \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(M)$.*

Proof. — This follows directly from the area formula. □

We now give sufficient conditions for Lusin’s property:

LEMMA 3.3. — *Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a mapping satisfying one of the following conditions:*

- 1) $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,s}(M, N)$ with $s \geq (n - 1)$, $J_f > 0$ a.e. and $|\Lambda_{n-1}f| \in L_{\text{loc}}^{n/(n-1)}(M)$;
- 2) $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(M, N)$ is almost absolutely continuous;

3) $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(M, N)$ is continuous open and discrete.

Then it also satisfies *Lusin's property*.

Under hypothesis (1) this is Theorem 5.3 in [26]; see also [43] for the case $s = n$. In case (2), this is Theorem 8 from [41]. In case (3), this is a result from [20]; see also [40] for a short proof. \square

We refer to [23] and [19] for further results on *Lusin's condition*.

PROPOSITION 3.4. — *If the map f is continuous, open and discrete and has bounded s -distortion for $s > (n - 1)$, then it is differentiable almost everywhere.*

See Lemma 4.4 in chapter VI of Rickman's book [34] or Proposition 1 in [41] for a more general result. \square

Finally we will also need the following result about the exterior differential of the pull-back of a $(n - 1)$ -form:

LEMMA 3.4. — *Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a mapping satisfying one of the following conditions:*

- 1) $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n-1}(M, N)$ and $|\Lambda_{n-1}f| \in L_{\text{loc}}^{n/(n-1)}(M)$;
- 2) f is almost absolutely continuous, $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,s}(M, N)$ for some $s > (n - 1)$ and $J_f \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(M)$.

Let β be a smooth $(n - 1)$ -form. Then $\alpha := f^\beta \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(M, \Lambda^{n-1})$ and $d\alpha = f^*(d\beta)$.*

This result is proved in [26, Th. 3.2] under the first hypothesis and in [41, Th. 8] in the case of the second hypothesis \square

4. On homeomorphisms with bounded s -distortion.

In this section, we discuss the special case of homeomorphisms with bounded s -distortion.

DEFINITION. — *The s -Dirichlet space of a Riemannian manifold M is the space $\mathcal{L}^{1,s}(M)$ of functions $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,s}(M, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\int_M |\nabla u|^s d\mu < \infty$. This space is equipped with the semi-norm*

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1,s}(M)} = \|\nabla u\|_{L^s(M)}.$$

If $f : M \rightarrow N$ is a homeomorphism and $v : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is any function, we denote by $f^*v = v \circ f$ its pull back on M . If $u : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we denote by $f\#u = u \circ f^{-1} : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ its pushforward.

S. Vodop'yanov has proved the following result [38], [39] (see its generalized version in [44, Theorems 1 and 9]):

THEOREM 4.1. — *Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a homeomorphism between n -dimensional Riemannian manifolds. Fix $s \in [1, \infty)$, then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- 1) $f^* : \mathcal{L}^{1,s}(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{1,s}(M)$ is a bounded operator;
- 2) $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,s}(M, N)$ and f has bounded s -distortion: $|df(x)|^s \leq K J_f(x)$ a.e. $x \in M$.

Moreover, if $s \in (1, \infty)$, then condition (1) or (2) are equivalent to

- 3) f^{-1} decreases the s -capacities of condensers up to a constant:

$$\text{Cap}_s(C, A) \leq \text{const. Cap}_s(f(C), f(A))$$

for any condensers (C, A) in M .

Finally, if $s > (n - 1)$ and *Lusin's property holds*, then any condition (1)–(3) is equivalent to

- 4) $f\# : \mathcal{L}^{1,p}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{1,p}(N)$ is a bounded operator where $p = \frac{s}{s-(n-1)}$, and $|df^{-1}(y)|^p \leq K^{p-1} J_{f^{-1}}(y)$ a.e. $y \in N$, consequently f^{-1} has bounded p -distortion.

Proof. — We only give a short proof of the second part of assertion (4). By Proposition 3.4, the map f is differentiable a.e. and by [44, Theorem 9], we know that $g := f^{-1} : N \rightarrow M$ is *ACL* (see also Lemma 5.6 below). Thus we have $dg_{f(x)} \circ df_x = \text{Id}$ a.e. in M . Notice also that $J_g(y) \neq 0$ a.e. in N since f has *Lusin's property* by hypothesis, we thus have almost everywhere

$$|dg_{f(x)}| \leq \frac{|df_x|^{n-1}}{J_f(x)},$$

and therefore

$$|dg_{f(x)}|^p \leq \frac{(|df_x|^s)^{\frac{p(n-1)}{s}}}{J_f^p(x)} = \left(\frac{|df_x|^s}{J_f(x)} \right)^{p-1} J_f^{-1}(x) \leq K^{p-1} J_g(f(x)).$$

□

A useful consequence of this theorem is the following

COROLLARY 4.1. — *If $f : M \rightarrow N$ and $g : N \rightarrow W$ are homeomorphisms with bounded s -distortion, then $g \circ f : M \rightarrow W$ also has bounded s -distortion.*

Special cases of the previous result were also obtained in [9.2 and 12.3], [24], [25, Section 6.4.3] and [30].

DEFINITION. — *The Royden algebra of M is the subspace $\mathcal{R}^s(M) \subset \mathcal{L}^{1,s}(M)$ of bounded continuous functions; it is a Banach algebra with norm*

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{R}^s} = \|u\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^s}.$$

We denote by $K_{\mathcal{R}}$ the norm of the operator $f^* : \mathcal{R}^s(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^s(M)$ and by $K_{\mathcal{L}}$ the norm of the operator $f^* : \mathcal{L}^{1,s}(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{1,s}(M)$.

PROPOSITION 4.1. — *Suppose $1 < s < \infty$, then for any homeomorphism $f : M \rightarrow N$ we have $K_{\mathcal{R}} = \max\{1, K_{\mathcal{L}}\}$.*

We will need the following

LEMMA 4.1. — *Let $v \in \mathcal{R}^s(N)$ be a non constant function, and fix $\varepsilon > 0$. If $1 < s < \infty$, then for any $t \in (\alpha, \beta)$, where $\alpha := \inf v$ and $\beta := \sup v$, there exists $r = r(t, \varepsilon) > 0$ such that $r < \min\{t - \alpha, \beta - t\}$ and*

$$(3) \quad \varepsilon^{-1}(t'' - t') \leq \|\max(\min(v, t''), t') - t'\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1,s}(N)}$$

for all $t', t'' \in (\alpha, \beta)$ such that $t - r < t' \leq t \leq t'' < t + r$.

