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ABSTRACT. — We develop a new spectral deformation method in order to treat the resonance problem in one-body systems. Our result on the meromorphic continuation of matrix elements of the resolvent across the continuous spectrum overlaps considerably with an earlier result of E. Balslev [B] but our method is much simpler and more convenient, we believe, in applications. It is inspired by the local distortion technique of Nuttall-Thomas-Babbitt-Balslev (see [BB2] [J1] [J2] [N] [T]), further developed in [B] but patterned on the complex scaling method of Combes and Balslev (see [AC] [BC] [Sim1] [Hu]). The method is applicable to the multicenter problems in which each potential can be represented, roughly speaking, as a sum of exponentially decaying and dilation-analytic, spherically symmetric parts.

RéSUMÉ. — Nous développons une nouvelle méthode de déformation spectrale pour traiter le problème des résonances dans les systèmes à un corps. Notre résultat sur le prolongement méromorphe d’éléments de matrice de la résolvante à travers le spectre continu a un recouvrement important avec un résultat antérieur de Balslev [B], mais notre méthode est beaucoup plus simple et nous semble plus commode pour les applications. Elle est inspirée par la technique de distorsion locale de Nuttal-Thomas-Babbitt-Balslev (voir [BB2] [J1] [J2] [N] [T]) développée ensuite dans [B], mais elle suit la méthode de changement d’échelle com-
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plexe de Combes et Balslev (voir [AC] [BC] [Sim1] [Hu]). La méthode s'applique aux problèmes à plusieurs centres pour lesquels chaque potentiel peut être représenté, en gros, comme une somme de termes à symétrie sphérique, analytiques par dilatation et à décroissance exponentielle.

1. INTRODUCTION

The complex scaling method, which is, essentially, due to J. M. Combes and E. Balslev ([C] [BC] [AC], see also [Sim1]) turned out to be a very effective tool in the study of resonances (see [San], especially the contribution by B. Simon [Sim2]). However the method is restricted to the dilation analytic potentials, hence its preoccupation with atomic systems. $C_0^0$ and multicenter (i.e. of the form $\Sigma V(x - R_i)$) potentials are not treated by this method. This leaves out an essential part of the nuclear systems and the entire subject of Born-Oppenheimer molecules. Two rigorous methods were developed in order to overcome this restriction: local distortion technique due to Babbitt and Balslev [BB2], Nuttall [N] and Thomas [T] (see also [J1] [J2]) and the exterior complex scaling method of Simon [Sim3] (we mention also non-rigorous but effective method of McCurdy and Rescigno [McCR]).

In this paper we propose the third-complex transformation-method. This method generalizes the complex scaling method in a way different from the exterior scaling method and which is close in spirit to the local distortion technique. As in the other two methods its aim is to deform the spectrum of a Hamiltonian, $H$, in question. It is applicable to one-particle systems with multicenter potential, produced by sums of exponentially decaying and dilation-analytic, spherically symmetric terms. It proves for these potentials that the matrix elements of the resolvent $\langle u, (H - z)^{-1}v \rangle$ on a dense set of vectors $u$ and $v$ have meromorphic continuations across the continuous spectrum of $H$ (into the second Riemann sheet) with poles of these continuations occuring at the complex eigenvalues of a certain non-self-adjoint operator (and which, of course, are independent of $u$ and $v$). This result overlaps considerably with one of Balslev [B] but our proof is simpler and is, we believe, more accessible to generalizations. The following problems suggest themselves naturally to the next attack:

i) Complex transformation method for many-body systems.

ii) Existence of resonances in the multicenter problem (Born-Oppenheimer molecules).
2. RESULTS

We consider a class of Schrödinger operators $H = -\Delta + V(x)$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Here $\Delta$ is the Laplacian and $V$ is a real potential. We assume that $V$ is $\Delta$-compact, i.e., compact as a multiplication operator from the Sobolev space $H_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then $H$ is self-adjoint on $D(H) = D(\Delta) = H_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(H) = \sigma(-\Delta) = [0, \infty)$ (see [RSII] [IV] [Sig1]).

