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ABSTRACT. – We give an alternative proof of a theorem by Brothers and Ziemer concerning extremal functions in the Pólya–Szegö rearrangements inequality for Dirichlet type integrals.
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RéSUMÉ. – Nous donnons une autre démonstration d’un théorème de Brothers et Ziemer qui concerne les fonctions extrêmales dans l’inégalité de Pólya–Szegö pour les intégrales de Dirichlet.

1. Introduction

Let Ω be an open, bounded subset of \( \mathbb{R}^N \) and let \( W^{1,p}_0(\Omega) \), \( 1 \leq p < +\infty \), be the Sobolev space of those functions whose extension by or outside \( \Omega \) has weak derivatives summable to the power \( p \) in \( \mathbb{R}^N \).

The classical Pólya–Szegö principle states that if \( u \) is a nonnegative function from \( W^{1,p}_0(\Omega) \), then its spherically symmetric rearrangement \( u^\# \) belongs to \( W^{1,p}_0(\Omega^\#) \) and

\[
\|Du^\#\|_{L^p(\Omega^\#)} \leq \|Du\|_{L^p(\Omega)}. \tag{1.1}
\]
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Here, $\Omega^\sharp$ is the ball in $\mathbb{R}^N$ centered at the origin and such that $|\Omega^\sharp|_N = |\Omega|_N$, where $|\cdot|_N$ denotes the Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^N$ (in the case $N = 1$, we will omit the dimension), and $u^\sharp : \Omega^\sharp \to [0, +\infty)$ is defined as follows. Denote by $\mu_u$ the distribution function of $u$ given by

$$\mu_u(t) = \left| \{ x \in \Omega : |u(x)| > t \} \right|_N \text{ for } t \geq 0,$$

and let $u^\ast$ be the decreasing rearrangement of $u$ defined by

$$u^\ast(s) = \inf \{ t \geq 0 : \mu_u(t) \leq s \} \text{ for } s \in ]0, |\Omega|_N],$$

then $u^\sharp$ is defined as

$$u^\sharp(x) = u^\ast(C_N|x|^N) \text{ for } x \in \Omega^\sharp,$$

where $C_N$ is the measure of the $N$-dimensional unit ball. Formulated in [20], many authors gave proofs and generalizations of this principle (see, for instance, [2,4–6,8,10,14,16–18,21,23–26]). Here, we deal with the problem of characterizing those functions for which equality holds in (1.1). Partial results are contained in [15] and [27]. The problem was also discussed by Friedman and McLeod in [13] when $u$ is of class $C^n$. However, as observed in [7], the proof in [13] contains an error which can be only repaired under additional assumptions. A general answer was given later by Brothers and Ziemer in [7], where the following theorem is proved.

**Theorem 1.1.** – Let $\Omega$ be an open, bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 1$, and let $u$ be a nonnegative function from $W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$, $1 < p < +\infty$, such that

$$\left| \{ |Du^\sharp| = 0 \} \cap (u^\sharp)^{-1}(0, \text{ess sup } u) \right|_N = 0. \quad (1.2)$$

If

$$\|Du^\sharp\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = \|Du\|_{L^p(\Omega)}, \quad (1.3)$$

then $\Omega$ is equivalent to a ball and $u = u^\sharp$ a.e. in $\Omega$, up to a translation.

If $p = 1$, then the result is false, since every function $u$ from $W^{1,1}_0(\Omega)$ whose level sets are (not necessarily concentric) balls satisfies (1.3). Hypothesis (1.2) is equivalent to the absolute continuity of $\mu_u$ in $(0, \text{ess sup } u)$ (see [7, p. 157, Lemma 2.3]). If $\mu_u$ is not even assumed to be continuous in $(0, \text{ess sup } u)$, a situation occurring if and only if $|\{u = t\}|_N > 0$ for some $t \in (0, \text{ess sup } u)$, then simple counterexamples to the conclusion of the theorem can be constructed. A subtle counterexample involving a function $u$ whose distribution function $\mu_u$ is continuous but not absolutely continuous is produced in [7].

