

ANNALI DELLA
SCUOLA NORMALE SUPERIORE DI PISA
Classe di Scienze

LEIF ARKERYD

A priori estimates for hypoelliptic differential equations in a half-space

Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze 3^e série, tome 22, n° 3 (1968), p. 409-424

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ASNSP_1968_3_22_3_409_0

© Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, 1968, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze » (<http://www.sns.it/it/edizioni/riviste/annaliscienze/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

A PRIORI ESTIMATES FOR HYPOELLIPTIC DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS IN A HALF-SPACE

by LEIF ARKERYD

0. Introduction.

Our aim is to show that every distribution solution u of a formally hypoelliptic partial differential equation

$$\mathcal{A}u = f \text{ in } R_+^n,$$

satisfying Dirichlet's boundary conditions

$$D_1^j u = 0, j = 0, \dots, l \text{ on } R^{n-1},$$

does belong to C^∞ , if f does. In analogy with the elliptic case (cf. Arkeryd [1]), it is natural to try to obtain a priori estimates

$$(0.1) \quad N_1(u) \leq CN_2(\mathcal{A}u) + N_3(u)$$

with suitable norms N_1, N_2, N_3 , with in particular N_3 « weaker » than N_1 . These estimates are proved in two steps:

1°. The inequality (0.1) is established for operators with constant coefficients.

2°. For operators

$$\mathcal{A} = A + \sum a_j Q_j,$$

where A and Q_j have constant coefficients, Q_j is weaker than A and $a_j \in C^\infty$, the inequality (0.1) can be obtained from the constant coefficient case 1° if

$$N_2(aQ_j u) \leq C \sup |a| N_1(u) + C' N_3(u).$$

In Peetre [8] (see also Schechter [9] and Matsuzawa [7])

$$N_2(u) = \left(\int_{R_+^n} |u|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}$$

is considered, but then (0.1) is not true for all formally hypoelliptic operators; the second step does not always work. Here we use instead

$$N_2(u) = \inf \left(\int_{R^n} |A_-^{-1} \tilde{u}|^2 dx \right)^{1/2},$$

if $A = A_+ \cdot A_-$ is the « canonical » decomposition of A , with inf taken over all $\tilde{u} \in S'(R^n)$, satisfying $\tilde{u} = u$ in R_+^n . In the same way we take

$$N_1(u) = \inf \left(\int_{R^n} |A_+ \tilde{u}|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

Then step 1° is immediate (cf. [8], [11]) and the main difficulty is to prove 2°. This can be done by use of a commutator lemma analogous to Friedrich's lemma, which follows from the basic estimate

$$\left| \frac{\partial A_-}{\partial \xi_r} \right| \leq C |A_-| |\xi'|^{-s}, \quad \xi \in R^n, |\xi'| \geq M.$$

Let us mention that Hörmander [4] has proved a regularity theorem for operators with constant coefficients and general boundary conditions. He does not, however, use à priori estimates, but explicit formulas for the corresponding Green and Poisson kernels.

The plan of the paper is as follows. Section 1 contains some preliminaries concerning the distribution spaces involved. Section 2 contains the proof of the basic estimate of the Friedrich's type mentioned above. In Section 3 and Section 4 the applications to differential equations are given. Since they are rather routine, we have cut down the exposition to a minimum.

1. Spaces $H_{B_+}^{+,s}$ and $H_{B_-}^{+,-s}$.

The Fourier transform of an element f in one of the Schwartz classes S or S' (see [10]) is denoted by Ff , the inverse transform by $\bar{F}f$, $\bar{F}Ff = f$.