We use the following notations: $\| \zeta \| = \left( \sum |\zeta_i|^2 \right)^{1/2}$ and

$$|\zeta| = \left( \sum |\zeta_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} = (\| \Re \zeta \|^2 + \| \Im \zeta \|^2)^{1/2}$$

for $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Note: $\| x \| = |x|$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

To apply our technique we assume henceforth the following

CONDITION 2.1. — The Fourier transform $\hat{V}(p)$ of $V(x)$ is the restriction to $\mathbb{R}^n$ of a function $\hat{V}(\zeta)$, analytic in the domain

$$\Lambda_{\delta, \alpha} \equiv \{ \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \| \Im \zeta \| \leq \delta \| \Re \zeta \| (1 + \sigma \| \Re \zeta \|)^{-\alpha} \}$$

(2.1)

for some $\delta, \sigma > 0$ and $\alpha$ and which satisfies

$$|\hat{V}(\zeta)| \leq C \| \Re \zeta \|^{-n+\beta}(1 + \| \Re \zeta \|)^{2-\gamma}$$

(2.2)

for some $\gamma > \beta > 0$.

LEMMA 2.2. — Let $V(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} V_i(x - R_i)$, where $R_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $V_i(x)$ can be written as $V_i = U_i + W_i$ with

$$U_i(x) = \| x \|^{-2+\delta_i} g_i(x)$$

and

$$D^\sigma g_i(x) \leq C e^{-\varepsilon_i \| x \|}$$

for $|\sigma| \leq m > n$ and some $\delta_i, \varepsilon_i > 0$, and $W_i(x)$ are dilation-analytic and spherically symmetric with analytic continuations obeying (as a function of the radial variable only)

$$|\hat{W}_i(z)| \leq C |z|^{-n+\beta}(1 + |z|)^{2-\gamma}.$$  

(2.3)

Then $V$ satisfies condition 2.1 with $\alpha = 1$ and some $\delta$.

Proof. — Note first that if $V(x)$ satisfies condition 2.1, then so does $V(x - R)$ for any $R \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and with the same $\delta$ and $\alpha' = \max (\alpha, 1)$. Clearly, the class of potentials in question is also closed under the addition.

Furthermore, $g_i$ have analytic continuations into the sets

$$\{ \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \| \Im \zeta \| < \varepsilon'_i \},$$

where $\varepsilon'_i < \varepsilon_i$, which satisfy the estimates

$$|\hat{g}_i(\zeta)| \leq C(1 + |\zeta|)^{-m}.$$
Using elementary properties of the convolution one concludes that $\hat{U}_i$ have analytic continuations to the same sets and these continuations verify the estimate

$$|\hat{U}_i(\zeta)| \leq C(1 + |\zeta|)^{-n+2-\delta_i}.$$ 

The Fourier transform of $W_i$ is also spherically-symmetric. Let

$$\hat{W}_i(p) = h(\|p\|).$$ 

By the Babbitt-Balslev theorem [BB1, th. 4.1] $h$ is the restriction of a function $h(z)$, analytic in a sector

$$\{ z \in \mathbb{C} | |\arg z| < \varphi \} \quad \text{for some } \varphi > 0.$$ 

Hence $\hat{W}_i(p)$ is a restriction to $\mathbb{R}^n$ of the function $h(\|\zeta\|)$ analytic in

$$\{ \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n | |\arg \|\zeta\|| < \varphi \}.$$ 

This domain contains $\Lambda_{0,0}$, provided $\frac{\pi}{4} \geq \varphi \geq \frac{\delta}{1-\delta}$. Indeed, using

$$\|\zeta\|^2 = |\Re \zeta|^2 - |\Im \zeta|^2 + 2i \Re \zeta \cdot \Im \zeta,$$

we compute for $|\arg \|\zeta\|| \leq \frac{\pi}{4}$,

$$|\arg \|\zeta\|| \leq \frac{1}{2} |\tan (\arg \|\zeta\|^2)| = \frac{|\Re \zeta \cdot \Im \zeta|}{|\Re \zeta|^2 - |\Im \zeta|^2}.$$

