

# COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

R. S. L. SRIVASTAVA

O. P. JUNEJA

## **On proximate type of entire functions**

*Compositio Mathematica*, tome 18, n° 1-2 (1967), p. 7-12

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM\\_1967\\_\\_18\\_1-2\\_7\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1967__18_1-2_7_0)

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1967, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/legal.php>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

# On proximate type of entire functions

by

R. S. L. Srivastava and O. P. Juneja

## 1

In studying the growth of an entire function  $f(z)$  of finite order  $\rho$ , use is made of a comparison function  $\rho(r)$  called the proximate order [1, p. 54] of  $f(z)$ , which possesses the following properties:

i)  $\rho(r)$  is real, continuous and piecewise differentiable for  $r > l$ ,

ii)  $\rho(r) \rightarrow \rho$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ ,

iii)  $\rho'(r)r \log r \rightarrow 0$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $\rho'(r)$  is either the right or left hand derivative at points where they are different,

$$(iv) \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M(r)}{r^{\rho(r)}} = 1$$

where

$$M(r) = \max_{|z|=r} |f(z)|.$$

It is evident that  $\rho(r)$  has been linked with the order  $\rho$  and  $\log M(r)$  to give information about the growth of  $f(z)$ . Besides the order and the lower order there are two other constants, viz., the type  $T$  and the lower type  $t$  of  $f(z)$  which give a more precise information about the growth than given by the order and lower order. These are determined as

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sup \log M(r)}{\inf r^{\rho}} = \frac{T}{t}, \quad (0 < \rho < \infty).$$

Since the proximate order  $\rho(r)$  is not linked with the type of  $f(z)$  it becomes natural to search for another comparison function,  $T(r)$ , say, which should take into account the type of the function and be closely linked with its maximum modulus  $M(r)$ . In analogy with the proximate order we call this function  $T(r)$  as a proximate type of the entire function  $f(z)$ .

In this paper we first define proximate type of an entire function

and then prove its existence on lines similar to those of Shah [2] for the case of proximate order. The idea is further extended by defining a lower proximate type. Finally, we demonstrate that  $r^{-\rho} \log M(r)$  is a proximate type for a certain class of entire functions.

## 2

**DEFINITION.** A function  $T(r)$  is said to be a proximate type of an entire function  $f(z)$  of order  $\rho$  ( $0 < \rho < \infty$ ) and finite type  $T$  if it has the following properties:

(2.1)  $T(r)$  is real, continuous and piecewise differentiable for  $r > l$ ,

(2.2)  $T(r) \rightarrow T$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ ,

(2.3)  $rT'(r) \rightarrow 0$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $T'(r)$  is either the right or the left hand derivative at points where they are different,

(2.4)  $\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M(r)}{\exp \{r^\rho T(r)\}} = 1$ , where  $M(r) = \max_{|z|=r} |f(z)|$ .

**LEMMA.**  $\exp \{r^\rho T(r)\}$  is an increasing function of  $r$  for  $r > r_0$ .  
By (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) we have

$$\frac{d}{dr} \exp \{r^\rho T(r)\} = \{rT'(r) + \rho T(r)\} r^{\rho-1} \exp \{r^\rho T(r)\} > 0$$

for  $r > r_0$ , so the result follows.

**THEOREM 1.** For every entire function  $f(z)$  of order  $\rho$  ( $0 < \rho < \infty$ ) and finite type  $T$  there exists a proximate type  $T(r)$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $S(r) = r^{-\rho} \log M(r)$ . Then two cases arise. Either (A)  $S(r) > T$  for a sequence of values of  $r$  tending to infinity, or (B)  $S(r) \leq T$  for all large  $r$ . In case (A), we define  $Q(r) = \max_{x \geq r} \{S(x)\}$ . Since  $S(x)$  is continuous,  $\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} S(x) = T$  and  $S(x) > T$  for a sequence of values of  $x$  tending to infinity,  $Q(r)$  exists and is a non-increasing function of  $r$ .

Let  $r_1$  be a number such that  $r_1 > e^e$  and  $Q(r_1) = S(r_1)$ . Such values will exist for a sequence of values of  $r$  tending to infinity. Next, suppose  $T(r_1) = Q(r_1)$  and let  $t_1$  be the smallest integer not less than  $1+r_1$  such that  $Q(r_1) > Q(t_1)$  and set  $T(r) = T(r_1) = Q(r_1)$  for  $r_1 < r \leq t_1$ . Define  $u_1$ , as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_1 &> t_1 \\
 T(r) &= T(r_1) - \log \log r + \log \log t_1 \quad \text{for } t_1 \leq r \leq u_1, \\
 T(r) &= Q(r) \quad \text{for } r = u_1,
 \end{aligned}$$

but

$$T(r) > Q(r) \quad \text{for } t_1 \leq r < u_1.$$

Let  $r_2$  be the smallest value of  $r$  for which  $r_2 \geq u_1$  and  $Q(r_2) = S(r_2)$ . If  $r_2 > u_1$  then let  $T(r) = Q(r)$  for  $u_1 \leq r \leq r_2$ . Since  $Q(r)$  is constant for  $u_1 \leq r \leq r_2$ , therefore  $T(r)$  is constant for  $u_1 \leq r \leq r_2$ . We repeat the argument and obtain that  $T(r)$  is differentiable in adjacent intervals. Further,  $T'(r) = 0$ , or  $(-1/r \log r)$  and  $T(r) \geq Q(r) \geq S(r)$  for all  $r \geq r_1$ . Further,  $T(r) = S(r)$  for an infinity of values of  $r = r_1, r_2, \dots$ ;  $T(r)$  is non-increasing and  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} Q(r) = T$ . Hence,

