



ANNALES

DE

L'INSTITUT FOURIER

Isabelle CHALENDAR, Emmanuel FRICAIN & Dan TIMOTIN

Embedding theorems for Müntz spaces

Tome 61, n° 6 (2011), p. 2291-2311.

http://aif.cedram.org/item?id=AIF_2011__61_6_2291_0

© Association des Annales de l'institut Fourier, 2011, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Annales de l'institut Fourier » (<http://aif.cedram.org/>), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://aif.cedram.org/legal/>). Toute reproduction en tout ou partie cet article sous quelque forme que ce soit pour tout usage autre que l'utilisation à fin strictement personnelle du copiste est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

cedram

*Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du
Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques
<http://www.cedram.org/>*

EMBEDDING THEOREMS FOR MÜNTZ SPACES

by Isabelle CHALENDAR,
Emmanuel FRICAIN & Dan TIMOTIN

ABSTRACT. — We discuss boundedness and compactness properties of the embedding $M_\Lambda^1 \subset L^1(\mu)$, where M_Λ^1 is the closed linear span of the monomials x^{λ_n} in $L^1([0, 1])$ and μ is a finite positive Borel measure on the interval $[0, 1]$. In particular, we introduce a class of “sublinear” measures and provide a rather complete solution of the embedding problem for the class of quasilacunary sequences Λ . Finally, we show how one can recapture some of Al Alam’s results on boundedness and the essential norm of weighted composition operators from M_Λ^1 to $L^1([0, 1])$.

RÉSUMÉ. — Nous étudions la continuité et la compacité du plongement $M_\Lambda^1 \subset L^1(\mu)$, où M_Λ^1 est l’enveloppe linéaire fermée des monômes x^{λ_n} dans $L^1([0, 1])$ et où μ est une mesure borélienne positive et finie sur $[0, 1]$. En particulier, nous introduisons une classe de mesures “sous-linéaires” et nous donnons une solution complète au problème de plongement pour une classe de suites quasilacunaires Λ . Finalement nous montrons comment retrouver des résultats de Al Alam concernant la continuité et la norme essentielle des opérateurs de composition à poids de M_Λ^1 dans $L^1([0, 1])$.

1. Introduction

A classical result due to Müntz says that, if $0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_n < \dots$ is an increasing sequence of nonnegative real numbers, then the linear span of x^{λ_n} is dense in $C([0, 1])$ if and only if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} = \infty$. When $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} < \infty$, the closed linear span of the monomials x^{λ_n} in different Banach spaces that contain them is usually not equal to the whole space; it is therefore interesting to study the new spaces thus obtained. In particular, if $1 \leq p < +\infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} < \infty$, then $M_\Lambda^p \subsetneq L^p([0, 1])$, where M_Λ^p is the closed linear span of the monomial x^{λ_n} , $n \geq 0$, in $L^p([0, 1])$. The literature concerning

Keywords: Müntz space, embedding measure, weighted composition operator, compact operator, essential norm.

Math. classification: 46E15, 47A30, 47B33.

this class of spaces of functions defined on $[0, 1]$, called *Müntz spaces*, is not very extensive. We may refer principally to the two monographs [3] and [4] and the references within, as well as to the recent papers [2, 6].

The starting point of our research is formed by some recent results of Ihab Al Alam, either published in [2] or contained in his thesis [1]. They deal with properties of weighted composition operators on Müntz spaces, and it is noted therein that the properties of these operators are connected to embedding of the spaces into Lebesgue spaces.

In the present paper we have pursued this line of approach systematically. More precisely, we discuss boundedness and compactness properties of the embedding $M_\Lambda^1 \subset L^1(\mu)$, where μ is a finite positive Borel measure on the interval $[0, 1]$. In general the embedding properties are critically dependent on the nature of the sequence $\Lambda = (\lambda_n)$.

The plan of the paper is the following. Section 2 contains preliminaries as well as general results concerning boundedness of the embedding, while Section 3 discusses compactness of the embedding. In Section 4 we introduce an important class of measures that we call *sublinear*, and which bear a certain resemblance to Carleson measures defined in the unit disc or half-plane. These allow in Section 5 a rather complete solution of the embedding problem for the class of *quasilacunary* sequences Λ . Section 6 discusses through some examples the problems that may appear in the general case, while Section 7 investigates the important sequence $\lambda_n = n^2$. Finally, in Section 8 we show how one can recapture in this context some of Al Alam's results on weighted composition operators.

2. Embedding measures

The basic reference for Müntz spaces is [4]; occasionally we will use also some results from [3].

We denote by m the Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]$ and by $\Lambda = (\lambda_n)_{n \geq 1}$ an increasing sequence of positive real numbers with $\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} < \infty$. The norm in $L^p(m)$ will be denoted simply by $\|\cdot\|_p$ (for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$). The closed linear span in $L^1(m)$ of the functions x^{λ_n} is the Müntz space M_Λ^1 . The functions in M_Λ^1 are continuous in $[0, 1]$ and real analytic in $(0, 1)$.

Note that sometimes Müntz spaces are defined by including the value $\lambda_0 = 0$ in the family of monomials; but the statements are simpler if we start with $\lambda_1 > 0$. This is a matter of convenience only: all boundedness and compactness results below remain true if we add the one-dimensional space formed by the constants.

DEFINITION 2.1. — A positive measure μ on $[0, 1]$ is called Λ -embedding if there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad \|p\|_{L^1(\mu)} \leq C\|p\|_1,$$

for all polynomials p in M_Λ^1 .

It is immediate (by applying the condition to the functions x^{λ_n}) that if μ is Λ -embedding, then $\mu(\{1\}) = 0$, so we will suppose this condition satisfied for all measures appearing in this paper. If $0 < \epsilon < 1$, then the interval $[1 - \epsilon, 1]$ will be denoted by J_ϵ .

The next lemma is a useful technical tool.

LEMMA 2.2. — Suppose $\rho : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is an increasing, C^1 function with $\rho(0) = 0$ such that $\mu(J_\epsilon) \leq \rho(\epsilon)$ for all $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$. Then for any continuous, positive, increasing function g we have

$$\int_{[0,1]} g \, d\mu \leq \int_0^1 g(x)\rho'(1-x) \, dx.$$

Proof. — If $F(x) = \mu([0, x])$, then integration by parts yields

$$\int_{[0,1]} g \, d\mu = \int_0^1 g(x) \, dF(x) = g(1)F(1) - \int_0^1 F(x) \, dg(x)$$

(remember $F(0) = 0$). Since $\mu(J_\epsilon) \leq \rho(\epsilon)$ for all $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$ (and $\mu(\{1\}) = 0$), it follows that $F(1) - F(x) \leq \rho(1-x)$, or $-F(x) \leq \rho(1-x) - F(1)$. Plugging this into the previous equation, integrating again by parts, and using $\rho(0) = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[0,1]} g \, d\mu &\leq g(1)F(1) - F(1)(g(1) - g(0)) + \int_0^1 \rho(1-x) \, dg(x) \\ &= F(1)g(0) + \int_0^1 \rho(1-x) \, dg(x) \\ &= F(1)g(0) - \rho(1)g(0) - \int_0^1 g(x) \, d(\rho(1-x)) \\ &= g(0)(F(1) - \rho(1)) + \int_0^1 g(x)\rho'(1-x) \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is finished by noting that $F(1) = \mu([0, 1]) \leq \rho(1)$. □

We intend to investigate necessary and sufficient conditions for a measure μ to be Λ -embedding. These conditions depend in general on the sequence Λ ; also, in most cases there is a gap between necessity and sufficiency. The starting point for our approach is the following result from [3, p. 185, E.8.a].