We take formally

$$Ff(\xi) = \int_{R^n} e^{-ix\xi} f(x) dx$$

and use the notation

$$P(D)f = \bar{F} P Ff,$$

where P is a function on R^n . The following functions will often be used;

$$P(\xi) = A(\xi) = \xi_1 + i \left(1 + \sum_2^n \xi_j^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

$$P(\xi) = A_1(\xi) = \left(1 + \sum_2^n \xi_j^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

By

$$A = A(D) = A(D_1, D') = \sum a_\alpha D^\alpha, \quad D^\alpha = (i)^{-|\alpha|} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha_1}} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha_n}}$$

we denote a hypoelliptic differential operator with constant coefficients and write

$$(1.1) \quad A(\xi) = A(\xi_1, \xi') = a \prod_1^{m_+} (\xi_1 - \varrho_j^+(\xi')) \prod_1^{m_-} (\xi_1 - \varrho_j^-(\xi')) = a A_+ A_-$$

with $a = a_1 \dots a_l$. Here m_+ is the number of roots ϱ_j^+ with positive and m_- the number of roots ϱ_j^- with negative imaginary part. We require, that A satisfies the root condition, i. e. that m_+ and m_- are independent of ξ' for $|\xi'| \geq M$. It is no restriction to take $a = 1$. We set

$$(1.2) \quad B_{(\pm)} = \begin{cases} A_{(\pm)}(\xi) & \text{if } |\xi'| \geq M_1 \\ (\xi_1 \mp i)^{m_{\pm}} & \text{if } |\xi'| < M_1, \end{cases}$$

where the value of $M_1 \geq M$ will be defined in Section 2. The following norms are used;

$$\|u\| = \left(\int_{R^n} |u(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}, \quad \|u\|_P = \|P(D)u\|, \quad \|u\|_P^+ = \inf \|\tilde{u}\|_P,$$

where inf is taken over all $\tilde{u} \in S'$, whose restrictions to

$$R_+^n = \{x; x_1 > 0\}$$

are equal to u , and such that

$$P(D)\tilde{u} \in L^2.$$

The notation \tilde{u} is used below in this sense. Particular norms of this type are

$$\|u\|_{B_+, s}^+ = \|u\|_{A_1^s B_+}^+,$$

$$\|u\|_{B_-^{-1}, s}^+ = \|u\|_{A_1^s B_-^{-1}}^+,$$

$$\|u\|_{r, s}^+ = \|u\|_{A^r \cdot A_1^s}^+.$$

The corresponding spaces are denoted by

$$H_{B_+, s}^+, H_{B_-^{-1}, s}^+ \text{ and } H_{r, s}^+.$$

The space corresponding to $\|\cdot\|_P$ is denoted by H_P . Paley-Wiener's theorem gives

$$(1.3) \quad \|u\|_{B_+, s}^+ \approx \left(\int A_1^{2s} (\|F_{x'} u(\cdot, \xi')\|_{B_+(\cdot, \xi')}^+)^2 d\xi' \right)^{1/2},$$

$$(1.4) \quad \|u\|_{B_-^{-1}, s}^+ \approx \left(\int A_1^{2s} (\|F_{x'} u(\cdot, \xi')\|_{B_-^{-1}(\cdot, \xi')}^+)^2 d\xi' \right)^{1/2}.$$

The local spaces (cf. 2.5 in [4])

$$(H_{B_+, s}^+)^{\text{loc}}, (H_{B_-^{-1}, s}^+)^{\text{loc}} \text{ and } (H_{r, s}^+)^{\text{loc}}$$

correspond to the above spaces. About $H_{0, s}^+$ we need the following fact, which goes back to Hörmander and Lions [6].

LEMMA 1.1. Let $c \in C_0^\infty(\overline{B_+^n})$. Then

$$\|cv\|_{0, s}^+ \leq \sup |c| \|v\|_{0, s}^+ + K_s \|v\|_{0, s-1}^+$$

for all $v \in H_{0, s}^+$, and with the constant K_s independent of v .

Next we state some lemmas in $H_{A_1^s B_+}^+$.

LEMMA 1.2. C_0^∞ is dense in $H_{A_1^s B_+}$.

PROOF: We prove in Section 2, that (2.4)

$$|B_-(\xi) - B_-(\xi + \eta)| \leq C(1 + |\eta|^2)^{k/2} |B_-(\xi)|,$$

for all $\xi, \eta \in R^n$. Here and below constants are written C and K , sometimes with index. As the same inequality holds for B_+ , it follows that

$$|B_+(\xi + \eta)| \leq C'(1 + |\eta|^2)^{k/2} |B_+(\xi)|,$$

and consequently

$$|A_1^s(\xi' + \eta') B_+(\xi + \eta)| \leq C'(1 + |\eta|^2)^{|s|+k/2} |A_1^s(\xi') B_+(\xi)|.$$

But from this inequality follows that C_0^∞ is dense in $H_{A_1^s B_+}$. See [5] Remark p. 36 and Theorem 2.2.1).

