

# BULLETIN DE LA S. M. F.

CH. MEGIBBEN

## **On certain subgroups of a primary abelian group**

*Bulletin de la S. M. F.*, tome 97 (1969), p. 285-287

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=BSMF\\_1969\\_\\_97\\_\\_285\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=BSMF_1969__97__285_0)

© Bulletin de la S. M. F., 1969, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Bulletin de la S. M. F. » (<http://smf.emath.fr/Publications/Bulletin/Presentation.html>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

ON CERTAIN SUBSOCLES  
OF A PRIMARY ABELIAN GROUP

BY

CHARLES MEGIBBEN.

Let  $G$  be a  $p$ -primary abelian group. Then  $G[p] = \{x \in G : px = 0\}$  is called the socle of  $G$  and any subgroup of  $G[p]$  will be referred to as a subsocle. In [3], the notion of a quasi-essential subsocle is introduced: A subsocle  $S$  is said to be quasi-essential if  $G = H + K$ , whenever  $H$  is a pure subgroup of  $G$  containing  $S$ , and  $K$  is maximal disjoint from  $S$ . Recall that  $K$  will be maximal disjoint from  $S$  if and only if  $G[p] = K[p] \oplus S$  and  $K$  is a neat subgroup of  $G$  (that is,  $pG \cap K = pK$ ). The purpose of this note is to prove the following proposition.

PROPOSITION. — A subsocle  $S$  of  $G$  is quasi-essential if and only if either

$$(1) \quad S \subseteq G^1 = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} p^n G$$

or

$$(2) \quad (p^n G)[p] \supseteq S \supseteq (p^{n+1} G)[p]$$

for some nonnegative integer  $n$ .

That conditions (1) or (2) are sufficient is established in [3], but the converse is obtained there only when further conditions are placed either on  $S$  or  $G$ . Our basic tool will be the following lemma:

LEMMA. — If  $G = Zb \oplus Za \oplus H$ , where  $o(b) = p^i$  and  $o(a) \geq p^{i+2}$  and if  $S$  is a subsocle of  $G$  such that  $S \subseteq Zb \oplus H$  and  $S \cap Zb \neq 0$ , then  $S$  is not quasi-essential.

Proof. — Write  $S = (Zb)[p] \oplus S_1$  with  $S_1 \subseteq H$ , and choose  $M$  maximal disjoint from  $S_1$  with  $a, b \in M$ . Then  $M$  is a neat subgroup of  $G$ , and

$M = Za \oplus Zb \oplus M_1$ , where  $M_1 = M \cap H$ . Let  $M' = Z(b + pa) \oplus M_1$ , and note that  $G[p] = M'[p] \oplus S$ .  $M'$  will therefore be maximal disjoint from  $S$  provided it is neat in  $G$ . But the neatness of  $M'$  is an easy consequence of the neatness of  $Zb \oplus M_1$ . To prove that  $S$  is not quasi-essential it suffices to show that  $G \neq M' + (Zb \oplus H)$ . But, in fact,  $a \notin M' + (Zb \oplus H)$ . For suppose  $a = t(b + pa) + m_1 + sb + h$ , where  $m_1 \in M_1, h \in H$  and  $t, s \in Z$ . Then  $m_1 + h \in H \cap (Za \oplus Zb) = 0$ , and we have the absurd equation  $(1 - pt)a = (t + s)b \in Za \cap Zb = 0$ .

We shall require the notion of a *center of purity*: A subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  is said to be a center of purity if every subgroup maximal disjoint from  $H$  is pure in  $G$ . In [4], it is shown that a subsocle  $S$  of a  $p$ -group  $G$  is a center of purity if and only if either

(i) 
$$S \subseteq G^1$$

or

(ii) 
$$(p^n G)[p] \supseteq S \supseteq (p^{n+2} G)[p]$$

for some nonnegative integer  $n$ . Note the slight difference between (ii) and (2). In [3], it is actually proved that if a subsocle is both a center of purity and quasi-essential, then it satisfies (1) or (2). Consequently, we need only prove that every quasi-essential subsocle is a center of purity in order to establish our proposition.

Now if  $S$  supports a pure subgroup  $H$  (that is,  $H[p] = S$ ) and if  $S$  is quasi-essential, then clearly  $G = M \oplus H$  whenever  $M$  is maximal disjoint from  $S$  and, since direct summands are pure,  $S$  is a center of purity. The proof of our proposition thus reduces to showing that a quasi-essential subsocle that fails to support a pure subgroup is also a center of purity, or equivalently, that a subsocle  $S$  which neither supports a pure subgroup nor is a center of purity cannot be quasi-essential.

By a standard technique, we can construct a basic subgroup  $B = A \oplus C$  of  $G$  where  $C[p]$  is dense in  $S$  (relative to the subspace topology induced on  $G[p]$  by the  $p$ -adic topology of  $G$ ). Since  $S$  does not support a pure subgroup,  $S \cap p^n G$  cannot be dense in  $(p^n G)[p]$  for any  $n$  (see [2]). This fact forces  $A$  to be unbounded. But  $S$  is not a center of purity and therefore  $S \not\subseteq G^1$ . Hence there is a minimal nonnegative  $n$  such that  $S \not\subseteq p^{n+1} G$ . Then  $S$  has an element of height exactly  $n$  and, since  $C[p]$  is dense in  $S$ , this element may be taken to be in  $C$ . Thus  $C$  has a cyclic direct summand  $Zb$  with  $o(b) = p^{n+1}$ . Recall that  $A$  is unbounded, and consequently has a cyclic summand  $Za$  with  $o(a) = p^k \geq p^{n+3}$ . Exploiting the purity of  $B$  and the fact that  $C[p]$  is dense in  $S$ , one easily shows that  $Za \cap (S + C + p^k G) = 0$ . By Theorem 24.1 of [1], we then have a direct decomposition  $G = Za \oplus M$ ,

where  $M \supseteq S + C$ . But  $Zb$  is a pure subgroup of  $G$  and therefore  $G = Za \oplus Zb \oplus H$ , where  $Zb \oplus H \supseteq S$  and  $S \cap Zb \neq 0$ . The conditions of our lemma are now satisfied, and we conclude that  $S$  is not quasi-essential.

## REFERENCES.

- [1] FUCHS (L.). — *Abelian groups*. — Budapest, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, 1958.
- [2] HILL (P.) and MEGIBBEN (C.). — On primary groups with countable basic subgroups, *Trans. Amer. math. Soc.*, t. 124, 1966, p. 49-59.
- [3] IRWIN (J.) and BENABDALLAH (K.). — On  $N$ -high subgroups of abelian groups, *Bull. Soc. math. France*, t. 96, 1968, p. 337-346.
- [4] PIERCE (R. S.). — Centers of purity in abelian groups, *Pacific J. of Math.*, t. 13, 1963, p. 215-219.

(Texte reçu le 15 juillet 1969.)

Charles MEGIBBEN,  
Department of Mathematics,  
Vanderbilt University,  
Nashville, Tenn. 37 214-U. S. A.  
(États-Unis).