LEMMA 2.3. — For all $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$ there exists a constant $c_\epsilon > 0$ such that for any function $f \in M_\Lambda^1$ we have

$$(2.2) \quad \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1-\epsilon} |f(t)| \leq c_\epsilon \int_{1-\epsilon}^1 |f(x)| dx.$$

In particular, the supremum in the left-hand side is majorized by $c_\epsilon \|f\|_1$.

Formally the inequality (2.2) is stated in [3] only for polynomials in M_Λ^1 , but a standard argument shows that it can be extended to any function $f \in M_\Lambda^1$.

Lemma 2.3 has some immediate consequences for the embedding problem.

COROLLARY 2.4.

- (i) If, for some $\epsilon > 0$, $\text{supp } \mu \subset [0, 1 - \epsilon)$, then μ is Λ -embedding for any Λ , and

$$\|f\|_{L^1(\mu)} \leq c_\epsilon \|\mu\| \|f\|_1$$

for all $f \in M_\Lambda^1$.

- (ii) More generally, if for some $\epsilon > 0$ the restriction of μ to the interval $[1 - \epsilon, 1]$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $m|_{[1-\epsilon, 1]}$, with essentially bounded density, then μ is Λ -embedding for any Λ .

Remark 2.5. — If μ is Λ -embedding, then $M_\Lambda^1 \subset L^1(\mu)$ and $\|f\|_{L^1(\mu)} \leq c \|f\|_1$ for all $f \in M_\Lambda^1$. Indeed if $f \in M_\Lambda^1$, then there exists a sequence $(p_n)_n$ of polynomials in M_Λ^1 such that $\|f - p_n\|_1 \rightarrow 0$, $n \rightarrow +\infty$. By (2.1) $(p_n)_n$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^1(\mu)$, whence it converges to a function g in $L^1(\mu)$. In particular, there exists a subsequence $(p_{n_k})_k$ which converges almost everywhere (with respect to μ) to g . But according to Lemma 2.3, $(p_n)_n$ tends to f uniformly on every compact of $[0, 1]$, so $g(t) = f(t)$ for almost every $t \in [0, 1]$ with respect to μ ; since $\mu(\{1\}) = 0$, we have $g = f$ in $L^1(\mu)$. Therefore $M_\Lambda^1 \subset L^1(\mu)$. Moreover, since $(p_n)_n$ tends to f in $L^1(\mu)$ and also in $L^1(m)$, (2.1) implies that $\|f\|_{L^1(\mu)} \leq c \|f\|_1$, which proves the claim.

Using standard arguments based on the closed graph theorem, it is easy to see that it is sufficient to have the set inclusion $M_\Lambda^1 \subset L^1(\mu)$ in order to obtain that μ is Λ -embedding. For a Λ -embedding μ we denote by ι_μ the embedding operator $\iota_\mu : M_\Lambda^1 \subset L^1(\mu)$.

In order to obtain a more general sufficient condition, note that the smallest constant that can appear in the right-hand side of (2.2) is a positive, decreasing function of ϵ , and thus admits decreasing and continuous majorants. In the sequel we fix such a majorant, denoted by $c(\epsilon)$. Then $\kappa(t) := c(1 - t)$ is positive, increasing and continuous on $[0, 1]$. Using (2.2)

for any monomial x^{λ_i} , we see that $\kappa(t) \rightarrow \infty$ for $t \rightarrow 1$, and the order of increase is at least $(1 - t)^{-1}$.

THEOREM 2.6. — *If $\kappa \in L^1(\mu)$, then μ is Λ -embedding and $\|\iota_\mu\| \leq \|\kappa\|_{L^1(\mu)}$.*

Proof. — For $k, m \geq 1$, define the set $E_{m,k} = \{x \in [0, 1) : \frac{k-1}{2^m} < \kappa(x) \leq \frac{k}{2^m}\}$. Since κ is increasing and continuous, we have $E_{m,k} = (a_{k-1}^{(m)}, a_k^{(m)}]$ for some $a_k^{(m)} \in [0, 1)$. If the functions κ_m are defined by

$$\kappa_m(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \kappa(a_k^{(m)}) \chi_{E_{m,k}}(x),$$

then κ_m is a decreasing sequence of functions tending everywhere to κ . By the monotone convergence theorem, it follows from the hypothesis that

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu\left((a_{k-1}^{(m)}, a_k^{(m)})\right) \kappa(a_k^{(m)}) \rightarrow \|\kappa\|_{L^1(\mu)} < \infty.$$

On the other hand, from (2.2) it follows that, for any $f \in M_\Lambda^1$,

$$\sup_{a_{k-1}^{(m)} < x \leq a_k^{(m)}} |f(x)| \leq \kappa(a_k^{(m)}) \|f\|_1,$$

whence (taking into account that $f(0) = 0$ and $\mu(\{1\}) = 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[0,1]} |f(x)| d\mu(x) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{(a_{k-1}^{(m)}, a_k^{(m)})} |f(x)| d\mu(x) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\sup_{a_{k-1}^{(m)} < t \leq a_k^{(m)}} |f(x)| \right) \mu\left((a_{k-1}^{(m)}, a_k^{(m)})\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \kappa(a_k^{(m)}) \|f\|_1 \mu\left((a_{k-1}^{(m)}, a_k^{(m)})\right). \end{aligned}$$

Letting now $m \rightarrow \infty$ and using (2.3), it follows that μ is Λ -embedding and $\|\iota_\mu\| \leq \|\kappa\|_{L^1(\mu)}$. □

Since κ is continuous, increasing and $\kappa(t) \rightarrow +\infty$ for $t \rightarrow 1$, the condition $\kappa \in L^1(\mu)$ is related to the asymptotics of μ in 1. The next corollary gives a sufficient condition on μ expressed in terms of $\mu(J_\epsilon)$.

COROLLARY 2.7. — *Suppose $\rho : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is an increasing, C^1 function with $\rho(0) = 0$ such that $\int_0^1 \kappa(x) \rho'(1 - x) dx < \infty$. If $\mu(J_\epsilon) \leq \rho(\epsilon)$ for all $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$, then μ is Λ -embedding.*

Proof. — The proof follows by applying Lemma 2.2 to the case $g = \kappa$. \square

In general κ has no explicit formula in terms of the sequence Λ . We would like to obtain more tractable formulas; this will be done below for some special classes of Λ .

3. Compactness and essential norm

A related problem is that of the compactness of the embedding ι_μ . Here, the starting point is a result stated as Lemma 4.2.5 in [1]; we will sketch a proof for completeness.