We now use Lemma 1.2 to approximate elements of $H_{A_1^s B_+}$ with support in a half-space.

LEMMA 1.3. Let $u \in H_{A_1^s B_+}$, $\text{supp } u \subset \overline{R_+^n}$. Then u is the limit in $H_{A_1^s B_+}$ of a sequence $(u_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ of functions

$$u_j \in C_0^\infty(R^n), \text{supp } u_j \subset R_+^n.$$

PROOF. Denote by τ_h translation by h along the x_1 -axis. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tau_h u - u\|_{A_1^s B_+} &= \left(\int |A_1^s B_+|^2 |e^{ih\xi_1} - 1|^2 |Fu|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} \leq \\ &\leq 2 \left(\int_{\mathcal{E}} |B_+ A_1^s Fu|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} + \sup_{\mathcal{E}} |e^{ih\xi_1} - 1| \|u\|_{A_1^s B_+}, \end{aligned}$$

which can be made arbitrarily small by a suitable choice of \mathcal{E} and h . As the statement of the lemma is already established implicitly for $\tau_h u$ by Lemma 1.2, this ends the proof.

REMARK. In Lemma 1.3, B_+ can be replaced by B_-^{-1} and $\overline{R_+^n}$ by

$$\overline{R_-^n} = \{x; x_1 \leq 0\}.$$

By definition, that a function $u \in H_{B_+, s}^+$ has the boundary values

$$(1.5) \quad D_1^j u(0, x') = 0, \quad j = 0, \dots, m_+ - 1,$$

means, that there is a $\tilde{u} \in H_{A_1^s B_+}$ with

$$\tilde{u} = 0 \text{ for } x_1 < 0, \quad \tilde{u} = u \text{ for } x_1 > 0.$$

Finally we need

LEMMA 1.4. A function u satisfying (1.5) is in $H_{B_+, s}^+$ if and only if it is in $H_{B_+, s-1}^+$ and

$$\frac{u(x_1, x' + h') - u(x_1, x')}{|h'|}$$

is bounded in $H_{B_+, s-1}^+$ independently of $h' = (h_2, \dots, h_n)$

PROOF: The proof is immediate if we notice that with

$$\tilde{u} = u \text{ for } x_1 > 0, \quad \tilde{u} = 0 \text{ for } x_1 < 0,$$

there is a characterization of $B_+ \tilde{u}$ in $H_{A_1^s}$ by the same kind of difference quotients.

2. A version of Friedrich's lemma.

The derivation of the à priori inequality mentioned in Section 0, is for $m_- > 0$ based on a commutator lemma analogous to Friedrich's lemma (see e. g. [2]), which is established in this section. The proof depends on a number of lemmas, for which we need the following estimates of hypoelliptic polynomials;

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} |A^\alpha(\xi)/A(\xi)| &\leq C_\alpha |\xi|^{-c|\alpha|} \quad \text{if } \xi \in R^n, |\xi'| \geq M, \\ \left| \frac{\partial A(\xi)}{\partial \xi_\nu} \right| |A(\xi)| &\leq C |\xi|^{-c} \quad \text{if } |\operatorname{Im} \xi_1| \leq C' |\xi'|^c, \xi' \in R^{n-1}, |\xi'| \geq M, \\ |\operatorname{Im} \varrho_j(\xi')| &> C' |\xi'|^c \quad \text{if } |\xi'| \geq M \end{aligned}$$

for some $c > 0$ and with $A^a(\xi) = D^a A(\xi)$ (see Hörmander [5]).

LEMMA 2.1. If ξ belongs to the cylinder $|\xi'| \geq M$, then for all ν

$$\left| \frac{\partial A_-(\xi)}{\partial \xi_\nu} \right|_{A_-(\xi)} \leq K |\xi'|^{-b}.$$

Here C is independent of ξ and $c^2 > b > 0$.

