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ABSTRACT. — The Cauchy problem for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation $i\partial_t u = \Delta u - F \circ u$, $u(0) = \phi$, on space domain $\mathbb{R}^m$, $m \geq 1$, is solved under the assumption that $F$ is a $C^1$-function (in the real sense) on $\mathbb{C}$ to itself satisfying $F(0) = 0$ and the growth condition $|F'(\zeta)| \leq \text{const} \cdot |\zeta|^{p-1}$, where $p < (m+2)/(m-2)$ (or any $p < \infty$ if $m \leq 2$). It is shown that if $\phi \in H^1$, there exists a unique local solution $u \in C(I; H^1) \cap C^1(I; H^{-1})$, $I = [0, T]$. Any solution $u \in C(I; H^1)$ with $u(0) \in H^2$ belongs to $C(I; H^2) \cap C^1(I; L^2)$. These solutions depend continuously on the initial value. They are global if $F(\zeta) = \partial H/\partial \zeta$ with a real-valued function $H$ such that $H(\zeta) \geq c |\zeta|^2$, $c > 0$.

RÉSUMÉ. — On résout le problème de Cauchy pour l’équation de Schrödinger non linéaire $i\partial_t u = \Delta u - F \circ u$, $u(0) = \phi$, dans l’espace $\mathbb{R}^m$, $m \geq 1$, sous l’hypothèse que la fonction $F$ est $C^1$ (au sens réel) de $\mathbb{C}$ dans $\mathbb{C}$, et satisfait $F(0) = 0$ et la condition de croissance $|F'(\zeta)| \leq \text{const} \cdot |\zeta|^{p-1}$, avec $p < (m+2)/(m-2)$ (ou $p < \infty$ si $m \leq 2$). On montre que si $\phi \in H^1$, il existe une solution locale unique $u \in C(I; H^1) \cap C^1(I; H^{-1})$, $I = [0, T]$. Toute solution $u \in C(I; H^1)$ avec $u(0) \in H^2$ appartient à $C(I; H^2) \cap C^1(I; L^2)$. Ces solutions dépendent continûment des données initiales. Elles sont globales si $F(\zeta) = \partial H/\partial \zeta$ pour une fonction réelle $H$ telle que $H(\zeta) \geq c |\zeta|^2$, $c > 0$.

INTRODUCTION

This paper is concerned with the Cauchy problem for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$i\partial_t u = -\Delta u + F(u), \quad t \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^m, \quad m \geq 1.$$
Here \( F(u) \) is defined pointwise by \( F(u(t, x)) = F(t, x) \) with a complex-valued function \( F(\zeta) \) of a complex variable \( \zeta \). The only assumption we need for local theory is that

\[
(0.2) \quad F \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2); \quad F(0) = 0, \quad |F'(\zeta)| \leq M |\zeta|^{p-1} \quad \text{for} \quad |\zeta| \geq 1,
\]

where

\[
(0.3) \quad 1 < p < (m+2)/(m-2) \quad (m>2), \quad 1 < p < \infty \quad (m\leq2),
\]

and where \( F' \) is the Fréchet derivative of \( F \) (see Appendix for a precise definition). Note that no assumption is made on the behavior of \( F(\zeta) \) for small \( \zeta \) except continuous differentiability.

As is easily seen by cutting \( F \) smoothly into two pieces, (0.2) is equivalent to

\[
(0.2') \quad F = F_1 + F_2, \quad F_j \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2), \quad F_j(0) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2,
\]

\[
|F_1(\zeta) - F_1(\zeta')| \leq M |\zeta - \zeta'|,
\]

\[
|F_2(\zeta) - F_2(\zeta')| \leq M |\zeta - \zeta'| ((|\zeta|^{p-1} \lor |\zeta'||^{p-1}),
\]

where \( \lor \) means supremum. In fact we find it convenient to work directly with the Lipschitz continuity (0.2') for the \( F_j \), at least in the first part of this paper.

Under the assumption (0.2'), we shall prove the following theorems. (For notations see below).

**Theorem 0** (uniqueness). A solution \( u \in \dot{X}_0 \) of (0.1) has a definite initial value \( u(0) \in L^2 \). There is at most one solution \( u \in \dot{X}_0 \) with a given \( u(0) \).

**Theorem I** (local existence and uniqueness). If \( \phi \in H^1 \), there is a positive number \( T \), depending only on \( \|\phi\|_{H^1} \), such that (0.1) has a unique solution \( u \in C(I; H^1) \cap C^1(I; H^{-1}) \), \( I = [0, T] \), with \( u(0) = \phi \). Moreover, \( \partial u \in L^r(I; L^{p+1}) \), where \( r = 4(p+1)/m(p-1) > 2 \).

**Theorem I'** (continuous dependence). The map \( u(0) \mapsto u \) is continuous from \( H^1 \) into \( C(I; H^1) \). More precisely, let \( u \in C(I; H^1) \) be a solution of (0.1) with \( u(0) = \phi \) (where I need not be the one in Theorem I), and let \( \phi_n \to \phi \) in \( H^1 \), \( n \to \infty \). Then the solution \( u_n \) with \( u_n(0) = \phi_n \) exists on the interval \( I \) if \( n \) is sufficiently large, and \( u_n \to u \) in \( C(I; H^1) \).

**Theorem II** (regularity). Let \( u \in C(I; H^1) \) be a solution of (0.1). If \( u(0) \in H^2 \), then \( u \in C(I; H^2) \cap C^1(I; L^2) \). Moreover, \( \partial_i u \in L^r(I; L^{p+1}) \).
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THEOREM II' (continuous dependence in $H^2$). — The map $u(0) \mapsto u$ is continuous from $H^2$ into $C(I; H^2)$, in the sense similar to the statement in Theorem I'.

THEOREM III (global existence). — In addition to (0.2') assume that

$$F(\zeta) = \delta H(\zeta)/\delta \zeta,$$

where $H(\zeta)$ is a real-valued function such that $H(0) = 0$ and $H'(\zeta) \geq c |\zeta|^2$ with a real constant $c > 0$. Then the solution $u$ in Theorem I exists for all time ($T = \infty$), and

$$E(t) \equiv \|\partial_t u(t)\|^2 + \int H(u(t,x))dx$$

is constant in $t$. ($\|u(t)\|_2$ need not be conserved.)

