

BULLETIN DE LA S. M. F.

ROBERT KAUFMAN

A metric property of some random functions

Bulletin de la S. M. F., tome 99 (1971), p. 241-245

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=BSMF_1971__99__241_0

© Bulletin de la S. M. F., 1971, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Bulletin de la S. M. F. » (<http://smf.emath.fr/Publications/Bulletin/Presentation.html>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/legal.php>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

A METRIC PROPERTY OF SOME RANDOM FUNCTIONS

BY

ROBERT KAUFMAN

[Urbana, Ill.]

0. **Introduction.** — Let E_1 and E_2 be compact linear sets of Hausdorff dimensions $d_1 > 0$ and $d_2 > 0$, and suppose that $d = d_1 + d_2 < 1$. For real numbers $t > 0$, F_t is the set $E_1 + tE_2$. It is proved in [3], that $\dim F_t \geq d$ excepting a t -set of dimension at most d ; in [4], the exceptional set has positive dimension. It is thus natural to search for sets “complementary” to a given set E_2 ; namely, sets E_1 for which the exceptional t -set is void. This particular problem is solved by some theorems in ([1], chap. XV), founded on harmonic analysis. However, the analysis of that work uses special properties of linear mappings, while the method of [3] applies without change to the following non-linear variant. Consider a continuously differentiable function $h(u, v)$ defined in the plane, whose partial derivatives satisfy inequalities $1 \leq \frac{\partial h}{\partial u}, \frac{\partial h}{\partial v} \leq C$. Setting $h_t(u, v) = h(u, tv)$ and $F_t = h_t(E_1 \times E_2)$, we see that the result of [3] holds for this definition of F_t . In the next statement, X denotes linear Brownian motion ([1], chap. XI) on $[0, \infty)$ and $d = d_1 + d_2 < 1$.

THEOREM. — *Let h_t be as defined above and $E \subseteq [0, \infty)$ a compact set of dimension $1/2 d_1$, while $\dim E_2 = d_2$. Then it is almost sure that*

$$\dim h_t(X(E) \times E_2) \geq d \text{ for all } t > 0.$$

Because it is almost sure that $\dim X(E) = d_1$ ([1], p. 143), the set $X(E)$ is “complementary” to E_2 (with respect to the transformation h).

After the proof of this theorem, the special choice $h = u + v$ is briefly considered; then it will be explained how this is indeed a simple case.

1. — Suppose that μ and λ are probability measures in E and E_2 , respectively; then F_t carries a probability measure σ_t defined by the formula

$$\int f(u) \sigma_t(du) = \iint f \circ h(X(x), ty) \mu(dx) \lambda(dy).$$

Let $V_k[u] = 1$ when $|u| < 2^{-k}$, $V_k[u] = 0$ otherwise ($k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) Then by the method of ([2], chap. III), it is easy to see that

$$\dim F_t \geq e_3 \quad \text{provided} \quad \iint V_k[u - u'] \sigma_t(du) \sigma_t(du') = O(2^{-ke_3}).$$

In order to handle all values t in an interval, $1 \leq t \leq 2$, we choose an integer k_0 so large that

$$V_k[h(a, ty) - h(b, ty)] \leq V_{k-k_0}[h(a, sy) - h(b, sy)],$$

whenever $y, y_1 \in E_2$ and $|t - s| \leq 2^{-k}$. Thus we can obtain a lower bound for $\dim F_t$, uniform over $1 \leq t \leq 2$, by majorizing

$$\max(t \in S_k) \iint V_k[h(X(x), ty) - h(X(x'), ty')] \mu(dx) \dots \lambda(dy'),$$

where $S_k = \{1, 1 + 2^{-k}, \dots, 2\}$ has $1 + 2^k$ elements. In the following paragraph, t occurs innocuously as a parameter, and so it is suppressed until the end of the proof.

2. — To each number $e_1 \in (0, 1/2 d_1)$, there is a probability measure μ in E , fulfilling a Lipschitz condition to exponent e_1 , and similarly, for each number $e_2 \in (0, d_2)$, a measure λ in E_2 (see [2], chap. II). For any numbers $a \geq 0, b$, we form the double integrals

$$\begin{aligned} I_k &= \iint V_k[h(X(x), y) - h(X(a), b)] \mu(dx) \lambda(dy) \\ &= \int V_k[u - h(X(a), b)] \sigma(du). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA. — The r th moment of I_k is $O(k 2^{-e_1 k - e_2 k})^r$, for each $r = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, uniformly with respect to a and b .

Proof. — The r th power of I_k is a multiple integral

$$\int \dots \int \prod_{j=1}^r V_k[h(X(x_j), y_j) - h(X(a), b)] \mu(dx_1) \dots \lambda(dy_r).$$

We can suppose that $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_r$, and then divide the integral into subsets depending upon the relative position of a ; here we shall suppose $a \leq x_1$. The inequality $\prod V_k \neq 0$ implies the system

$$\begin{aligned} |h(X(x_1), y_1) - h(X(a), b)| &< 2^{-k}, \\ |h(X(x_{j+1}), y_{j+1}) - h(X(x_j), y_j)| &< 2^{1-k}. \end{aligned}$$

