

ANNALES DE L'I. H. P., SECTION C

A. CAPOZZI

D. FORTUNATO

G. PALMIERI

An existence result for nonlinear elliptic problems involving critical Sobolev exponent

Annales de l'I. H. P., section C, tome 2, n° 6 (1985), p. 463-470

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIHPC_1985__2_6_463_0

© Gauthier-Villars, 1985, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'I. H. P., section C » (<http://www.elsevier.com/locate/anihpc>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

An existence result for nonlinear elliptic problems involving critical Sobolev exponent

by

A. CAPOZZI, D. FORTUNATO, G. PALMIERI

Dipartimento di Matematica dell'Università Bari

ABSTRACT. — In this paper we consider the following problem:

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u - \lambda u = |u|^{2^*-2} \cdot u \\ u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad 2^* = 2n/(n-2)$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded domain and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

We prove the existence of a nontrivial solution of (1) for any $\lambda > 0$, if $n \geq 4$.

RÉSUMÉ. — Soient Ω un sous-ensemble ouvert borné de \mathbb{R}^n et λ un nombre positif, le but de cette note c'est de montrer que le problème suivant :

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u - \lambda u = |u|^{2^*-2} \cdot u \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \end{cases} \quad 2^* = 2n/(n-2)$$

admet, au moins, une solution non triviale, si $n \geq 4$.

Work supported by G. N. A. F. A. or C. N. R. and by Ministero Pubblica Istruzione (fondi 40 % e 60 %).

0. INTRODUCTION

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, be an open bounded set with smooth boundary. Consider the problem

$$(0.1) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u - \lambda u - u \cdot |u|^{2^*-2} = 0 \\ u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \end{cases}$$

where λ is a real parameter and $2^* = 2n/(n-2)$ is the critical Sobolev exponent for the Sobolev embedding $H_0^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{2^*}(\Omega)$.

The solutions of (0.1) are the critical points of the energy functional

$$(0.2) \quad f_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_\Omega |u|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2^*} \int_\Omega |u|^{2^*} dx.$$

Since the embedding $H_0^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{2^*}(\Omega)$ is not compact the functional f_λ does not satisfy the Palais-Smale condition in the energy range $]-\infty, +\infty[$ (cf. remark 2.3 of [4]).

Moreover if $\lambda \leq 0$ and Ω is starshaped (0.1) has only the trivial solution (cf. [6]).

Recently Brezis and Nirenberg in [2] have proved that if $n \geq 4$ and $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1$ (λ_1 is the first eigenvalue of $-\Delta$) then (0.1) has a positive solution. In [4] Cerami, Fortunato and Struwe have obtained multiplicity results for (0.1) in the case in which λ belongs to a suitable left neighbourhood of an arbitrary eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ (cf. also [3]).

In this paper we prove the following theorem:

THEOREM 0.1. — *If $n \geq 4$ the problem (0.1) possesses at least one non trivial solution for any $\lambda > 0$.*

A weaker result related to theorem 0.1 has been announced in [5].

We observe that if $n = 3$ and Ω is a ball, Brezis and Nirenberg [2] have proved that the problem (0.1) does not have nontrivial radial solutions if $0 < \lambda < \frac{\lambda_1}{4}$.

1. SOME PRELIMINARIES

Let $\|\cdot\|, |\cdot|_p$ denote respectively the norms in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $L^p(\Omega)$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$), and let

$$S = \inf \{ \|u\|^2 / |u|_{2^*}^2 : u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} \}$$

denote the best constant for the embedding $H_0^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{2^*}(\Omega)$.

The following lemma shows that f_λ satisfies a local P.S. condition.

LEMMA 1.1. — For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ the functional f_λ (see (0.2)) satisfies the Palais-Smale condition in $\left] -\infty, \frac{1}{n} S^{n/2} \right[$ in the following sense:

If $c < \frac{1}{n} S^{n/2}$ and $\{u_m\}$ is a sequence in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ such that
 (P. S.) $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty f_\lambda(u_m) \rightarrow c, f'_\lambda(u_m) \rightarrow 0 \text{ strongly in } H^{-1}(\Omega), \text{ then } \{u_m\} \\ \text{contains a subsequence converging strongly in } H_0^1(\Omega). \end{array} \right.$

The proof of this lemma is in [2] and in [4]. We recall that a deeper compactness result has been proved in [7].