Proof. — Suppose the lemma false, then the function

$$v_{t',t''} := \frac{\max(\min(v, t''), t') - t'}{t'' - t'}$$

satisfies $\|v_{t',t''}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1,s}(N)} \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and all $t', t'' \in (\alpha, \beta)$ such that $t - r < t' \leq t \leq t'' < t + r$. Consider a bounded domain $A \subset N$ such that $A_0 := \{x \in A : v(x) < t\}$ and $A_1 := \{x \in A : v(x) > t\}$ are non empty open subsets.

The family $\{v_{t',t''}\}$ is bounded in $W^{1,s}(A)$ and hence weakly compact. It follows that there is a sequence $v_n := v_{t'_n, t''_n}$ such that $t'_n \leq t \leq t''_n$ and $(t''_n - t'_n) \rightarrow 0$, which converges weakly to some function $w \in W^{1,s}(A)$. We can furthermore assume that the sequence $\lambda_n := \frac{t - t'_n}{t''_n - t'_n} \in [0, 1]$ converges to some number λ . Using Mazur's Lemma, we can produce convex combinations of the v_n converging strongly to w . Hence $w = 0$ a.e. on A_0 ,

$w = 1$ a.e. on A_1 and $w = \lambda$ a.e. on the level set $A_t := \{x \in A : v(x) = t\}$. But then $\nabla w = 0$ a.e. in A , hence w is constant a.e. in A which is impossible since A_0 and A_1 have positive measure. \square

Proof of Proposition 4.1. — Observe that $K_{\mathcal{R}} \geq 1$ since constant functions belong to the Royden algebras. So we only need to prove the inequalities $K_{\mathcal{L}} \leq K_{\mathcal{R}} \leq \max\{1, K_{\mathcal{L}}\}$. Since f is a homeomorphism, f^* defines an isometry $f^* : L^\infty(N) \rightarrow L^\infty(M)$ and the inequality $K_{\mathcal{R}} \leq \max\{1, K_{\mathcal{L}}\}$ follows immediately.

To prove the inequality $K_{\mathcal{L}} \leq K_{\mathcal{R}}$ it suffices, by density of $\mathcal{R}^s(N)$ in $\mathcal{L}^{1,s}(N)$, to show that

$$(4) \quad \|f^*v\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1,s}(M)} \leq (1 + \varepsilon)K_{\mathcal{R}} \|v\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1,s}(N)}$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any function $v \in \mathcal{R}^s(N)$.

Set $\alpha := \inf v$ and $\beta := \sup v$. By compactness of the interval $[\alpha, \beta]$, we can find a subdivision $\tau = \{\alpha = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_l < t_{l+1} = \beta\}$, such that $(t_{i+1} - t_i) < r_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, l - 1$, where $r_i = r(t, \varepsilon)$ satisfies the property of the previous lemma for some $t \in (t_i, t_{i+1})$.

Set $v_\tau := \alpha + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} v_i$, where $v_i := \max(\min(v, t_{i+1}), t_i) - t_i$. By the lemma we have $\|v_i\|_{L^\infty} \leq \varepsilon \|v_i\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1,s}(N)}$ for $i = 1, \dots, l - 1$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|f^*v_\tau\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1,s}(M)}^s &\leq \|f^*v_\tau\|_{\mathcal{R}^s(M)}^s \leq \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \|f^*v_i\|_{\mathcal{R}^s(M)}^s \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} K_{\mathcal{R}}^s \left(\|v_i\|_{L^\infty(N)} + \|v_i\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1,s}(N)} \right)^s \\ &\leq K_{\mathcal{R}}^s (1 + \varepsilon)^s \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \|v_i\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1,s}(N)}^s \\ &\leq K_{\mathcal{R}}^s (1 + \varepsilon)^s \|v_\tau\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1,s}(N)}^s \end{aligned}$$

because $\|v_\tau\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1,s}(N)}^s = \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \|v_i\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1,s}(N)}^s$. The inequality (4) now follows since $\|v - v_\tau\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1,s}(N)} \rightarrow 0$ and $\|f^*v - f^*v_\tau\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1,s}(M)} \rightarrow 0$ as $\max\{t_1 - t_0, t_{l+1} - t_l\} \rightarrow 0$. \square

Remark. — Pierre Pansu has defined in [28, p. 475] the notion of *homeomorphism of bounded s -dilatation* as homeomorphism such that $K_{\mathcal{R}} \leq \infty$. It follows from the results of this section that the definition of homeomorphism of bounded s -dilatation used by Pansu, coincides with our notion of homeomorphism with bounded s -distortion if $1 < s < \infty$.

It also follows from Theorem 4.1 that if f is a homeomorphism satisfying Lusin's property with bounded s -dilatation in Pansu's sense, then f^{-1} is a homeomorphism with bounded p -dilatation where $1/s + (n-1)/p = 1$. This gives a positive answer to question 10.3 in [28] in the case where Lusin's property holds.

5. Pushing functions forward.

The proof of Theorem A is based on a capacity estimate for the pushforward operator (Corollary 5.1) which is important in itself. It is the goal of this section to prove this capacity estimate.

Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a continuous mapping and $u : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a bounded function. We define the *pushforward* of u to be the function $v = f_{\#}u : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$v(y) := \begin{cases} \sup\{u(x) : f(x) = y\} & \text{if } y \in f(M), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 5.1. — *If f is continuous discrete and open, and $u : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous with compact support, then the function $v = f_{\#}u : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is also continuous and $\text{supp } v \subset f(\text{supp } u)$.*

This is Lemma 7.6 in [22]. □

If the mapping f has bounded s -distortion and $u \in C_0^1(M, \mathbb{R})$ then $v = f_{\#}u$ belongs to $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(N, \mathbb{R})$ where $p = \frac{s}{s-(n-1)}$ provided $s > (n-1)$. More precisely:

THEOREM 5.1. — *Let $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(M, N)$ be a continuous open and discrete mapping with bounded s -distortion, $(n-1) < s < \infty$. Assume also that f satisfies Lusin's property if $n-1 < s < n$. Then the operator $f_{\#}$ possesses the following properties:*

- 1) $f_{\#} : C_0^1(M) \rightarrow W^{1,p}(N) \cap C_0^0(N)$,
- 2) $\int_N |df_{\#}(u)|^p d\nu \leq K^{p-1} \int_M |du|^p d\mu$, for any $u \in C_0^1(M)$. where $p = \frac{s}{s-n+1}$ and K is the constant in (1).