More detailed results are found in various lemmas given below.

REMARKS. — a) These theorems improve known results (see e.g. [1] [2]) for (0.1) in various directions, as far as the Cauchy problem is concerned. First: we have eliminated the customary assumptions such as

$$|F(\zeta)| \leq M |\zeta|^p + N |\zeta|^q, \quad p > q > 1,$$

which not only severely restrict the behavior of $F$ for small $|\zeta|$ but greatly complicate the proofs. In [2], Ginibre and Velo removed such a condition in the existence theorem, but not in the uniqueness and other problems.

b) We assume a minimum smoothness $C^1$ of $F(\zeta)$. Actually the Lipschitz continuity expressed by the inequalities in (0.2') suffices for the existence and uniqueness theorems (even for $H^2$-solutions); the $C^1$-property is needed only in the proof of regularity and continuous dependence. (That $H^2$-solutions can be constructed in the special case $F(\zeta) = |z|^p \zeta$ for any $m \geq 1$ was recently proved by Tsutsumi [4].)

c) Nothing is assumed in the local theory about $\arg F(\zeta)$ or related quantities; such an assumption is introduced only for global theory (Theorem III). Theorem III gives only a particular sufficient condition for global existence, which, incidentally, does not imply conservation of the $L^2$-norm; there are other possible conditions which we do not discuss here. The following rather queer example is allowed in Theorem III, but could not be covered by other existing theorems.

$$F(u) = (a |\text{Re } u|^{p-1} + b)(\text{Re } u) + i(c |\text{Im } u|^{p-1} + d)(\text{Im } u),$$

where $a, c \geq 0, b, d > 0$.

d) In the proofs we make extensive use of the space-time behavior of the free Schrödinger group ($e^{it\Delta}$), as in most papers on the subject. But we do it directly, without using any regularization procedure. In this process, the contraction property of the basic nonlinear integral operator in the norm of $L^{2,\infty} \cap L^{p+1,r}$ is essential. (Analogous property of the linear operator was used by Yajima [6].) In fact the proofs of existence and uniqueness theorems are rather simple; a greater part of the paper is devoted to proving regularity and continuous dependence.

NOTATION. — The following notations are used without particular comments.

\[ \partial_t = \partial/\partial t, \quad \partial = (\partial_1, \ldots, \partial_m), \quad \partial_j = \partial/\partial x_j; \]
\[ \partial/\partial \xi = (\partial/\partial \xi - i\partial/\partial \eta)/2, \quad \partial/\partial \zeta = (\partial/\partial \xi + i\partial/\partial \eta)/2 \quad (\zeta = \xi + i\eta); \]
\[ L^q = L^q(\mathbb{R}^m), \quad H^1 = H^1(\mathbb{R}^m), \text{ etc.}; \quad ||u||_q = L^q\text{-norm}; \]
\[ L^{q,r} = L^r(I; L^q) \quad (I = [0, T]); \quad |||u_{r,q}||| = L^r(I; L^q)\text{-norm}; \]
\[ B_R(Z) = \text{closed ball in } Z \text{ with center } 0, \text{ radius } R. \]

Other notations will be introduced according to necessity.

Finally we list several constants derived from \( p \) that are used throughout the paper.

\[ \alpha = m(1/2 - 1/(p + 1)), \quad 0 < \alpha < 1, \]
\[ r = 2/\alpha = 4(p + 1)/m(p - 1), \quad 2 < r < \infty, \]
\[ r' = r/(r - 1), \quad 1 < r' < 2. \]

1. THE INTEGRAL EQUATION. UNIQUENESS

We convert (0.1) into the integral equation

\[ u = G_0\phi - iG\eta(u), \]

where \( \phi = u(0) \) and \( G_0, G \) are linear operators given by

\[ G_0\phi(t) = e^{it\lambda}\phi, \]
\[ G\eta(t) = \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\lambda}\eta(s)ds. \]

**Lemma 1.1.** — If \( u \in X_0 = L^\infty(I; L^2 \cap L^{p+1}), (0.1) \) and (1.1) are equivalent.

Before proving Lemma 1.1, we have to study the properties of the operators \( G_0, G \), and \( F \). To this end we introduce the following function spaces on \( I = [0, T] \), which are Banach spaces.

\[ X = X(I) = C(I; L^2) \cap L^{p+1}, \quad r = 4(p + 1)/m(p - 1), \]
\[ X = X(I) = L^{2,\infty} \cap L^{p+1,r}, \]
\[ X' = X'(I) = L^{2,1} + L^{1+1/p,r'}, \quad r' = r/(r - 1). \]

\( \overline{X} \) is a closed subspace of \( X \); \( X \) is the dual of \( X' \); \( X' \) is almost (but not precisely) the dual of \( \overline{X} \). The norms in these spaces are defined by

\[ |||v|||_X = ||v||_2 \vee ||v||_{p+1,r}, \]
\[ |||f|||_{X'} = \inf \{ ||g||_{2,1} + ||h||_{1+1/p,r'}; f = g + h \}. \]
We note once for all that $\mathfrak{X}$, $X$, $X'$ and other spaces to be introduced below depend on $I = [0, T]$, although in most cases we do not indicate the $T$-dependence explicitly. For this reason, it is important to keep track of the $T$-dependence of various vector and operator norms. We note that the norms in $X$ and $X'$ are homogeneous in the sense that they are covariant under the scale transformation $t \mapsto \lambda^2 t$ and $x \mapsto \lambda x$.

The following lemma is basic throughout the paper.

**Lemma 1.2.** — $G_0$ is a bounded linear operator on $L^2$ into $\mathfrak{X}$. $G$ is a bounded linear operator on $X'$ into $X$. The associated norms are independent of $T$; their supremum is denoted by $\gamma$ ($\gamma$ is computable in terms of the Sobolev constants.)

The lemma means that the following operator norms are finite and independent of $T$.