The proof of Theorem 1 in [7] can be split into two steps. The first one consists in showing that, for a.e. $t \in (0, \text{ess sup } u)$, the level sets $\{u > t\}$ are equivalent to balls and that on their boundaries $|Du| = |Du^\sharp|_{|u^\sharp|=t}$, a constant depending only on $t$. This step does not require (1.2) and its proof is based on the observation that all the inequalities over the level sets of $u$ which lead to (1.1) must hold as equations in the case where (1.3) is in force. Basic tools here are the isoperimetric theorem and the coarea formula. Proofs of this part can be found also elsewhere (see [13,27]).
The really delicate task in the proof of Theorem 1 is the second step, where the balls \(\{u > t\}\) are shown to be concentric. Even if based on a geometrically clear approach, the rigorous justification of the arguments of [7] for this part is accomplished after overcoming serious technical difficulties by means of results from geometric measure theory.

The aim of this note is to give an alternative proof of this second step, based on the explicit relation between \(u\) and \(u^\star\), given by

\[
u(x) = u^\star(\mu_u(u(x))) \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega,
\]

and on arguments from the classical theory of Sobolev spaces, that eventually allow us to apply the method of the steepest descent introduced by Aronsson and Talenti in [3].

2. Proof of Theorem 1

As pointed out in the introduction, the first step in the proof of Theorem 1 is the following characterization of those functions that verify (1.3) (see [7, p. 161, Lemma 3.1]).

Lemma 2.1. – Let \(1 \leq p < +\infty\) and let \(u \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)\) be a nonnegative function satisfying

\[
\|Du^\star\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = \|Du\|_{L^p(\Omega)}.
\]

Then

\[
\mathcal{H}_{N-1}(\{x: u(x) = t\}) = \mathcal{H}_{N-1}(\{x: u^\star(x) = t\}) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in (0, \text{ess sup } u), \tag{2.1}
\]

and, if \(p > 1\), then

\[
|Du|(x) = |Du^\star| \quad \text{for } \mathcal{H}_{N-1}\text{-a.e. } x \in \{y: u(y) = t\}. \tag{2.2}
\]

Here, \(|Du^\star|\) denotes the constant value of \(|Du^\star|\) on \(\{x: u^\star(x) = t\}\) and \(\mathcal{H}_{N-1}\) denotes \((N-1)\)-dimensional Hausdorff measure.

Eq. (2.1) and the Isoperimetric Theorem of De Giorgi [9] imply that for a.e. \(t \in (0, \text{ess sup } u)\), and hence for every \(t \in (0, \text{ess sup } u)\), the sets \(\{x: u(x) > t\}\) are equivalent to balls. In particular \(\Omega\) is a ball, that without loss of generality, we will suppose to be centered at the origin; namely \(\Omega = \Omega^2\), up to set of measure zero.

In the following we will suppose, without loss of generality, that \(\|u = \text{ess sup } u\|_N = \|u = 0\|_N = 0\).

Our aim is to accomplish the proof of Theorem 1 on applying the method of the steepest descent introduced by Aronsson and Talenti in [3]. This method cannot be applied directly to \(u\), since \(u\) is not Lipschitz continuous. Nevertheless, since \(u\) satisfies (1.2), then its distribution function \(\mu_u\) is a one-to-one function on \((0, \text{ess sup } u)\) which is absolutely continuous. This fact allows us to regard the level sets of \(u\) as the level sets of a Lipschitz continuous function to which we can apply the method of the
steepest descent. Indeed, define the function
\[ \sigma(x) = \mu_u(u(x)) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega^2. \] (2.3)

Since \( \mu_u \) is a one-to-one function on \((0, \text{ess sup } u)\) and \( u^* \) is its inverse, then \( u^*(\mu_u(t)) = t \) for every \( t \in (0, \text{ess sup } u) \). Hence,
\[ u(x) = u^*(\sigma(x)) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega^2. \]
The functions \( u \) and \( \sigma \) have the same level sets, in the sense that, for every \( t \in (0, \text{ess sup } u) \) there exists \( \tau \in (0, |\Omega|_N) \), such that
\[ \{ x : u(x) > t \} = \{ x : \sigma(x) < \tau \} \quad \text{up to set of measure zero.} \] (2.4)