LEMMA 3.1. — *If $(f_m)_m \subset M_\Lambda^1$, $\|f_m\|_1 \leq 1$ for all m , then there exists a subsequence $(f_{m_k})_k$ which converges uniformly on every compact subset of $[0, 1)$.*

Proof. — For any $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$ the restrictions of f_m to $[0, 1 - \epsilon]$ are uniformly bounded by Lemma 2.3. To prove the result, it is enough to consider only functions f_m in the linear span of x^{λ_n} with $\lambda_n \geq 1$; it follows then from a Bernstein-type inequality proven in [3, p. 178, E.3.d] that the restrictions of f'_m to $[0, 1 - 2\epsilon]$ are uniformly bounded. By the Arzela–Ascoli theorem, the sequence $f_n|_{[0, 1 - 2\epsilon]}$ contains a subsequence which is uniformly convergent on $[0, 1 - 2\epsilon]$. Applying this to $\epsilon = 1/N$ for all positive integer N and using a diagonal procedure, one obtains a subsequence f_{m_j} that converges uniformly on all compact of $[0, 1)$ to a function f . \square

We may now improve Corollary 2.4.

PROPOSITION 3.2. — *If $\text{supp } \mu \subset [0, 1 - \epsilon]$, then ι_μ is compact.*

Proof. — The proof is an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.1: if we take a sequence $(f_n)_n$ in the unit ball of M_Λ^1 , then the subsequence obtained therein converges uniformly in $[0, 1 - \epsilon]$, and therefore also in $L^1(\mu)$. \square

The following notation will be useful: if μ is a positive measure on $[0, 1]$, we will denote μ_m the measure equal to μ on $[0, 1 - \frac{1}{m})$ and 0 elsewhere, and $\mu'_m = \mu - \mu_m$ (so μ'_m is the measure that coincides with μ on $J_{\frac{1}{m}}$ and is 0 elsewhere).

Next comes a general abstract compactness result, related to Corollary 2.7.

PROPOSITION 3.3. — *Let M_Λ^1 be a Müntz space, and suppose that $\rho : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is an increasing continuous function, with $\rho(0) = 0$, such that any*

measure μ with $\mu(J_\epsilon) \leq C\rho(\epsilon)$ is Λ -embedding, with embedding constant at most C . Then, for any measure μ that satisfies $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu(J_\epsilon)}{\rho(\epsilon)} = 0$ the embedding ι_μ is compact.

Proof. — Suppose that ι_{μ_m} are the embeddings $M_\Lambda^1 \subset L^1(\mu_m)$. We may regard $L^1(\mu_m)$ as a subspace of $L^1(\mu)$, and the operators ι_{μ_m} as taking values in $L^1(\mu)$. The hypothesis implies that $\mu'_m = \mu - \mu_m$ is Λ -embedding, with embedding constant tending to 0. Therefore $\|\iota_\mu - \iota_{\mu_m}\| \rightarrow 0$. Since each ι_{μ_m} is compact, by Proposition 3.2, the result follows. \square

For a general bounded embedding, one can use the measures μ'_m to obtain a formula for the essential norm of ι_μ . We start with an abstract simple lemma that will be used also in Section 8.

LEMMA 3.4. — *Let X be a Banach space, (E, ν) a measurable space, $T : X \rightarrow L^1(\nu)$ a bounded operator, $(E_m)_m$ a decreasing sequence of measurable subsets of E such that $\nu(\bigcap_m E_m) = 0$. Suppose that $(T - P_m T)$ is compact for all m , where P_m denotes the natural projection of $L^1(\nu)$ onto $L^1(E_m, \nu)$. Then the essential norm of T is given by*

$$\|T\|_e = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|P_m T\|.$$

Proof. — Note that the sequence $(\|P_m T\|)_m$ is decreasing, so the limit exists. Since $T - P_m T$ is compact for each m , it is obvious that $\|T\|_e \leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|P_m T\|$. To prove the reverse inequality, let $\epsilon > 0$ and $K : X \rightarrow L^1(\nu)$ be a compact operator. Take a sequence $(x_m)_m \subset X$ such that $\|x_m\| = 1$ and $\|P_m T x_m\|_{L^1(E_m, \nu)} \geq \|P_m T\| - \epsilon$. Then $(K x_m)_m$ contains a convergent subsequence, say $K x_{m_j} \rightarrow g \in L^1(\nu)$. We have

$$\|(T - K)x_{m_j}\|_{L^1(\nu)} \geq \|T x_{m_j} - g\|_{L^1(\nu)} - \|K x_{m_j} - g\|_{L^1(\nu)},$$

whence

$$(3.1) \quad \limsup_j \|(T - K)x_{m_j}\|_{L^1(\nu)} \geq \limsup_j \|T x_{m_j} - g\|_{L^1(\nu)}.$$

Since $g \in L^1(\nu)$ and $\nu(\bigcap_m E_m) = 0$, there exists a positive integer N such that $\int_{E_m} |g| d\nu \leq \epsilon$ for all $m \geq N$. Then, if $m_j \geq N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T x_{m_j} - g\|_{L^1(\nu)} &= \int_E |T x_{m_j} - g| d\nu \geq \int_{E_{m_j}} |T x_{m_j} - g| d\nu \\ &\geq \int_{E_{m_j}} |T x_{m_j}| d\nu - \epsilon = \|P_{m_j} T x_{m_j}\|_{L^1(E_{m_j}, \nu)} - \epsilon \\ &\geq \|P_{m_j} T\| - 2\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.1) it follows then that

$$\|T - K\| \geq \lim_m \|P_m T\| - 2\epsilon.$$

Since this is true for any compact K , we have

$$\|T\|_e \geq \lim_m \|P_m T\| - 2\epsilon.$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ yields the desired inequality. □

THEOREM 3.5. — *Let M_Λ^1 be a Müntz space, and suppose that μ is an embedding measure. Then*

$$(3.2) \quad \|\iota_\mu\|_e = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\iota_{\mu'_n}\|.$$

Proof. — We may apply Lemma 3.4 to the case $X = M_\Lambda^1$, $(E, \nu) = ([0, 1], \mu)$, $T = \iota_\mu$, and $E_m = J_{\frac{1}{m}}$. The compactness condition on $(T - P_m T)$ follows from Proposition 3.2. □

The formula given in Theorem 3.5 can be made explicit in particular cases. We state an example that will be used in Section 8.

COROLLARY 3.6. — *Let M_Λ^1 be a Müntz space, and suppose there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $d\mu|_{J_\delta} = h dm|_{J_\delta}$ for some bounded measurable function h with $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} h(t) = a$. Then ι_μ is bounded and $\|\iota_\mu\|_e = a$.*

Proof. — The boundedness of ι_μ is a consequence of Corollary 2.4 (ii). To obtain the essential norm, according to Theorem 3.5, we have to prove that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|\iota_{\mu'_m}\| = a$. First, for any $\epsilon > 0$, if m is sufficiently large, then $d\mu'_m \leq (a + \epsilon)dm$ on $J_{\frac{1}{m}}$, which implies $\|\iota_{\mu'_m}\| \leq a + \epsilon$; therefore

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|\iota_{\mu'_m}\| \leq a.$$

On the other hand, fix again $\epsilon > 0$. If m is sufficiently large, then $d\mu'_m \geq (a - \epsilon)dm$ on $J_{\frac{1}{m}}$. Take the function $g_n(x) = (\lambda_n + 1)x^{\lambda_n}$. We have $g_n \in M_\Lambda^1$, $\|g_n\|_{M_\Lambda^1} = 1$, while

$$\|\iota_{\mu'_m} g_n\| = \int_{J_{\frac{1}{m}}} g_n d\mu \geq (a - \epsilon) \int_{1 - \frac{1}{m}}^1 g_n(x) dx = (a - \epsilon) \left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{m}\right)^{\lambda_n + 1} \right],$$

and the last quantity tends to $a - \epsilon$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore $\|\iota_{\mu'_m}\| \geq a - \epsilon$ for all $\epsilon > 0$. Letting now $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ yields the desired reverse inequality. □

4. Sublinear measures

We start with the following simple observation.