PROOF. As the coefficients of $A_-(\xi)$ are analytic in $|\xi'| \geq M$ (see [3] p. 289-290), the derivatives $\frac{\partial A_-}{\partial \xi_\nu}$ exist. Cauchy's formula gives

$$\frac{\partial A_-(\xi)}{\partial \xi_\nu} \Big|_{A_-(\xi)} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty+i\varepsilon}^{\infty+i\varepsilon} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_\nu} A(\xi_1 - \tau, \xi') \frac{d\tau}{A(\xi_1 - \tau, \xi')^\tau}, \min_{1 \leq j \leq m} \text{Im } \rho_j^+ > \varepsilon > 0.$$

Take q and p such that

$$qc > 1, \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p} = 1.$$

Then by (2.1) and with $\varepsilon = C' |\xi'|^c$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial A_-(\xi)}{\partial \xi_\nu} \Big|_{A_-(\xi)} \right| &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{C d\sigma}{(|\xi_1 - \sigma| + |\xi'|)^c |\sigma + iC' |\xi'|^c|} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{C}{2\pi} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\sigma}{(|\xi_1 - \sigma| + |\xi'|)^{cq}} \right)^{1/q} \cdot \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\sigma}{|\sigma + iC' |\xi'|^c|^p} \right)^{1/p} \leq \\ &\leq K |\xi'|^{-c'q}. \end{aligned}$$

The next lemma compares $A_-(\xi)$ with $A_-(\xi + \eta)$ for small real η . For technical reasons, we only make that comparison in a cylinder

$$|\xi'| \geq M_1 \geq 2M,$$

with M_1 so large that

$$|\xi'| \leq 2|\xi' + \eta'| \leq 4|\xi'| \quad \text{if } |\eta| \leq |\xi'|^b.$$

This is the constant M_1 mentioned in formula (1.2).

LEMMA 2.2. Take ξ with $|\xi'| \geq M_1$ and $|\eta| \leq |\xi'|^b$. Then

$$(2.2) \quad |A_-(\xi + \eta)| \leq K' |A_-(\xi)|,$$

$$(2.3) \quad |A_-(\xi + \eta) - A_-(\xi)| \leq C |\eta| |\xi'|^{-b} |A_-(\xi)|,$$

wich K' and C independent of ξ and η .

PROOF. We write

$$\log \frac{A_-(\xi + \eta)}{A_-(\xi)} = \int_0^1 \sum_1^n \eta_j A_-^{-1}(\xi + t\eta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} A_-(\xi + t\eta) dt.$$

The integrand can be estimated by Lemma 2.1. The restrictions on η and M_1 then give

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^1 \eta_j A_-^{-1}(\xi + t\eta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} A_-(\xi + t\eta) dt \right| &\leq \\ &\leq K \int_0^1 |\xi'|^b |\xi' + t\eta'|^{-b} dt \leq K 2^b, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$|A_-(\xi + \eta)| \leq |A_-(\xi)| e^{nK 2^b} = K' |A_-(\xi)|.$$

The inequality (2.3) follows from

$$\begin{aligned} |A_-(\xi + \eta) - A_-(\xi)| &= \left| \int_0^1 \sum \eta_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} A_-(\xi + t\eta) dt \right| \leq \\ &\leq K |\eta| n \int_0^1 |A_-(\xi + t\eta)| |\xi + t\eta|^{-b} dt \leq \\ &\leq K |\eta| \cdot n K' |A_-(\xi)| 2^b |\xi'|^{-b} = C |\eta| |\xi'|^{-b} |A_-(\xi)|. \end{aligned}$$

The estimate that corresponds to (2.3) for $|\eta| > |\xi'|^b$, is much more easily obtained.

LEMMA 2.3. If $|\xi'| \geq M_1$, $|\xi' + \eta'| \geq M_1$ and $|\eta| \geq |\xi'|^b$, then

$$|A_-(\xi) - A_-(\xi + \eta)| \leq C |\eta|^d |\xi'|^{-c} |A_-(\xi)|,$$

where d is independent of ξ and η .