We recall a critical point Theorem (cf. [1, Theorem 2.4]) which is a variant of some results contained in [0].

THEOREM 1.2. — Let H be a real Hilbert space and $f \in C^1(H, \mathbb{R})$ be a functional satisfying the following assumptions:

- (f₁) $f(u) = f(-u), f(0) = 0$ for any $u \in H$
- (f₂) there exists $\beta > 0$ such that f satisfies (P. S.) in $]0, \beta[$
- (f₃) there exist two closed subspaces $V, W \subset H$ and positive constants ρ, δ such that

- (i) $f(u) < \beta$ for any $u \in W$
- (ii) $f(u) \geq \delta$ for any $u \in V, \|u\| = \rho$
- (iii) $\text{codim } V < +\infty$.

Then there exist at least m pairs of critical points, with

$$m = \dim(V \cap W) - \text{codim}(V + W).$$

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 0.1

Our aim is to define two suitable closed subspaces V and W , with $V \cap W \neq \{0\}$ and $V + W = H$, such that f_λ satisfies the assumptions f_2 and f_3 of Theorem 1.2 with $\beta = \frac{1}{n} S^{n/2}$.

In the sequel we denote by λ_j the eigenvalues of $-\Delta$ and by $M(\lambda_j)$ the corresponding eigenspaces.

Given $\lambda > 0$, we set

$$(2.1) \quad \lambda^+ = \min \{ \lambda_j \mid \lambda < \lambda_j \}$$

$$H_1 = \overline{\bigoplus_{\lambda_j \geq \lambda^+} M(\lambda_j)} \quad H_2 = \bigoplus_{\lambda_j < \lambda^+} M(\lambda_j)$$

where the closure is taken in $H_0^1(\Omega)$.

If $r > 0$ we set

$$N_r(0) = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|x\| < r \}.$$

Without loss of generality we can suppose that $0 \in \Omega$ and that $N_1(0) \subset \Omega$. Given $\mu > 0$ we set (cf. [2] [7])

$$\psi_\mu(x) = \phi(x) \cdot u_\mu(x)$$

where $\phi \in C_0^\infty(N_1(0))$, $\phi(x) = 1$ on $N_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)$, and

$$u_\mu(x) = \frac{|n(n-2)\mu|^{(n-2)/4}}{|\mu + |x||^{(n-2)/2}}.$$

The following lemma holds:

LEMMA 2.1. — *If $\psi_\mu(x)$ is defined as in (2.1), then for any μ*

$$(2.2) \quad \|\psi_\mu\|^2 = S^{n/2} + O(\mu^{(n-2)/2}) \quad (1)$$

$$(2.3) \quad |\psi_\mu|_{2^*}^{2^*} = S^{n/2} + O(\mu^{n/2})$$

$$(2.4) \quad |\psi_\mu|_2^2 = \begin{cases} K_1\mu + O(\mu^{(n-2)/2}) & \text{if } n \geq 5 \\ K_1\mu |\log \mu| + O(\mu) & \text{if } n = 4 \end{cases}$$

$$(2.5) \quad |\psi_\mu|_1 \leq K_2\mu^{(n-2)/4}$$

$$(2.6) \quad |\psi_\mu|_{2^*-1}^{2^*-1} \leq K_3\mu^{(n-2)/4}$$

where K_1, K_2, K_3 are suitable positive constants.

Proof. — The proof of (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) is contained in [2], moreover (2.5) and (2.6) can be straightforwardly verified.

Now we shall prove some technical lemmas. We set

$$\overline{W}(\mu) = \{u \in H_0^1 \mid u = u^- + t\psi_\mu, u^- \in H_2, t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

The following lemma holds:

LEMMA 2.2. — *If $u \in \overline{W}(\mu)$, then for any $\mu > 0$*

$$(2.7) \quad |u|_{2^*}^{2^*} \geq |t\psi_\mu|_{2^*}^{2^*} + \frac{1}{2}|u^-|_{2^*}^{2^*} - K_4 t^{2^*} \mu^{n(n-2)/(2n+4)} \quad \text{for any } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof. — By the identity

$$(2.8) \quad |u|_{2^*}^{2^*} = 2^* \int_\Omega dx \int_0^u |s|^{2^*-2} s ds$$

(1) In the sequel we denote by $O(\mu^\alpha)$, $\alpha > 0$ a function $|f(\mu)| \leq \text{const } \mu^\alpha$ near $\mu = 0$, and by $o(\mu)$, a function such that $f(\mu)/\mu \rightarrow 0$ as $\mu \rightarrow 0$.

