

ANNALES DE L'I. H. P., SECTION B

CRISTINA BETZ

HENRYK GZYL

Probabilistic approach for comparing first eigenvalues

Annales de l'I. H. P., section B, tome 21, n° 2 (1985), p. 147-156

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIHPB_1985__21_2_147_0

© Gauthier-Villars, 1985, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'I. H. P., section B » (<http://www.elsevier.com/locate/anihpb>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

Probabilistic approach for comparing first eigenvalues

by

Cristina BETZ (*) and Henryk GZYL (*)
A. P. 52120, Caracas 1050-A, Venezuela

ABSTRACT. — We use probabilistic subordination and time change to compare the first eigenvalues for problems of the type $G\psi + \lambda\psi = 0$; $G\psi - q\psi + \lambda\rho\psi = 0$, with zero boundary conditions.

Key-words and phrases: First eigenvalue, subordinate process, time change.

RÉSUMÉ. — Nous utilisons la subordination stochastique et les changements de temps pour comparer les premières valeurs propres des problèmes du type $G\psi + \lambda\psi = 0$; $G\psi - q\psi + \lambda\rho\psi = 0$, avec des conditions frontières nulles.

1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of this paper is to use probabilistic subordination and time change to compare the first eigenvalues of the problems

$$(1.1) \quad G\psi + \lambda\psi = 0 \quad \text{in } D \quad \psi/\partial D = 0$$

$$(1.2) \quad G\psi - q\psi + \lambda\psi = 0 \quad \text{in } D \quad \psi/\partial D = 0$$

$$(1.3) \quad G\psi - q\psi + \lambda\rho\psi = 0 \quad \text{in } D \quad \psi/\partial D = 0.$$

for an adequate class of operators G .

(*) Permanent address: Apartado 52120. Caracas 1050-A Venezuela. Work done during a sabbatical stay at the Mathematical Centre.

In Section 2 we consider a Hunt process (X_t) with state space (E, \mathcal{E}) whose semigroup possesses a nonnegative symmetric density $f(t, x, y)$ with respect to a Radon measure m on (E, \mathcal{E}) , such that if D is a bounded open subset of E with $m(\partial D) = 0$ then

$$\int_D \int_D f^2(t, x, y) m(dx) m(dy) < \infty$$

and such that, if $T = \inf \{ t > 0 : X_t \in D^c \}$ is the first exit time from D , then

$$P^x(T < \infty) = 1 \quad \forall x \in D.$$

By stopping (X_t) at the boundary of D and subjecting it to a local death rate $q(x)$ we are able to compare the first eigenvalues of the problems (1.1) and (1.2), when G is the infinitesimal generator of (X_t) .

In Section 3 we consider an adequate time change of the process with generator $G - q$ to compare the first eigenvalues of problems (1.2) and (1.3).

Basic for all the comparison results is the fact that under the stated assumptions on the semigroup one can get eigenvalue expansions for these various processes which allow one to give a simple characterization of the first eigenvalue. This characterization (proposition 1 in Section 2) is well known for diffusions (see chapter 14 of [4]).

Section 4 is devoted to the discussion of examples.

We refer the reader to [1] for the basic notation and definitions throughout the paper.

2. COMPARISON OF (1.1) AND (1.2)

Let (X_t^D) be the process (X_t) killed when it leaves D and (Q_t^D) its transition semigroup, that is

$$Q_t^D f(x) = E^x[f(X_t), t < T].$$

It is proved in [5] that there is a sequence $\{\lambda_j\}$ of eigenvalues of G such that $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n \leq \dots, \lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$ and a complete orthonormal set of eigenfunctions $\{\phi_j\}$ such that the series $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_j t} \phi_j(x) \phi_j(y)$ converges absolutely and

$$(2.1) \quad Q_t^D f(x) = \sum_n e^{-\lambda_n t} \phi_n(x) \int_D \phi_n(y) f(y) m(dy)$$

for $f \in L^2(D)$.

A similar expansion can be found for the semigroup

$$\tilde{Q}_t^D f(x) = E^x [f(X_t)M_t; t < T]$$

of the process subordinate to (X_t^D) with respect to the multiplicative functional $M_t = \exp\left(-\int_0^t q(X_s)ds\right)$ where q is a continuous positive function on E (see also [5]).

The first eigenvalue of the problem

$$(1.1) \quad G\psi + \lambda\psi = 0 \quad \text{in } D, \quad \psi/\partial D = 0$$

can now be characterized as follows.