To these, we can adjoin the inequalities

$$|X(x_1) - X(a)| < k|x - a|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad |X(x_{j+1}) - X(x_j)| < k|x_{j+1} - x_j|^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for the set on which one of these fails has probability $< e^{-\frac{1}{2}k^2}$. These systems of inequalities yield

$$|y_1 - b| \leq 2^{-k} + Ck|x - a|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad |y_{j+1} - y_j| \leq 2^{1-k} + Ck|x_{j+1} - x_j|^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where C depends also on t , but is bounded for $1 \leq t \leq 2$. Because $\frac{\partial h}{\partial u} \geq 1$, the probability of the first system of inequalities is

$$\leq \inf_{1, 2^{-k}} |x_1 - a|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \prod_1^{r-1} \inf_{1, 2^{1-k}} |x_{j+1} - x_j|^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

To each determination of x_1, \dots, x_r a domain of values (y_1, \dots, y_r) is defined, of measure

$$O\left(2^{-k} + k|x - a|^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{e_2} \prod_1^{r-1} \left(2^{-k} + k|x_{j+1} - x_j|^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{e_2}.$$

To majorize the r th moment, we perform iterated integration; we require a bound for integrals of the type

$$\int \inf_{1, 2^{-k}} |x - c|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(2^{-k} + k|x - c|^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{e_2} \mu(dx).$$

For the intervals $|x - c| < 4^{-k}$, a contribution $O(4^{-e_1 k} \cdot k^{e_2} 2^{-e_2 k})$ is obtained. For the intervals $4^{-n} \leq |x - c| < 4^{1-n}$, the magnitude does not exceed

$$2^{n-k} \cdot 4^{-ne_1} \cdot k^{e_2} 2^{-e_2 n} = 2^{-k} k^{e_2} 2^{n(1-2e_1-e_2)}.$$

As $2e_1 + e_2 \leq d_1 + d_2 < 1$, the sum, for $k \geq n$, of all partial integrals, is of magnitude $2^{-e_1 k - e_2 k} k^{e_2}$, and from this the required estimate follows.

Now, setting

$$J_k = \int \dots \int V_k[h(X(x), y) - h(X(x'), y')] \mu(dx) \dots \lambda(dy'),$$

we find by Jensen's inequality the same estimate for the r th moment of J_k .

Let now $e_3 < 2e_1 + e_2$. Then

$$P\{J_k \geq 2^{-ke_3}\} = O(2^{ke_3 - 2ke_1 - ke_2})^r k^r.$$

At this point, we restore the parameter t , and find

$$P\{\max J_k(t) \geq 2^{-ke_3}, t \in S_k\} = O(2^{ke_3 - 2ke_1 - ke_2})^r 2^k k^r.$$

Choosing r so large that $r(e_3 - 2e_1 - e_2) + 1 < 0$, we find $\max J_k(t) = o(2^{-ke_3})$ almost surely. Since e_3 is arbitrarily close to $d = d_1 + d_2$, we have finally $\dim F_t \geq d$ for $1 \leq t \leq 2$, almost surely.

In the proof, we allowed t to operate on the coordinate v , since E_2 is bounded. But $X(E)$ is almost surely bounded, and so t might also operate on u . In fact, the proof is valid for functions $h(u, v, t)$ continuously differentiable in u, v, t provided $\frac{\partial h}{\partial u} > 0, \frac{\partial h}{\partial v} > 0$ everywhere.

3. — In the special case $h = u + v$, we use harmonic analysis of Fourier-Stieltjes transforms. Let μ and λ be the measures introduced in the beginning of paragraph 2, and ν the transform of μ by the trajectory X :

$$\hat{\nu}(s) \equiv \int e^{-isX(x)} \mu(dx) \equiv \int e^{-isx'} \nu(dx').$$

By Theorem 1 of ([1], chap. XV),

$$\hat{\nu}(s) = o(|s|^{-e}) \text{ for every } e < \frac{1}{2}e_1.$$

Also,

$$\int_{|s|>1} |\hat{\lambda}(s)|^2 |s|^f ds < \infty \text{ for every } f < e_2 - 1.$$

Hence

$$\int_{|s|>1} |\hat{\lambda}(s)|^2 |\hat{\nu}(s)|^2 |s|^f ds < \infty \text{ for every } g < e_2 + e_1 - 1.$$

Now the measure $\nu \star \lambda$ has Fourier transform $\hat{\nu} \hat{\lambda}$, and is supported by $X(E) + E_2$, so that $X(E) + E_2$ has dimension $\geq e_1 + e_2$. (For this paragraph, see [2], chap. III.) The set $X(E)$ is therefore complementary

to every set E_2 , but the proof of this fact seems to have little relation to the non-linear problem. Observe that when $e_1 + e_2 > 1$, the set $X(E) + E_2$ has positive measure, by the Plancherel formula; it would be extremely interesting to obtain a theorem of this type for non-linear mappings, valid for all $t > 0$ uniformly; it would also be interesting to find properties of h_t dependent upon the higher derivatives of h when these exist.

REFERENCES.

- [1] KAHANE (J.-P.). — *Some random series of functions*. — Lexington, D. C. Heath and Company, 1968 (*Heath mathematical Monographs*).
- [2] KAHANE (J.-P.) et SALEM (R.). — *Ensembles parfaits et séries trigonométriques* — Paris, Hermann, 1963 (*Act. scient. et ind.*, 1301).
- [3] KAUFMAN (R.). — On Hausdorff dimension of projections, *Mathematika*, t. 15, 1968, p. 153-155.
- [4] KAUFMAN (R.). — An exceptional set for Hausdorff dimension, *Mathematika*, t. 16, 1969, p. 57-58.

(Texte reçu le 5 août 1970.)

Robert KAUFMAN,
Department of Mathematics,
University of Illinois,
Urbana, Illinois 61 801
(États-Unis).
