

BULLETIN DE LA S. M. F.

ROBERT KAUFMAN

Fourier analysis and paths of brownian motion

Bulletin de la S. M. F., tome 103 (1975), p. 427-432

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=BSMF_1975__103__427_0

© Bulletin de la S. M. F., 1975, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Bulletin de la S. M. F. » (<http://smf.emath.fr/Publications/Bulletin/Presentation.html>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

FOURIER ANALYSIS AND PATHS OF BROWNIAN MOTION

by

ROBERT KAUFMAN

[Urbana]

RÉSUMÉ. — Le mouvement brownien transforme presque sûrement un ensemble fermé de dimension $> 1/2$ en ensemble linéaire à intérieur non vide. La preuve se fonde sur les inégalités de Burkholder pour la norme dans L^p d'une martingale, et sur l'inversion des transformées de Fourier.

Let μ be a probability measure of compact support E on the line, satisfying a Lipschitz condition in exponent $b > 1/2 : \mu(T) \ll (\text{diam } T)^b$ for all measurable sets T . The transform of E by a Brownian motion X , with continuous sample paths, has positive Lebesgue measure, almost surely. Taking a planar process, $Y(t) = (X_1(t), X_2(t))$, we have the same conclusion for each projection $X_1 \cos \theta + X_2 \sin \theta$, by a theorem on Fourier-Stieltjes coefficients ([3], p. 165), but it has not been observed that the projected path has non-empty interior, and this seems beyond the reach of the method of estimating individual Fourier coefficients.

In order to treat a more general problem, we write h for a function of class $C^\beta(R^2)$, $1 < \beta < 2$, whose gradient never vanishes. (By $C^\beta(R^2)$, we denote the space of functions defined on R^2 , whose first partial derivatives are subjects to a Lipschitz condition in exponent $\beta-1$, uniformly on each bounded subset of R^2 .) S_θ denotes the rotation of R^2 through an angle θ .

THEOREM. — *With probability 1, all composite mappings $h \circ S_\theta \circ Y$ transform E onto a linear set of non-empty interior; in fact, these mappings transform μ to a measure with a continuous density.*

In proving that a finite measure λ has a continuous density, we use its Fourier-Stieltjes transform $\hat{\lambda}(u) = \int e(-ut) \lambda(dt)$, where $e(a) \equiv e^{ia}$. To recover λ from $\hat{\lambda}$, we choose and fix a function φ of class

$C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, with support in $(-2, 2)$, equal to 1 on $(-1, 1)$ and write (for $-\infty < x < \infty, k > 1$)

$$I(x, k) = \int \varphi(k^{-1}u) e(ux) \hat{\lambda}(u) du.$$

Then $I(x, k) \rightarrow 2\pi\lambda(dx)$ in the weak* topology of measures, so that λ has a continuous density if some subsequence converges uniformly on compact subsets of the x -axis. A closer look yields the formula

$$I(x, k) = \int k \hat{\varphi}(kt - kx) \lambda(dt);$$

now if $\eta > 0$ is arbitrary but fixed, and $|x - t| > k^{\eta-1}$, then

$$|k \hat{\varphi}(kt - kx)| < k^{-L} \quad \text{for any constant } L \text{ and } k > k(L, \eta).$$

Thus our method leads us to investigate the total λ -measure of intervals of length $k^{\eta-1}$. Another stage in the estimation of $I(x, k) - I(x, 2k)$ uses a Fourier-type integral, arising as an expected value. The final step of the proof is a reduction of $I(x, k) - I(x, 2k)$ to a martingale and application of L^p -inequalities about the square function S of a martingale ([1], [2]). I thank D. L. BURKHOLDER for help with the theory of martingales and distribution function inequalities.