PROPOSITION 1. — *If λ_1 is the first eigenvalue of the problem (1.1) then*

$$\lambda_1 = \sup \left\{ \lambda : \sup_{x \in D} E^x [e^{\lambda T}] < \infty \right\}.$$

Proof. — It follows from (2.1) that

$$P^x(T > t) = \sum_n e^{-\lambda_n t} \phi_n(x) \int_D \phi_n(y)m(dy).$$

Let $K_n = \int_D \phi_n(y)m(dy)$ then one has

$$\begin{aligned} E^x [e^{\lambda T}] &= - \int_0^\infty e^{\lambda t} dP^x(T > t) = - \sum_n K_n \phi_n(x) \int_0^\infty -\lambda_n e^{-(\lambda_n - \lambda)t} dt \\ &= \sum_n K_n \phi_n(x) \left(\frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_n - \lambda} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, when $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_1$ this series diverges. Also, $E^x [e^{\lambda T}]$ is an increasing function of λ , so it suffices to show that $E^x [e^{\lambda T}] < \infty$ for $\lambda < \lambda_1, \forall x \in D$.

Now, if $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1$ then

$$\frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda} \leq \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_n - \lambda},$$

hence

$$\sum_n K_n \phi_n(x) \left(\frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_n - \lambda} \right) \leq \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda} Q_0^D 1(x) < \infty.$$

REMARK 1. — A similar characterization for the first eigenvalue of problem (1.2) clearly holds.

REMARK 2. — The heuristics of the situation is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}^x[e^{\lambda T}] &= - \int_0^\infty e^{\lambda t} d\mathbb{P}^x(T > t) = \int_0^\infty \lambda e^{\lambda s} \mathbb{P}^x(T > s) ds + 1 = \\ &= \int_0^\infty \lambda e^{\lambda s} \mathbb{E}^x[1(X_s), s < T] + 1 = \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{\lambda s} \mathbb{Q}_s^D 1(x) + 1 \text{ when } \mathbb{P}^x(T < \infty) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

So what one does is « look at the poles of the resolvent ».

In order to compare the first eigenvalues of problems (1.1) and (1.2) we consider (\tilde{X}_t) , the canonical realization of the process subordinated to (X_t) with respect to $M_t = \exp\left(-\int_0^t q(X_s) ds\right)$ on the space $\tilde{\Omega} = \Omega \times [0, \infty]$, and denote by $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^x$ its corresponding measures on $\tilde{\Omega}$ (see [1], ch. 3 for all the constructions).

Following [1], let $\gamma : \tilde{\Omega} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be the projection $\gamma(\omega, \lambda) = \lambda$, and

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \inf \{ t > 0 : X_t \in D^c \} \\ \tilde{T} &= \inf \{ t > 0 : \tilde{X}_t \in D^c \}. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 1. — $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}^x[e^{\lambda \tilde{T}}] \leq \mathbb{E}^x[e^{\lambda T}]$, $\forall x \in D$.

Proof. — It follows from the definition of (\tilde{X}_t) that

$$\begin{aligned} \{ \tilde{T} > t \} &= \{ \tilde{X}_s \in D, s \leq t \} = \{ X_s \in D, s \leq t, \gamma > t \} \\ &= \{ T > t; \gamma > t \} \end{aligned}$$

and therefore, by the definition of $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^x$ we have

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^x(\tilde{T} > t) = \mathbb{E}^x[M_t; T > t].$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}^x[e^{\lambda \tilde{T}}] &= - \int_0^\infty e^{\lambda t} d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^x(\tilde{T} > t) = - \int_0^\infty e^{\lambda t} d\mathbb{E}^x[M_t; T > t] \\ &= 1 + \lambda \mathbb{E}^x \int_0^T e^{\lambda t} M_t dt \leq 1 + \lambda \mathbb{E}^x \int_0^T e^{\lambda t} dt = \mathbb{E}^x[e^{\lambda T}] \end{aligned}$$

the integration by parts in the third equality is possible since $\mathbb{P}^x(T < \infty) = 1$ $\forall x \in D$.

The following comparison result now follows easily from Proposition 1 and Lemma 1.