Furthermore, \( \sigma \) is a measure preserving map, in the sense that, for every measurable subset \( A \) of \([0, |\Omega|_N]\), we have
\[ |\sigma^{-1}(A)|_N = |A|. \] (2.5)

Indeed, it is easily verified that \( \sigma \) has the same distribution function as the identity map on \([0, |\Omega|_N]\). Namely, \( |\sigma^{-1}(t, |\Omega|_N)|_N = |\Omega|_N - t \). Therefore \( |\sigma^{-1}(a, b)|_N = b - a \), for every \( a, b \in [0, |\Omega|_N], a < b \), which by a limiting argument clearly implies (2.5) (see [22]). As a consequence of (2.5), we get that for every measurable subset \( A \) of \( \mathbb{R} \)

such that \( |A| = 0, |u^{-1}(A)|_N = 0 \). Actually, let \( A \) be a measurable subset of \( \mathbb{R} \) such that \( |A| = 0 \), then by (2.3), \( x \in u^{-1}(A) \) if and only if \( \sigma(x) \in \mu_u(A) \).

Thus, by (2.5) and by the absolutely continuity of \( \mu_u \), we have
\[ |u^{-1}(A)|_N = |\sigma^{-1}(\mu_u(A))|_N = |\mu_u(A)| = 0. \] (2.6)

In the last equation, we have used the fact that the image of a set of measure zero by an absolutely continuous function has measure zero. Property (2.6) is needed to show that \( \sigma \) is Lipschitz continuous, it remains to prove that the restriction of \( \sigma \) to almost every straight line parallel to the coordinate axes is absolutely continuous and that \( |D\sigma| \in L^\infty(\Omega^2) \) (see [19]).

First we prove that the restriction of \( \sigma \) to almost every straight line parallel to a coordinate axes, has bounded variation. Let \( \tilde{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \) be such that, if \( \ell \) is the straight line defined as \( \ell = \{ (t, \tilde{y}) : t \in \mathbb{R} \} \), then \( \ell \cap \Omega^2 \neq \emptyset \) and let \( \sigma|_\ell \) be the restriction of \( \sigma \) to \( \ell \). Since the level sets of \( u \) are balls, then there exists \( \bar{\ell} \in \mathbb{R} \) such that, \( u(t, \tilde{y}) \) is strictly increasing for \( t \leq \bar{\ell} \) and strictly decreasing for \( t > \bar{\ell} \). This implies that, on denoting by \( V(\sigma|_\ell), V_{i=\infty}^- (\sigma|_\ell) \) and \( V_{i=\infty}^+ (\sigma|_\ell) \) the variations of \( \sigma|_\ell \) on \( \mathbb{R}, (-\infty, \bar{\ell}) \) and \( (\bar{\ell}, +\infty) \), respectively, then
\[ V(\sigma|_\ell) = V_{i=\infty}^-(\sigma|_\ell) + V_{i=\infty}^+(\sigma|_\ell) = 2|\{ u(\cdot, \tilde{y}) < u(\bar{\ell}, \tilde{y}) \}|_N \leq 2|\Omega^2|_N. \]

Analogously, one can deduce that \( \sigma \) has bounded variation on almost all straight lines which are parallel to the other coordinate axes.
Since \( u \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega^\sharp) \), then the restriction of \( u \) to almost every straight line parallel to the coordinate axes is absolutely continuous (see [19]). Then, also the restriction of \( \sigma \) to almost every straight line parallel to the coordinate axes is absolutely continuous, since it is the composition of two absolutely continuous functions and it has bounded variation. Therefore, if \( D\sigma \) is the usual gradient of \( \sigma \), applying the chain rule to (2.3), we get

\[
D\sigma(x) = \mu'_u(u(x)) Du(x) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega^\sharp \setminus u\inv(I),
\]

where \( I = \{ t : \text{ does not exists } \mu'_u(t) \} \). Since \( |I| = 0 \), we deduce, by (2.6) that \( |u\inv(I)|_N = 0 \). Hence, (2.7) holds for a.e. \( x \in \Omega^\sharp \).

