# COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

# WALTER PHILIPP An *n*-dimensional analogue of a theorem of H. Weyl

Compositio Mathematica, tome 16 (1964), p. 161-163

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM\_1964\_\_16\_\_161\_0>

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1964, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (http: //http://www.compositio.nl/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

## $\mathcal N$ umdam

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

## An *n*-dimensional analogue of a theorem of H. Weyl \*<sup>1</sup>

by

### Walter Philipp

It is wellknown that for any fixed basis a > 1 almost all real numbers x are normal with respect to a. An equivalent statement is the following: For any fixed integer a > 1 the sequence  $\{a^n x\}$ is uniformly distributed mod 1 for almost all x. This is a consequence of a theorem due to H. Weyl [4]: If  $\{l_n\}$  is an increasing sequence of real numbers which does not increase too slowly in a sense to be determined later then  $\{l_n x\}$  is uniformly distributed mod 1 for almost all x.

In another lecture contained in this volume *Cigler* (see also [1]) states the following

THEOREM 1: Let A be a nonsingular  $m \times m$ -matrix with integral entries such that no eigenvalue of A is a root of unity then the sequence of m-dimensional vectors  $\{A^n z\}$  is uniformly distributed mod 1 for almost all vectors  $z \in R^m$ .

This is a consequence of a result of *Rochlin* [3] who proved that the transformation  $A\mathfrak{x}-[A\mathfrak{x}]$  is ergodic and measure preserving with respect to Lebesgue measure if A is a matrix with the above properties. But theorem 1 also follows from the following theorem which can be deduced from Weyl's criterion.

**THEOREM 2:** Let  $\{A_n\}$  be a sequence of nonsingular  $m \times m$ matrices with integral entries and for fixed n and k = 1, ..., nlet  $h_k^{(n)}$  be the number of integers  $j \ (1 \le j \le n)$  such that det  $(A_j - A_k) = 0$ . If there are two positive constants  $\varepsilon$  and csuch that

$$\max h_k^{(n)} = h^{(n)} \leq \frac{c \cdot n}{(\log n)^{1+\varepsilon}}$$

then  $\{A_n \mathfrak{x}\}$  is uniformly distributed mod 1 for almost all  $\mathfrak{x}$ .

Taking  $A_n = A^n$  Theorem 1 follows immediately.

<sup>\*</sup> Nijenrode lecture.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The results of this lecture are published in [2].

Walter Philipp

Replacing  $\mathfrak{x}$  by  $1/N\mathfrak{x}$  where N is a positive integer we see that the conclusion of the theorem holds also if  $A_n = N^{-1}B_n$  with an arbitrary integral  $B_n$  with the above properties. We now can prove the following:

THEOREM 3: Let A be a real symmetric matrix with m rows whose eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$   $(1 \leq i \leq m)$  are all > 1 and let further  $\{l_n\}$ be a sequence of real numbers increasing not too slowly, more precisely: Let there be two positive constants  $\varepsilon$  and c with the property that l - considered as a function of the index - increases at least by c as the index increases from n to  $n+(n/(\log n)^{1+\varepsilon})$ ; under these conditions the sequence  $\{A^{l_n} \mathbf{z}\}$  is uniformly distributed mod 1 for almost all  $\mathbf{z}$ . Moreover if A is an arbitrary real squarematrix whose eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  satisfy  $|\lambda_i| > 1$  the same conclusion is true if one supposes that the  $l_n$  all are integral.

The example  $A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$  shows that the assumption  $|\lambda_i| > 1$  cannot be replaced by a weaker one.

For the proof of the theorem we write  $A = U^{-1}\Delta U$  where  $\det(U) = \pm 1$  and  $\Delta = [I_{\rho_1}(\lambda_1), \ldots, I_{\rho_r}(\lambda_r)]$  is a Jordan quasidiagonal matrix (in the case where A is symmetric we have even a diagonal matrix). Thus in each case  $A^{l_n}$  is defined in an obvious way.