THEOREM 1. — Let λ_1 be the smallest eigenvalue of problem (1.1) and $\tilde{\lambda}_1$ the smallest eigenvalue of problem (1.2) then

$$\tilde{\lambda}_1 \geq \lambda_1.$$

REMARK 3. — In the same manner, one can compare the first eigenvalues of the problems

$$G\psi - q_1\psi + \lambda\psi = 0 \quad \text{in } D \psi / \partial D = 0$$

$$G\psi - q_2\psi + \mu\psi = 0 \quad \text{in } D \psi / \partial D = 0$$

for $q_2 \geq q_1$. In fact, let (X_t) now denote the process with generator $G - q_1$, and let (\tilde{X}_t) be the process subordinated to (X_t) with respect to

$$M_t = \exp\left(-\int_0^t (q_2(X_s) - q_1(X_s)) ds\right)$$

then, the same reasoning as before gives $\mu_1 \geq \tilde{\lambda}_1$.

REMARK 4. — The comparison results will hold whenever a characterization for the principal eigenvalue, as the one given in proposition 1 holds; therefore, these results will be valid for the elliptic operators considered by Friedman [4], Vol. 2, Chapter 14.

3. COMPARISON OF (1.2) AND (1.3)

We will now compare the first eigenvalues of the problems

$$(1.2) \quad (G - q)\psi + \lambda\psi = 0 \quad \text{in } D \psi / \partial D = 0$$

$$(1.3) \quad (G - q)\psi + \lambda\rho\psi = 0 \quad \text{in } D \psi / \partial D = 0$$

for ρ continuous and $\rho \geq c > 0$.

Let (X_t) now be the process with generator $G - q = \tilde{G}$ (we warn the reader that to be consistent with the notation of section 2 we should work with (\tilde{X}_t) , but we feel this would become too confusing).

Suppose (X_t) has a symmetric transition density $p(t, x, y)$ with respect to the Radon measure m which satisfies

$$(3.1) \quad \int_D \int_D p^2(t, x, y) m(dx) m(dy) < \infty$$

$$(3.2) \quad \int_D p(t, x, x) \rho(x) m(dx) < \infty \quad \forall t$$

for bounded sets D .

Let (A_t) be the following additive functional of (X_t)

$$A_t = \int_0^t \rho(X_s) ds$$

and let (τ_t) be the right continuous inverse of (A_t) ; that is

$$\tau_t = \inf \{ u; A_u > t \}.$$

One may check that (τ_t) satisfies

$$\tau_t = \int_0^t \frac{ds}{\rho(X_{\tau_s})}, \quad (X_{\tau_t}) \text{ being the time changed process.}$$

Call $\hat{X}_t = X_{\tau_t}$, then $\hat{X} = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_{\tau_t}, \hat{X}_t, \theta_{\tau_t}, P^x)$ is a strong Markov process (see [1], Ch. V) and it follows from Dynkin's formula that (\hat{X}_t) has generator $\frac{\tilde{G}}{\rho}$.

The following proposition allows one to use the same procedure as that of proposition 1 in Section 2 to characterize the first eigenvalue of problem (1.3) as

$$\hat{\lambda}_1 = \sup \{ \lambda : \sup_{x \in D} E^x [e^{\lambda \hat{T}}] < \infty \}$$

where

$$\hat{T} = \inf \{ t > 0 : \hat{X}_t \in D^c \}.$$

PROPOSITION 1. — *Under the assumptions (3.1) and (3.2) and the symmetry of $p(t, x, y)$; the process (\hat{X}_t) possesses a symmetric transition density $\hat{p}(t, x, y)$ with respect to the measure $\rho(y)m(dy)$ and moreover, if D is bounded then*

$$(3.3) \quad \int_D \int_D \hat{p}^2(t, x, y) \rho(x) \rho(y) m(dx) m(dy) < \infty$$

Proof. — Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{U}^\alpha f(x) &= E^x \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha t} f(X_{\tau_t}) dt = E^x \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha \Lambda_t} f(X_t) \rho(X_t) dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty E^x [\rho(X_t) f(X_t) e^{-\alpha \Lambda_t}] dt = V_\alpha^0(\rho f)(x) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V_\alpha^0 h(x) &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\beta t} Q_t^\alpha h(x) dt \\ Q_t^\alpha h(x) &= E^x [e^{-\alpha \Lambda_t} h(X_t)]. \end{aligned}$$

If (X_t) has a symmetric transition density $p(t, x, y)$ then (Q_t^α) has a symmetric transition density as well (see [5]), call it $q^\alpha(t, x, y)$. It is easy to see that

$$(3.4) \quad q^\alpha(t, x, y) \leq e^{-\alpha c t} p(t, x, y)$$

(recall c is such that $\rho(x) \geq c > 0$).