- a) $G_0 : L^2 \to L^{2, \infty}$ (isometric),
- b) $G_0 : L^2 \to L^{p+1, \infty}$,
- c) $G : L^{2, 1} \to L^{2, \infty}$,
- d) $G : L^{2, 1} \to L^{p+1, \infty}$,
- e) $G : L^{1+1/p, r'} \to L^{2, r}$,
- f) $G : L^{1+1/p, r'} \to L^{p+1, r}$.

This is a summary of various estimates proved by many authors during the last decade, although apparently they have not been assembled in this form except in [6] (in a slightly different form). Actually these results are true for $T = \infty$, and are proved mostly in that case in the literature, but a finite $T$ makes no difference. $a)$ is trivial; $b)$, $e)$, and $f)$ are now well known. $d)$ is (essentially) the dual of $e)$. $c)$ is self-dual, and is simply a superposition of $a)$. The reader may find a more complete proof (essentially) in [6].

Next we study the properties of the nonlinear operator $F$. To this end we use the auxiliary function space $X_0$ (already introduced in Theorem 0 and Lemma 1.1).

(1.6) $X_0 = X_0(I) = L^{2, \infty} \cap L^{p+1, \infty} \subset X,$

$$\| v \|_{X_0} = \| v \|_{2, \infty} \vee \| v \|_{p+1, \infty}.$$ This norm for $X_0$ is not homogeneous in the sense given above. For this reason, it is worth noting that the injection of $X_0$ into $X$ is uniformly bounded for $T \leq 1$, say, though not for all $T$.

**Lemma 1.3.** — $F$ maps $X_0$ into $X'$ continuously and boundedly (sends bounded sets into bounded sets). For $v, w \in X_0$, we have

(1.7) $\| F_1 (v) - F_1 (w) \|_{2, 1} \leq MT \| v - w \|_{2, \infty},$

$$\| F_2 (v) - F_2 (w) \|_{t+1/p, r} \leq MT^{1 - \alpha} (\| v \|_{X_0}^{-\alpha} \vee \| w \|_{X_0}^{-\alpha}) \| v - w \|_{p+1, r},$$

where $\alpha = m(1/2 - 1/(p+1)) < 1$. On each ball $B_R(X_0)$, $F$ is uniformly Lipschitz continuous from $X$ into $X'$; the Lipschitz constant is arbitrarily small if $R$ is fixed and $T$ is made small.
COROLLARY. — GF maps \( X_0 \) into \( X \) continuously and boundedly. On each ball \( B_R(X_0) \), GF is a contraction map in the metric of \( X \) if \( T \) is sufficiently small. (Note that the spaces such as \( X, X_0 \) depend on \( T \), but we keep \( R \) fixed when \( T \) is varied.)

Proof. — Using (0.2') and the elementary formula

\[
(1.8) \quad \| f^{p-1} g \|_{1+1/p} \leq \| f \|_{p+1}^{p-1} \| g \|_{p+1}, \quad f \geq 0,
\]

we obtain by a simple computation

\[
\| F_1(v) - F_1(w) \|_{2,\infty} \leq M \| v - w \|_{2,\infty},
\]

\[
\| F_2(v) - F_2(w) \|_{1+1/p,r} \leq M( \| v \|_{p+1,\infty}^{p-1} \vee \| w \|_{p+1,\infty}^{p-1}) \| v - w \|_{p+1,r}.
\]

The estimates (1.7) then follow by

\[
\| f \|_{2,1} \leq T \| f \|_{2,\infty}, \quad \| f \|_{1+1/p,r'} \leq T^{1-\alpha} \| f \|_{1+1/p,r},
\]

where \( 1 - \alpha = 1/r' - 1/r \) (see (0.4)). In view of the definition of \( X \) and \( X' \), we have proved Lemma 1.3. The Corollary follows by combining Lemmas 1.2 and 1.3.

Proof of Lemma 1.1. — Let \( u \in X_0 \). Then we have \( \Delta u \in L^\omega(I; H^{-2}) \). Moreover, \( F(u) \in X' \subset L^\omega(I; H^{-1}) \) by Lemma 1.3. Hence \( -\Delta u + F(u) \in L^\omega(I; H^{-2}) \). If \( u \) satisfies (0.1), it follows that \( \partial_i u \in L^\omega(I; H^{-2}) \), hence \( u \in C(I; H^{-2}) \), so that \( u(0) = \phi \in H^{-2} \) exists. Actually we have \( \phi \in L^2 \) because \( u \in L^{2,\omega} \). Since \( \{ e^{it\Delta} \} \) is a continuous unitary group on \( H^{-2} \), we obtain (1.1). The converse is proved in the same way working in \( H^{-2} \).

Proof of Theorem 0. — As usual we may assume that \( T \) is sufficiently small. Let \( u, v \in X_0 \) be two solutions of (0.1) with \( u(0) = v(0) = \phi \in L^2 \). By Lemma 1.1, they are solutions of (1.1). Choose \( R \) so large that \( u, v \in B_R(X_0) \). Since \( u - v = i(GF(u) - GF(v)) \) and since GF is a contraction on \( B_R(X_0) \) in \( X \)-metric if \( T \) is made sufficiently small (by Lemma 1.3, Corollary), we must have \( u = v \). (We may add a trivial remark that the norm such as \( \| u \|_{X_0} \) for a given function \( u \) does not increase when \( T \) is decreased.)