Remarks. — 1) If f is a continuous open mapping and $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(M, N)$, then it always satisfies Lusin's property [20] (see also [40] for a short proof).

2) This theorem is known for $s = n$ (see [22]). It is also known for general values of s when f is a homeomorphism [44]. Our proof will be based on techniques borrowed from these two papers.

If f is continuous and open, then the image $(f(C), f(A))$ of a condenser (C, A) in M is again a condenser in N .

COROLLARY 5.1. — *For any condenser (C, A) in M we have*

$$\text{Cap}_p(f(C), f(A)) \leq K^{p-1} \text{Cap}_p(C, A).$$

□

Proof. — Choose a non negative function $u \in C_0^1(M)$ such that $u = 1$ on C , $\text{supp}(u) \subset A$ and $\int_A |du|^p \leq \text{Cap}_p(C, A) + \varepsilon$ where $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary.

Let us set $v = f_{\#}u : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then, by Theorem 5.1 we have $v \in W^{1,p}(A) \cap C_0^0(A)$. Since $v \geq 1$ on C , we have

$$\text{Cap}_p(fC, fA) \leq \int_{fA} |dv|^p \leq K^{p-1} \int_A |du|^p \leq K^{p-1}(\text{Cap}_p(C, A) + \varepsilon).$$

□

We begin the proof of Theorem 5.1 by some lemmas on capacities of condensers:

LEMMA 5.2. — *The inequality*

$$\text{Cap}_s(C, A) \leq \frac{|A|}{\text{dist}(C, \partial A)^s}$$

holds for the capacity of any bounded condenser $(C, A) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. — Take $u(x) := \min \left\{ \frac{\text{dist}(\partial A, x)}{\text{dist}(\partial A, C)}, 1 \right\}$ as a test function. □

LEMMA 5.3. — *Let $(C, A) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a condenser such that C is connected. If $(n - 1) < s < \infty$, then*

$$\text{Cap}_s^{n-1}(C, A) \geq b(n, s) (\text{diam } C)^s |A|^{(n-1-s)}$$

where the constant $b(n, s)$ depends on n and s only.

Proof. — See Lemma 5 of [44]. □

Recall that a domain $\Omega \subset M$ is said to be a *normal domain* for f if $\overline{\Omega}$ is compact and $\partial(f(\Omega)) = f(\partial\Omega)$. For any normal domain $\Omega \subset M$ we have $N_f(\Omega) < \infty$. A condenser (C, A) is a *normal condenser* if A is a normal domain of f .

LEMMA 5.4. — *If $\Omega \subset M$ is a normal domain then $\text{Cap}_s(C, A) \leq KN_f(\Omega) \text{Cap}_s f(C, A)$ for any condenser (C, A) in Ω .*

This is a direct consequence of Lemma 6.2 below. See also [44, Th. 4]. \square

The next lemma sums up the basic topological properties of a discrete and open mapping $f : M \rightarrow N$. If $x \in M$ and $r > 0$, then we denote by $U(x, f, r)$ the connected component of $f^{-1}(B(f(x), r))$ containing x .

LEMMA 5.5. — *Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a continuous discrete and open mapping. Then $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \text{diam } U(x, f, r) = 0$ for every $x \in M$. If $\overline{U}(x, f, r)$ is compact then $U(x, f, r)$ is a normal domain and $f(U(x, f, r)) = B(f(x), r)$. Furthermore, for every point $x \in N$ there is a positive number σ_x such that the following conditions are satisfied for $0 < r \leq \sigma_x$:*

- i) $U(x, f, r)$ is a normal neighborhood of x ,
- ii) $U(x, f, r) = U(x, f, \sigma_x) \cap f^{-1}(B(f(x), r))$,
- iii) $\partial U(x, f, r) = U(x, f, \sigma_x) \cap f^{-1}(S(f(x), r))$ if $r < \sigma_x$,
- iv) $M \setminus U(x, f, r)$ is connected if M is connected,
- v) $M \setminus \overline{U}(x, f, r)$ is connected if M is connected,
- vi) if $0 < r < s \leq \sigma_x$, then $\overline{U}(x, f, r) \subset U(x, f, s)$, and $U(x, f, s) \setminus \overline{U}(x, f, r)$ is a ring.

See [22], [34] or [12] for a proof. \square

LEMMA 5.6. — *Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be as in Theorem 5.1 and $u \in C_0^1(M)$. Then the function $v = f_{\#}u$ is ACL.*

Recall that a function $v : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *absolutely continuous on lines* (ACL) if for any local parametrization $\varphi : Q \rightarrow N$ (where $Q = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : a_i \leq y_i \leq b_i\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is some n -interval) and for almost all $z \in P_k(Q)$ (= the projection of Q on the hyperplane $y_k = 0$), the one-variable function $t \rightarrow v(\varphi(z + te_k))$ is absolutely continuous.

Proof. — Let us fix some notations. Fix a local parametrization $\varphi : Q \rightarrow N$ (where $Q = \{t \in \mathbb{R}^n : a_i \leq t_i \leq b_i\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is some closed n -interval). Choose Q small enough so that for any ball $B(y, r) \subset \varphi(Q)$ the domains $U_i := U(x_i, f, r)$ are disjoint normal neighborhoods of x_i for $1 \leq i \leq q$ where $\{x_1, \dots, x_q\} = f^{-1}(y) \cap \text{supp } u$.

The function $v \circ \varphi^{-1}$ will be simply denoted by $v : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We need to show that for any $l = 1, \dots, n$ and for almost all $z \in P_l(Q)$, the function v is absolutely continuous on the line segment $\beta_z : [a_l, b_l] \rightarrow Q$ defined by $\beta_z(t) = z + t\mathbf{e}_l$.

To this aim, we define a set function φ on $P_l(Q)$ by

$$\Phi(A) := |U \cap f^{-1}(\varphi(A \times [a_l, b_l]))|$$

where $U = \cup_{i=1}^q U_i$ and $A \subset P_l(Q)$ is any Borel set. Then Φ is a completely additive set function in $P_l(Q)$ and from Lebesgue's differentiation theorem, we know that $\Phi'(z) < \infty$ for almost all $z \in P_l(Q)$.