LEMMA 4.1. — *If μ is Λ -embedding, then for any n we have $\mu(J_{\frac{1}{\lambda_n}}) \leq C \frac{1}{\lambda_n}$. In particular, $\liminf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu(J_\epsilon)}{\epsilon} < \infty$.*

Proof. — Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda_n}\right)^{\lambda_n} = \frac{1}{e}$, there exists a positive integer N such that, for all $n \geq N$ and for all $x \in \left[1 - \frac{1}{\lambda_n}, 1\right]$, we have $x^{\lambda_n} \geq \frac{1}{3}$. It follows that for all $n \geq N$

$$\frac{1}{3} \mu(J_{1/\lambda_n}) \leq \int_{J_{1/\lambda_n}} x^{\lambda_n} d\mu \leq \int_0^1 x^{\lambda_n} d\mu \leq \|\iota_\mu\| \int_0^1 x^{\lambda_n} dx = \frac{\|\iota_\mu\|}{\lambda_n + 1}.$$

Therefore, for all $n \geq N$, we have

$$\mu(J_{1/\lambda_n}) \leq \frac{3\|\iota_\mu\|}{\lambda_n}.$$

It is now obvious that there exists $C > 0$ such that $\mu(J_{1/\lambda_n}) \leq \frac{C}{\lambda_n}$ for all n . □

This suggests the following definition.

DEFINITION 4.2. — *A measure μ is called sublinear if there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $0 < \epsilon < 1$ we have $\mu(J_\epsilon) \leq C\epsilon$; the smallest such C will be denoted by $\|\mu\|_S$. The measure μ is called vanishing sublinear if $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu(J_\epsilon)}{\epsilon} = 0$.*

We can then obtain a necessary condition for Λ -embedding for a class of sequences Λ .

PROPOSITION 4.3. — *Suppose that there exists $M > 0$ such that $\frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{\lambda_n} \leq M$. Then any Λ -embedding measure is sublinear.*

Proof. — Obviously it is sufficient to check the condition of sublinearity for ϵ sufficiently small. Since $1/\lambda_n$ is a decreasing sequence tending to 0, we may assume that for some n we have $\frac{1}{\lambda_{n+1}} \leq \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_n}$. Then, applying Lemma 4.1,

$$\mu(J_\epsilon) \leq \mu(J_{\frac{1}{\lambda_n}}) \leq \frac{C}{\lambda_n} \leq \frac{CM}{\lambda_{n+1}} \leq CM\epsilon. \quad \square$$

It is interesting that sublinearity is also a sufficient condition for Λ -embedding for the class of quasilacunary sequences Λ . This will be proved in the next section; now we continue with some elementary facts about sublinear measures.

The next lemma is a consequence of Lemma 2.2, in case $\rho(x) = x$.

LEMMA 4.4. — Suppose that $\|\mu\|_S < \infty$. If g is continuous, positive, and increasing, we have

$$(4.1) \quad \int_{[0,1]} g \, d\mu \leq \|\mu\|_S \int_{[0,1]} g \, dm.$$

COROLLARY 4.5.

(i) If μ is sublinear, then

$$\sup_{\lambda} \|(\lambda + 1)x^\lambda\|_{L^1(\mu)} \leq \|\mu\|_S.$$

(ii) If μ is vanishing sublinear, then

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \|\lambda x^\lambda\|_{L^1(\mu)} = 0.$$

Proof.

For (i), we apply Lemma 4.4 to the case $g = x^\lambda$.

For (ii), fix $\epsilon > 0$. By the definition of vanishing sublinear, there is $\delta > 0$ such that $\mu(J_{\delta'}) \leq \epsilon\delta'$ for all $\delta' \leq \delta$. If λ is large enough, then $\lambda x^\lambda \leq \epsilon$ for all $x \leq 1 - \delta$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[0,1]} \lambda x^\lambda \, d\mu(x) &= \int_{[0,1-\delta]} \lambda x^\lambda \, d\mu(x) + \int_{[1-\delta,1]} \lambda x^\lambda \, d\mu(x) \\ &\leq \epsilon \|\mu\| + \int_{[1-\delta,1]} \lambda x^\lambda \, d\mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

Let μ_δ be the measure which is equal to μ on J_δ and is 0 elsewhere. Then applying again Lemma 4.4 to the measure μ_δ and to the function $g(x) = \lambda x^\lambda$, we get that the second term is also bounded by ϵ . \square

5. Quasilacunary sequences

A sequence Λ is *lacunary* if for some $q > 1$ we have $\lambda_{n+1}/\lambda_n \geq q$, $n \geq 1$. More generally a sequence Λ is *quasilacunary* if for some increasing sequence (n_k) of integers and some $q > 1$ we have $\lambda_{n_{k+1}}/\lambda_{n_k} \geq q$ and $N := \sup_k (n_{k+1} - n_k) < \infty$. (The first condition of quasilacunarity is sometimes stated as $\lambda_{n_{k+1}}/\lambda_{n_k} \geq q$; it is easy to see that the two are equivalent, of course with a different q .) The sequence Λ will be fixed in this section. We need a few results from [4].

LEMMA 5.1 ([4, Corollary 6.1.3]). — There exists a constant $d > 0$ (depending only on Λ) such that, if $f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^m \alpha_n x^{\lambda_n}$, then, for all $x \in [0, 1]$,

$$|f(x)| \leq dx^{\lambda_1} \|f\|_\infty.$$

LEMMA 5.2 ([4, Proposition 8.2.2]). — *There is a constant $K > 0$ (depending only on Λ) such that, if $f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^m \alpha_n x^{\lambda_n}$, then*

$$\|f'\|_\infty \leq K \left(\sum_{n=1}^m \lambda_n \right) \|f\|_\infty.$$

LEMMA 5.3 ([4, Theorem 9.3.3]). — *If Λ is quasilacunary and if F_k is the (closed) linear vector space generated by $\{x^{\lambda_{n_k+1}}, \dots, x^{\lambda_{n_{k+1}}}\}$, then there is $d_1 > 0$ such that for any sequence of functions $f_k \in F_k$ we have*

$$d_1 \sum_k \|f_k\|_1 \leq \left\| \sum_k f_k \right\|_1 \leq \sum_k \|f_k\|_1.$$

In particular, if Λ is lacunary, then there is $d_1 > 0$ such that

$$(5.1) \quad d_1 \sum_n \frac{|a_n|}{\lambda_n} \leq \|p\|_1 \leq \sum_n \frac{|a_n|}{\lambda_n},$$

for every polynomial $p(x) = \sum_n a_n x^{\lambda_n}$ in M_Λ^1 .

