# COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

## J. DE GROOT T. DEKKER Free subgroups of the orthogonal group

*Compositio Mathematica*, tome 12 (1954-1956), p. 134-136 <http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM\_1954-1956\_12\_134\_0>

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1954-1956, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (http: //http://www.compositio.nl/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

## $\mathcal{N}$ umdam

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

### Free subgroups of the orthogonal group

by

#### J. de Groot and T. Dekker

1. Let  $G^n$  be the group of all proper orthogonal transformations in Euclidean space  $E^n$  (therefore represented by real orthogonal *n*-matrices  $(a_{ik})$  with determinant + 1). We shall prove in this note — using the axiom of choice —, that for n > 2  $G^n$ contains a free (non Abelian) subgroup with just as many free generators as the potency of  $G^n$  itself (which is the potency  $\aleph$ of real numbers). The theorem is clear, if we can prove it for  $G^3$ . *Hausdorff* [1] showed how to find two rotations  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  in  $G^3$ which are independent except for the relations  $\varphi^2 = \psi^3 = 1$ . *Robinson* [2] showed that  $\varphi \psi \varphi \psi$  and  $\varphi \psi^2 \varphi \psi^2$  generate a free group of rank two. Since any free group of rank two contains a subgroup of rank  $\aleph_0$  (comp. Kurosch [3] f.i.), it is already clear that  $G^3$ contains a free subgroup  $G_0$  with an infinite, but countable number of free generators.

These results are used essentially to prove certain theorems concerning congruence relations for subsets of a sphere (comp. f.i. Hausdorff [1], Robinson [2], Dekker and de Groot [4]).

The rotationgroup  $G^2$ , being commutative, obviously does not contain a free non Abelian subgroup. Moreover the group of all congruent mappings of  $E^2$  on itself does not contain a free non Abelian subgroup. Indeed, suppose the congruent mappings  $\alpha$ and  $\beta$  generate a free subgroup. Then  $\alpha^2$  and  $\beta^2$  are rotations or translations. It follows that  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  defined by

$$egin{array}{ll} \gamma &= lpha^2eta^2lpha^{-2}eta^{-2} \ \delta &= lpha^4eta^2lpha^{-4}eta^{-2} \end{array}$$

are translations, which yields to  $\gamma \delta = \delta \gamma$ . Hence there exists a non-trivial relation between  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , q.e.d.

2. LEMMA. Let  $F = \{f_{\alpha}\}$  be a family of potency  $\overline{F} < \aleph$  of functions  $f_{\alpha}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \neq 0$  each analytic (in terms of powerseries) in its n real variables  $x_i$ . Then there are real values  $a_i$   $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$ , such that  $f_{\alpha}(a_i) \neq 0$  for any  $f_{\alpha} \in F$ .

**PROOF.** For n = 1 the lemma is trivial. Consider  $f_{\alpha}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  for a fixed  $\alpha$  and for  $0 \leq x_i \leq 1$ . There is only a finite number of values  $x_1 = b$  such that for a fixed  $b: f_{\alpha}(b, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \equiv 0$  (otherwise the analytic function of one variable  $f_{\alpha}(x_1, c_2, c_3, \ldots, c_n)$  should vanish identically for fixed but arbitrary  $x_i = c_i$   $(1 < i \leq n)$ . From this follows  $f_{\alpha}(x_i) \equiv 0$ ). For each  $\alpha$  we leave out this finite number of values  $x_1$ . Because  $\overline{F} < \aleph$  there remains a number  $x_1 = a_1$  such that for each  $\alpha: f_{\alpha}(a_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \neq 0$ .

This is for any  $\alpha$  a function of n-1 variables, satisfying the conditions of the lemma. Hence we find by induction: there are real values  $a_i(i = 2, ..., n)$  such that  $f_{\alpha}(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n) \neq 0$  for any  $f_{\alpha} \in F$  q.e.d.

3. THEOREM. The group  $G^n$  of all rotations of n-dimensional Euclidean space (n > 2) for which the origin is a fixed point contains a free (non Abelian) subgroup with  $\aleph$  free generators.

**PROOF.** We have to prove the theorem for  $G^3$ . Let  $G_0$  be defined as in 1.,  $G_0$  being a free subgroup of  $G^3$  with rank  $\aleph_0$ . We shall prove by transfinite induction the existence of a free subgroup of rank  $\aleph$ .