It is known (see [22, Lemma 2.7]) that for every point $x_0 \in U \cap f^{-1}(z + a_l\mathbf{e}_l)$ there exists a path $\alpha : [a_l, b_l] \rightarrow U$ such that $\alpha(a_l) = x_0$ and $f \circ \alpha = \varphi \circ \beta_z$. We call such a path a *lift* of $\beta_z(t) = z + t\mathbf{e}_l$ with base point x_0 ; clearly the number of lifts does not exceed $N_f(U)$.

CLAIM. — *Let $\alpha : [a_l, b_l] \rightarrow U$ be any lift of β_z . If $\Phi'(z) < \infty$, then α is absolutely continuous.*

Since the *ACL*-property is local it suffices to show that α is *ACL* in a neighborhood of every point. We may thus restrict our considerations to the case of mappings $f : U \rightarrow Q$ where U is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n .

To prove the claim, we fix some arbitrary pairwise disjoint closed segments $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_k \subset (a_l, b_l)$ of lengths b_1, \dots, b_k . Choose $r > 0$ small enough so that the sets

$$R_i := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \text{dist}(y, \Delta_i) < r\}$$

are pairwise disjoint. Let $T_i := \cup_{z \in \Delta_i} U(\alpha(z), f, r)$, then $(\alpha(\Delta_i), T_i)$ and (Δ_i, R_i) are condensers and $(\Delta_i, R_i) = (f(\alpha(\Delta_i)), f(T_i))$; indeed, we have $f(\alpha(\Delta_i)) = \Delta_i$ and

$$f(T_i) = f\left(\bigcup_{z \in \Delta_i} U(\alpha(z), f, r)\right) = \bigcup_{z \in \Delta_i} B(z, f, r) = R_i.$$

From Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3, we have

$$\text{Cap}_s(\Delta_i, R_i) \leq \frac{|R_i|}{r^s} \leq c_1 b_i r^{n-1-s}$$

and

$$\text{Cap}_s(\alpha(\Delta_i), T_i) \geq c_2 \frac{(\text{diam } \alpha(\Delta_i))^{s/(n-1)}}{|T_i|^{(1-n+s)/(n-1)}}.$$

These inequalities, together with Lemma 5.4, imply

$$\text{diam } \alpha(\Delta_i) \leq c_3 b_i^{\frac{n-1}{s}} \left(\frac{|U \cap T_i|}{r^{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{1-n+s}{s}}$$

where the constant c_3 depends on previous constants, K and $N_f(\text{supp } u)$.

Set $E(z, r) = \{y \in Q : \text{dist}(y, \beta_z([a_i, b_i])) < r\}$, then $\bigcup_{i=1}^k T_i \subset f^{-1}(E(z, r))$. Summing the previous inequality over $i = 1, \dots, k$ and applying Hölder's inequality we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \text{diam } \alpha(\Delta_i) \leq c_4 \left(\frac{|U \cap f^{-1}(E(z, r))|}{r^{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{1-n+s}{s}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k b_i \right)^{\frac{n-1}{s}}.$$

Letting $r \rightarrow 0$, we find that

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \text{diam } \alpha(\Delta_i) \leq c_5 \varphi'(z) \left(\sum_{i=1}^k b_i \right)^{\frac{n-1}{s}},$$

hence α is absolutely continuous if $\varphi'(z) < \infty$.

We now conclude the proof of the lemma as follows: Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d$ be all the lifts of the segment β_z . If $\Phi'(z) < \infty$, then $u \circ \alpha_i$ is absolutely continuous since u is C^1 and α_i is absolutely continuous. We conclude that $v \circ \beta_z$ is absolutely continuous since

$$v \circ \beta_z = \max_i u \circ \alpha_i.$$

□

LEMMA 5.7. — *Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be as in Theorem 5.1, then $J_f = 0$ almost everywhere on the branch set and the image of the branch set has measure zero.*

Proof. — Because f has bounded s -distortion and $s > (n - 1)$, $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,s}$, it then follows from 3.4 that f is differentiable almost everywhere.

Suppose that f is differentiable at x and $J_f(x) > 0$, then the index $j(x, f) = 1$ (because the map is continuous open and discrete and the topological degree is stable under homotopy, see e.g. pp. 15-21 in [34]).

If $j(x, f) = 1$, then $x \notin B_f$ (see [34, Proposition 4.10]); it follows that $J_f = 0$ a.e. on B_f .

Because f is assumed to satisfy Lusin's property, we can use the area formula (Proposition 3.3) to conclude that $f(B_f)$ has measure zero:

$$\nu(f(B_f)) \leq \int_N \left(\sum_{f(x)=y} \chi_{B_f(x)} \right) d\nu(y) = \int_M \chi_{B_f(x)} J_f(x) d\mu(x) = 0.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 5.1. — To conclude the proof of the theorem it only remains to check the integrability of $d\nu$. To do this we first observe that Vitali's covering Theorem implies

$$\text{supp } \nu \setminus f(B_f \cap \text{supp } u) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B(y_i, r_i) \cup A,$$

where B_f is the branch set of f , $A \subset N$ is a set with $\nu(A) = 0$ and $B(y_i, r_i)$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, are mutually disjoint balls small enough so that the components of $f^{-1}(B(y_i, r_i))$ which meet the support of u form a finite disjoint collection $D_{i_1}, D_{i_2}, \dots, D_{i_k}$ of open subsets of M such the restrictions of f define homeomorphisms $f_j : D_{i_j} \rightarrow B(y_i, r_i)$, $j = 1, \dots, k$.

By Theorem 4.1, the inverse of f_i , i.e. the map $g_j := f_j^{-1} : B(y_i, r_i) \rightarrow D_{i_j}$ is ACL, furthermore, we have $|dg_j|^p \leq K^{p-1} J_{g_j}$ a.e. Hence we obtain

$$|d\nu(z)|^p \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} |du(g_j(z))|^p |dg_j(z)|^p \leq K^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^k |du(g_j(z))|^p J_{g_j}(z)$$

for almost every $z \in B(y_i, r_i)$. This implies

$$\int_{B(y_i, r_i)} |d\nu(z)|^p d\nu \leq K^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{B(y_i, r_i)} |du(g_j(z))|^p J(z, g_j) d\nu \leq K^{p-1} \int_{f^{-1}(B(y_i, r_i))} |du|^p d\mu.$$

From Lemma 5.7, we know that $\nu(f(B_f)) = 0$ and $J_f = 0$ a.e. on B_f ; we thus have from the area formula

$$\begin{aligned} \int_N |d\nu(z)|^p d\nu &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{B(y_i, r_i)} |d\nu(z)|^p d\nu \leq K^{p-1} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{f^{-1}(B(y_i, r_i))} |du|^p d\mu \\ &\leq K^{p-1} \int_M |du|^p d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