REMARK 1.4. — The proof of Theorem 0 does not prove existence of a solution in \( X_0 \), since GF need not map \( X_0 \) into itself.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM I

Here we need the following spaces.

\[
(2.1) \quad \begin{align*}
\tilde{Y} &= \tilde{Y}(I) = \{ v \in \tilde{X}; \partial v \in \tilde{X} \} \subset C(I; H^1), \\
Y &= Y(I) = \{ v \in X; \partial v \in X \} \subset L^\omega(I; H^1), \\
Y' &= Y'(I) = \{ v \in X'; \partial v \in X' \}.
\end{align*}
\]
with the norms
\[(2.2) \quad \|v\|_Y = \|v\|_X \vee \|\partial v\|_X, \quad \|f\|_{Y'} = \|f\|_{X'} \vee \|\partial f\|_{X'}.
\]
Obviously we have $Y \subset X$, $Y \subset X'$, $Y' \subset X'$, and $Y \subset X_0$ by the Sobolev embedding theorem. These spaces are not homogeneous, unlike $X$ and $X'$, but it is trivial that the injection maps just mentioned are independent of $T$:

\[(2.3) \quad \|v\|_X \leq \|v\|_Y, \quad \|f\|_{X'} \leq \|f\|_{Y'}, \quad \|v\|_{X_0} \leq c\|v\|_Y,
\]
where $c$ depends only on $m$ and $p$. Moreover, we have

**Lemma 2.1.** — $G_0$ is bounded on $H^1$ to $Y$. $G$ is bounded on $Y'$ to $Y$. The associated norms $\gamma$ are equal to those in Lemma 1.2 and are independent of $T$.

**Proof.** — Since $G_0$ and $G$ commute with $\partial$, the lemma follows immediately from Lemma 1.2.

**Lemma 2.2.** — $F$ maps $Y$ into $Y'$ boundedly, with

\[(2.4) \quad \|F(v)\|_{Y'} \leq M(T + \epsilon T^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\xi\|_1) \|v\|_Y.
\]

**Proof.** — Since $Y \subset X_0 \subset X$, $v \in Y$ implies $F(v) \in X'$ by Lemma 1.3. To see that $\partial F(v) \in X'$ too, let $\tau_h$ denote translation by $h \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Then it follows from (1.7) that

\[(2.5) \quad \|\tau_h - 1\|_{2,1} = \|F_1(\tau_h v) - F_1(v)\|_{2,1} \leq MT \|\tau_h - 1\|_{2,\infty} \|v\|_{2,\infty},
\]

\[\|\tau_h - 1\|_{1+1/p',p'} = \|F_2(\tau_h v) - F_2(v)\|_{1+1/p',p'} \leq MT^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|v\|_0 \|\tau_h - 1\|_{p+1/p'}\]

Note that the function spaces considered are translation invariant in $x$-variable. Dividing by $|h|$ and letting $|h| \to 0$, we see that $\tau_h - 1$ may be replaced by $\partial$, since $L^2$, $L^{p+1}$, and $L^{1+1/p}$ are reflexive. Recalling the definition of $X$ and $X'$, we thus obtain

\[(2.6) \quad \|\partial F(v)\|_{X'} \leq M(T + T^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\xi\|_1) \|\xi\|_X.
\]

This proves that $F(v) \in Y'$; the estimate (2.4) follows by $\|v\|_{X_0} \leq c\|v\|_Y$.

**Lemma 2.3.** — Let $\phi \in H^1$. Choose a real number $R$ such that $R > R' \equiv \gamma(\|\phi\|_2 \vee \|\partial \phi\|_2)$ (for $\gamma$ see Lemmas 1.2 and 2.1). If $T$ is sufficiently small, the map $\Phi(v) = G_0 \phi - iG(v) \phi$ sends $B_R(Y)$ into itself, and it is a contraction in the $X$-norm.

**Proof.** — We have $G_0 \phi \in Y$ by Lemma 2.1, with

\[(2.7) \quad \|G_0 \phi\|_Y \leq \gamma(\|\phi\|_2 \vee \|\partial \phi\|_2) = R'.
\]
Set $R'' = R - R' > 0$. Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 show that $GF$ sends $B_R(Y)$ into $B_{R''}(Y)$ if $T$ is so small that

\[(2.8)\quad \gamma M(T + cT^{1-a}R^{p-1}) \leq R''/R \equiv \delta < 1.\]

Thus $\Phi$ maps $B_R(T)$ into itself.

On the other hand, Lemmas 1.2 and 1.3 show that

\[||GF(v) - GF(w)||_X \leq \gamma M(T + cT^{1-a}R^{p-1}) ||v - w||_X\]

for $v, w \in B_R(T)$. It follows by (2.8) that $\Phi$ is a contraction in $X$-metric.

We can now complete the proof of Theorem I. It is easy to see that $B_R(Y)$ with the $X$-metric is a complete metric space. Application of the contraction map theorem thus gives a fixed point $u \in Y$ for $\Phi$. Then we have $u = \Phi u \in \overline{Y} \subset C(I; H^1)$ by Lemma 2.1. In view of Lemma 1.1, this completes the proof of Theorem I.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM II, PART 1

In this section we prove part of Theorem II, by constructing local $H^2$-solutions for initial values in $H^2$. The full regularity will be proved in next section.

**Lemma 3.1.** — $F$ maps $H^2$ into $L^2$ continuously and boundedly.

**Proof.** — This is obvious for $F_1$. For $F_2$, we note the following estimate, which is also used later.

\[(3.1)\quad ||f^{p-1}||_2 \leq ||f||_{2p}^{p-1} ||g||_{2p}, \quad f, g \in L^{2p},

||g||_{2p} \leq c ||g||_{H^k}, \quad k = m(p - 1)/2p < 2.\]

In view of (0.2'), this shows that $F_2$ maps $H^2 \subset H^k \subset L^{2p}$ continuously into $L^2$.

We now introduce another triplet of function spaces

\[(3.2)\quad \overline{Z} = \overline{Z}(I) = \{ v \in \overline{X}; \partial_t v \in \overline{X}, \Delta v \in C(I; L^2) \} \subset \overline{Y},

Z = Z(I) = \{ v \in X; \partial_t v \in X, \Delta v \in L^{2,\infty} \} \subset Y,

Z' = Z'(I) = \{ f \in L^{2,\infty}; \partial_t f \in X' \} \subset X',

|||v|||_Z = ||v||_X \lor ||\partial_t v||_X \lor ||\Delta v||_{2,\infty},

|||f|||_{Z'} = ||f||_{2,\infty} \lor ||\partial_t f||_{X'}.

Again $\overline{Z}$ is a closed subspace of $Z$. $v \in Z$ may also be characterized by $v \in L^{\alpha}(I; H^2)$ and $\partial_t v \in X$. But we find it convenient to use the norm given above. It is easy to see that the injections $Z \subset Y$ and $Z' \subset X'$ are bounded uniformly in $T \leq 1$.