If $|\Im \zeta| \leq \delta |\Re \zeta|$, this gives

$$|\arg \|\zeta\|| \leq \frac{\delta}{1-\delta}.$$ 

Now, $h(\|\zeta\|)$ obeys estimate (2.2) on $\Lambda_{0,0}$, $\delta = \frac{\varphi}{1+\varphi}$, as follows from (2.3) and the inequality

$$(1-\delta^2) |\Re \zeta|^2 \leq |\zeta|^2 \leq \sqrt{1+\delta^2} |\Re \zeta|^2.$$ 

Denote by $\mathcal{A}_0$ the set of vectors $u$ from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ whose Fourier transforms $\hat{u}$ are the restrictions of functions analytic in domains (2.1) and obeying the estimate

$$|\hat{u}(\zeta)| \leq C(1 + |\Re \zeta|)^{-\mu}, \quad \mu > \frac{n}{2}.$$ 

The next theorem overlaps considerably with the Balslev theorem [B].

**Theorem 2.3.** — Assume $V$ satisfies condition 2.1. Then $\langle u, (H - \lambda)^{-1}v \rangle$ with $u, v \in \mathcal{A}_0$ admits a meromorphic continuation from $\mathbb{C}^+$ (resp. $\mathbb{C}^-$) to a $\overline{\mathbb{C}^+}$ (resp. $\overline{\mathbb{C}^-}$) neighbourhood of $\lambda$. The poles of this continuation occur at and only at the eigenvalues of a certain non-self-adjoint operator constructed below (see (3.16), (3.17)). They are independent of $u$ and $v.$
3. COMPLEX TRANSFORMATION METHOD

In this section we develop the complex transformation method which is our principal tool in tackling the resonance problem.

Let \( f \) be a real, bounded and boundedly differentiable vector-field on \( \mathbb{R}^n \). This vector field defines uniquely the flow \( \varphi_\theta : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n \),

\[
\frac{d}{d\theta} \varphi_\theta(p) = f(\varphi_\theta(p)) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_0(p) = p. \tag{3.1}
\]

Define the one-parameter family

\[
U_f(\theta) : \psi(p) \to [J(\varphi_\theta(p))]^{1/2} \psi(\varphi_\theta(p)), \tag{3.2}
\]

where \( J(\varphi) \) is the Jacobian of \( \varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n \). Note that since \( \varphi_\theta \) is a flow, \( J(\varphi_\theta(p)) > 0 \) for all \( p \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( \theta \). \( U_f(\theta) \) is, actually, a group of unitary operators:

\[
U_f(\theta)^* U_f(\theta) = 1 \tag{3.3}
\]

and

\[
U_f(\theta) U_f(\delta) = U_f(\theta + \delta). \tag{3.4}
\]

The unitarity follows readily from the definition and the group property follows from the corresponding property of the flow:

\[
\varphi_\delta(\varphi_\theta(p)) = \varphi_{\theta + \delta}(p). \]

REMARK 3.1. — A straightforward computation gives the expression for the generator \( D_f \) of \( U_f(\theta) \):

\[
D_f = \frac{1}{2} [ f(p) \cdot \nabla_p + \nabla_p \cdot f(p) ]. \tag{3.5}
\]

For \( f(p) = p \), this, as expected, is the generator of dilations. As a generator of a one-parameter unitary group, \( D_f \) is self-adjoint. Independently, this can be verified using the commutator theorem [RSII].

Earlier and in a different context the group \( U_f(\theta) \) was considered by E. Mourre [Mo] (we thank E. Mourre for pointing this out to us during our communication about the present work).

We impose the following restriction on the vector-field \( f \):

CONDITION 3.2. — \( f \) is the restriction of a function (denoted by the same symbol \( f \)) analytic in the tube

\[
\{ \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \| \text{Im} \, \zeta \| < b \} \tag{3.7}
\]
and obeying there the estimates
\[ |f(\zeta)| \leq C \| \text{Re} \zeta \| (1 + \| \text{Re} \zeta \|)^{-\alpha} \quad \text{for some } \alpha \geq 1 \] (3.8)
and
\[ \| Df(\zeta) \| \leq C. \] (3.9)
Here \( \| \cdot \| \) denotes the (operator) norm for \( n \times n \) complex matrices and \( (Df)(\zeta) \) stands for the derivative of \( f \) at \( \zeta \) (an \( n \times n \) matrix). Let
\[ M = \sup_{|\text{Im} \zeta| \leq b} |f(\zeta)|. \]