□

6. Proofs of the main theorems.

6.1. Proof of Theorem A.

Let us recall the statement :

THEOREM A. — *Let $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(M, N)$ be a continuous open and discrete mapping with bounded s -distortion where $s > (n - 1)$. Assume also that f satisfies Lusin's property. If M is p -parabolic with $p = \frac{s}{s-(n-1)}$, then N is also p -parabolic.*

Proof. — Let $D \subset M$ be a compact subset with non empty interior. Because f is a continuous and open map, $f(D) \subset N$ is also a compact set with non empty interior. By Corollary 5.1 we have

$$\text{Cap}_p(f(D), N) \leq \text{Cap}_p(f(D), fM) \leq K^{p-1} \text{Cap}_p(D, M),$$

hence if M is p -parabolic then so is N . □

6.2. Proofs of Theorems C and B.

The proofs will use the following criterion for hyperbolicity which is due to V. Gol'dshtein and M. Troyanov (see [9]).

THEOREM 6.1. — *Let M be an oriented connected Riemannian manifold M . Then the following are equivalent ($\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$):*

- 1) M is p -hyperbolic;
- 2) there exists a smooth form $\alpha \in L^q(M, \Lambda^{n-1})$ such that $d\alpha \geq 0$ and $\int_M d\alpha \neq 0$;
- 3) there exists a form $\alpha \in L^q(M, \Lambda^{n-1})$ such that $d\alpha \geq 0$ and $\int_M d\alpha \neq 0$;
- 4) $H_{\text{comp},q}^n(M) = 0$.

□

The cohomology space $H_{\text{comp},q}^n(M)$ is the space of all closed differential forms of degree n with compact support modulo the differential of $(n - 1)$ -forms in L^q .

We will also need the following lemma :

LEMMA 6.1. — *Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a mapping of class $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}$ with essentially finite multiplicity and bounded q -codistortion: $|\Lambda_{n-1}f|^q \leq K J_f$. Then*

$$\Lambda_{n-1}f : L^q(N, \Lambda^{n-1}) \rightarrow L^q(M, \Lambda^{n-1})$$

is a bounded operator with norm $\leq (K \cdot N_f(M))^{1/q}$.

(Recall that $N_f(A) = \text{ess sup}_y \text{Card}(A \cap f^{-1}(y))$ for any set $A \subset M$.)

Proof. — Let $\beta \in L^q(N, \Lambda^{n-1})$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M |\Lambda_{n-1}f(\beta)|^q d\mu &\leq K \int_M |\beta_{f(x)}|^q J_f(x) d\mu \\ &= K \int_N \left(\sum_{f(x)=y} |\beta_{f(x)}|^q \chi_{M \setminus E_f}(x) \right) d\nu \\ &\leq (K \cdot N_f(M)) \int_N |\beta|^q d\nu. \end{aligned}$$

□

We now prove Theorem C; we restate it in the following form:

THEOREM C. — *Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a mapping of essentially finite multiplicity with bounded q -codistortion where $q > 1$ and such that $J_f > 0$ on some set of positive measure. Assume furthermore either*

- 1) $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n-1}(M, N)$ and $|\Lambda_{n-1}f| \in L_{\text{loc}}^{n/(n-1)}(M)$, or
- 2) f is almost absolutely continuous, $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,s}(M, N)$ for some $s > (n - 1)$ and $J_f \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(M)$.

If N is p -hyperbolic with $p = \frac{q}{q-1}$, then M is also p -hyperbolic

Proof. — Let us choose a bounded Borel set $U \subset M$ such that U has positive measure, $f(U)$ is bounded and $J_f > 0$ on U . Observe that, by the area formula, $\nu(f(U)) > 0$.

Choose a non negative smooth function $h : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with compact support and such that $h > 0$ in a neighborhood of $f(U)$. Since N is p -hyperbolic, $H_{\text{comp},q}^n(N) = 0$, hence there exists an $(n - 1)$ -form $\beta \in L^q(N, \Lambda^{n-1})$ such that $d\beta = h \cdot \omega_N$ (ω_M and ω_N are the volume forms of M and N respectively).

By Lemma 6.1, we have $\alpha := f^*\beta \in L^q(M, \Lambda^{n-1})$. We then have from Lemma 3.4

$$d\alpha = f^*(d\beta) = (h \circ f) \cdot f^*\omega_N = (h \circ f) \cdot J_f \omega_M.$$

Thus $d\alpha \geq 0$ and $\int_M d\alpha \geq \int_U (h \circ f) \cdot J_f d\mu > 0$ and we conclude by Theorem 6.1 that M is p -hyperbolic. □

Finally, we deduce Theorem B from Theorem C.

THEOREM B. — *Let $f \in W_{loc}^{1,s}(M, N)$ be a mapping of essentially finite multiplicity with bounded s -distortion where $s > (n - 1)$. Assume either*

- 1) $|\Lambda_{n-1}f| \in L_{loc}^{n/(n-1)}(M)$, or
- 2) f is almost absolutely continuous and $J_f \in L_{loc}^1(M)$.

If M is p -parabolic and N is p -hyperbolic with $p = s/(s - (n - 1))$, then f is constant a.e.

Proof. — Let $q = p/(p - 1)$. Then $s = q(n - 1)$ and from Lemma 2.1 we know that if f has bounded s -distortion, then it has bounded q -codistortion. Hence by Theorem C, we have $J_f = 0$ a.e. and thus $|df| = 0$ a.e. since $|df|^s \leq KJ_f$. As f is a Sobolev mapping, we conclude that f is constant a.e. □

6.3. Proof of Theorem D.

LEMMA 6.2. — *Let $f \in W_{loc}^{1,1}(M, N)$ be a mapping with bounded s -distortion and essential finite multiplicity. Then $f^* : \mathcal{L}^{1,s}(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{1,s}(M)$ is a bounded operator with operator norm at most $(K N_f(M))^{1/s}$.*

Proof. — Let us first consider a function $v \in C^1(N) \cap \mathcal{L}^{1,s}(M)$. Then $u := f^*v \in W_{loc}^{1,1}(M)$ and $du_x = df_x^t(dv_{f(x)})$. Hence we have almost everywhere $|du|^s \leq |dv|^s |df|^s \leq K|dv|^s J_f$. From the area formula we thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M |du_x|^s d\mu(x) &\leq K \int_M |dv_{f(x)}|^s J_f(x) d\mu(x) \\ &= K \int_N \left(\sum_{f(x)=y} |dv_{f(x)}|^s \chi_{M \setminus E_f}(x) \right) d\nu(y) \\ &\leq K N_f(M) \int_N |dv|^s d\nu(y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $u \in \mathcal{L}^{1,s}(M)$ and $\|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1,s}(M)} \leq (K N_f(M))^{1/p} \|v\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1,s}(N)}$.