**Lemma 3.2.** — $G_0$ is a bounded operator on $H^2$ into $\overline{Z}$. $G$ is a bounded
operator on $Z'$ into $\overline{Z}$. The associated norms are uniformly bounded in $T \leq 1$. More precisely we have

$$\|G_0 \phi\|_Z \leq \gamma (\|\phi\|_2 \vee \|\Delta \phi\|_2) = \gamma \|\phi\|_{H^2},$$

$$\|Gf\|_Z \leq (2\gamma + 1)\|f\|_{Z'}, \quad T \leq 1.$$  

**Proof.** In the proof we may replace $\overline{Z}$ by $Z$, since the operators considered map smooth functions into $\overline{Z}$, so that the desired results follow by the standard approximation procedure.

Lemma 1.2 gives

$$\|G_0 \phi\|_X \leq \gamma \|\phi\|_2, \quad \|\Delta G_0 \phi\|_{L^2} \leq \|\Delta G_0 \phi\|_X = \|\partial_1 \Delta G_0 \phi\|_X \leq \gamma \|\Delta \phi\|_2.$$ 

Thus $G_0$ maps $H^2$ into $Z$, with the norm given by (3.3).

Again, it is obvious that $f \in Z' \subset X'$ implies $Gf \in X$, with

$$\|Gf\|_X \leq \gamma \|f\|_{X'} \leq \gamma \|f\|_{L^2} \leq \gamma T \|f\|_{L^2} \leq \gamma T \|f\|_{Z'}.$$ 

Next we note that

$$\|\partial_1 Gf\|_X \leq \|\partial_1 f\|_{X'} + \gamma \|f(0)\|_2 \leq 2\gamma \|f\|_{Z'}.$$ 

Similarly we have

$$\Delta Gf = i(-\partial_1 Gf + f),$$

again by $f \in C(I; H^{-1})$. Hence $\Delta Gf \in L^2,$ with

$$\|\Delta Gf\|_{L^2} \leq \|\partial_1 Gf\|_{L^2} + \|f\|_{L^2} \leq (2\gamma + 1) \|f\|_{Z'}.$$ 

Collecting the results, we have $Gf \in Z$ with the estimate (3.4).

**Lemma 3.3.** $v \in Z$ implies

$$v \in L^p(I; L^2) \cap C^0(I; H^k) \subset L^p(I; L^2) \cap C^0(I; L^2p),$$

$$k = m(p - 1)/2p < 2, \quad \theta = 1 - k/2 > 0,$$

$$\|v(t) - v(s)\|_{L^{2p}} \leq c|t - s|^\theta \|v\|_Z,$$

$$\|F(v)\|_{C^0(I; L^2)},$$

$$\|F(v(t) - F(v(s))\|_2 \leq cM(|t - s| \|v\|_Z + |t - s|\|v\|_Z),$$

**Proof.** $v \in Z$ implies $\partial_1 v \in L^2$, hence $v \in L^p(I; L^2)$. Since $v \in L^{m}(I; H^2)$ too, this proves (3.7) by interpolation. Regarding (3.8), it is obvious that $F(v) \in L^p(I; L^2)$ too. On the other hand, (3.1) and (3.7) show that $F_2(v) \in C^0(I; L^2)$ with Hölder coefficient $\|v\|_{L^{2p}}$, which is
dominated by $c \| v \|_Z^p$ because $L^{2p} \supset H^k \supset H^2$. Thus we obtain (3.8) for $F = F_1 + F_2$.

**Lemma 3.4.** — $F$ maps $Z$ into $Z'$ boundedly, with

$$\| F(v) - F(v(0)) \|_{Z'} \leq cM(T \| v \|_Z + T^{1-\alpha} \| v \|_Z^p),$$

where $F(v(0))$ is regarded as a constant function in $t$. (Thus the image of a bounded set of $Z'$ may not be close to the origin when $T$ is small, but the diameter of the image is small if, for example, all $v$ have a common value $v(0)$.)

**Proof.** — Let $v \in Z$. We first note that

$$\| \partial_t(F(v) - F(v(0))) \|_{X'} \leq cM(T + T^{1-\alpha}) \| v \|_{X_0^{-1}} \| \partial_t v \|_X.$$

This is an analog of (2.6), and could be proved in the same way by estimating the difference quotients in $t$ (instead of in $x$) and going to the limit $|h| \to 0$, if $X'$ we reflexive. To avoid the difficulty that the $L^1$-component of $X'$ is not reflexive, we may first replace this $L^1$-norm by $L^{1+\epsilon}$-norm, thereby strengthening the space $X'$. It is easy to see that the estimates for the difference quotients are still valid for this modified norm, provided the first factor $T$ is replaced by $T^{1/(1+\epsilon)}$. Since the modified space is reflexive, we can replace the difference quotient by the derivative. Afterwards we may let $\epsilon \to 0$ to recover (3.10).

On the other hand, (3.8) gives

$$\| F(v) - F(v(0)) \|_{2,\infty} \leq cM(T \| v \|_Z + T^{\theta} \| v \|_Z^p).$$

Since $\theta > 1 - \alpha$, as can easily be verified, we arrive at the required result (3.9) (note that $\| v \|_{X_0} \leq c \| v \|_Z$).

**Lemma 3.5.** — Let $\phi \in H^2$, so that $F(\phi) \in L^2$ by Lemma 3.1. Define the set

$$E = \{ v \in B_R(Z); \phi(0) = \phi \},$$

where $R$ is a real number such that $R > \gamma(\| \phi \|_2 + \| \Delta \phi \|_2) + (2\gamma + 1)\| F(\phi) \|_2$. $E$ is not empty $(G_0 \phi \in E)$. If $T$ is sufficiently small, $\Phi(v) = G_0 \phi - \partial GF(v)$ maps $E$ into itself.