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.9) \quad & |u^- + t\psi_\mu|_{2^*}^{2^*} - |t\psi_\mu|_{2^*}^{2^*} - |u^-|_{2^*}^{2^*} = \\
 & = 2^* \int_0^1 d\tau \int_\Omega [|t\psi_\mu + \tau u^-|^{2^*-2} \cdot (t\psi_\mu + \tau u^-) - |\tau u^-|^{2^*-2} \cdot \tau u^-] u^- dx = \\
 & = 2^*(2^* - 1) \int_0^1 d\tau \int_\Omega |\tau u^- + t\psi_\mu \theta|^{2^*-2} \cdot t\psi_\mu \cdot u^- dx
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\theta = \theta(x)$ is a measurable function such that $0 < \theta(x) < 1$.

By (2.9) and by (2.5), (2.6) we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.10) \quad & \left| |u|_{2^*}^{2^*} - |t\psi_\mu|_{2^*}^{2^*} - |u^-|_{2^*}^{2^*} \right| \\
 & \leq c_1 \int_0^1 d\tau \int_\Omega \{ |u^-| \cdot |t\psi_\mu|^{2^*-1} + \tau^{2^*-2} \cdot |t\psi_\mu| \cdot |u^-|^{2^*-1} \} dx \leq \\
 & \leq c_2 \{ |t\psi_\mu|_{2^*-1}^{2^*-1} \cdot |u^-|_\infty + |t\psi_\mu|_1 \cdot |u^-|_\infty^{2^*-1} \} \leq \\
 & \leq c_3 \{ |t\psi_\mu|_{2^*-1}^{2^*-1} \cdot |u^-|_2 + |t\psi_\mu|_1 \cdot |u^-|_{2^*}^{2^*-1} \} \leq \\
 (2.10a) \quad & \leq e_3 \cdot t^{2^*-1} \cdot \mu^{(n-2)/4} |u^-|_2 + \frac{1}{4} |u^-|_{2^*}^{2^*} + c_4 \cdot t^{2^*} \cdot \mu^{n/2} \leq \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2} |u^-|_{2^*}^{2^*} + k_4 t^{2^*} \cdot \mu^{n(n-2)/2n+4}
 \end{aligned}$$

and the lemma is proved.

LEMMA 2.3. — *If μ is sufficiently small, then*

$$(2.11) \quad \frac{\|\psi_\mu\|^2 - \lambda |\psi_\mu|_2^2}{|\psi_\mu|_{2^*}^{2^*}} = \begin{cases} S - K_5 \mu + O(\mu^{\frac{n-2}{2}}) & \text{if } n \geq 5 \\ S + K_5 \mu \log \mu + O(\mu) & \text{if } n = 4 \end{cases} \quad (2.11a)$$

$$(2.11b)$$

Proof. — The evaluation (2.11) follows immediately by (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4).

REMARK 2.4. — Suppose that $\lambda = \lambda_j$, with $\lambda_j \in \sigma(-\Delta)$ and denote by P_j the projector on the eigenspace M_j corresponding to λ_j .

We set

$$(2.12) \quad \tilde{\psi}_\mu = \psi_\mu - P_j \psi_\mu.$$

Let $\{v_k\}$ an orthonormal family spanning M_j , then by (2.5) we have

$$(2.13) \quad |P_j \psi_\mu|_2^2 = \sum_k \left(\int_\Omega \psi_\mu v_k dx \right)^2 \leq \text{const} \cdot |\psi_\mu|_1^2 \leq K_6 \mu^{\frac{n-2}{2}}$$