Using the argument on page 673 of [44], we can extend this estimate from functions $v \in C^1(N) \cap \mathcal{L}^{1,s}(M)$ to all functions $v \in \mathcal{L}^{1,s}(N)$. This proves that the norm of the operator $f^* : \mathcal{L}^{1,s}(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{1,s}(M)$ is bounded by $(K N_f(M))^{1/s}$. □

Recall the statement of Theorem D:

THEOREM D. — *Let $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(M, N)$ be a continuous non constant proper mapping with bounded s -distortion of finite essential multiplicity. If N is s -parabolic then so is M .*

Proof. — Let $D \Subset M$ be a compact set; then $D' = f(D) \subset N$ is also compact and, by hypothesis, it has zero p -capacity. For each $\varepsilon > 0$, one can thus find a continuous function $v \in \mathcal{L}^{1,s}(N)$ with compact support and such that $v \equiv 1$ on D' and $\int_N |dv|^s \leq \varepsilon$.

Since f is a proper map, the function $u := f^*(v)$ also has compact support and, clearly, $u \equiv 1$ on D . Let A be the norm of the operator $f^* : \mathcal{L}^{1,s}(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{1,s}(M)$; we know by Lemma 6.2 that A is finite. We then have $\int_M |du|^s \leq A^s \int_N |dv|^s \leq A^s \varepsilon$. Hence D has zero p -capacity and we conclude that M is p -parabolic. □

7. Complements.

7.1. A topological result.

A famous theorem of Yu. Reshetnyak states that a non constant quasi-regular mapping is open and discrete. We formulate below a generalization of this theorem established recently by S. Vodop'yanov's in [41], which provides topological properties for mappings with integrable distortion.

THEOREM 7.1. — *Let $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(M, N)$ be a continuous non constant mapping with nonnegative Jacobian $J_f(x) \geq 0$ and $K(x) = \frac{|df_x|^n}{J_f(x)} \in L_{\text{loc}}^p(M)$ for some $n - 1 < p \leq \infty$. Assume either*

- 1) $|\Lambda_{n-1}f| \in L_{\text{loc}}^{n/(n-1)}(M)$, or
- 2) f is almost absolutely continuous and $J_f \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(M)$.

Then f is discrete and open.

Remarks. — 1) If the manifolds are two-dimensional, then the condition $n - 1 < p \leq n$, can be relaxed to $1 \leq p \leq 2$.

(2) This result was also proven in [13] and [21] under the assumption $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(M, N)$. It has been also recently proved in [18] under different analytical assumptions.

As a consequence of Theorem 7.1 we obtain topological properties for mappings with bounded s -distortion. The next assertion gives a positive answer to the question 10.8 of [28].

COROLLARY 7.1. — *Let $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(M, N)$ be a continuous non constant mapping with bounded s -distortion where $n - 1 < s \leq n$. Assume either*

- 1) $|\Lambda_{n-1}f| \in L_{\text{loc}}^{n/(n-1)}(M)$, or
- 2) f is almost absolutely continuous and $J_f \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(M)$.

Then f is discrete and open.

Remark. — This result does not hold if $s > n$. Consider for instance the map $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ given by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |x| \leq 1, \\ \|x| - 1|^{\alpha} \frac{x}{|x|} & \text{if } 1 \leq |x| \leq 2, \\ \|x| - 1| \frac{x}{|x|} & \text{if } |x| \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

for some $\alpha > 1$. Then f is Lipschitz and has bounded s -distortion for $s = \frac{n\alpha-1}{\alpha-1} > n$. Clearly f is neither open nor discrete; however f has finite essential multiplicity.

Proof. — We suppose that $|df|^s \leq CJ_f$ a.e. for some $n - 1 < s < n$. Let us define the function

$$K_f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{|df_x|^n}{J_f(x)} & \text{if } J_f(x) \neq 0, \\ 1 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Set $p = \frac{s}{n-s}$, we have at almost all points where $J_f(x) \neq 0$,

$$|K_f|^p = \frac{|df|^{np}}{J_f^p} \leq C^{np/s} \frac{J_f^{np/s}}{J_f^p} = C^{np/s} J_f^{p(n/s-1)} \leq C^{np/s} J_f.$$

Thus $K_f \in L_{\text{loc}}^p$. Since $n - s < 1$, we have $p > s > n - 1$ and we can conclude the proof from Theorem 7.1. □

COROLLARY 7.2. — *Let $f \in W_{loc}^{1,1}(M, N)$ be a mapping with bounded q -codistortion where*

$$(5) \quad \frac{(n-1)^2}{1+(n-1)(n-2)} < q \leq \frac{n(n-1)}{1+n(n-2)}.$$

Assume that $J_f > 0$ a.e. and either

- 1) $|\Lambda_{n-1}f| \in L_{loc}^{n/(n-1)}(M)$, or
- 2) f is almost absolutely continuous and $J_f \in L_{loc}^1(M)$.

Then f is discrete and open.

Proof. — By Lemma 2.1, f has bounded s -distortion for $s = \frac{q}{(n-1)-q(n-2)}$; observe that the inequalities (5) are equivalent to $n-1 < s \leq n$. Thus the corollary follows from Corollary 7.1. □

7.2. On p -parabolic manifolds.

A connected oriented Riemannian n -manifold M is called p -parabolic, $1 \leq p < \infty$, if $\text{Cap}_p(C, M) = 0$ for all compact subsets $C \Subset M$ and p -hyperbolic otherwise. In this section, we list some facts concerning p -parabolicity. We refer to [37], [10], and [45] for further information on this notion.