**Proof.** — According to the estimates obtained above, we have

$$\| \Phi(v) \|_Z \leq \gamma(\| \phi \|_2 + \| \Delta \phi \|_2) + (2\gamma + 1)(\| F(\phi) \|_Z + \| F(v) - F(\phi) \|_Z)$$

where $F(v(0)) = F(\phi) \in Z'$ is regarded as a constant function. As such, it has $Z'$-norm $\| F(\phi) \|_2$. According to Lemma 3.4, therefore, $\| \Phi(v) \|_Z$ does not exceed $R$ in $Z$-norm if $T$ is sufficiently small. This proves the lemma; note that $\Phi(v)(0) = \phi$.

**Lemma 3.6.** — Given $\phi \in H^2$, there is $T > 0$, depending only on $\| \phi \|_{H^2}$,
for which there is a unique solution \( u \in C(I; H^2), I = [0, T], \) of (0.1) with \( u(0) = \phi. \) Moreover, \( \partial_\tau u \in L^{2,\infty} \cap L^p(I; L^{p+1}). \)

**Proof.** — Again \( \Phi \) is a contraction in the \( X \)-metric if \( T \) is sufficiently small. Noting that \( E \) is complete in the \( X \)-metric, we conclude that there is a fixed point \( u \in E \) for the map \( \Phi. \) Then \( u \in \overline{Z} \) since \( G_0 \) and \( G \) map into \( Z, \) so that \( u \) has the properties stated in the lemma.

### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM II, PART 2.

**LINEAR EQUATIONS**

It remains to show that an \( H^1 \)-solution with \( u(0) \in H^2 \) is an \( H^2 \)-solution on the whole interval of existence. In view of local existence of \( H^2 \)-solutions proved in Lemma 3.6, it suffices to prove

**Lemma 4.1.** — Let \( u \in C(I; H^1) \) be a solution of (0.1) for \( I = [0, T], \) and let \( u \in C(I^0; H^2) \) for \( I^0 = [0, T) \) (semi-open interval). Then we have \( u \in C(I; H^2). \)

For the proof we need some preparations. First we recall that an \( H^1 \)-solution satisfies

\[
(4.1) \quad u \in L^{2,\infty} \cap L^{p+1,\infty} \quad \text{(on I)}.
\]

If we introduce the derivative \( F' = F'_1 + F'_2 \) (see Appendix), Lemma A.2 of Appendix thus gives

\[
(4.2) \quad F'_1(u) \in L^{\infty,\infty}, \quad F'_2(u) \in L^{(p+1)/(p-1),\infty} \quad \text{(on I)}.
\]

Next we consider the differential equation satisfied by \( v = \dot{\psi}, u. \) A formal differentiation of (0.1) gives

\[
(4.3) \quad i\dot{\psi}, v = -\Delta v + F'(u), v \quad \text{for} \quad t \in I^0;
\]

see Appendix for the notation \( F'(u), v. \) (4.3) is justified by Lemma A.3 of Appendix. Indeed, since \( \partial_\tau u \in L^{2,\infty} \cap L^{p+1,r} \) on \( I^0 \) (because \( u \) is an \( H^2 \)-solution), Lemma A.3 holds for \( F = F_1 \) and \( F_2, \) with \( q = 1 \) and \( q = p, \) respectively, by virtue of (4.2).

We now regard (4.3) as a real-linear Schrödinger equation for the unknown \( v, \) with \( u \) given as above. For this equation, we have

**Lemma 4.2.** — Given \( \psi \in L^2, \) there is a unique solution \( v \in \overline{X}(I) = C(I; L^2) \cap L^p(I; L^{p+1}) \) of (4.3) with \( v(0) = \psi. \)

The proof is parallel to that of Theorem I, and it would suffice to give only a few remarks. (Regarding linear Schrödinger equations with a time-dependent potential, cf. [3] [6] for example)

a) Equation (4.3) makes sense for \( v \in X \), which implies
\[
F'(u).v \in L^{2,\infty} + L^{1+1/p,r} \subset L^1(I; H^{-1}) \quad \text{by (4.2).}
\]
This allows one to convert (4.3) into the corresponding integral equation (cf. the proof of Lemma 1.1).

b) Construction of the solution is simpler than in Theorem I since we can apply the contraction map theorem within the space \( X \) without using \( X_0 \) or \( Y \), due to the strong property (4.2) of the coefficient, although the solution obtained is weaker. Indeed, (4.2) has the same effect as the assumption \( u \in X_0 \) used in Lemma 1.3. Cf. also Remark 1.4.

Using Lemma 4.2, it is now easy to complete the proof of Lemma 4.1 and hence of Theorem II. Construct the solution of (4.3) with
\[
v(0) = \partial_t u(0) = i(\Delta \phi - F(\phi)) \in L^2.
\]
Since \( \partial_t u \) satisfies the same equation with the same initial condition, we have \( \partial_t u = v \) by uniqueness; note that both \( \partial_t u \) and \( v \) are in
\[
C(I^0; L^2) \cap L^r_{loc}(I^0; L^{p+1}).
\]
Since \( v(t) \in L^2 \) is continuous up to \( t = T \), the same must be true of \( \partial_t u \).

It follows that \( \| \Delta u - F(u) \|_2 \leq K = \text{const. for } t \in I \). Hence
\[
\| \Delta u - F_2(u) \|_2 \leq K
\]
(with \( K \) denoting different constants), and
\[
\| \Delta u \|_2^2 \leq K^2 + 2 \Re \langle \Delta u, F_2(u) \rangle \leq K^2 - 2 \Re \langle \partial_t u, F'_2(u) \cdot \partial_t u \rangle \leq K^2 + 2 \| \partial_t u \|_{p+1}^2 \| F'_2(u) \|_{p+1/(p-1)} \leq K^2 + K \| \partial_t u \|_{H^s}^2
\]
because \( \| F'_2(u) \|_{p+1/(p-1)} \leq K \| u \|_{p+1}^{p-1} \leq K \) is known. Since \( \alpha < 1 \) and \( \| u \|_2 \leq K \), it follows that \( \| u \|_{H^2} \) is bounded up to \( t = T \), completing the proof.