then

$$(2.14) \quad |P_j \psi_\mu|_\infty \leq K_7 \mu^{\frac{n-2}{4}}.$$

Moreover we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} \{ |\tilde{\psi}_{\mu}|^{2^*} - |\psi_{\mu}|^{2^*} \} dx \right| &= 2^* \left| \int_0^1 d\tau \int_{\Omega} |\psi_{\mu} - \tau P_j \psi_{\mu}|^{2^*-2} (\psi_{\mu} - \tau P_j \psi_{\mu}) P_j \psi_{\mu} dx \right| \leq \\ &\leq 2^* \cdot 2^{2^*-1} \int_0^1 d\tau \int_{\Omega} \{ |\psi_{\mu}|^{2^*-1} + \tau^{2^*-1} |P_j \psi_{\mu}|^{2^*-1} \} |P_j \psi_{\mu}| dx \\ &\leq \text{const} \{ |\psi_{\mu}|_{2^{*}-1}^{2^*-1} \cdot |P_j \psi_{\mu}|_{\infty} + |P_j \psi_{\mu}|_{2^*}^{2^*} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Then by (2.14) and (2.6) it follows that

$$(2.15) \quad \left| |\tilde{\psi}_{\mu}|_{2^*}^{2^*} - |\psi_{\mu}|_{2^*}^{2^*} \right| \leq c_1 \mu^{\frac{n-2}{2}}.$$

Moreover by (2.14) and (2.6) we have

$$(2.16) \quad \left| |\tilde{\psi}_{\mu}|_{2^{*}-1}^{2^{*}-1} - |\psi_{\mu} - P_j \psi_{\mu}|_{2^{*}-1}^{2^{*}-1} \right| \leq \text{const} \{ |\psi_{\mu}|_{2^{*}-1}^{2^{*}-1} + |P_j \psi_{\mu}|_{2^{*}-1}^{2^{*}-1} \} \\ \leq \text{const} \mu^{\frac{n-2}{4}}.$$

Analogously by (2.14) and (2.5) we have

$$(2.17) \quad |\tilde{\psi}_{\mu}|_1 \leq \text{const} \mu^{\frac{n-2}{4}}.$$

By (2.15), (2.16), (2.17) it easily follows that (2.11) holds if we replace ψ_{μ} with $\tilde{\psi}_{\mu}$.

Moreover, by (2.15), (2.16), (2.17), also (2.7) holds (for μ small) if we replace ψ_{μ} with $\tilde{\psi}_{\mu}$ and $\overline{W}(\mu)$ with

$$\overline{\overline{W}}(\mu) = \{ u \in H_0^1 \mid u = u^- + t\tilde{\psi}_{\mu}, u^- \in H_2, t \in \mathbb{R} \}.$$

Now we can prove a crucial lemma:

LEMMA 2.5. — For μ sufficiently small

$$(2.18) \quad \sup_{\overline{W}} f(u) < \frac{1}{n} S^{n/2}$$

where $\overline{W} = \overline{W}(\mu)$ (resp. $\overline{\overline{W}}(\mu)$) if $\lambda \notin \sigma(-\Delta)$ (resp. $\lambda \in \sigma(-\Delta)$).

Proof. — Observe that if we fix $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, $u \neq 0$, then

$$(2.19) \quad \max_t f_{\lambda}(tu) = \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{\|u\|^2 - \lambda |u|_2^2}{|u|_{2^*}^2} \right)^{n/2}.$$

Then in order to prove (2.18) we need to evaluate

$$(2.20) \quad \sup_{\substack{u \in \overline{W}(\mu) \\ |u|_{2^*} = 1}} \{ \|u\|^2 - \lambda |u|_2^2 \}.$$

We distinguish two cases:

Case i) $\lambda \notin \sigma(-\Delta)$.

Let $u = u^- + t\psi_{\mu} \in \overline{W}(\mu)$ with $|u|_{2^*} = 1$.