PROOF. Under the given restrictions on ξ and η , for some $a > 0$ the following inequalities hold;

$$|\varrho_j(\xi')| \leq |\xi'|^a \leq |\eta|^{a/b}.$$

$$|\varrho_j(\xi' + \eta')| \leq |\xi' + \eta'|^a \leq C_a |\eta|^{a/b},$$

$$|\operatorname{Im} \varrho_j(\xi')| \geq C |\xi'|^c.$$

Hence

$$\left| \frac{\varrho_j(\xi') - \varrho_j(\xi' + \eta')}{\xi_1 - \varrho_j(\xi')} \right| \leq C \frac{(1 + |\eta|)^{a/b}}{|\xi'|^c},$$

which gives the desired estimate, when inserted into

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{A_-(\xi) - A_-(\xi + \eta)}{A_-(\xi)} &= \frac{\prod_1^{m_-} (\xi_1 - \varrho_j(\xi')) - \prod_1^{m_-} (\xi_1 + \eta_1 - \varrho_j(\xi' + \eta'))}{\prod_1^{m_-} (\xi_1 - \varrho_j(\xi'))} = \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{m_-} \frac{-\eta_1 - \varrho_j(\xi') + \varrho_j(\xi' + \eta')}{\xi_1 - \varrho_j(\xi')} \prod_{r>j} \frac{\xi_1 + \eta_1 - \varrho_r(\xi' + \eta')}{\xi_1 - \varrho_r(\xi')}. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling from (1.2) that

$$B_-(\xi) = \begin{cases} A_-(\xi), & |\xi'| \geq M_1 \\ (\xi_1 + i)^{m_-}, & |\xi'| < M_1, \end{cases}$$

and using Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, the main step in the proof of our commutator lemma easily follows.

LEMMA 2.4. There are constants k and C independent of $\xi, \eta \in R^n$, such that

$$(2.4) \quad \left| \frac{B_-(\xi) - B_-(\xi + \eta)}{B_-(\xi)} \right| \leq C \frac{(1 + |\eta|^2)^{k/2}}{(1 + |\xi' + \eta'|^2)^{b/2}}.$$

PROOF. The points ξ and $\xi + \eta$ can be situated inside or outside the cylinder $|\xi'| = M_1$. This gives four cases, which are treated separately.

1°. By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, the inequality (2.4) is fulfilled for $|\xi'| \geq M_1$ and $|\xi' + \eta'| \geq M_1$.

2°. For $|\xi'| \geq M_1$ and $|\xi' + \eta'| < M_1$, write the left-hand side of (2.4) as in the proof of Lemma 2.3.

$$\frac{B_-(\xi) - B_-(\xi + \eta)}{B_-(\xi)} = \sum_{j=1}^{m-} \frac{-\eta_j - \varrho_j(\xi') - i}{\xi_j - \varrho_j(\xi')} \prod_{j>1} \frac{\xi_j + \eta_j + i}{\xi_j - \varrho_j(\xi')}.$$

Each factor can be estimated by

$$C' \frac{(1 + |\eta|^2)^{k'}}{(1 + |\xi' + \eta'|^2)^{c/2}}$$

for some k' and C' , which obviously implies (2.4).

3°. The case $|\xi'| < M_1$, $|\xi' + \eta'| \geq M_1$ is treated analogously.

4°. If $|\xi'| < M_1$ and $|\xi' + \eta'| < M_1$ the inequality is well-known.

When Q is weaker than A , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \| B^{-1} A_1^s a Q u \| &\leq \\ &\leq \left\| B^{-1} A_1^s (a B_- - B_- a) \frac{Q}{B} B_+ u \right\| + \left\| A_1^s a \frac{Q}{B} B_+ u \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

Because A is hypoelliptic, we have

$$\left| \frac{Q(\xi)}{B(\xi)} \right| \leq C \quad \text{for } \xi \in R^n$$

(see [5] p. 102). Then the first term on the right side can be estimated by Lemma 2.4 as follows;