5. PROOF OF THEOREMS I' AND II'

We start with Theorem I'. As usual, it suffices to prove continuous dependence on a sufficiently small interval \( I \); then it can be extended step by step to the whole interval. Thus we can apply the contraction map argument given in section 2, to construct the \( u_n \) within a fixed ball \( B_r(Y) \) and with a uniform contraction factor \( \delta < 1 \), since the \( \phi_n \) are bounded in \( H^1 \).

Since \( G_0 \phi_n \rightarrow G_0 \phi \) in \( X \) by Lemma 1.2, it follows that \( u_n \rightarrow u \) in \( X \subset L^{2,\infty} \). Since \( u_n \in B_r(Y) \) implies that the \( u_n(t) \) are uniformly bounded in \( H^1 \), we have \( u_n \rightarrow u \) in \( C(I; H^p) \) for any \( k < 1 \). Since \( H^k \subset L^{p+1} \) for some \( k < 1 \), we have
\[
(5.1) \quad u_n \rightarrow u \quad \text{in} \quad L^{2,\infty} \cap L^{p+1,\infty} = X_0.
\]
On the other hand, $\partial \mu$ and $\partial \mu_n, j = 1, 2, \ldots, m,$ satisfy the real-linear integral equations

\begin{equation}
\partial \mu = G_0 \partial \phi - iGF'(u) \cdot \partial \mu, \\
\partial \mu_n = G_0 \partial \phi_n - iGF'(u_n) \cdot \partial \mu_n.
\end{equation}

These are again justified by Lemma A.3 in Appendix, since $\partial \mu \in X$ by $u \in Y,$ etc. (5.2) implies

\begin{equation}
\partial (u_n - u) = G_0 \partial (\phi_n - \phi) - iGF'(u_n) \cdot \partial (u_n - u) - iG(F'(u_n) - F'(u)) \cdot \partial \mu.
\end{equation}

**Lemma 5.1.** If $T$ is sufficiently small, $G F'(u_n)$ is a real-linear contraction operator on $X,$ uniformly in $n.$

This was essentially proved in Lemma 4.2.

**Lemma 5.2.** $G F'(u_n) \cdot v \to G F'(u) \cdot v$ in $X$ for each $v \in X.$

**Proof.** Since $G$ is bounded on $X'$ into $X,$ it suffices to show that $F'(u_n) \cdot v \to F'(u) \cdot v$ in $X'.$ In view of (5.1) and the definition of $X$ and $X',$ the lemma is proved if we show that

\begin{align}
F_1'(u_n) \cdot v & \to F_1'(u) \cdot v \text{ in } L^{2,2} \text{ if } u_n \to u \text{ in } L^{2,\infty} \text{ and } v \in L^{2,2}; \\
F_2'(u_n) \cdot v & \to F_2'(u) \cdot v \text{ in } L^{1+1/r,1+1/r} \text{ if } u_n \to u \text{ in } L^{p+1,\infty} \text{ and } v \in L^{p+1,r}.
\end{align}

These assertions follow from Lemma A.2 in Appendix.

**Proof of Theorem I'.** Using Lemmas 5.1, 5.2 and $\partial \mu \in X,$ we conclude from (5.3) that $\partial (u_n - u) \to 0$ in $X.$ Since $u_n - u \to 0$ in $X$ is known, we have proved Theorem I'.

**Proof of Theorem II'.** We indicate only the main difference from the proof of Theorem I'. Again we may assume that $T$ is sufficiently small.

The solutions $u_n$ can be constructed by the contraction map theorem within $B_r(Z)$ with the metric of $X,$ with $u_n(0) = \phi_n \to \phi$ in $H^2.$ Thus $u_n \to u$ in $L^{2,\infty}$ while the $u_n(t)$ are uniformly bounded in $H^2.$ Hence we have

\begin{equation}
\text{for any } k < 2.
\end{equation}

This again implies (5.1). Then we note that $\partial \mu$ and $\partial \mu_n$ satisfy the integral equations (5.2), just as $\partial \mu$ and $\partial \mu_n$ do, with the difference that the free terms $G_0 \psi$ and $G_0 \phi_n$ in (5.2) should be replaced, respectively, by $G_0 \psi$ and $G_0 \psi_n,$ where

\begin{equation}
\psi = i(\Delta \phi - F(\phi)), \quad \psi_n = i(\Delta \phi_n - F(\phi_n)).
\end{equation}

Since $\psi_n \to \psi$ by Lemma 3.1, the same proof given above leads to the conclusion that $\partial \mu_n \to \partial \mu$ in $C(I; L^2).$ Using the differential equation (0.1) for $u$ and $u_n,$ we then deduce that

\begin{equation}
\Delta u_n - F(u_n) \to \Delta u - F(u).
\end{equation}

But (5.6) implies that $F(u_n) \to F(u)$ in $L^{2,\infty}$; the proof is the same as in Lemma 3.1. It follows that $\Delta u_n \to \Delta u$ in $L^{2,\infty}$. With (5.6), this proves the desired result that $u_n \to u$ in $C(I; H^2)$.

6. PROOF OF THEOREM III

First we sketch the proof that if $u \in C(I; H^2)$ is a solution of (0.1), then

$$E(t) = \text{const.}$$

We start by noting that the function $H$ given in Theorem III is uniquely determined by $F$, belongs to $C^2(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R})$, and $= O(|\zeta|^{p+1})$ for large $\zeta$. Moreover, we may decompose $H$ into sum $H_1 + H_2$ in such a way that both $H_j$ are real-valued and

$$F_j = \partial H_j/\partial \zeta, \quad j = 1, 2.$$ 

This can be achieved by first decomposing $H$ into the desired form by applying cut-off functions and then identifying $F_j$ with $\partial H_j/\partial \zeta$.

**LEMMA 6.1.** — If $u \in C(I; H^2)$ is a solution of (0.1), then

$$\partial_t H_j(u) = H_j'(u), \quad \partial_t u = 2 \Re F_j(u) \partial_t u \in L^{1,\sigma}, \quad j = 1, 2.$$ 

**Proof.** — In view of (6.2), the first equality follows by Lemma A.3, since $H_j(u)$ satisfies (A.5) with $s = 1$ for $j = 1$ and $s = p$ for $j = 2$, while $\partial_t u \in L^{2,\infty} \cap L^{p+1,\sigma}$; recall that $u \in Z$. The second equality is true since $H_j$ is real-valued; recall the definition (A.1) of $H'$ (Appendix).