Let $v_\alpha^0(x, y) = \int_0^\infty q^\alpha(s, x, y)ds$, then by inverting Laplace transforms we get, for an appropriate contour γ

$$\hat{P}_t f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\gamma e^{\sigma t} \hat{U}^\sigma f(x) d\sigma = \int \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\gamma e^{\sigma t} v_\sigma^0(x, y) d\sigma \right) f(y) \rho(y) m(dy)$$

which proves the existence of the symmetric transition density $\hat{p}(t, x, y)$.

$$\hat{p}(t, x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\gamma e^{\sigma t} v_\sigma^0(x, y) d\sigma .$$

To prove (3.3) it is enough to show that

$$\int_D \hat{p}(2t, x, x) \rho(x) m(dx) < \infty .$$

But, for all $\alpha > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha t} \hat{p}(t, x, y) dt &= v_\alpha^0(x, y) \leq \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha ct} p(t, x, y) dt \\ &= c^{-1} \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha t} p\left(\frac{t}{c}, x, y\right) dt . \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\hat{p}(t, x, y) \leq cp^{-1}\left(\frac{t}{c}, x, y\right)$$

and the result follows from (3.2).

Let

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \inf \{ t > 0 : X_t \in D^c \} \\ \hat{T} &= \inf \{ t > 0 : \hat{X}_t \in D^c \} \end{aligned}$$

then

$$(3.5) \quad \hat{T} = A(T)$$

and so

$$(3.6) \quad \{ \hat{T} > t \} = \{ A(T) > t \} = \{ T > \tau_t \}$$

(see [1], ch. V).

THEOREM 1. — *If $\rho < 1$ then the first eigenvalue of problem (1.3) is bigger than the first eigenvalue of problem (1.2) and if $\rho > 1$ the first eigenvalue of problem (1.3) is smaller than the first eigenvalue of problem (1.2).*

Proof. — Notice that for $\rho \geq 1$, from (3.5) it follows that

$$E^x [\exp \lambda \hat{T}] = E^x [\exp \lambda A(T)] \leq E^x [\exp \lambda T]$$

4. EXAMPLES

In this section we list some situations in which the comparison results hold. In particular, we see that the classical results of examples 1 and 2 (see [3], chap VI) are valid for more general situations.

EXAMPLE 1. — The eigenvalues of the problem $\Delta\phi - q\phi + \lambda\phi = 0$ in D , $\phi = 0$ on ∂D ; increase when q increases.

EXAMPLE 2. — The eigenvalues of $\Delta\phi - q\phi + \lambda\phi = 0$ in D , $\phi = 0$ on ∂D ; increase when the domain D decreases.

EXAMPLE 3. — This is a generalization of example 1. Let G be the Laplace-Beltrami operator on a Riemannian manifold or compact Lie group and D an open bounded subset. The same conclusions hold. See [7] or [8] for the appropriate constructions.

EXAMPLE 4. — The following construction, going back to Phillips [6] yields a larger class of processes (and eigenvalue problems) for which the comparison results apply. Suppose (X_t) has a transition density $p(t, x, y)$ such that

$$p(t, x, y) = \sum_n e^{-\lambda_n t} \phi_n(x) \phi_n(y)$$

where the (ϕ_n) are an orthonormal, complete set, and (ϕ_n, λ_n) are all the solutions to $G\phi_n + \lambda_n\phi_n = 0$ plus appropriate boundary conditions.

Let $(\tau_t)_{t \geq 0}$ now denote a subordinator (a process with stationary independent increments on $[0, \infty[$) independent of (X_t) . Define a new semi-group on the same state space by

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad Q_t f(x) &= E \int_0^\infty P_u f(x) \tau_t(du) = E \sum_n \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda_n u} \tau_t(du) \right) \phi_n(x) \int \phi_n(y) f(y) dy \\ &= \sum_n e^{-\mu_n t} \phi_n(x) \int \phi_n(y) f(y) dy \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu_n = a\lambda_n - \int (e^{-\lambda_n u} - 1) \nu(du)$, (a, ν) being the characteristics of (τ_t) .

In this case, the process $(X_{\tau}, \Omega, P_{\tau}^x)$ where P_{τ}^x is constructed from (Q_t) via the Kolmogorov extension theorem, has transition semigroup (Q_t) and infinitesimal generator

$$(3.2) \quad G_{\tau}f(x) = aGf(x) - \int_0^{\infty} (P_u f(x) - f(x))\nu(du).$$

One has $G_{\tau}\phi_n + \mu_n\phi_n = 0$ and, from completeness, there are no more solutions to $G_{\tau}\psi + \lambda\psi = 0$ (with the same boundary conditions as for $G\psi + \lambda\psi = 0$).