Observe that t is bounded if μ is small, in fact by (2.7) and (2.3) we get

$$1 = |u|_{2^*}^{2^*} \geq |t\psi_\mu|_{2^*}^{2^*} - K_4 t^{2^*} \mu^{n/2} + \frac{1}{2} |u^-|_{2^*}^{2^*} = t^{2^*} [S^{n/2} + O(\mu^{n/2})] + \frac{1}{2} |u^-|_{2^*}^{2^*}.$$

Then by (2.5) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (2.21) \quad \|u\|^2 - \lambda |u|_2^2 &= |\nabla u^-|_2^2 - \lambda |u^-|_2^2 + |\nabla t\psi_\mu|_2^2 - \lambda |t\psi_\mu|_2^2 - \\ &\quad - 2 \int_{\Omega} \{ |t\psi_\mu| |\Delta u^-| + \lambda |u^-| |t\psi_\mu| \} dx \leq \\ &\leq |\nabla u^-|_2^2 - \lambda |u^-|_2^2 + |\nabla t\psi_\mu|_2^2 - \lambda |t\psi_\mu|_2^2 + c_1 \{ |\Delta u^-|_\infty |t\psi_\mu|_1 + |u^-|_\infty |t\psi_\mu|_1 \} \leq \\ &\leq |\nabla u^-|_2^2 - \lambda |u^-|_2^2 + |\nabla t\psi_\mu|_2^2 - \lambda |t\psi_\mu|_2^2 + c_2 |u^-|_2 \cdot \mu^{\frac{n-2}{4}} \leq \\ &\leq (\bar{\lambda} - \lambda) |u^-|_2^2 + \frac{|\nabla t\psi_\mu|_2^2 - \lambda |t\psi_\mu|_2^2}{|t\psi_\mu|_{2^*}^{2^*}} |t\psi_\mu|_{2^*}^{2^*} + c_2 |u^-|_2 \cdot \mu^{\frac{n-2}{4}} \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{\lambda} = \max \{ \lambda_j \mid \lambda_j < \lambda \}$.

We set $A(u^-, \mu, c) = (\bar{\lambda} - \lambda) |u^-|_2^2 + C |u^-|_2 \mu^{\frac{n-2}{4}}$ and observe that

$$(2.22) \quad A(u^-, \mu, c) \leq 0 \quad \text{or} \quad A(u^-, \mu, c) \leq c^2 / (\lambda - \bar{\lambda}) \mu^{(n-2)/2}$$

If $|u^-|_{2^*}^{2^*} \leq 2K_4 t^{2^*} \mu^{\frac{n(n-2)}{2n+4}}$, by (2.10)a and the boundness of t ,

$$\begin{aligned} |t\psi_\mu|_{2^*}^{2^*} &\leq \left(1 - \frac{3}{4} |u^-|_{2^*}^{2^*} + c_3 \mu^{\frac{n-2}{4}} |u^-|_2 + c_4 \mu^{\frac{n}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \\ &\leq 1 + \frac{2}{2^*} (c_3 \mu^{\frac{n-2}{4}} |u^-|_2 + c_4 \mu^{\frac{n}{2}})^{2^*}, \end{aligned}$$

then, if $n \geq 5$, by (2.11)a, (2.21)

$$(2.23) \quad \|u\|^2 - \lambda |u|_2^2 \leq (S - K_5 \mu + O(\mu^{\frac{n-2}{2}}))(1 + c_5 \mu^{n/2}) + A(u^-, \mu, c_6).$$

If $|u^-|_{2^*}^{2^*} > 2K_4 t^{2^*} \mu^{\frac{n(n-2)}{2n+4}}$, by (2.7), $|t\psi_\mu|_{2^*} < 1$, then, by (2.21)

$$(2.24) \quad \|u\|^2 - \lambda |u|_2^2 \leq (S - K_5 \mu + O(\mu^{\frac{n-2}{2}})) + A(u^-, \mu, c_2),$$

then, by (2.22), the conclusion follows in the case $n \geq 5$.

If $n = 4$ the proof is the same. In this case (2.11)b replaces (2.11)a in (2.22).

Case ii) $\lambda = \lambda_{\bar{j}} \in \sigma(-\Delta)$.