**LEMME 3.3.** — Assume \( f \) obeys condition 3.2. Then \( \varphi_\theta \) and \( J(\varphi_\theta) \) have analytic continuations in \( \theta \) into the complex strip \( \{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\text{Im} z| < bM^{-1} \} \) and these continuations verify the estimates for \( \gamma \in \left( 0, \frac{1}{3} \mathbb{C} \right) \)
\[ \frac{1}{2} \| p \| \leq \| \text{Re} \varphi_{\gamma y}(p) \| \leq \frac{3}{2} \| p \|, \] (3.10)
\[ \frac{1}{2} \| p - q \| \leq \| \text{Re} (\varphi_{\gamma y}(p) - \varphi_{\gamma y}(q)) \| \leq \frac{3}{2} \| p - q \| \] (3.11)
and
\[ | J(\varphi_{\gamma y})(p) | \leq e^{n \gamma y}. \] (3.12)
Moreover, \( \text{Image } \varphi_{\gamma y} \) and \( \text{Image } \varphi_{\gamma y} - \text{Image } \varphi_{\gamma y} \subset \Lambda_{\delta, \alpha} \) with \( \delta = 3Cy \).

**Proof.** — The existence of the analytic continuations is guaranteed by the general O. D. E. theory (see [CL, pp. 34-36]). To prove the estimates we use a standard tool, the integral equation
\[ \varphi_\theta(p) = p + \int_0^\theta f(\varphi_\zeta(p))dz, \] (3.13)
where the integral is taken along a complex path joining 0 and \( \theta \), say, along the straight line. We have
\[ | \varphi_{\gamma y}(p) | \leq \| p \| + \int_0^y | f(\varphi_{\theta y}(p)) | ds \leq \| p \| + C \int_0^y | \varphi_{\theta y}(p) | ds \]
\[ \leq \| p \| + Cy \sup_{0 \leq s \leq y} | \varphi_{\theta y}(p) |. \]
This implies for \( Cy < 1 \),
\[ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq y} | \varphi_{\theta y}(p) | \leq (1 - Cy)^{-1} \| p \|. \] (3.14)
This inequality yields
\[ \int_0^y | f(\varphi_{\theta y}(p)) | ds \leq C \int_0^y | \varphi_{\theta y}(p) | ds \leq Cy(1 - Cy)^{-1} \| p \| \leq \frac{1}{2} \| p \|. \]
This together with equation (3.13) gives
\[ \frac{1}{2} \| p \| \leq \| \text{Re} \varphi_{iy}(p) \| \leq \frac{3}{2} \| p \|. \]

(3.11) is proved in the same way as (3.10) but one uses (3.9) instead of \( |f(\zeta)| \leq C \| \text{Re} \zeta \| \).

To show (3.12), one uses the known formula [CL, p. 36]
\[ J(\varphi_{iy})(p) = \exp \int_0^\theta \text{tr} (Df)(\varphi_{iy}(p)) ds, \]
where \( \text{tr} (Df)(p) \) is the trace of the matrix \( Df(p) \equiv \left[ \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial p_j} (p) \right] \), and remarks that \( |\text{Tr} \; A| \leq n ||A|| \).

Finally we show that \( \text{Image} \varphi_{iy} \) and \( \text{Image} \varphi_{iy} - \text{Image} \varphi_{iy} \subset \Lambda_{\delta,\alpha} \).

Using estimate (4.10) and equation (3.13) we obtain
\[ \| \text{Im} \varphi_{iy}(p) \| \leq C \int_0^\gamma \| \text{Re} \varphi_{iy}(p) \| (1 + \| \text{Re} \varphi_{iy}(p) \|)^{-\alpha} ds \]
\[ \leq Cy(1 - Cy)^{-1} \| \text{Re} \varphi_{iy}(p) \| \left( 1 + \frac{1}{3} \| \text{Re} \varphi_{iy}(p) \| \right)^{-1}. \]