EXAMPLE 5. — The comparison results can be applied to the symmetric stable process in \mathbb{R}^n of index α , $0 < \alpha \leq 2$; that is, the process with stationary independent increments whose continuous transition density relative to Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^N is

$$p(t, x) = \frac{1}{2\pi N} \int e^{i(x,\xi)} e^{-t|\xi|^{\alpha}} d\xi \quad t > 0.$$

See [2] and [5] in connection with this example.

It is interesting to observe the connection with example 4, which is as follows: If (N_t^{β}) is the one sided stable semigroup in $(0, \infty)$, $\beta \in (0, 1)$ and $P_t(x, A)$ the transition semigroup of the Brownian motion process in \mathbb{R}^N then, the semigroup of the symmetric stable process in \mathbb{R}^N satisfies

$$P_t^{\alpha}(x, A) = \int_0^{\infty} P_u(x, A) N_t^{\alpha/2}(du)$$

(see [1], ch. I; 2.20).

The process X^{α} with semigroup P_t^{α} given above can be described as $X_t^{\alpha} = B(N_t^{\beta})$, $\alpha/2 = \beta$, $B(t)$ being Brownian motion on \mathbb{R}^n and N_t^{β} as above too. As in section 2

$$Q_t^{\alpha}f(x) = E^x[f(X_t^{\alpha}); t < T]$$

defined for f bounded or positive, describes the semigroup of the process obtained from X^{α} by killing upon leaving a bounded open set D . T being the first hitting time of D^c .

Again, the results of Gettoor in [5] are valid in this case as well and we can therefore apply the comparison theorem to a class of integral operators (or integral equations) on bounded domains.

Actual explicit computation of the killed semigroup and its associated infinitesimal generator in the one dimensional case can be seen in [9] and in the references listed there. The domain D being an interval on the line-or $(-1, 1)$ after centering and scaling.

EXAMPLE 6. — The Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process in \mathbb{R} has a transition density $f(t, x, y)$ with respect to the measure $m(dy) = e^{-y^2/2} dy$ which is symmetric see [2].

EXAMPLE 7. — The following situation may apply to discretized versions of examples 1 or 2. Consider a symmetric Markov chain on a lattice, with transition among nearest neighbors only. Let D be a subset of the state space and let ∂D denote the subset of D^c consisting of nearest neighbors to points in D . If Q denotes the rate matrix of the process killed on leaving D , then our comparison result applies to the problems

$$\sum_{j \in D} Q(i, j)\phi(j) + \lambda\phi(i) = 0; \quad \phi(i) = 0, \quad i \in \partial D.$$

$$\sum_{j \in D} Q(i, j)\phi(j) - q(i)\phi(i) + \lambda\phi(i) = 0; \quad \phi(i) = 0, \quad i \in \partial D.$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We want to thank R. K. Getoor for his comments on the preprint. In the original preprint, example 5 is wrong. He pointed it out for us.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. M. BLUMENTHAL and R. K. GETOOR, *Markov processes and Potential theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1968.
- [2] R. M. BLUMENTHAL and R. K. GETOOR, The asymptotic distribution of the eigenvalues for a class of Markov operators, *Pacific Jour. Math.*, t. **9**, 1959, p. 399-408.
- [3] R. COURANT and D. HILBERT, *Methods of Mathematical physics*, t. I. Interscience. New York, 1953.
- [4] A. FRIEDMAN, *Stochastic differential equations and applications*. Academic Press, New York, 1976.
- [5] R. K. GETOOR, Markov operators and their associated semi-groups, *Pacific Jour. Jour. Math.*, t. **9**, 1959, p. 449-472.
- [6] R. S. PHILLIPS, On the generation of semigroups of linear operators, *Pacific Jour. Math.*, t. **2**, 1952, p. 343-369.
- [7] M. A. PINSKY, Isotropic transport process on a Riemannian manifold, *T. A. M. S.*, t. **218**, 1976, p. 353-360.
- [8] E. M. STEIN, *Topics in harmonic analysis*, Annales of Math. Studies # 63. Princeton Univ. Press. Princeton N. J., 1970.
- [9] S. WATANABE, On stable processes with boundary conditions, *Jour. Math. Soc. Jap.*, t. **M**, n° 2, 1962, p. 170-198.

(Manuscrit reçu le 3 juillet 1984)