Let $u = u^- + t\tilde{\psi}_\mu \in \overline{W}(\mu)$ with $|u|_{2^*} = 1$. We set $u = u^- + t\tilde{\psi}_\mu = \tilde{u} + P_j u^- + t\tilde{\psi}_\mu$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|^2 - \lambda_{\bar{j}} |u|_2^2 &= |t\tilde{\psi}_\mu|_2^2 - \lambda_{\bar{j}} |t\tilde{\psi}_\mu|_2^2 + |\nabla \tilde{u}|_2^2 - \lambda_{\bar{j}} |\tilde{u}|_2^2 - 2 \int_{\Omega} (t\tilde{\psi}_\mu \Delta u^- + \lambda_{\bar{j}} \tilde{\psi}_\mu u^-) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} (t\tilde{\psi}_{\mu}\Delta u^{-} + \lambda_{\bar{j}}t\tilde{\psi}_{\mu}u^{-})dx &= \int_{\Omega} (t\tilde{\psi}_{\mu}\Delta\tilde{u}_{-} + \lambda_{\bar{j}}t\tilde{\psi}_{\mu}\tilde{u}_{-})dx \leq \\ &\leq |\Delta\tilde{u}_{-}|_{\infty} |t\tilde{\psi}_{\mu}|_1 + \lambda_{\bar{j}}|\tilde{u}_{-}|_{\infty} |t\tilde{\psi}_{\mu}|_1 \leq c_3|\tilde{u}_{-}|_{2\mu}^{\frac{n-2}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now the proof follows by using the previous arguments.

Proof of theorem 0.1. — If $\lambda \notin \sigma(-\Delta)$ ($\lambda > 0$) we set $V = H_1$ and $W = \overline{W}(\mu)$ with μ suitably small in order that (2.18) is verified. We see that the assumptions of Theorem 1.2 are satisfied. Obviously (f_1) and $(f_3.iii)$ are verified. Moreover (f_2) is verified with $\beta = \frac{1}{n}S^{n/2}$ by lemma 1.1 and $(f_3.i)$ (with $\beta = \frac{1}{n}S^{n/2}$) is verified by lemma 2.5.

Finally observe that if $u \in H_1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (2.25) \quad f_{\lambda}(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2^*} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2^*} dx \geq \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda^+}\right) \|u\|^2 - \frac{1}{2^*} \|u\|^{2^*} \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\lambda - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda^+}\right) \|u\|^2 - \text{const} \|u\|^{2^*} \geq \delta > 0 \end{aligned}$$

if $\|u\| = \rho$ with ρ suitably small.

Hence by (2.27) also $(f_3.ii)$ is verified. Since $\dim V \cap W = 1$ and $V + W = H_0^1(\Omega)$, then by Theorem 1.2, we deduce that problem (0.1) has at least one non trivial solution.

If $\lambda \in \sigma(-\Delta)$ we set $W = \overline{W}(\mu)$ with μ suitably small in order that (2.18) is verified and, by repeating the above arguments, the conclusion follows.

REFERENCES

- [0] A. AMBROSETTI, P. H. RABINOWITZ, Dual variational methods in critical points theory and applications. *J. Funct. Analysis*, t. **14**, 1973, p. 349-381.
- [1] P. BARTOLO, V. BENCI, D. FORTUNATO, Abstract critical point theorems and applications to some nonlinear problems with « strong resonance » at infinity. *Journal of nonlinear Anal. T. M. A.*, t. **7**, 1983, p. 981-1012.
- [2] H. BREZIS, L. NIRENBERG, Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponent, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, t. **XXXVI**, 1983, p. 437-477.
- [3] A. CAPOZZI, G. PALMIERI, *Multiplicity results for nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponent*, preprint.
- [4] G. CERAMI, D. FORTUNATO, M. STRUWE, Bifurcation and multiplicity results for nonlinear elliptic problems involving critical Sobolev exponents, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré. Analyse non linéaire*, t. **1**, 1984, p. 341-350.
- [5] D. FORTUNATO, *Problemi ellittici con termine non lineare a crescita critica*, Proceedings of the meeting « Problemi differenziali e teoria dei punti critici ». Bari, marzo, 1984.
- [6] S. J. POHOZAEV, Eigenfunctions of the equation $\Delta u + \lambda f(u) = 0$. *Soviet Math. Doklady*, t. **6**, 1965, p. 1408-1411.
- [7] M. STRUWE, A global compactness result for elliptic boundary value problems involving limiting non-linearities. *Math. Z.*, t. **187**, 1984, p. 511-517.

(Manuscrit reçu le 15 novembre 1984)

Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré - Analyse non linéaire