1. In the program outlined above, it is expedient to eliminate all values of Y outside some ball in \mathbb{R}^2 . Therefore we choose a function ψ of class $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$, with compact support. We then work with the transforms of $\mu_0 = \psi(Y) \cdot \mu$, but by using an appropriate sequence of test-functions ψ , we obtain all our assertions for the measure μ itself. We write g for any of the composites $h \circ S_0$, and denote by $M(x, r)$ the μ_0 -measure of the t -set defined by

$$|g \circ Y(t) - x| \leq r, \quad 0 < r < 1, \quad -\infty < x < \infty.$$

The analysis in the lemmas below is used extensively in [3], and in [4], to obtain bounds very similar to those needed here.

LEMMA 1. — Each L^p -norm $\|M(x, r)\|_p \leq B_p r, p = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

In the proof, we operate with μ -measure, adding the inequality $\|Y(t)\| < C(\psi)$, since ψ has compact support. To bound the p -th

moment of $M(x, r)$, we integrate the p -fold product measure of the set in R^p :

$$|g \circ Y(t_n) - x| \leq r, \quad |Y(t_n)| < C, \quad 1 \leq n \leq p.$$

We can adjoin the inequalities $t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots \leq t_p$, because this decreases the product measure by a factor $p!$. The event so obtained is a subset of the event

$$\begin{aligned} |g \circ Y(t_1) - x| \leq r, \quad |g \circ Y(t_{n+1}) - g \circ Y(t_n)| \leq 2r, \quad 1 \leq n < p, \\ |Y(t_n)| < C, \quad 1 \leq n \leq p. \end{aligned}$$

Now h is of class $C^1(R^2)$ and has a gradient vanishing nowhere; by independence of increments, we can conclude that the p -th moment has a magnitude comparable with the p -th power of

$$\sup_s \int \min(1, r|t-s|^{-1/2}) \mu(dt) \leq r \sup_s \int |t-s|^{-1/2} \mu(dt) \ll r.$$

LEMMA 2. — Let E_j be disjoint closed sets, and $m = \max \mu(E_j)$. Let $M_j(x, r)$ be the μ_0 -measure of the set defined by $|g \circ Y(t) - x| \leq r$, $t \in E_j$, and put

$$M^*(x, r) = \sup M_j(x, r).$$

Then $\|M^*(x, r)\|_p \leq B(p, q) r m^q$ for any $q < (2b-1)/2b$.

First we majorize the moments of each $M_j(x, r)$, adding the condition $t_n \in E_j$ ($1 \leq n \leq p$) in the product set used in the proof of lemma 1. Hence we obtain p factors $\sup_F \int |t-s|^{-1/2} \mu(dt)$, with $F = E_j$. Now this integral is $\ll \mu(F)^q$ for each q specified. Indeed, the Lipschitz condition imposed on μ yields $\int |s-t|^{-f} \mu(dt) < C(f)$ for each $f < b$, so we can use Hölder's inequality to obtain the factor $\mu(F)^q$, q being the conjugate index to $f/2$. We apply this bound with $F = E_j$, finding that $M^*(x, r)$ has p -th moment $\ll r^p \sum \mu(E_j)^{pq} \leq r^p m^{pq-1}$; $\|M^*(x, r)\|_p \ll r m^q m^{-1/p}$. This yields our lemma because $q - p^{-1}$ can be made arbitrarily close to $(2b-1)/2b$, and the L^p -norm increases with p .

2. In this paragraph, we investigate the integral $I(x, k)$ formed from μ_0 , namely

$$\iint \varphi(k^{-1}u) e(ux - ug \circ Y(t)) \psi(Y) \mu(dt) du.$$

We approximate $I(x, k) - I(x, 2k)$ by a martingale sum and use the estimates of M and M^* already found. There remain some estimates whose derivation is the most technical point in the paper. Let α be fixed once and for all in the interval $(0, \beta - 1)$ and T_j be the interval $(jk^{-\alpha}, (j+1)k^{-\alpha}]$; then $I(x, k) - I(x, 2k)$ is correspondingly divided into integrals, over T_j , which we name. Because Γ_{2j} is measurable over the σ -field F_{2j} of the variables $\{X(s) : s \leq (2j+1)k^{-\alpha}\}$, the variables $\Gamma_{2j} - E(\Gamma_{2j} | F_{2j-2})$ form a sequence of martingale differences. We proceed to a bound of $E(\Gamma_{2j} | F_{2j-2})$. Γ_{2j} is the integral with respect to μ , over T_{2j} , of

$$\int [\varphi(k^{-1}u) - \varphi(2^{-1}k^{-1}u)] \psi(Y(t)) e(ux - ug \circ Y(t)) du.$$