Let us also note that, in the proof of [4, Theorem 9.3.3], it is shown that any quasilacunary sequence may be enlarged to one that is still quasilacunary and satisfies $\lambda_{n+1}/\lambda_n \leq q^2$ for all n . We will suppose this holds in the sequel; it follows then that we can assume

$$(5.2) \quad q \leq \frac{\lambda_{n_k+1}}{\lambda_{n_k+1}} \leq q^{2(N-1)}.$$

Finally, we will use also the following elementary lemma.

LEMMA 5.4. — *If $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a nonconstant differentiable function, then*

$$\|f\|_1 \geq \min \left\{ \frac{\|f\|_\infty^2}{2\|f'\|_\infty}, \frac{\|f\|_\infty}{4} \right\}.$$

Proof. — Let $x_0 \in [0, 1]$ such that $|f(x_0)| = M$, where $M := \|f\|_\infty > 0$. Replacing f by $-f$ we may assume that $f(x_0) = M$. Obviously one of the intervals $[x_0 - 1/2, x_0]$ or $[x_0, x_0 + 1/2]$ is in $[0, 1]$. Suppose that the first interval lies in $[0, 1]$.

If $\frac{\|f\|_\infty}{4} \leq \frac{\|f\|_\infty^2}{2\|f'\|_\infty}$, i.e. if $\|f'\|_\infty \leq 2M$, for all $x \in [x_0 - 1/2, x_0]$,

$$f(x) \geq 2M(x - x_0) + M.$$

It follows that $\|f\|_1 \geq \int_{x_0-1/2}^{x_0} f(x) dx \geq \frac{M}{4}$.

If $\|f'\|_\infty > 2M$ then $x_0 - \frac{M}{\|f'\|_\infty} \in [x_0 - 1/2, x_0]$ and for all $x \in [x_0 - M/\|f'\|_\infty, x_0]$,

$$f(x) \geq \|f'\|_\infty(x - x_0) + M.$$

It follows that $\|f\|_1 \geq \int_{x_0-M/\|f'\|_\infty}^{x_0} f(x) dx \geq \frac{M^2}{2\|f'\|_\infty}$.

The proof in the case where $[x_0, x_0 + 1/2]$ lies in $[0, 1]$ follows the same lines. □

We are ready now for the promised extension.

THEOREM 5.5. — *If Λ is quasilacunary, then any sublinear measure μ is Λ -embedding.*

Proof. — By Lemma 5.3, it is enough to show that there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for any k and any $f \in F_k$ we have $\|f\|_{L^1(\mu)} \leq C\|f\|_1$. Let us then fix k and $f \in F_k$. Applying Lemma 5.1 and Corollary 4.5, we have

$$(5.3) \quad \|f\|_{L^1(\mu)} = \int_0^1 |f(x)| d\mu(x) \leq d\|f\|_\infty \int_0^1 x^{\lambda_{n_k+1}} d\mu(x)$$

$$(5.4) \quad \leq \frac{d\|\mu\|_S\|f\|_\infty}{\lambda_{n_k+1}}.$$

We apply now Lemma 5.4; there are two possible cases. If the minimum in Lemma 5.4 is $\|f\|_\infty/4$, then $\|f\|_\infty \leq 4\|f\|_1$, and it follows immediately from (5.3) that

$$\|f\|_{L^1(\mu)} \leq \frac{4d\|\mu\|_S\|f\|_1}{\lambda_{n_k+1}}.$$

We obtain thus the desired inequality and the theorem is proved in this case.

If the minimum in Lemma 5.4 is given by the other term, we use Lemma 5.2, which yields

$$(5.5) \quad \|f'\|_\infty \leq K \left(\sum_{n=n_k+1}^{n_{k+1}} \lambda_n \right) \|f\|_\infty \leq KN\lambda_{n_k+1}\|f\|_\infty.$$

Then, by Lemma 5.4,

$$\|f\|_\infty^2 \leq 2\|f\|_1\|f'\|_\infty \leq 2KN\lambda_{n_k+1}\|f\|_1\|f\|_\infty.$$

Plugging the resulting inequality into (5.3) and with the aid of (5.2), one obtains

$$\|f\|_{L^1(\mu)} \leq \frac{2KNd\lambda_{n_k+1}\|\mu\|_S\|f\|_1}{\lambda_{n_k+1}} \leq 2KNdq^{2(N-1)}\|\mu\|_S\|f\|_1,$$

which finishes the proof. □

Combining Theorem 5.5 with Proposition 4.3, we obtain a class of Λ 's for which sublinearity is a necessary and sufficient condition for Λ -embedding.

COROLLARY 5.6. — *Suppose that, for some increasing sequence (n_k) of integers with $\sup_k(n_{k+1} - n_k) < \infty$, we have*

$$1 < \inf_k \frac{\lambda_{n_{k+1}}}{\lambda_{n_k}} \leq \sup_k \frac{\lambda_{n_{k+1}}}{\lambda_{n_k}} < \infty.$$

Then a measure μ is Λ -embedding if and only if it is sublinear.

The next corollary follows from Proposition 3.3 and Theorem 5.5.

COROLLARY 5.7. — *If Λ is quasilacunary, then for any vanishing sub-linear measure the embedding ι_μ is compact.*

6. Some examples

In general the property of being Λ -embedding depends on the sequence Λ , as shown by the next example.

Example 6.1. — We intend to construct a measure μ and two lacunary sequences $\Lambda = (\lambda_n)_n$ and $\Lambda' = (\lambda'_n)_n$ such that μ is Λ -embedding but not Λ' -embedding.

For $0 < a < 1$, consider the function $\lambda \mapsto \lambda a^\lambda$. It attains its maximum in $x_a = -\frac{1}{\ln a}$, and the maximum value is x_a/e ; also, the limit for $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ is 0. Since, for a close to 1, $-\ln a$ is of the same order as $1 - a$, it follows that x_a is of the same order as $(1 - a)^{-1}$.

Take then $\mu = \sum_k c_k \delta_{a_k}$, where δ_x defines the Dirac measure at x , $a_k \uparrow 1$ and $c_k > 0$, $\sum_k c_k < \infty$. The values c_k, a_k, λ_k will be defined by induction. We start with $c_0 = \lambda_0 = 1$ and $a_0 = 0$. Suppose that they have been defined for $k \leq n$. We choose then λ_{n+1} large enough such that $\lambda_{n+1} \geq 2\lambda_n$ and $\lambda_{n+1} a_k^{\lambda_{n+1}} \leq 1$ for all $k \leq n$. Note that $\Lambda = (\lambda_n)$ is lacunary. Then we take a_{n+1} close enough to 1 such that

- (i) $1 - a_{n+1} \leq \frac{1 - a_n}{2}$;
- (ii) $(n + 1)(1 - a_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_{n+1}}$;
- (iii) $x_{a_{n+1}} \geq 2x_{a_n}$.

Finally, we define $c_{n+1} = (n + 1)(1 - a_{n+1})$.

