

ANNALES DE L'I. H. P., SECTION B

NGUYEN VAN THU

A new version of Doeblin's theorem

Annales de l'I. H. P., section B, tome 17, n° 2 (1981), p. 213-217

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIHPB_1981__17_2_213_0

© Gauthier-Villars, 1981, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'I. H. P., section B » (<http://www.elsevier.com/locate/anihpb>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/legal.php>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

A new version of Doeblin's theorem

by

Nguyen VAN THU
Institute of Mathematics, Hanoi

ABSTRACT. — The aim of this note is to prove the existence of an operator-universal probability measure for infinitely divisible (i. d.) probability measures (p. m.) on a Banach space.

A famous theorem of W. Doeblin [2] asserts that there exists a p. m. belonging to the domain of partial attraction of every one-dimensional i. d. p. m. . The multi-dimensional version of this theorem is made by J. Barańska [1] in a Hilbert space and recently, by Ho Dang Phuc [5] in a Banach space.

Some techniques from Ho Dang Phuc [5] can be applied to prove a more general theorem. Namely, we replace the norming sequence in Doeblin's theorem by linear operators.

Throughout the paper we shall denote by $(X, \| \cdot \|)$ a real separable Banach space and consider only σ -additive non-negative Borel measures on X . For a bounded linear operator A and a measure μ we shall denote by $A\mu$ a measure defined by $A\mu(\varepsilon) = \mu(A^{-1}\varepsilon)$ ($\varepsilon \subset X$). In particular, if $Ax = cx$ for some $c \geq 0$ and for all $x \in X$ then $A\mu$ will be denoted by the usual symbol $T_c\mu$.

A p. m. μ on X is said to be infinitely divisible if for every $n = 1, 2, \dots$ there exists a p. m. μ_n such that $\mu = \mu_n^{*n}$, where the asterisk $*$ denotes the convolution operation of measures. It is known ([3], [9]) that every i. d. p. m. μ on X has a unique representation.

$$(1) \quad \mu = \rho * \tilde{\alpha}(M)$$

where ρ is a symmetric Gaussian p. m., $\tilde{e}(M)$ is a generalized Poisson p. m. corresponding to a Levy's measure M on $X \setminus \{0\}$. In particular, if M is a finite measure then $e(M) = \tilde{e}(M)$ is a Poisson p. m..

Recall that a p. m. P belongs to the domain of partial attraction of a p. m. q on X if there exist a subsequence $\{n_k\}$ of natural numbers and a sequence $\{a_k\}$ of real numbers such that $\{T_{a_k} P^{*n_k}\}$ is shift-convergent to q . Here and in the sequel the convergence of p. m.'s will be understood in the weak sense. Further, P is said to be universal for i. d. p. m.'s if it belongs to the domain of partial attraction of every i. d. p. m. q .

Let A be a bounded linear operator on X . A p. m. P is said to be A -universal for i. d. p. m.'s on X if for every i. d. p. m. q on X there exist subsequences $\{n_k\}$ and m_k of natural numbers such that $\{A^{n_k} P^{*m_k}\}$ is shift-convergent to q .

Now we shall prove the following generalized Doeblin theorem.

THEOREM. — For every invertible bounded linear operator A on X such that

$$(2) \quad \|A^n\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

there exists an A -universal p. m. P for i. d. p. m.'s on X .

Remark. — It is easy to show that if X is finite-dimensional and A is a linear operator on X then from the existence of an A -universal p. m. P for i. d. p. m.'s on X it follows that A is invertible and the relation (2) holds.

We precede the proof of the theorem by two lemmas. The following lemma is due to Ho Dang Phuc [5].

LEMMA 1. — Let ρ be a symmetric Gaussian p. m. on X . Then there exists a sequence $\{\rho_n\}$ of Poisson p. m.'s convergent to ρ .

Proof. — Let Z be an X -valued random variable with distribution ρ . By Theorem 3 [7] it follows that there exist a sequence $\{x_n\}$ of elements of X and a sequence $\{\varphi_n\}$ of independent Gaussian random variables with distribution $N(0, 1)$ such that

$$Z = \sum_n x_n \varphi_n$$

where the series is convergent almost surely.

Let μ_n be the distribution of the random variable

$$Z_n = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \varphi_k$$

Then, by Theorem 4.1 [6], it follows that $\{\mu_n\}$ is convergent to ρ . Moreover, μ_n is a Gaussian p. m. on the finite-dimensional space

$$E_n := \text{lin} \{ a_1, \dots, a_n \} \subset E$$

for all n . Hence for each n there exists a sequence $\mu_{n,m}$, $m = 1, 2, \dots$, of Poisson p. m.'s convergent to μ_n . Finally, define $\rho_n = \mu_{n,n}$ we get a sequence $\{\rho_n\}$ of Poisson p. m.'s convergent to ρ . The Lemma is thus proved.

LEMMA 2. — Let $\{M_n\}$ be a sequence of finite measures on X such that

$$(3) \quad \int_X \|x\| M_n(dx) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then the sequence $e(M_n)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, of Poisson measures on X is convergent to δ_0 .

Proof. — For $r > 0$ let us denote $B_r = \{x \in X : \|x\| \leq r\}$. It can be easily seen that the condition (3) implies the following two conditions:

$$(4) \quad \{M_n|_{B_r^c}\} \quad \text{is weakly convergent to 0 and}$$

$$(5) \quad \lim_{r \downarrow 0} \limsup_n \int_{B_r} \|x\| M_n(dx) = 0$$

which, by Corollary 1.8 [4], implies that $e(M_n)$ is convergent to δ_0 . Thus the Lemma is proved.