We shall give a uniform bound for the expectation, for $t > 2jk^{-\alpha}$, of this integral. To bound $E(\Gamma_{2j} | F_{2j-2})$, we have only to multiply by $\mu(T_{2j})$.

By the Markoff property, the conditioning depends only on

$$Y((2j-1)k^{-\alpha}) = Y(v),$$

say, and we have the inequality $t - v \geq k^{-\alpha}$. Thus $Y(t)$ has a conditional distribution represented by $Y(v) + |t - v|^{1/2} Y(1)$, which we write as $y^0 + \sigma Y(1)$, $\sigma^2 \geq k^{-\alpha}$. At each point in the ball $\|y\| \leq C(\psi)$ in R^2 , there is a direction τ so that $\partial h / \partial \tau > 0$; consequently, there is a finite covering $\bigcup V_n$ of the support of ψ by convex open sets, and directions τ_n , so that $\partial h / \partial \tau_n \geq a > 0$ on V_n . Let $\psi = \sum \psi_n$ be a C^∞ -partition of ψ , wherein ψ_n vanishes outside V_n . It will be enough to obtain a bound for the integral containing $\psi_n(Y)$ in place of $\psi(Y)$, and to take $\theta = 0$, $g = h$ (in view of the symmetry of the normal law).

The conditional expectation is given explicitly as an integral involving the normal density $(2\pi)^{-1} \exp(-1/2 \|y\|^2)$. In this integral, we make an affine change of variable, $z = y^0 + \sigma Y(1)$ and then integrate on lines in the τ_n -direction. Suppressing the variable of integration in the direction orthogonal to τ_n , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint [\varphi(k^{-1}u) - \varphi(2^{-1}k^{-1}u)] e(ux - uh(y)) \psi_n(y) \\ & \times \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{-2} (y - y^0)^2\right) dy du / \sigma (2\pi)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

The integration is extended over an interval $|y| \leq C$, and in fact, we can neglect all of this interval except that part on which $|x-h(y)| \leq k^{\eta-1}$, for the reason explained in the first paragraph. In case $|x-h(y)| < k^{\eta-1}$ for some y in $[-C, C]$, this inequality defines a subinterval of length $\ll k^{\eta-1}$. In the remainder of this argument, we assume that this interval is included entirely in $[-C, C]$, but only minor variations are necessary in other cases. Let us consider the error in replacing $h(y)$ by its tangent line at some point in this interval, say $h_1(y) = h(y_0) + (y-y_0)h'(y_0)$. First, the Lipschitz condition on h' , and Taylor's formula, yield $|h_1-h| \ll k^{(\eta-1)\beta}$ throughout the interval. Now $|u| \leq 2k$, and the integration with respect to u extends over this range at most, introducing a factor $\ll k^2$. But $\sigma^2 \geq k^{-\alpha}$, and the integration with respect to y is confined to an interval of length $\ll k^{\eta-1}$. Thus the error is $\ll k^e$, with $e = 2 + (\eta-1)(\beta+1) + (1/2)\alpha$, approaching

$$1 - \beta + \frac{1}{2}\alpha < \frac{1}{2}(1 - \beta)$$

as η approaches O^+ . Thus we can choose $\eta > 0$ so small that the error is $\ll k^{-\delta}$ for some $\delta > 0$.