- a) If M contains one compact subset with nonempty interior having zero p -capacity then M is p -parabolic.
- b) The Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n is p -hyperbolic for $p < n$ and p -parabolic for any $p \geq n$.
- c) If M is p -hyperbolic, then any domain $\Omega \subset M$ is also p -hyperbolic.
- d) If a closed subset $S \subset M$ with Hausdorff dimension $> (n-p)$ is removed from any manifold M and if $M \setminus S$ is connected, then $M \setminus S$ is p -hyperbolic.
- e) In particular, if one removes a point x_0 , then $M \setminus \{x_0\}$ is p -hyperbolic for all $p > n$ and if one removes a non separating closed subset with nonempty interior $D \subset M$, then $M \setminus D$ is p -hyperbolic for all $p \geq 1$.
- f) If the manifold is complete and $\text{Vol}(B(x_0, r)) \leq \text{const} \cdot r^d$ then M is p -parabolic for any $p \geq d$ (finer estimates relating the volume growth to parabolicity are in fact available).

g) If the isoperimetric inequality

$$\text{Area}(\partial\Omega)^{d/(d-1)} \geq \text{const. Vol}(\Omega)$$

holds for any big smooth domain $\Omega \subset M$, then M is p -hyperbolic for $p < d$.

h) Suppose that a Sobolev inequality

$$\|u\|_{L^q} \leq \text{const.} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p}$$

holds for some $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ and all functions $u \in C_0^1(M)$. Then M is p -hyperbolic.

Recall that the p -Laplacian is the operator $\Delta_p u := \text{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$. A function is called p -superharmonic if $\Delta_p u \leq 0$.

- i) M is p -parabolic if and only if every positive p -superharmonic function on M is constant.
- j) M is p -hyperbolic if and only if there exists a positive Green function for the p -Laplacian.
- k) M is 2-hyperbolic if and only if the Brownian motion is transient.
- l) If M has finite volume, then there exists a number $d \in [1, \infty]$ such that M is p -parabolic for $1 \leq p < d$ and p -hyperbolic for $p > d$.
- m) For a non compact manifold with bounded geometry, we have the opposite behaviour: there exists a index d , called the *parabolic dimension* of M , such that M is p -hyperbolic for $1 \leq p < d$ and p -parabolic for $p > d$.
- n) The parabolic dimension is a quasi-isometric invariant of manifolds with bounded geometry.
- o) n -parabolicity is a quasi-conformal invariant for any manifolds.

Proof. — The proofs of (a)-(h) and (l)-(n) can be found in [37]. The proofs of (i) and (j) are in [14] (see also [17]). We refer to [10] for (k) and [45] for (o).

7.3. An improvement of a result by Pierre Pansu.

The following result gives an improvement of our Theorem B for Sobolev homeomorphisms with Lusin's property between manifolds with

bounded geometry. It was proved by P. Pansu for diffeomorphisms, see [28, corollaire 2.1].

THEOREM. — *Let M and N be Riemannian manifolds with bounded geometry, and assume that N satisfies an isoperimetric inequality of order $d > n$:*

$$\text{Area}(\partial\Omega)^{d/(d-1)} \geq \text{const. Vol}(\Omega)$$

for all smooth compact domain $\Omega \subset N$ of volume ≥ 1 (in particular N is n -hyperbolic).

If $d \frac{n-1}{d-1} < s < n$, then every homeomorphism $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(M, N)$ with bounded s -distortion satisfying Lusin's property is a rough quasi-isometry.

Proof. — We know that if f has bounded s -distortion, $s > (n - 1)$ and satisfies Lusin's property, then f^{-1} has bounded p -distortion where $p = \frac{s}{s-(n-1)}$ (see Theorem 4.1 and the Remark at the end of Section 4). The above theorem thus follows from [28, Théorème 1].

7.4. On Reshetnyak's proof for the case of quasi-regular mappings.

In order to illustrate the alternative approach based on methods of non-linear potential theory, we give a short proof of Liouville's theorem for quasi-regular mappings along Reshetnyak's ideas.

THEOREM. — *Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a non constant quasi-regular mapping between oriented n -dimensional Riemannian manifolds. Assume that M is n -parabolic, then so is N .*

Proof. — Assume that $f : M \rightarrow N$ is a non constant quasi-regular mapping, then it is known (see [31, Th. 6.4, chap. II]) that f is an open map; in particular $N' := f(M) \subset N$ is open. If N is n -hyperbolic, then so is N' and, by [14, Th. 5.2], we know that there exists a non constant positive n -superharmonic function $v : N' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The function $u = f^*v = v \circ f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is then \mathcal{A} -superharmonic where \mathcal{A} is the pull back to M of the operator $TN' \rightarrow TN'$ given by $\eta \rightarrow |\eta|^{n-2}\eta$ (see [31, Th. 11.2, chap. II] or [12, Th. 14.42]). By [14, Th. 5.2] again, one concludes that M is also n -hyperbolic, contradicting the hypothesis. □

Final remarks. — 1) The argument of Martio, Väisälä and Rickman are based on capacity estimates in the spirit of our proof of Theorem A (see [22]).

2) Another proof can be found in [3]. This paper gives other obstructions to the existence of quasi-regular mappings.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] M. CHAMBERLAND and G. MEISTER, A Mountain Pass to the Jacobian Conjecture, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, Vol. 41 (1998), 442–451.
- [2] V. M. CHERNIKOV and S. K. VODOP'YANOV, Sobolev Spaces and Hypoelliptic Equations, II, *Siberian Advances in Mathematics*, 6 (1996), 64–96.
- [3] T. COULHON, I. HOLOPAINEN and L. SALOFF-COSTE, Harnack Inequality and hyperbolicity for subelliptic p -Laplacians with applications to Picard type theorems, *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 11 (2001), 1139–1191.
- [4] L. C. EVANS and R. F. GARIEPY, *Measure Theory and Fine properties of Functions*, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, CRC press (1992).
- [5] H. FEDERER, *Geometric Measure Theory*, Grundlehren der Mathematik, 153 (1969).
- [6] J. LELONG-FERRAND, Étude d'une classe d'applications liées à des homomorphismes d'algèbres de fonctions et généralisant les quasi-conformes, *Duke Math. J.*, 40 (1973), 163–186.
- [7] K. GAFAÏTI, Algèbre de Royden et Homéomorphismes p -dilatation bornée entre espaces métriques mesurés, Thèse, EPFL Lausanne (2001).
- [8] V. GOL'DSHTEIN, L. GUROV and A. ROMANOV, Homeomorphisms that induce Monomorphisms of Sobolev Spaces, *Israel J. of Math.*, 91 (1995), 31–60.
- [9] V. GOL'DSHTEIN and M. TROYANOV, The Kelvin-Nevalinna-Royden criterion for p -parabolicity, *Math. Zeitschrift*, 232 (1999), 607–619.
- [10] A. GRIGOR'YAN, Analytic and Geometric Background of Recurrence and Non-Explosion of the Brownian Motion on Riemannian Manifolds, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 36 (1999), 135–242.
- [11] P. HAJHASY, Change of Variables Formula under Minimal Assumptions, *Colloquium Mathematicum*, 64 (1993), 93–101.
- [12] J. HEINONEN, T. KILPELÄINEN and O. MARTIO, *Non Linear Potential Theory of Degenerate Elliptic Equations*, Oxford Math. Monographs (1993).
- [13] J. HEINONEN and P. KOSKELA, Sobolev Mappings with Integrable Dilatation, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 125 (1993), 81–97.
- [14] I. HOLOPAINEN, Non linear Potential Theory and Quasiregular Mappings on Riemannian Manifolds, *Annales Academiae Scientiarum Fennicae Series A*, 74 (1990).
- [15] I. HOLOPAINEN, Rough isometries and p -harmonic functions with finite Dirichlet integral, *Revista Matemática Iberoamericana*, 10 (1994), 143–175.
- [16] T. IWANIEC, P. KOSKELA and J. ONNINEN, Mappings of finite distortion: Monotonicity and continuity, Preprint.