This inequality yields
\[ \| \text{Im} (\varphi_{iy}(p) - \varphi_{iy}(q)) \| \leq 9Cy \left( 1 + \frac{1}{3} \| \text{Re} (\varphi_{iy}(p) - \varphi_{iy}(q)) \| \right)^{-\alpha + 1}. \]

Furthermore, equation (3.13), condition (3.9) and estimate (3.11) give
\[ \| \text{Im} (\varphi_{iy}(p) - \varphi_{iy}(q)) \| \leq 3Cy \| \text{Re} (\varphi_{iy}(p) - \varphi_{iy}(q)) \|. \]

The last inequalities imply that \( \text{Image} \varphi_{iy} \) and \( \text{Image} \varphi_{iy} - \text{Image} \varphi_{iy} \subset \Lambda_{\delta,\alpha} \) with \( \delta = 3Cy. \)

Henceforth we are working in the momentum representation. Let \( T \) be the multiplication operator on \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \) by \( \| p \|^2 \) (the Fourier transform of the Laplacian). Due to equation (3.10), \( U_f(\theta) \) maps \( D(T) \) into \( D(T) \) (\( = D(H) \)) for real \( \theta \). Consider the family
\[ H(\theta) = U_f(\theta)HU_f(\theta)^{-1}. \]

We compute
\[ H(\theta) = T(\theta) + V(\theta), \]
where
\[ T(\theta) = T_{\phi_0} \quad \text{and} \quad V(\theta) = V_{\phi_0}. \]

Here we have used the following notations
\[ (T_\phi\psi)(p) = \| \phi(p) \|^2 \psi(p) \]
and (for $V$ satisfying condition 2.1 and for $\phi$ obeying $\text{Image } \phi - \text{Image } \phi \subset \Lambda_{x,0}$)

$$ (V_{\phi}(p) = [J(\phi)(p)]^{1/2} \int \hat{V}(\phi(p) - \phi(q)) [J(\phi)(q)]^{1/2} \psi(q) dq. \quad (3.19) $$

The definition of $T(\theta)$ implies

$$ \sigma(T(\theta)) = \| \text{Image } \phi \|^2. \quad (3.20) $$

**Lemma 3.4.** — If the vector-field $f$ obeys condition 3.2, then $H(\theta)$ has an analytic continuation in $\theta$ into the strip $\{ z \in \mathbb{C} | |\text{Im } z| < bM^{-1} \}$. This continuation is defined and closed in the same domain $D(T)$. Moreover,

$$ \sigma_{\text{ess}}(H(\theta)) = \sigma(T(\theta)). \quad (3.21) $$

**Proof.** — The lemma follows from condition 2.1 on $V$, lemma 3.3 and theorem 4.1 of the next section. \(\square\)

Now we derive the property of $H(\theta)$ to which the method owes its existence.

**Lemma 3.5.** — Let $\lambda \neq 0$ be real and let the vector field $f$ satisfy condition 3.2 and the conditions

$$ \| Df(\zeta) \| \leq C(1 + \| \text{Re } \zeta \|)^{-2} \quad (3.22) $$

and

$$ p \cdot f(p) > 0 \quad \text{on the sphere} \quad \| p \|^2 = \lambda. \quad (3.23) $$

Then for $\text{Im } \theta > 0$, sufficiently small, $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(H(\theta))$ is disjoint from a connected open set containing

$$ \{ z \in \mathbb{C} | \text{Im } z > C(\text{Im } \theta)(1 + |\text{Re } z|)^{-2} \} \cup \{ z \in \mathbb{C} | |z - \lambda| \leq c(\text{Im } \theta) \} \quad (3.24) $$

for some positive $C$ and $c$.

**Proof.** — The statement follows from equations (3.20) and (3.21) and lemma 3.6 below. \(\square\)

**Lemma 3.6.** — Under the conditions of lemma 3.5, $\{ \| \phi(p) \|^2 \forall p \in \mathbb{R}^n \}$ is disjoint from a connected open complex set containing (3.24).