Note first that (i) implies $1 - a_n \leq 2^{-n}$ and therefore $c := \sum_k c_k \leq \sum_k k 2^{-k} < \infty$. Moreover, using (i), we have also $c_{n+1} \leq (2/3)c_n$ for all $n \geq 3$. Now, since $\int x^\lambda d\mu = \sum_k c_k a_k^\lambda$, it follows that, for all $n \geq 3$

$$\begin{aligned} \int \lambda_n x^{\lambda_n} d\mu &= \sum_k c_k \lambda_n a_k^{\lambda_n} = \sum_{k < n} c_k \lambda_n a_k^{\lambda_n} + \sum_{k \geq n} c_k \lambda_n a_k^{\lambda_n} \\ &\leq \sum_{k < n} c_k + \sum_{k \geq n} c_k \lambda_n \leq c + \sum_{k \geq n} (2/3)^{n-k} c_n \lambda_n = c + 3c_n \lambda_n. \end{aligned}$$

Since (ii) implies that $c_n \lambda_n \leq 1$, it follows that, for all $n \geq 3$

$$\int \lambda_n x^{\lambda_n} d\mu \leq c + 3,$$

and therefore, for all n , there exists a positive constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\int \lambda_n x^{\lambda_n} d\mu \leq C.$$

Now, if we take an arbitrary function f of the form $\sum_n b_n \lambda_n x^{\lambda_n} \in M_\Lambda^1$, we obtain

$$\|f\|_{L^1(\mu)} \leq \sum_n |b_n| \|\lambda_n x^{\lambda_n}\|_{L^1(\mu)} \leq C \sum_n |b_n| \leq C' \|f\|_1,$$

(the last inequality follows from (5.1)). Therefore μ is Λ -embedding.

As for Λ' , we define $\lambda'_n = x_{a_n}$. Then Λ' is also lacunary by condition (iii) above, and

$$\int \lambda'_n x^{\lambda'_n} d\mu = \sum_k c_k \lambda'_n a_k^{\lambda'_n} \geq c_n \lambda'_n a_n^{\lambda'_n}.$$

Since the maximum value of $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda a^\lambda$ is x_a/e , and since x_{a_n} is of the same order as $\frac{1}{1-a_n}$ when n tends to ∞ , there exists a positive constant C_1 such that

$$\int \lambda'_n x^{\lambda'_n} d\mu \geq C_1 \frac{c_n}{1-a_n}.$$

Then, by definition of c_n , it follows that

$$\int \lambda'_n x^{\lambda'_n} d\mu \geq C_1 n.$$

Since $\|\lambda'_n x^{\lambda'_n}\|_{L^1(\mu)} \leq 1$, μ is not Λ' -embedding.

For sequences that are not quasilacunary, the sublinearity condition on the measure μ is generally not sufficient for Λ -embedding, as shown by the next example.

Example 6.2. — Note first that if δ_t is the Dirac measure at $t \in [0, 1)$, then $\|\delta_t\|_S = \frac{1}{1-t}$; therefore $\delta'_t = (1-t)\delta_t$ is a sublinear measure of unit (sublinear) norm.

Let us consider, for all positive integers p, q , the function $h_{p,q}(x) = x^p(1-x)^q$. We are interested in some estimates related to this function when $p, q \rightarrow \infty$ and $q/p \rightarrow 0$.

First, note that the maximum of $(1-x)h_{p,q}(x)$ is attained at $t_{p,q} = \frac{p}{p+q+1}$, and is equal to $\frac{p^p(q+1)^{q+1}}{(p+q+1)^{p+q+1}}$.

In order to estimate $\|h_{p,q}\|_1$, note that it is equal to $B(p+1, q+1)$ (Euler's beta function), and thus (by standard estimates for B) we have

$$\|h_{p,q}\|_1 \sim \frac{(p+1)^{p+\frac{1}{2}}(q+1)^{q+\frac{1}{2}}}{(p+q+2)^{p+q+\frac{3}{2}}}.$$

After some simple computations using the definition of e , it follows that

$$(6.1) \quad \frac{\int |h_{p,q}| d\delta'_{t_{p,q}}}{\|h_{p,q}\|_1} \sim (q + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Define then the sequence Λ by adding the terms inside blocks of consecutive integers from k^7 to $k^7 + k^5$, for all $k \geq 1$. Then

$$\sum_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{k^5} \frac{1}{k^7 + j} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^5 + 1}{k^7} < \infty.$$

Since $h_{p,q}$ is a polynomial involving $x^p, x^{p+1}, \dots, x^{p+q}$, we have $h_{k^7,k^5} \in M_{\Lambda}^1$ for all $k \geq 1$. Define the measure $\mu = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{k^2} \delta'_{t_{k^7,k^5}}$. Then μ is sublinear, with $\|\mu\|_S \leq \frac{\pi^2}{6}$.

On the other hand, by (6.1) we have

$$\int |h_{k^7,k^5}| d\mu \geq \frac{1}{k^2} \int |h_{k^7,k^5}| d\delta'_{t_{k^7,k^5}} \geq \frac{1}{k^2} (k^5 + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|h_{k^7,k^5}\|_1,$$

and therefore

$$\sup_k \frac{\int |h_{k^7,k^5}| d\mu}{\|h_{k^7,k^5}\|_1} \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus μ is not Λ -embedding.

7. The sequence $\lambda_n = n^2$

In order to give an example of what can happen, going beyond quasilary sequences, we give in this section a more precise estimate for the function κ associated with the sequence $\lambda_n = n^2$. This will be done in several steps, which essentially make explicit the calculations that are involved in the general results, as found, for instance, in [3, 4.2]. The sequence n^2 is a main example of a *standard* sequence, that is, one for which $\lambda_{n+1}/\lambda_n \rightarrow 1$; it is a test for several unsolved questions in the theory of Müntz spaces (see [4]). For the rest of this section, we will denote $\Lambda = (n^2)_{n \geq 1}$ and $\tilde{\Lambda} = (n^2 + 1)_{n \geq 1}$.

First, if $f(x) = a_{\gamma}x^{\gamma} + \sum_{n=1}^m a_n x^{\gamma_n}$, then by standard euclidian space arguments we have

$$(7.1) \quad |a_{\gamma}| \leq d^{-1} \|f\|_2,$$

where d is the distance in $L^2[0, 1]$ from x^γ to the span of the functions $x^{\gamma_1}, \dots, x^{\gamma_m}$. A calculation involving biorthogonal bases and Cauchy determinants that can be found in [3, pp. 176–177] shows that

$$d = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\gamma+1}} \prod_{n=1}^m \left| \frac{\gamma - \gamma_n}{\gamma + \gamma_n + 1} \right|.$$

We intend now to apply (7.1) to the case of the Müntz space M_Λ^1 . Suppose $f(x) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \tilde{a}_n x^{n^2+1}$. According to (7.1), we have

$$(7.2) \quad |\tilde{a}_m| \leq \sqrt{2m^2+3} \prod_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq m}}^{\infty} \left| \frac{m^2+n^2+3}{m^2-n^2} \right| \|f\|_2.$$

We break in three parts the infinite product in the right-hand side. The first two are estimated simply:

$$(7.3) \quad \prod_{n=1}^{m-1} \left| \frac{m^2+n^2+3}{m^2-n^2} \right| \leq \prod_{n=1}^{m-1} \frac{(m+n)^2}{m^2-n^2} = \frac{(2m-1)!}{m!(m-1)!}.$$

$$(7.4) \quad \prod_{n=m+1}^{2m} \left| \frac{m^2+n^2+3}{m^2-n^2} \right| \leq \prod_{n=m+1}^{2m} \frac{(m+n)^2}{n^2-m^2} = \frac{(3m)!}{(2m)!m!}.$$