On the other hand, by the coarea formula (see [11,12]), we have that

\[
-\mu'_u(t) = \frac{\mathcal{H}_{N-1}(\{ x : u^N(x) = t \})}{|Du^N|_{[u^N=t]}} \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in (0, \ess sup u)
\]

where \( |Du^N|_{[u^N=t]} \) is the constant value of \( |Du^N| \) on the set \( \{ x : u^N(x) = t \} \).

Since the level set \( \{ x : u^N(x) = t \} \) is the boundary of the ball \( \{ x : u^N(x) > t \} \) whose measure is \( \mu_u(t) \), by (2.2) we have

\[
-\mu'_u(t) = \frac{NC_N^{1/N} \mu_u(t)^{1-1/N}}{|Du|_{[u=t]}} \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in (0, \ess sup u)
\]

where \( |Du|_{[u=t]} \) is the constant value of \( |Du| \) on the set \( \{ x : u(x) = t \} \).

Combining (2.7) and (2.8), yields

\[
D\sigma(x) = -NC_N^{1/N} \mu_u(u(x))^{1-1/N} \frac{Du(x)}{|Du(x)|} \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega^\sharp
\]

hence, by the definition of \( \sigma \),

\[
|D\sigma(x)| = NC_N^{1/N} \sigma(x)^{1-1/N} \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega^\sharp.
\]

By (2.9) and by the fact that \( \sigma \in L^\infty(\Omega^\sharp) \), we deduce that \( |D\sigma| \in L^\infty(\Omega^\sharp) \).

Let us, now, consider the function \( F \) defined as

\[
F(x) = C_N^{-1/N} \sigma(x)^{1/N} \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega^\sharp.
\]

The function defined above is that one we are looking for, in order to apply the method of the steepest descent, introduced by Aronsson and Talenti in [3] (see also [1]). By (2.4) \( F \) and \( u \) have the same level sets in the sense specified above. Furthermore, by (2.9), \( |DF| = 1 \) a.e. in \( \Omega^\sharp \), and then \( F \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega^\sharp) \). Let \( s \to x(s) \) be any solution to the dynamical system \( \frac{dx}{dt} = X(x) \), where \( X(x) \) is the outer normal to \( \partial \{ y \in \Omega^\sharp : F(y) < F(x) \} \) at the point \( x \), or equivalently, to \( \partial \{ y \in \Omega^\sharp : u(y) > u(x) \} \) (notice that, under our assumption, \( x \in \partial \{ y \in \Omega^\sharp : u(y) > u(x) \} \) for a.e. \( x \in \Omega^\sharp \)). The fact that \( \partial \{ y \in \Omega^\sharp : F(y) < F(x) \} \) is a sphere ensures that \( X \) is locally Lipschitz continuous, this implies the local existence and uniqueness of the line \( x = x(s) \) defined as above. Moreover
$X(x) = DF(x)$ if $F$ is differentiable at $x$; for this reason, we say that the lines $x = x(s)$, are the lines of steepest descent of $F$. We want to prove that such lines are straight. Since $|\frac{dx}{ds}| = |X(x(s))| = 1$ then $s$ is the arclength. Therefore,

$$\frac{d}{ds} F(x(s)) = \left< DF(x(s)), \frac{dx}{ds}(s) \right> = \left< X(x(s)), X(x(s)) \right> = 1.$$ 

Thus,

$$|s_2 - s_1| = \left| \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{d}{ds} F(x(s)) \, ds \right| = |F(x(s_2)) - F(x(s_1))|.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.10)

On the other hand, since $F$ is Lipschitz continuous and $|DF| \equiv 1$, then

$$|F(x(s_2)) - F(x(s_1))| \leq |x(s_2) - x(s_1)|.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.11)

From (2.10) and (2.11) we get

$$|s_2 - s_1| \leq |x(s_2) - x(s_1)|,$$

that is the length of the arc is less or equal then the length of the chord through $x(s_1)$ and $x(s_2)$. Hence, the line in question must be straight. This implies that the level sets of $F$, and hence the level sets of $u$, are concentric balls, therefore $u = u^\#$ up to set of measure zero.
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