**Proof.** — We use the identity

$$ \| \phi_\lambda(p) \|^2 = \| p \|^2 + \left\| \int_0^y f(\phi_\lambda(p)) ds \right\|^2 + 2 \int_0^y p \cdot f(\phi_\lambda(p)) ds. $$

Using again equation (3.13) we estimate

$$ |\phi_\lambda(p) - p| \leq sM(p), $$

where $M(p) = \sup_{|q| \leq M} |f(p + q)|$ (recall that $M = \sup_{|\text{Im } \zeta| \leq b} |f(\zeta)|$). This gives

$$ \int_0^y p \cdot (f(\phi_\lambda(p)) - f(p)) ds \leq M_1(p) \int_0^y |\phi_\lambda(p) - p| ds \leq \| p \| M_1(p)M(p) \frac{y^2}{2}, $$
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where

\[ M_1(p) = \sup_{|\xi| \leq M} \| (Df)(p + \xi) \|. \]

Next we have

\[ \left| \int_0^y f(\varphi_s(p)) ds \right| \leq yM(p), \]

which implies

\[ \text{Im} \| \varphi_s(p) \|^2 \geq 2yp \cdot f(p) - y^2(M(p))^2 + \frac{1}{2} M(p) \| p \| M_1(p)). \]

This inequality and the conditions on \( f(p) \) imply the statements of the lemma. \( \Box \)

4. SPECTRUM OF \( T_\varphi + V_\varphi \)

In this section we determine the essential spectrum of operators of the form \( T_\varphi + V_\varphi \), where \( T_\varphi \) and \( V_\varphi \) are defined in (3.18) and (3.19). We restrict

\[ \varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \]

and there exist constants \( C_i > c_i > 0 \) s. t.

\[ c_1 \| p \| \leq \| \text{Re} \varphi(p) \| \leq C_2 \| p \|, \]

\[ c_2 \| p \| \leq \| \text{Re} (\varphi(p) - \varphi(q)) \| \leq C_2 \| p \| - q \|, \]

\[ \| J(\varphi)(p) \| \leq C_3. \]

**Theorem 4.1.** Let \( V \) obey condition 2.1 and let \( \varphi \) satisfy conditions (4.1)-(4.4). Then \( T_\varphi + V_\varphi \) is defined as a closed operator in \( D(T) \) and

\[ \sigma_{\text{ess}}(T_\varphi + V_\varphi) = \sigma(T_\varphi) = \| \varphi(\mathbb{R}^n) \|^2. \]

**Proof.** Observe first that, by (4.1) and (4.2), \( D(T_\varphi) = D(T) \). Moreover,

\[ \sigma_{\text{ess}}(T_\varphi) = \sigma(T_\varphi) = \| \text{Image} \varphi \|^2. \]

By an abstract result of [Sig2, th. 5], it suffices to show that \( V_\varphi \) is \( T_\varphi \)-compact (in the restricted sense: \( D(V_\varphi) \supseteq D(T_\varphi) \), \( \rho(T_\varphi) \neq \emptyset \) and \( V_\varphi(T_\varphi - \lambda)^{-1} \) is compact for some, and therefore all, \( \lambda \in \rho(T_\varphi) \)).

**Lemma 4.2.** Let \( V \) satisfy condition 2.1 and let \( \varphi \) obey (4.1)-(4.4). Then \( V_\varphi \) defines a \( T_\varphi \)-compact operator.

**Proof.** Conditions (2.2) and (4.2)-(4.4) imply that

\[ |J_\varphi(p)|^{1/2} |\hat{\varphi}(\varphi(p) - \varphi(q))| |J_\varphi(q)|^{1/2} \leq C W(p - q), \]

where \( W(p) = \| p \|^{-r+\beta} (1 + \| p \|^2)^{-r} \in (L^r + L^1)(\mathbb{R}^n) \) with \( r < \frac{\nu}{\nu - 2} \).
Taking this into consideration and using the Young inequality (see [RSII]) we obtain
\[ \| V_{\varphi}u \| \leq a \| Tu \| + b \| u \| \]
for some \( a \) and \( b \). Using now (4.1) and (4.2) and definition (2.1) of \( \Lambda_{\delta,z} \) we derive
\[ \| V_{\varphi}u \| \leq C(\| T_{\varphi}u \| + b \| u \| ) \]
(this means that \( V_{\varphi} \) is \( T_{\varphi} \)-bounded). This implies that \( V_{\varphi}(T_{\varphi} - \lambda)^{-1} \) is bounded for \( \lambda \in \rho(T_{\varphi}) \).