As for the third, we have

$$\prod_{n=2m+1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{m^2+n^2+3}{m^2-n^2} \right| = \prod_{n=2m+1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{2m^2+3}{n^2-m^2} \right).$$

Using the inequality $\log(1+x) \leq x$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \log \left(\prod_{n=2m+1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{2m^2+3}{n^2-m^2} \right) \right) &= \sum_{n=2m+1}^{\infty} \log \left(1 + \frac{2m^2+3}{n^2-m^2} \right) \\ &\leq (2m^2+3) \sum_{n=2m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2-m^2} \\ &\leq \frac{(\log 3)(2m^2+3)}{2m}, \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$(7.5) \quad \prod_{n=2m+1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{m^2+n^2+1}{m^2-n^2} \right| \leq 3^{\frac{2m^2+3}{2m}}.$$

Remembering now Stirling's formula:

$$\sqrt{2\pi N} \left(\frac{N}{e} \right)^N e^{\frac{1}{12N+1}} \leq N! \leq \sqrt{2\pi N} \left(\frac{N}{e} \right)^N e^{\frac{1}{12N}}$$

we obtain from (7.3), (7.4), and (7.5), after some majorizations,

$$|\tilde{a}_m| \leq m3^{\frac{8m^2+3}{2m}} \|f\|_2.$$

Consider now a polynomial $p(x) = \sum_m a_m x^{m^2} \in M_\Lambda^1$. If $\tilde{p}(x) = \int_0^x p(t) dt$, then $\tilde{p}(x) = \sum_m \frac{a_m}{m^2+1} x^{m^2+1} \in M_\Lambda^1$, and we may apply the previous estimate to \tilde{p} , obtaining

$$|a_m| \leq m(m^2 + 1)3^{\frac{8m^2+3}{2m}} \|\tilde{p}\|_2 \leq m(m^2 + 1)3^{\frac{8m^2+3}{2m}} \|\tilde{p}\|_\infty \leq 100^m \|p\|_1.$$

Take $\epsilon > 0$. For any $x \in [0, 1 - \epsilon]$, we have

$$|p(x)| \leq \sum_m |a_m|(1 - \epsilon)^{m^2} \leq \sum_m 100^m(1 - \epsilon)^{m^2} \|p\|_1 \leq C_1 e^{\frac{C}{\epsilon}} \|p\|_1.$$

The last estimate is obtained from the fact [5, p. 57] that, for $a > 1$,

$$\sum_{m \geq 1} a^m x^{m^2} \sim e^{-\frac{(\log a)^2}{4 \log x}}$$

when $x \nearrow 1$.

In conclusion, for the sequence $\Lambda = (i^2)_{i \geq 1}$ we can use in Theorem 2.6 and Corollary 2.7 the function

$$\kappa(t) = C_1 e^{\frac{C}{1-t}}$$

in order to obtain sufficient conditions for a Λ -embedding measure μ . There is a big gap between these conditions and the necessary sublinearity given by Proposition 4.3.

8. Applications: weighted composition operators

A starting point for this paper was Section 4.2 from [1], which studies certain weighted composition operators on M_Λ^1 . We show below how the main results therein fit in our general frame. In this section Λ is an arbitrary fixed sequence.

Let ϕ, ψ be two Borel functions on $[0, 1]$, such that $\phi([0, 1]) \subset [0, 1]$. For any Borel function f one can define

$$C_\phi(f) = f \circ \phi, \quad \mathcal{T}_\psi(f) = \psi f.$$

At this level of generality, C_ϕ and \mathcal{T}_ψ are linear mappings on the vector space of Borel functions. We are interested in conditions under which the *weighted composition operator* $\mathcal{T}_\psi \circ C_\phi$ maps M_Λ^1 boundedly into L^1 ; in case this happens, we may want to compute the essential norm.

This can be viewed in a standard way as an embedding problem. Recall that the *pullback* of a measure ν by ϕ is the measure $\phi^*\nu$ on $[0, 1]$ defined by

$$\phi^*\nu(E) = \nu(\phi^{-1}(E))$$

for any Borel set E . Then the formula

$$(8.1) \quad \int_0^1 |\psi(x)f(\phi(x))|dx = \int_{[0,1]} |f|d(\phi^*(|\psi|dm))$$

is easily checked on characteristic functions, whence it extends to all Borel functions on $[0, 1]$.

LEMMA 8.1. — *Define the measure $\mu = \phi^*(|\psi|dm)$. Then*

- (i) $\mathcal{T}_\psi \circ C_\phi$ is bounded from M_Λ^1 to L^1 if and only if μ is a Λ -embedding measure.
- (ii) $\mathcal{T}_\psi \circ C_\phi$ is compact from M_Λ^1 to L^1 if and only if ι_μ is compact.
- (iii) Suppose that $m(\phi^{-1}(\{1\})) = 0$. If $\mu'_n = \mu|_{[1-\frac{1}{n}, 1]}$, then

$$\|\mathcal{T}_\psi \circ C_\phi\|_e = \|\iota_\mu\|_e = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\iota_{\mu'_n}\|.$$

Proof. — Formula (8.1) implies that the map J defined by $J(f) = \psi(f \circ \phi)$ is an isometry from $L^1(\mu)$ into L^1 . Since we have

$$(8.2) \quad \mathcal{T}_\psi \circ C_\phi = J \circ \iota_\mu,$$

(i) and (ii) follow immediately.

As for (iii), we will apply Lemma 3.4 to the case $X = M_\Lambda^1$, $(E, \nu) = ([0, 1], m)$, $T = \mathcal{T}_\psi \circ C_\phi$, and $E_n = \phi^{-1}([1 - \frac{1}{n}, 1])$. The hypothesis on ϕ implies that

$$m\left(\bigcap_n \phi^{-1}([1 - \frac{1}{n}, 1])\right) = 0;$$

on the other hand, since P_n is the natural projection onto $L^1(\phi^{-1}([1 - \frac{1}{n}, 1]))$, one verifies easily that

$$(I - P_n)T = J \circ (\iota_\mu - \iota_{\mu'_n}),$$

whence $(I - P_n)T$ is compact by Proposition 3.2. It follows then from Lemma 3.4 that

$$\|\mathcal{T}_\psi \circ C_\phi\|_e = \lim_n \|J \circ \iota_{\mu'_n}\| = \lim_n \|\iota_{\mu'_n}\|. \quad \square$$

One can now recover some of the results in [1], where some regularity on ϕ, ψ is assumed; in this case we can describe more precisely the measure $\phi^*(|\psi|dm)$, as shown by the following lemma, whose proof is a simple computation.

LEMMA 8.2. — Suppose $[a, b] \subset [0, 1]$ and $\phi^{-1}([a, b])$ is the union of p intervals $[x_i, y_i]$, which have at most an end point in common, and, for each $i = 1, \dots, p$, $\phi_i := \phi|_{[x_i, y_i]}$ belongs to $C^1([x_i, y_i])$, with $\phi'_i(x) \neq 0$. Then the restriction of $\phi^*(|\psi|dm)$ to $[a, b]$ is absolutely continuous, with density

$$(8.3) \quad \rho(x) = \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{|\psi(\phi_i^{-1}(x))|}{|\phi'_i(\phi_i^{-1}(x))|}.$$

The next proposition is Lemma 4.2.8 in [1].