Suppose that for a certain limitnumber  $\alpha \leq \omega_{\aleph}$  (the initialnumber of  $\aleph$ ) the groups  $G_{\beta}$ ,  $\beta < \alpha$  are defined, where  $G_{\beta}$  is a free rotationgroup with  $\aleph_0 + \overline{\beta}$  free generators such that

 $G_0 \subset G_1 \subset \ldots \subset G_\omega \subset \ldots \subset G_\beta \subset \ldots (\beta < \alpha).$ 

Moreover we assume that for any  $\beta < \alpha$ , the  $\aleph_0 + \overline{\beta} + 1$  free generators by which  $G_{\beta+1}$  is defined consist of the  $\aleph_0 + \overline{\beta}$  free generators of  $G_{\beta}$  (by which  $G_{\beta}$  is defined) to which one new generator is added.

Now it is clear, that for a limitnumber  $\alpha$  the sum  $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} G_{\beta} = G_{\alpha}$ is a *free* group. Indeed the generators are the union of the already defined generators of  $G_{\beta}$ ,  $\beta < \alpha$ ; a relation (between a finite number of generators) in  $G_{\alpha}$  is already a relation in a certain  $G_{\beta}$  and therefore a trivial one. The theorem is therefore proved, if — given a certain  $G_{\beta}$  — we may define a rotation  $\chi$  such that the  $\aleph_0 + \overline{\beta}$  free generators of  $G_{\beta}$  together with  $\chi$  are free generators of a group  $G_{\beta+1}$ .

A non-trivial relation in  $G_{\beta+1}$  may be written (after simplifications) in the form

(1)  $g_1\chi^{j_1}g_2\chi^{j_2}\ldots g_r\chi^{j_r}=1$   $(j_l \text{ integer, } g_l \in G_\beta).$ 

We must find a rotation  $\chi$  for which no relation (1) is true.

Consider a fixed relation (1). The  $g_i$  may be represented by matrices with known elements:

$$g_l = (g_{ik}^l).$$

The unknown  $\chi$  can be expressed like any rotation under consideration as a product of three matrices:

$$(3)\chi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \xi_3 & -\sin \xi_3 \\ 0 & \sin \xi_3 & \cos \xi_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \xi_2 & 0 & -\sin \xi_2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \sin \xi_2 & 0 & \cos \xi_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \xi_1 & -\sin \xi_1 \\ 0 & \sin \xi_1 & \cos \xi_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \text{ are the so called angles of Euler})$ . Using the substitutions (2) and (3) we get relation (1) in matrixform. This leads to a finite number of equations in the real variables  $\xi_1$ ,  $\xi_2$ ,  $\xi_3$ .

We show first that at least one of these equations does not vanish identically (for all values of  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3$ ). Indeed the  $g_l$  (l =1, 2, ..., r) of (1) may be expressed uniquely in a finite number of free generators of  $G_{\beta}$ . If we substitute in (1) for  $\chi$  a free generator of  $G_{\beta}$  not occurring in one of these expressions  $g_{l}$ , the relation (1) is certainly not fulfilled (since  $G_{\beta}$  is a free group). At least one of the mentioned equations is therefore untrue for well chosen numbers  $\xi_1$ ,  $\xi_2$ ,  $\xi_3$ . We call this equation in  $\xi_1$ ,  $\xi_2$ ,  $\xi_3$  an equation connected with (1). The total number of relations (1) with variables  $g_i \in G_\beta$  and  $j_i$  has clearly a potency less than  $\aleph$ . The number of connected equations  $f_{\alpha}(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) = 0$  has therefore a cardinal less than  $\aleph$ . From (3) it follows that the  $f_{\alpha}$  are analytic in the real variables  $\xi_1$ ,  $\xi_2$ ,  $\xi_3$ . Therefore we can apply the preceding lemma. This gives real values  $a_1, a_2, a_3$  with  $f_{\alpha}(a_1, a_2, a_3) \neq 0$  for any  $\alpha$ . The corresponding  $\chi$  (substituting  $a_i = \xi_i$  in (3)) therefore does not satisfy any relation of the form (1), which we had to prove.

#### REFERENCES

HAUSDORFF, F.

Grundzüge der Mengenlehre (1914), p. 469-472.
ROBINSON, R. M.
Fund. Math. 34 (1947), p. 246-260.
KUROSCH, A. G.
Gruppentheorie, Berlin (1954), p. 274.
DEKKER, T. and DE GROOT, J.
Proc. Int. Congr. Math. (1954), II, p. 209.
Institute of Mathematics
University of Amsterdam.

(Oblatum 18-9-54)