To demonstrate the compactness we note first that due to (4.2) and (4.7) the kernel of \( V_{\varphi}(T_{\varphi} - \lambda)^{-1} \) is of the form
\[ h(q, p)W(q - p)(1 + \| p \|)^{-2}, \]
where \( h \) is a bounded function. Observe now that the operators with the kernels
\[ h(p, q)W(p - q)(1 + \| p \|)^{-2} \chi(\| p \|/n)(1 - \chi(\| p - q \|)), \]
where \( \chi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \) and \( \chi(t) = 1 \) for \( |t| \leq 1 \), are Hilbert Schmidt and converge to \( V_{\varphi}(T_{\varphi} - \lambda)^{-1} \) as \( n \to \infty \) in the uniform operator topology. Hence \( V_{\varphi}(T_{\varphi} - \lambda)^{-1} \) is compact as a norm-limit of compact operators [RSI, thm VI.12, p. 200].

The statement of theorem 4.1 follows from lemma 4.2, the generalized Weyl theorem (see [Sig2]) and equation (4.6). \( \square \)

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.3

We begin with a definition and a preliminary discussion of a general character. Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be the set of all \( U_{\varphi}(\theta) \)-analytic vectors, i.e.
\[ \mathcal{A} = \{ g \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) | U_{\varphi}(\theta)g \text{ has an analytic continuation from } \mathbb{R} \text{ to an open complex set } \mathcal{C} \text{ having a nonempty intersection with } \mathbb{R} \} . \]

Note first that the analyticity domain \( \mathcal{C} \) of a vector \( g \) can be automatically extended to the strip
\[ S_{\delta} = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} | | \text{Im } z | < \delta \}, \quad \delta = \sup_{z \in \mathcal{C}} | \text{Im } z | . \]
Indeed, if \( g(\theta) \) is an analytic continuation of \( U(\theta)g \) into \( \mathcal{C} \), then
\[ g(\theta + \delta) = U_{\varphi}(\delta)g(\theta) \quad \text{with} \quad \delta \in \mathbb{R} \]
defines an analytic extension of \( g(\theta) \) to \( S_{\delta} \).
Secondly, \( \mathcal{A} \) is dense in \( L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) \) (it contains, for instance, \( \bigcup_{\text{compact } \Delta} E_{D}(\Delta)L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) \)).
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where $E_{D_f}(\Delta)$ is the spectral projection for the self-adjoint operator $D_f$. Moreover, if $u \in \mathcal{A}_0$ (defined in section 2) and $f$ satisfies condition 3.2, then by lemma 3.3, $u \in \mathcal{A}$.

**Proof of theorem 2.3.** — Given $\lambda > 0$, pick a vector-field $f$, satisfying the conditions of lemma 3.5. Let $U_f(\theta)$ be the corresponding unitary group.

We apply the standard Combes argument. By virtue of unitarity of $U_f(\theta)$ for real $\theta$, we have

$$\langle u, (H - z)^{-1}v \rangle = \langle u(\theta), (H(\theta) - z)^{-1}v(\theta) \rangle,$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.1)

where $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$. We have used the notation $g(\theta) = U_f(\theta)g$. If $u, v \in \mathcal{A}$, then the r. h. s. can be analytically continued in $\theta$ into a strip of $\mathbb{C}^+$ (along $\mathbb{R}$) (see lemma 3.4). Equality (5.1) does, of course, stay valid for complex $\theta$. After that, using lemma 3.5, we extend the r. h. s. from $\mathbb{C}^+$ to the disc $|z - \lambda| < c(\text{Im} \theta)$ figuring in the statement of lemma 3.5. This furnishes the desired meromorphic continuation of the l. h. s. of (5.1).

Clearly, the poles of this continuation occur at and only at the eigenvalues of $H(\xi)$, $\text{Im} \xi > 0$, which by the standard Combes argument (see e. g. [Hu] [RSIV]) are independent of $\xi$ as long as they stay away from $\sigma_{ess}(H(\xi))$.

\[\Box\]
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