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| B^{-1} A_1^s (a B_- - B_- a) \frac{Q}{B} B_+ u \right\| = \\ &= \left\| B^{-1}(\xi) A_1^s(\xi') \int F a(\eta) (B_-(\xi - \eta) - B_-(\xi)) \frac{Q(\xi - \eta)}{B(\xi - \eta)} B_+(\xi - \eta) F u(\xi - \eta) d\eta \right\| \\ &\leq C \left\| A_1^s(\xi') \int F a(\eta) \frac{A^k(\eta)}{A_1^b(\xi')} B_+(\xi - \eta) F u(\xi - \eta) d\eta \right\| \leq \\ &\leq C \left\| \int F a(\eta) A^k(\eta) A_1^{s-b}(\eta) A_1^{s-b}(\xi' - \eta') B_+(\xi - \eta) F u(\xi - \eta) d\eta \right\| \leq \\ &\leq C \int |F a A^k A_1^{s-b}| d\eta \| A_1^{s-b} B_+ u \|. \end{aligned}$$

This estimate, together with the inequality

$$\|u\|_{B_+, s-b}^+ \leq \varepsilon \|u\|_{B_+, s}^+ + C_\varepsilon \|u\|_{B_+, s-1}^+$$

of Ehrling-Nirenberg type, gives

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $a \in C_0^\infty(\overline{R_+^n})$. Then for $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$\|(aB_- - B_- a)QB_-^{-1}u\|_{B_-^{-1}, s}^+ \leq \varepsilon \|u\|_{B_+, s}^+ + C_\varepsilon \|u\|_{B_+, s-1}^+,$$

with C_ε independent of $u \in H_{B_+, s}^+$.

3. A priori inequalities for hypoelliptic operators.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let*

$$\mathcal{L}(x, D) = A(D) + \sum_1^m a_j(x) Q_j(D),$$

where $A(D)$ is hypoelliptic and $Q_1(D), \dots, Q_m(D)$ are weaker than $A(D)$. If $a_1(x), \dots, a_m(x) \in C_0^\infty(\overline{R_+^n})$ and

$$\sum_j \sup |a_j(x)| < \varepsilon$$

for some sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, then

$$(3.1) \quad \|u\|_{B_+, s}^+ \leq C(\|\mathcal{L}u\|_{B_-^{-1}, s}^+ + \|u\|_{B_+, s-1}^+)$$

for all $u \in H_{B_+, s}^+$, satisfying the boundary conditions (1.5).

PROOF. We prove the theorem for $m_- > 0$. The modifications in the simpler case $m_- = 0$ are obvious. As Lemma 1.3 shows, it is sufficient to prove the theorem for $u \in C_0^\infty(\overline{R_+^n})$. According to a theorem by Peetre ([8], Lemma 4)

$$(3.2) \quad \|F_{x'} u(\cdot, \xi')\|_{A_+(\cdot, \xi)}^+ \leq \|A(\cdot, \xi') F_{x'} u(\cdot, \xi')\|_{A_-(\cdot, \xi)}^+.$$

if $|\xi'| \geq M$ and if $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$. The proof is based on the Paley-Wiener theorem. We multiply (3.2) by A_1^s and integrate in ξ' (cf. (1.3), (1.4)) getting

$$\|u\|_{B_{+,s}}^+ \leq \|Au\|_{B_{-,s}^-}^+ + \sqrt{1 + M^2} \|u\|_{B_{+,s-1}}^+.$$

It follows that

$$(3.3) \quad \|u\|_{B_{+,s}}^+ \leq \left\| \left(A + \sum_1^m a_j Q_j \right) u \right\|_{B_{-,s}^-}^+ + \sum_1^m \|a_j Q_j u\|_{B_{-,s}^-}^+ + C \|u\|_{B_{+,s-1}}^+.$$

But

$$\|a_j Q_j u\|_{B_{-,s}^-}^+ \leq \|B_- a_j Q_j B_-^{-1} u\|_{B_{-,s}^-}^+ + \|(a_j B_- - B_- a_j) Q_j B_-^{-1} u\|_{B_{-,s}^-}^+.$$

The last term can be estimated by use of Theorem 2.1, and in view of Lemma 1.1, we can estimate the first term in the following way;