PROPOSITION 8.3. — Suppose that $\phi \in C^1$. Then C_ϕ is bounded from M_Λ^1 to L^1 if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (1) $\phi([0, 1]) \subset [0, 1]$;
- (2) $\phi^{-1}(\{1\}) \subset \{0, 1\}$ and $\phi(x_0) = 1 \implies \phi'(x_0) \neq 0$.

Proof. — If $\phi([0, 1]) \subset [0, a]$ with $a < 1$, then ϕ^*dm is supported in $[0, a]$ and thus Λ -embedding by Corollary 2.4. By Lemma 8.1, we conclude that C_ϕ is bounded from M_Λ^1 to L^1 .

Suppose now that $x_0 \in [0, 1]$ satisfies $\phi(x_0) = 1$ and $\phi'(x_0) = 0$. If $\delta > 0$, let $\epsilon > 0$ be such that $|\phi'(x)| \leq \delta$ for $|x - x_0| < \epsilon$. Then $\phi(x) \geq 1 - \delta\epsilon$ for $|x - x_0| < \epsilon$, whence $(\phi^*m)(J_{\delta\epsilon}) \geq \epsilon$. Therefore $\frac{(\phi^*m)(J_{\delta\epsilon})}{\delta\epsilon} \geq \delta^{-1}$, which implies by Lemma 4.1 that ϕ^*m is not Λ -embedding and therefore C_ϕ is not bounded from M_Λ^1 to L^1 .

Thus, if C_ϕ is bounded from M_Λ^1 to L^1 , then $\phi(x_0) = 1$ implies $\phi'(x_0) \neq 0$. This already proves the necessity part of the proposition, since if $x_0 \in (0, 1)$ and $\phi(x_0) = 1$ then x_0 is a local maximum for ϕ and thus $\phi'(x_0) = 0$.

Finally, let us suppose that $\phi^{-1}(\{1\}) = \{0, 1\}$, $\phi'(0) \neq 0$, $\phi'(1) \neq 0$ (the other cases are simpler). Then there is $\delta > 0$ such that $\phi^{-1}([1 - \delta, 1])$ is the union of two intervals $[0, a_1]$ and $[a_2, 1]$ on which ϕ' is not zero. We may apply Lemma 8.2 to conclude that ϕ^*m restricted to J_δ is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure, with bounded density; it follows then by Corollary 2.4 (ii) that ϕ^*m is Λ -embedding, that is, C_ϕ is bounded. □

The following definition appears in [1]: the measurable function $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ satisfies condition (α) if

- (a) $\phi^{-1}(1) = \{x_1, \dots, x_p\}$ is finite;
- (b) there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that, for each $i = 1, \dots, p$, $\phi \in C^1([x_i - \epsilon, x_i])$ and $\phi \in C^1([x_i, x_i + \epsilon])$;
- (c) $\phi'_-(x_i) > 0$ and $\phi'_+(x_i) < 0$ for all i , where $\phi'_-(x_i)$ (respectively $\phi'_+(x_i)$) is the left (respectively right) derivative of ϕ at x_i ;
- (d) there exists $\alpha < 1$ such that, if $x \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^p (x_i - \epsilon, x_i + \epsilon)$, then $\phi(x) \leq \alpha$.

The next result combines Theorems 4.2.11 and 4.2.15 from [1].

PROPOSITION 8.4. — *Suppose $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ satisfies the condition (α) , while $\psi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is continuous. Then $\mathcal{T}_\psi \circ C_\phi$ is bounded, and*

$$\|\mathcal{T}_\psi \circ C_\phi\|_e = \sum_{i=1}^p |\psi(x_i)|L(x_i),$$

where

$$L(x_i) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\phi'_-(x_i)} + \frac{1}{|\phi'_+(x_i)|} & \text{if } x_i \in (0, 1), \\ \frac{1}{\phi'_-(x_i)} & \text{if } x_i = 1, \\ \frac{1}{|\phi'_+(x_i)|} & \text{if } x_i = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. — If we define $\mu = \phi^*(|\psi|dm)$, then condition (α) implies that, for some $\delta > 0$, $\phi^{-1}([1 - \delta, 1])$ is the union of $2p$ intervals $[x_i - \eta_i, x_i]$ and $[x_i, x_i + \eta'_i]$, $i = 1, \dots, p$, with $\phi(x_i - \eta_i) = \phi(x_i + \eta'_i) = 1 - \delta$, and on each of these intervals ϕ' is not zero. Thus the interval $[1 - \delta, 1]$ satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 8.2. We may then apply Corollary 3.6 to μ , and formula (8.3) gives $a = \sum_{i=1}^p |\psi(x_i)|L(x_i)$. Therefore ν_μ is bounded and its essential norm is $\sum_{i=1}^p |\psi(x_i)|L(x_i)$. Part (iii) of Lemma 8.1 then finishes the proof. \square

One should note that both Propositions 8.3 and 8.4 are valid for any Λ . This is a consequence of rather strong hypotheses on ϕ and ψ (correspondingly, on the measure μ).

Acknowledgment. — The authors wish to thank Waleed Noor for useful remarks and Pascal Lefèvre for helpful discussions on Müntz spaces, starting point of this work.

The authors were partially supported by the ANR project FRAB.

After the paper was accepted, the authors noted that the application of Corollary 6.1.3 of [4] in the proof of Theorem 5.5 does not lead to the desired result. However, the proof can be corrected using Corollary 8.1.2 of [4] instead. The constant d in Formula (5.3) should be replaced by $2N^2$. The end of the proof remains unchanged.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] I. AL ALAM, “Géométrie des espaces de Müntz et opérateurs de composition à poids”, PhD Thesis, Université Lille 1, 2008.
- [2] ———, “Essential norms of weighted composition operators on Müntz spaces”, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **358** (2009), no. 2, p. 273-280.

- [3] P. BORWEIN & T. ERDÉLYI, *Polynomials and polynomial inequalities*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 161, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995, x+480 pages.
- [4] V. I. GURARIY & W. LUSKY, *Geometry of Müntz spaces and related questions*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1870, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005, xiv+172 pages.
- [5] G. H. HARDY, *Orders of infinity. The Infinitärcalcul of Paul du Bois-Reymond*, Hafner Publishing Co., New York, 1971, Reprint of the 1910 edition, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics, no. 12, v+62 pages.
- [6] A. SPALSBURY, “Perturbations in Müntz’s theorem”, *J. Approx. Theory* **150** (2008), no. 1, p. 48-68.

Manuscrit reçu le 11 mars 2009,
accepté le 10 avril 2010.

Isabelle CHALENDAR & Emmanuel FRICAIN
Université de Lyon 1
INSA de Lyon, École Centrale de Lyon
CNRS, UMR5208, Institut Camille Jordan
43 bld. du 11 novembre 1918
69622 Villeurbanne Cedex (France)
chalenda@math.univ-lyon1.fr
fricain@math.univ-lyon1.fr

Dan TIMOTIN
Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy
PO Box 1-764
Bucharest 014700 (Romania)
Dan.Timotin@imar.ro