- [17] M. KANAI, Rough isometries and the parabolicity of Riemannian manifolds, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 38, n° 2 (1986), 227–238.
- [18] J. KAUFMAN, P. KOSKELA and J. MALÝ, Mappings of finite distortion: discreteness and openness, University of Jyväskylä (2000), preprint 226.
- [19] J. MALÝ, Absolutely continuous functions of several variables, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 231 (1999), 492–508.
- [20] J. MALÝ and O. MARTIO, Lusin's condition (N) and mappings of the class $W^{1,n}$, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 458 (1995), 19–36.
- [21] J. J. MANFREDI and E. VILLAMOR, An extension of Reshetnyak's theorem, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 47, n° 3 (1998), 1131–1145.
- [22] O. MARTIO, S. RICKMAN and J. VÄISÄLÄ, Definitions for Quasiregular Mappings, *Ann. Acad. Sc. Fenn.*, 448 (1969), 5–40.
- [23] O. MARTIO and W. P. ZIEMER, Lusin's condition (N) and mappings of non negative Jacobian, *Michigan. Math. J.*, 39 (1992), 495–508.
- [24] V.G. MAZ'YA, Sobolev Spaces, Springer Verlag, 1985.
- [25] V. MAZ'YA and T. SHAPOSHNIKOVA, Theory of Multipliers in Spaces of Differentiable Functions, Pitman, 1985.
- [26] S. MÜLLER, T. QI and B.S. YAN, On a new class of elastic deformations not allowing for cavitation, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré*, 11 (1994), 217–243.
- [27] S. MÜLLER and S. SPECTOR, An existence theory for nonlinear elasticity that allows for cavitation, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 131, n° 1 (1995), 1–66.
- [28] P. PANSU, Difféomorphismes de p -dilatation bornées, *Ann. Acad. Sc. Fenn.*, 223 (1997), 475–506.
- [29] P. PANSU, Cohomologie L^p , espaces homogènes et pincement, preprint, Orsay 1999.
- [30] M. REIMAN, Über harmonische Kapazität und quasikonforme Abbildungen in Raum., *Comm. Math. Helv.*, 44 (1969), 284–307.
- [31] Yu. G. RESHETNYAK, Space Mappings with Bounded Distortion, Translation of *Math. Monographs*, 73 (1989).
- [32] Yu. G. RESHETNYAK, Mappings with bounded distortion as extremals of integrals of Dirichlet type, *Siberian Math. Journal*, 9 (1968), 652–666.
- [33] Yu. G. RESHETNYAK, Sobolev classes of functions with values in a metric space, *Siberian Math. Journal*, 38 (1997), 657–675.
- [34] S. RICKMAN, Quasi-regular Mappings, Springer *Ergebnisse der Mathematik*, 26 (1993).
- [35] S. RICKMAN, Topics in the Theory of Quasi-regular Mappings, *Aspekte der Mathematik*, E12 (Kulkarni and Pinkall eds) (1988).
- [36] C. J. TITUS and G. S. YOUNG, The extension of interiorty, with some applications, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 103 (1962), 329–340.
- [37] M. TROYANOV, Parabolicity of Manifolds, *Siberian Adv. Math.*, 9, n° 4 (1999), 1–25.
- [38] S. K. VODOP'YANOV, Taylor Formula and Function Spaces (in Russian), Novosibirsk University (1988).
- [39] S. K. VODOP'YANOV, Geometric Properties of Function Spaces (in Russian), D. Sc. Thesis, Novosibirsk, Sobolev Institute of Mathematics (1992).

- [40] S. K. VODOP'YANOV, Monotone Functions and Quasiconformal Mappings on Carnot Groups, *Siberian Math. J.*, 37, n° 6 (1996), 1269–1295.
- [41] S. K. VODOP'YANOV, Topological and Geometric Properties of Mappings of Sobolev Spaces with Integrable Jacobian I, *Siberian Math. J.*, 41, n° 1 (2000), 23–48.
- [42] S. K. VODOP'YANOV, Mappings with Bounded Distortion and with Finite Distortion on Carnot Groups, *Siberian Math. J.*, 40, n° 4 (1999), 764–804.
- [43] S. VODOP'YANOV and V. GOL'DSHTEIN, Quasi-conformal mapping and spaces of functions with generalized first derivatives, *Siberian Math. J.*, 17, n° 4 (1977), 515–531.
- [44] S. VODOP'YANOV and A. UKHLOV, Sobolev Spaces and (P, Q) -Quasiconformal Mappings of Carnot Groups, *Siberian Math. J.*, 39, n° 4 (1998), 665–682.
- [45] V. A. ZORICH and V. M. KESEL'MAN, On the Conformal Type of a Riemannian Manifold, *Func. Anal. and Appl.*, 30 (1996), 106–117.
- [46] V. A. ZORICH, A theorem of M.A. Lavrent'ev on quasiconformal space maps, *Mat.Sb.*, 74, 116 (1967), 417–433; English transl. in *Math. USSR Sb.*, 3 (1967).

Manuscrit reçu le 7 novembre 2000,
révisé le 26 avril 2002,
accepté le 26 juin 2002.

Marc TROYANOV,
E.P.F.L.
Institut de Mathématiques
CH-1015 Lausanne (Switzerland).
marc.troyanov@epfl.ch

Sergei VODOP'YANOV,
Sobolev Institute of Mathematics
Novosibirsk 630090 (Russia).
vodopis@math.nsc.ru