If  $X = (x_{ij})$  is a square-matrix with *m* rows we write  $||X|| = m \cdot \max|x_{ij}|$ . For two matrices *X* and *Y* we have  $||X+Y|| \leq ||X|| + ||Y||$  and  $||XY|| \leq ||X|| ||Y||$  and for any vector  $\mathfrak{x}$  we have  $|X\mathfrak{x}| \leq m^{\frac{1}{2}}||X|| |\mathfrak{x}|$  with  $|\mathfrak{x}| = (\sum x_i^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

Now let N be a positive integer. We take now matrices  $A_n$  whose elements are rational numbers, all with the same denominator N such that

$$||A_n - A^{l_n}|| \leq \frac{m}{2N} \quad \text{or} \quad ||A_n - U^{-1} \Delta^{l_n} U|| \leq \frac{m}{2N}.$$

For  $l_k \neq l_j (1 \leq j, k \leq m)$  and for fixed n we now put

$$\Omega_{kj} = (\Delta^{l_j} - \Delta^{l_k})^{-1} (UA_j U^{-1} - UA_k U^{-1}).$$

If  $l_j - l_k \geq c$  we have  $||\Delta^{l_j} - \Delta^{l_k}|| = 0(1)$ .

So we have  $||\Omega_{kj} - E|| = 0(N^{-1}) (E \dots \text{unit matrix})$ . Therefore det  $(\Omega_{kj}) = 1 + 0(N^{-1})$ . We have  $|\det (\Delta^{l_j} - \Delta^{l_k}) - \det (A_j - A_k)| = |\det(\Delta^{l_j} - \Delta^{l_k})||\det(\Omega_{kj}) - 1|$ . So we can choose N large enough to yield  $|\det(A_j - A_k)| \ge \frac{1}{2} |\det(\Delta^{l_j} - \Delta^{l_k})| \ne 0$  for those values j which satisfy  $l_j - l_k \ge c$ . But there are at most

$$rac{2k}{(\log k)^{1+arepsilon}} \leq rac{2n}{(\log n)^{1+arepsilon}}$$

such numbers j such that  $l_j - l_k < c$ . Therefore

$$h_k^{(n)} \leq rac{2n}{(\log n)^{1+arepsilon}} \qquad \qquad k=1,\ldots,n.$$

Because one can show in the same manner that det  $(A_n) \neq 0$  for all *n* Theorem 2 applies. So we have

(1) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n e(\mathfrak{f}^*A_k\mathfrak{x}) = 0$$

for almost all  $\mathfrak{x}$  where  $e(x) = e^{2\pi i x}$  and  $\mathfrak{f}^*$  is the transposed vector of an arbitrary integral vector  $\mathfrak{f} \neq 0$ . For real  $r_j$ ,  $s_j$ ,  $x_j$   $(1 \leq j \leq m)$ the following inequality holds

$$|e(\sum r_j x_j) - e(\sum s_j x_j)| \leq 2\pi \sum |r_j - s_j| |x_j|.$$

From this it follows easily that

(2) 
$$\frac{1}{n}\sum e(\mathfrak{f}^*A_k\mathfrak{x}) - \frac{1}{n}\sum e(\mathfrak{f}^*A^{l_k}\mathfrak{x}) = 0(N^{-1}).$$

We now denote by  $\mathfrak{A}_N$  the set of those  $\mathfrak{x}$  for which (1) does not hold. The measure  $m(\mathfrak{A}_N) = 0$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A} = \bigcup_N \mathfrak{A}_N \Rightarrow m(\mathfrak{A}) = 0$ . From (2) we conclude that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n e(\mathfrak{f}^*A^{l_k}\mathfrak{x})=0$$

for at least all  $x \notin \mathfrak{A}$ . This proves the theorem.

#### LITERATURE

- J. CIGLER
- Der individuelle Ergodensatz in der Theorie der Gleichverteilung mod 1. J. reine angew. Math. 205, 91-100 (1960).
- W. PHILIPP
- [2] Ein metrischer Satz über die Gleichverteilung mod 1. Archiv Math. 12, 429-433 (1961).
- W. A. ROCHLIN
- [3] Über Endomorphismen von kompakten abelschen Gruppen. Izwestija Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. mat 13, 329-340 (1949) (russ.).
- H. WEYL
- [4] Über die Gleichverteilung von Zahlen mod 1. Math. Ann. 77, 313-352 (1916).

(Oblatum 29-5-63).