Proof of the Theorem. — By the condition (2) it follows that there exist constants $c > 0$ and $\alpha > 1$ such that for each $n = 1, 2, \dots$

$$(6) \quad \|A^n\| \leq C\alpha^{-n}.$$

Let $\{q_n\}$ be a countable dense subset of the set of all i. d. p. m.'s on X . We may assume that the corresponding Levy's measure M_n to q_n in the representation (1) is concentrated at $B_n = \{x \in X : \|x\| \leq n\}$ and that $M_n(X) \leq n$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. By virtue of Lemma 1 we may suppose that

$$(7) \quad q_n = e(M_n) * \delta_{x_n}$$

for some sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$.

Define

$$(8) \quad M = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [\alpha^{n^2}]^{-1} A^{-n^3} M_n$$

where $[\alpha^{n^2}]$ denotes the integer part of α^{n^2} and α is determined by (6). It is clear that M is a finite measure on X . Then we put

$$(9) \quad P = e(M).$$

We claim that P is A -universal for i. d. p. m.'s on X . Let q be an arbitrary i. d. p. m. on X . Then there is a subsequence $\{n_k\}$ of natural numbers such that the sequence $\{q_{n_k}\}$ is convergent to q . Let $t_k = [\alpha^{n_k^2}]$. Our further aim is to prove that the sequence

$$(10) \quad v_k := A^{n_k^3} p^{*t_k}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

is shift-convergent to q .

Accordingly, putting

$$(11) \quad N_k^1 = \sum_{n > n_k} t_k [\alpha^{n_k^2}]^{-1} A^{n_k^3 - n^3} M_n$$

and

$$(12) \quad N_k^2 = \sum_{n < n_k} t_k [\alpha^{n^2}]^{-1} A^{n_k^3 - n^3} M_n$$

and taking into account the definition of v_k in (10) we have the equation

$$(13) \quad v_k = e(M_n) * e(N_k^1) * e(N_k^2)$$

We shall prove that $\lim_k N_k^1(X) = 0$ and $\lim_k \int_X \|x\| N_k^2(dx) = 0$, which, by virtue of (13) and Lemma 2, implies that $\{v_k\}$ is shift-convergent to q .

The first limit is clear, because

$$\begin{aligned} N_k^1(X) &\leq \sum_{n > n_k} n t_k [\alpha^{n^2}]^{-1} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n_k + n) [\alpha^{n_k^2}] [\alpha^{(n_k + n)^2}]^{-1} \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n_k + n) \alpha^{-(2(n_k + n)n)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have, by (6),

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\mathbf{X}} \|x\| N_k^2(dx) &= \sum_{n < n_k} \int_{\mathbf{X}} \|A^{n\hat{\alpha} - n^3} x\| t_k [\alpha^{n^2}]^{-1} M_n(dx) \\
 &\leq C \sum_{n < n_k} n^2 \alpha^{n^3 - n_k^3} [\alpha^{n^2}]^{-1} \\
 &\leq \frac{C\alpha}{\alpha - 1} \sum_{n < n_k} n^2 \alpha^{n^3 - n_k^3} \alpha^{n_k^2 - n^2} \\
 &\leq \frac{C\alpha}{\alpha - 1} \sum_{n < n_k} n^2 \alpha^{-n^2} \alpha^{(n_k - 1)^3 - n_k^3 + n_k^2} \\
 &\leq \frac{C\alpha}{\alpha - 1} \alpha^{-2n_k^3 + 3n_k - 1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 \alpha^{-n^2} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus the theorem is fully proved.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. BARAŃSKA, Domain of partial attraction for infinitely divisible distributions in a Hilbert space. *Colloq. Math.*, t. **XXVIII**, 1973, p. 317-322.
- [2] W. DOEBLIN, Sur l'ensemble de puissances d'une loi de probabilité. *Bull. Sci. Math.*, t. **6**, 1939, p. 71-96.
- [3] E. DETTWEILER, Grenzwertsätze für Wahrscheinlichkeitsmasse auf Badrikianschen Räumen. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete*, t. **34**, 1976, p. 285-311.
- [4] E. GINÉ, *A survey on the general central limit problem in Banach spaces*. Séminaire sur la géométrie des espaces de Banach, 1977-1978. École Polytechnique.
- [5] HO DANG PHUC, *Universal distribution for infinitely divisible distributions in a Banach space* (Preprint). Graduate work at the Wrocław University, 1978.
- [6] K. ITO and M. NISIO, On the convergence of sums of independent Banach space valued random variables. *Osaka J. of Math.*, t. **5**, 1968, p. 35-48.
- [7] N. S. JAIN and G. KALLIANPUR, Norm convergent expansion for Gaussian processes in Banach spaces. *Proceed. Amer. Math. Soc.*, t. **25**, 1970, p. 890-895.
- [8] R. R. PARTHASARATHY, *Probability measures on metric spaces*, New York, London, 1967.
- [9] A. TORTRAT, Structure des lois indéfiniment divisible dans un espace vectoriel topologique (separe) X. Symposium on Probability methods in analysis. *Lecture Notes in Math.*, p. 299-328.

(Manuscrit reçu le 25 février 1981)