

ANNALES DE L'I. H. P., SECTION A

G. AUBERSON

L. EPELE

G. MAHOUX

F. R. A. SIMÃO

Addendum to “Rigorous absolute bounds for pion-pion scattering. II. Solving modified Szegő-Meiman problems”

Annales de l'I. H. P., section A, tome 26, n° 1 (1977), p. 107-108

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIHPA_1977__26_1_107_0

© Gauthier-Villars, 1977, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'I. H. P., section A » implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

**Addendum to « Rigorous absolute bounds
for pion-pion scattering.
II. Solving modified Szegő-Meiman problems »**

by

G. AUBERSON, L. EPELE, G. MAHOUX and F. R. A. SIMÃO

In ref. [1], use has been made of an asymptotic formula for Legendre polynomials, (see eq. (J. 3), p. 364) which is due to Watson [2]. After that article was published, we discovered that Watson's formula indeed is wrong. The object of this note is, firstly, to establish the correct one, secondly, to make the appropriate changes in ref. [1].

The starting point of Watson in its original paper [3] is the following expression of Legendre polynomials.

$$P_l(\cosh \tau) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} e^{-lt} \{ (1 - e^{t-\tau})(e^{t+\tau} - 1) \}^{-\frac{1}{2}} dt, \quad (1)$$

which can be rewritten as

$$P_l(\cosh \tau) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} e^{-(l+\frac{1}{2})t} \{ 2(\cosh \tau - \cosh t) \}^{-\frac{1}{2}} dt. \quad (2)$$

Although this last formula has not been explicitly written in ref. [3], it seems to us that Watson has used it with e^{-lt} instead of $e^{-(l+\frac{1}{2})t}$. As a consequence in his asymptotic estimate of $P_l(\cosh \tau)$, l has to be changed into $l + \frac{1}{2}$, which gives, in place of the wrong formula (5.72.3) of ref. [2].

$$P_l(\cosh \tau) = \left(\frac{\tau}{\sinh \tau} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} I_0 \left(\left(l + \frac{1}{2} \right) \tau \right) + c |\tau|^2 \frac{\sinh \operatorname{Re} \left[\left(l + \frac{1}{2} \right) \tau \right]}{\operatorname{Re} \left[\left(l + \frac{1}{2} \right) \tau \right]}, \quad (3)$$

where τ and l may be complex, and*

$$|c| < \frac{\sqrt{10}}{16\pi}, \quad \text{for } |\tau| \leq 1. \quad (4)$$

From this result, one easily obtains that, when τ complex goes to zero and $|\text{Arg } \tau| \leq \frac{\pi}{2} - \varepsilon$, ε being fixed and strictly positive, then

$$\frac{1}{P_l(\cosh \tau)^\omega} = \frac{1}{I_0\left(\left(l + \frac{1}{2}\right)\tau\right)^\omega} [1 + \mathcal{O}(\tau^2)], \quad (\omega > 0) \quad (5)$$

where $\mathcal{O}(\tau^2)$ is uniform in l ($0 \leq l \leq \infty$). This modifies Eqs. (J.5) and (J.6) of ref. [1], and leads to the following formula

$$\sum_{\text{even}} \frac{2l+1}{P_l(z)^\omega} = \frac{1}{z^2-1} \int_0^\infty \frac{xdx}{I_0(x)^\omega} + R_\omega(z), \quad (6)$$

where $R_\omega(z)$ remains bounded when

$$z \rightarrow 1, \quad |\text{Arg}(z-1)| \leq \pi - \varepsilon, \quad (7)$$

ε being as above.

Furthermore, by using the Cauchy inequalities, one immediately obtains that the derivative of $(z-1)R_\omega(z)$ remains bounded under conditions (7), a result much stronger than the Lipschitz continuity of $(z-1)R_1(z)$ for real $z \geq 1$, proved in ref. [1].

Note that the second term in the right hand-side of Eq. (J.6) of ref. [1] has now disappeared in the correct formula (6). As a consequence, the term

$\rho_0 \sqrt{\cos \frac{\theta}{2}}$ in the decomposition (II, 42) of ref. [1] of the weight function $\bar{\rho}(\theta)$,

term which is Lipschitz continuous of order $\frac{1}{2}$, is not there, and $\bar{\rho}(\theta)$ is itself Lipschitz continuous of order 1. This simplifies the proof of Theorem 2 which of course still holds. Nothing is changed concerning the numerical calculation of bounds.

(*) We do not agree with Watson's calculation of the upper bound of $|c|$ in the neighbourhood $|\tau| \leq 1$, namely $|c| < 2/(5.6^{3/2})$, which is more than two times smaller than our figure.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. AUBERSON, L. EPELE, G. MAHOX and F. R. A. SIMÃO, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré*, t. XXII, 1975, p. 317.
- [2] G. N. WATSON, *A treatise on the theory of Bessel functions*. Cambridge University Press, 1958, section 5.72.
- [3] G. N. WATSON, *Messenger of Mathematics*, t. XLVII, 1918, p. 151.

(Manuscrit reçu le 23 janvier 1976)