Lemma 6.1 shows that $\partial_t H(u) \in L^{1,\sigma}$, hence $H(u) \in C(I; L^1)$. It follows that

$$\partial_t \left\langle H(u(t)), 1 \right\rangle = 2 \left\langle F(u(t)), \partial_t u(t) \right\rangle.$$ 

where $\langle, \rangle$ denotes the duality on $\mathbb{R}^m$. On the other hand, the property $u \in Z$ justifies the calculation

$$\partial_t \| \partial_t u(t) \|_2^2 = -2 \Re \left\langle \Delta u(t), \partial_t u(t) \right\rangle.$$ 

Adding these results yields

$$\partial_t E(t) = 2 \Re \left\langle -\Delta u(t) + F(u(t)), \partial_t u(t) \right\rangle = 0,$$

where (0.1) is used in the final stage.

Next we extend (6.1) to a solution $u \in C(I; H^1)$. For this we have only to approximate $u(0) = \phi \in H^1$ by a sequence $\phi_n \in H^2$ in the $H^1$-norm, and construct the corresponding solutions $u_n$ with $u_n(0) = \phi_n$. Theorem I' shows that the $u_n \in C(I; H^1)$ exist for sufficiently large $n$ and $u_n \to u$.

Theorem II shows that they belong to $C(I; H^2)$. Thus they satisfy (6.1).
Since the map \( u(t) \mapsto E(t) \) is continuous from \( H^1 \) to \( \mathbb{R} \), we have established (6.1) for \( u \).

According to the assumption of Theorem III, (6.1) implies that \( \| u(t) \|_{H^1} \leq K \) (another constant depending only on \( \| u(0) \|_{H^1} \)). In view of Theorem I, a standard argument shows that \( u \) can be continued to a global solution, with the estimates preserved.
Here we collect some lemmas on the continuity properties of the « Nemyckii operator » $u \mapsto F(u)$ and its derivative.

Let $F : C \rightarrow C$ be continuously differentiable as a map on $\mathbb{R}^2$ into itself. The derivative $F'(\zeta)$ is defined as a real-linear operator acting on $\omega \in C$ by

$$F'(\zeta). \omega = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon^{-1} (F(\zeta + \varepsilon \omega) - F(\zeta)) = (\partial F/\partial \zeta) \omega + (\partial F/\partial \overline{\zeta}) \overline{\omega}.$$  

We shall identify $F'(\zeta)$ with the pair $(\partial F/\partial \zeta, \partial F/\partial \overline{\zeta})$, and define its norm by

$$|F'(\zeta)| = |\partial F/\partial \zeta| + |\partial F/\partial \overline{\zeta}|.$$  

$F'$ is continuous on $C$ into $C^2$, with

$$F'(\zeta) \text{ is bounded and continuous on } L^q \text{ into } L^q,$$

where $s \geq 0$. The functions $F_1, F_2$ in the text are examples of (A.4), with $s = 0$ and $p = 1$.

**Lemma A.1.** Let $q \geq s + 1$. Let $u \in L^q$ be bounded and continuous on $L^q$ into $L^q$, and let $F' = F' \circ u$ and $F' = F' \circ u$ are defined pointwise in the usual way.

From now on we assume in addition that

$$|F'(\zeta)| \leq M|\zeta|^{s+1}, \quad |F'(\zeta)| \leq M|\zeta|^p,$$

where $s \geq 0$. The functions $F_1, F_2$ in the text are examples of (A.4), with $s = 0$ and $p = 1$.

**Lemma A.2.** Let $q \geq s + 1$. Let $u_n, u \in L^q$ and let $u_n(t) \to u(t)$ in $L^q$, boundedly for a.e. $t \in I$. Let $v_n \to v$ in $L^r$, where $1 \leq r < \infty$. Then $F'(u_n) \to F'(u) \circ v$ in $L^q(v^{s+1})$.  

This follows partly by $L'$-convergence and partly by dominated convergence in $t$, applied to the integral expressing the $L^q(v^{s+1})$-norm in question, by going over to a subsequence if necessary. Note that the limit does not depend on the subsequence.

**Lemma A.3.** Let $q \geq s + 1$. Let $u \in L^q$ and let $\partial u \in L^q$, and let $\partial u_n \to u_n \in L^q$, boundedly for a.e. $t \in I$. Let $v_n \to v$ in $L^r$, where $1 \leq r < \infty$. Then $F'(u_n) \to F'(u) \circ v$ in $L^q(v^{s+1})$.  

This follows partly by $L'$-convergence and partly by dominated convergence in $t$, applied to the integral expressing the $L^q(v^{s+1})$-norm in question, by going over to a subsequence if necessary. Note that the limit does not depend on the subsequence.

**Proof.** First we note that (A.5) makes sense. Indeed, the first member exists because $F'(u) \in L^q(v^{s+1})$, while the second member belongs to $L^q(v^{s+1})$, by Lemma A.1. Thus it suffices to show that the two members are equal as distributions.

To this end we apply the Friedrichs mollifier to $u, v$ to construct approximating sequences, $u_n, v_n$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. Then the $u_n$ are bounded in $L^q$ and tend to $u$ in $L^q$, while $v_n \to v$ in $L^r$. Going over to a subsequence, we may assume that $u_n(t) \to u(t)$ in $L^q$ for a.e. $t$. Then we obtain $F'(u_n) \to F'(u) \circ v$ by Lemma A.2. On the other hand, $F'(u_n) \to F'(u)$ in $L^q(v^{s+1})$ by a variant of Lemma A.1 (replace the space function $\phi$ with the space-time function $u$). Hence $\partial u \to \partial F'(u)$ as distributions.

Since the equality (A.5) is obviously true for smooth functions $u_n, v_n$, we obtain (A.5) in the limit $n \to \infty$.
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