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_- a_j Q_j B_-^{-1} u\|_{B_{-,s}^-}^+ &\leq \|a_j Q_j B_-^{-1} u\|_{0,s}^+ \leq \\ &\sup |a_j| \|Q_j B_-^{-1} u\|_{0,s}^+ + K \|Q_j B_-^{-1} u\|_{0,s-1}^+ \leq \\ &\leq C_j (\sup |a_j| \|u\|_{B_{+,s}}^+ + K \|u\|_{B_{+,s-1}}^+). \end{aligned}$$

Here C_j is independent of u and a_j . We have now proved that

$$\|a_j Q_j u\|_{B_{-,s}^-}^+ \leq (C_j \sup |a_j| + \varepsilon) \|u\|_{B_{+,s}}^+ + C \|u\|_{B_{+,s-1}}^+,$$

which together with (3.3) gives the desired estimate (3.1), if we assume for instance, that $m\varepsilon + \sum_{j=1}^m C_j \sup |a_j| < 1/2$.

4. Regularity.

In this section

$$\mathcal{A} = A + \sum a_j Q_j$$

is formally hypoelliptic. Before the main regularity theorem we formulate a result on regularity in the x' -directions.

THEOREM 4.1. *Let $u \in H_{B_+, r}^+$ for some r and let u satisfy (1.5). Define $\mathcal{A}(x, D)$ as in Theorem 3.1 Then*

$$u \in H_{B_+, s}^+ \text{ if } \mathcal{A}u \in H_{B_-, s}^+.$$

PROOF. It is always possible to choose r , so that $r = s - \nu$ for some integer ν . If $r \leq s - 1$ then the quotient

$$\frac{u(x_1, x' + h) - u(x_1, x')}{|h|}$$

is bounded in $H_{B_+, r}^+$ by Theorem 3.1. Then by Lemma 1.4, $u \in H_{B_+, r+1}^+$. By iteration, this proves the theorem.

THEOREM 4.2. *Let $u \in D'(\overline{R_+^n})$ and satisfy (1.5) Then*

$$u \in (H_{B_+, s}^+)^{\text{loc}} \text{ if } \mathcal{A}u \in (H_{B_-, s}^+)^{\text{loc}}.$$

PROOF. The theorem means that $\psi u \in H_{B_+, s}^+$ if $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\overline{R_+^n})$. It is no restriction to take all Q_j hypoelliptic and ψ with « small » support. For each such function ψ , we take another Φ of the same type with $\Phi = 1$ in a neighbourhood of $\text{supp } \psi$. We first show that $\Phi u \in H_{B_+, r}^+$ for some r , when $\text{supp } \Phi$ is small enough for \mathcal{A} to fulfil the conditions of Theorem 3.1 in some open set $\omega \supset \text{supp } \Phi$. From the fact that

$$|B_-| \leq KA^{m-} \Delta_1^{m_0}$$

for some m_0 , it follows

$$\mathcal{A}u \in (H_{B_-, s-m_0}^+)^{\text{loc}}$$

if

$$\mathcal{A}u \in (H_{B_-, s}^+)^{\text{loc}}.$$

As the Q_j 's are hypoelliptic, there is a $d > 0$ such that for large ξ'

$$|Q_j^\alpha / Q_j| \leq |\xi|^{-d|\alpha|}, \quad |A^\alpha / A| \leq |\xi|^{-d|\alpha|}.$$

Take

$$\Phi_0 \in C_0^\infty(R_+^n)$$

with $\Phi_0 = 1$ in a neighbourhood of $\text{supp } \Phi$ and $\text{supp } \Phi_0 \subset \omega$. As $u \in D'$, we have

$$\Phi_0 u \in H_{\sigma, \tau}^+$$

for some integer σ and real τ . If $\sigma < m_+$ we construct a sequence of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ -functions

$$\Phi_0, \Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_\mu = \Phi, \mu = m_+ - \sigma$$

with $\Phi_{j-1} = 1$ in a neighbourhood of $\text{supp } \Phi_j$. Let $m_1 = m_+ + m_-$ be the order of the derivative D_1 in \mathcal{A} and m' the total order. As

$$(D^\alpha \Phi_1)(A^\alpha + \sum a_j Q_j^\alpha) \Phi_0 u \in H_{\sigma - m_1 + 1, \tau - m' + 1}^+ \text{ when } \alpha \neq 0$$

and

$$\Phi_1 (A + \sum a_j Q_j) u \in H_{-m_-, s - m_0}^+,$$

Leibniz' formula shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_1 (A + \sum a_j Q_j) u + \sum_{|\alpha| \neq 0} D_\alpha \Phi_1 (A^\alpha + \sum a_j Q_j^\alpha) \Phi_0 u &= \\ &= (A + \sum a_j Q_j) \Phi_1 u \in H_{\sigma - m_1 + 1, \min(\tau - m' + 1, s - m_0)}^+. \end{aligned}$$