Next we evaluate the integral in which h has been replaced by the linear function h_1 ; at the end-points of the domain of integration on the y -axis, $|x-h_1(y)| \approx k^{\eta-1}$. Integration with respect to u gives

$$k \hat{\phi}(kh_1(y) - kx) - 2k \hat{\phi}(2kh_1(y) - 2kx),$$

and our plan now is to integrate by parts several times in succession.

The function $r(s) \equiv \hat{\phi}(s) - 2\hat{\phi}(2s)$ is represented by a Fourier transform of C^∞ function of compact support, and so are each of its indefinite integrals if they are normalized so as to vanish at infinity. Successive integrations of $kr(kh_1(y) - kx)$ with respect to y therefore bring in factors k^{-1} . The L^1 -norm of the p -th derivative of the cofactor is $\ll \sigma^{-p}$, and this disposes of the integral obtained in integrating by parts several times. The integrated terms occur at the end-points, where $k|x-h_1(x)| \sim k^\eta$, and the rapid decrease of r and its integrals at infinity enable us to obtain a bound $\ll k^{-L}$ for any fixed L . In summary, then, we have

$$|E(\Gamma_{2j}|F_{2j-2})| \ll k^{-\delta} \mu(T_{2j}) \quad \text{for a certain } \delta > 0.$$

3. From the properties of $I(x, k)$ mentioned in the first paragraph, we have $|\Gamma_{2j}| \ll k^{1-L} \mu(T_{2j}) + k M_j(x, k^{n-1})$. Here M_j is the partial μ_0 measure of lemma 2, and $E_j = T_{2j}$. Thus $m = \max \mu(T_{2j}) \ll k^{-\alpha b}$, and $\max |\Gamma_{2j}|$ has L^p -norms of magnitude k^{e_1} , with $e_1 = \eta - \alpha b q$. Taking $\eta < \alpha b q$, we again find $\|\max |\Gamma_{2j}|\|_p \ll k^{-\delta}$ for a certain $\delta > 0$ and every $p = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Using lemma 1 instead of lemma 2, we obtain $\|\sum |\Gamma_{2j}|\|_p \ll k^n$; two applications of Hölder's inequality yield $\|\sum |\Gamma_{2j}|^2\|_p \ll k^{n-\delta}$, and the exponent is negative for small $\eta > 0$. In view of the bound on $E(\Gamma_{2j} | F_{2j-2})$ obtained above, the martingale square function defined by $S^2 = \sum |\Gamma_{2j} - E(\Gamma_{2j} | F_{2j-2})|^2$ has L^p -norms $\ll k^{-\delta}$ for some $\delta > 0$. By a theorem of BURKHOLDER ([1], [2], theorem 3.2), the sum has L^p -norms of comparable magnitude; but then there is a $\gamma > 0$ so that

$$P(|I(x, k) - I(x, 2k)| > k^{-\gamma}) \ll k^{-L} \text{ for every } L.$$

The integral $I(x, k) - I(x, 2k)$ depends on the parameters x and θ , but has partial derivatives with respect to these variables $\ll k^2$. From this it is easily seen that the probability estimate is valid for the supremum over $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$ and $|x| \leq k$. Choosing now $k = 2^j$, we find that $I(x, 2^j)$ converges uniformly on compact subsets of the x -axis, and even uniformly with respect to θ , with probability 1.

REFERENCES

- [1] BURKHOLDER (D. L.). — Martingale transforms, *Annals of math. Stat.*, t. 37, 1966, p. 1494-1504.
- [2] BURKHOLDER (D. L.). — Distribution function inequalities for martingales, *Annals of Prob.*, t. 1, 1973, p. 19-42.
- [3] KAHANE (J.-P.). — *Some random series of functions*. — Lexington, D. C. Heath, 1968 (*Heath mathematical Monographs*).
- [4] KAUFMAN (R.). — A metric property of some random functions, *Bull. Soc. math. France*, t. 99, 1971, p. 241-245.

(Texte reçu le 16 avril 1974,
complété le 27 septembre 1974.)

Robert KAUFMAN,
Department of Mathematics,
University of Illinois,
Urbana, Ill. 61801 (États-Unis).