Then by partial regularity (see e. g. [5]), for some τ'

$$\Phi_1 u \in H_{\sigma + 1, \tau'}^+$$

and so, by iteration, for some r'

$$\Phi u \in H_{m_+, r'}^+.$$

For some r this will give

$$(4.1) \quad \Phi u \in H_{B_+, r}^+.$$

Take r so that with $q = c/b$

$$\nu = \frac{(s - r)q}{d}$$

is an integer. Let $(\psi_j)_0^\nu$ be a sequence analogous to $(\Phi_j)_0^\mu$, and with

$$\psi_0 = \Phi, \psi_\nu = \psi.$$

The terms in

$$(A + \sum a_j Q_j) \psi_1 u = \psi_1 (A + \sum a_j Q_j) u + \sum_{|\alpha| \neq 0} D^\alpha \psi_1 (A^\alpha + a_j Q_j^\alpha) \psi_0 u$$

can be estimated as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 3.1. With our choice of d this gives

$$\| a_j D^\alpha \psi_1 Q_j^\alpha \psi_0 u \|_{B_{-1}^+, r+d/q} \leq K \| \psi_0 u \|_{B_+^+, r},$$

$$\| D^\alpha \psi_1 A^\alpha \psi_0 u \|_{B_{-1}^+, r+d/q} \leq K \| \psi_0 u \|_{B_+^+, r},$$

and so

$$(A + \sum a_j Q_j) \psi_1 u \in H_{B_{-1}^+, r+d/q}^+.$$

Then by Theorem 4.1

$$\psi_1 u \in H_{B_+^+, r+d/q}^+.$$

Repeating this ν times gives

$$\Phi u \in H_{B_+^+, s}^+,$$

and so

$$u \in (H_{B_+^+, s}^+)^{loc}.$$

CORR. 4.1. If $\mathcal{L} u \in C^\infty(\overline{R_+^n})$ and $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\overline{R_+^n})$ satisfies (1.5), then $u \in C^\infty(\overline{R_+^n})$.

PROOF. This follows by partial regularity from Theorem 4.2.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. ARKERYD, *On the L^p estimates for elliptic boundary problems*, Math. Scand. 19 (1966), 59-76.
- [2] K. FRIEDRICHS, *On the differentiability of the solutions of linear elliptic differential equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 6 (1953), 299-325.
- [3] E. GOURSAT, *Cours d'Analyse Mathématique*, 5^e édition, Paris 1929.
- [4] L. HÖRMANDER, *On the regularity of the solutions of boundary problems*, Acta Math. 99 (1958), 225-264.
- [5] L. HÖRMANDER, *Linear partial differential operators*, Berlin, Springer, 1963.
- [6] L. HÖRMANDER, J. L. LIONS, *Sur la complétion par rapport à une intégrale de Dirichlet*, Math. Scand. 4 (1956), 259-270.
- [7] T. MATSUZAWA, *Regularity at the boundary for solutions of hypo-elliptic equations*, Osaka J. Math. 3 (1966), 313-334.
- [8] J. PEETRE, *On estimating the solutions of hypoelliptic differential equations near the plane boundary*, Math. Scand. 9 (1961), 337-351.
- [9] M. SCHECHTER, *On the dominance of partial differential operators II*, A. S. N. S. Pisa 18 (1964), 255-282.
- [10] L. SCHWARTZ, *Theorie des distributions*, Paris 1957, 1959.
- [11] M. I. VISIK, G. I. ESKIN, *Uravenenija v svertkah v organičennoj oblasti*, Usp. Mat. Nauk 20 (1965), 89-151.