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} T(r) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} T(r) = T,$$

and since  $M(r) = \exp \{r^\rho S(r)\} = \exp \{r^\rho T(r)\}$  for an infinity of  $r$ ,  $M(r) < \exp \{r^\rho T(r)\}$  for the remaining  $r$ , therefore

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M(r)}{\exp \{r^\rho T(r)\}} = 1.$$

Case (B). Let  $S(r) \leq T$  for all large  $r$ . Here there are two possibilities

$$(B.1) \quad S(r) = T$$

for at least a sequence of values of  $r$  tending to infinity;

$$(B.2) \quad S(r) < T$$

for all large values of  $r$ .

In case (B.1) we take  $T(r) = T$  for all values of  $r$ .

In case (B.2) let  $P(r) = \max_{X \leq x \leq r} \{S(x)\}$  where  $X > e^e$  is such that  $S(x) < T$  for  $x \geq X$ .  $P(r)$  is non-decreasing. Take a suitably large value of  $r_1 > X$  and let

$$T(r_1) = T, \quad T(r) = T + \log \log r - \log \log r_1, \quad \text{for } s_1 \leq r \leq r_1,$$

where  $s_1 < r_1$  is such that  $P(s_1) = T(s_1)$ . If  $P(s_1) \neq S(s_1)$ , then we take  $T(r) = P(r)$  upto the nearest point  $t_1 < s_1$ , at which  $P(t_1) = S(t_1)$ .  $T(r)$  is then constant for  $t_1 \leq r \leq s_1$ . If  $P(s_1) = S(s_1)$ , then let  $t_1 = s_1$ .

Choose  $r_2 > r_1$  suitably large and let  $T(r_2) = T$ ,

$$T(r) = T + \log \log r - \log \log r_2 \quad \text{for } s_2 \leq r \leq r_2$$

where  $s_2 (< r_2)$  is such that  $P(s_2) = T(s_2)$ . If  $P(s_2) \neq S(s_2)$  then let  $T(r) = P(r)$  for  $t_2 \leq r \leq s_2$  where  $t_2 (< s_2)$  is the point nearest to  $s_2$  at which  $P(t_2) = S(t_2)$ .

If  $P(s_2) = S(s_2)$ , then let  $t_2 = s_2$ . For  $r < t_2$  let

$$T(r) = T(t_2) + \log \log t_2 - \log \log r \quad \text{for } u_1 \leq r \leq t_2$$

where  $u_1 (< t_2)$  is the point of intersection of  $y = T$  with

$$y = T(t_2) + \log \log t_2 - \log \log r.$$

Let  $T(r) = T$  for  $r_1 \leq r \leq u_1$ . It is always possible to choose  $r_2$  so large that  $r_1 < u_1$ . We repeat the procedure and note that

$$T(r) \geq P(r) \geq S(r)$$

and  $T(r) = S(r)$  for  $r = t_1, t_2, t_3, \dots$ . Hence

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} T(r) = T,$$

and

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M(r)}{\exp \{r^\rho T(r)\}} = 1.$$

**REMARK:** It is possible to have a (smaller) class of functions  $T(r)$  satisfying the conditions (2.1) to (2.4) and the relation

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} rT'(r)l_1 r l_2 r \dots l_k r = 0.$$

The only change required in proving the existence of such functions is to take curves of the form

$$y = A \pm l_{k+2} r \quad (A \text{ is a constant, } l_1 r = \log r, \text{ etc.})$$

instead of  $y = A \pm l_2 r$  in our construction for  $T(r)$ .

### 3

Let  $f(z)$  be an integral function of order  $\rho (0 < \rho < \infty)$ , finite type  $T$  and lower type  $t$ . We consider the class of functions  $t(r)$  satisfying the following conditions:

(3.1)  $t(r)$  is a non-negative continuous function of  $r$  for  $r > r_0$ ,

(3.2)  $t(r)$  is differentiable for  $r > r_0$  except at isolated points at which  $t'(r-0)$  and  $t'(r+0)$  exist,

$$(3.3) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r t'(r) = 0,$$

$$(3.4) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} t(r) = t,$$

$$(3.5) \quad \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M(r)}{\exp \{(r^\rho M(r))\}} = 1.$$

These functions are defined in the same way, except for (3.4) and (3.5), as the proximate type defined in § 2. We call  $t(r)$  a *lower proximate type* for the function  $f(z)$ . The existence of such functions can be proved in the same way as proved for  $T(r)$  and so we omit the proof.

## 4

In this section we construct a proximate type for a class of entire functions. It is known [3, p. 27] that

$$(4.1) \quad \log M(r) = \log M(r_0) + \int_{r_0}^r x^{-1} W(x) dx$$

where  $W(r)$  is a positive, indefinitely increasing function. Hence differentiating we get  $M'(r)/M(r) = W(r)/r$  where  $M'(r)$  is the derivative of  $M(r)$  which exists for almost all values of  $r$ .

LEMMA. *If*

$$(4.2) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{W(r)}{r^\rho} = \alpha \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \inf \frac{W(r)}{r^\rho} = \beta \quad (0 < \rho < \infty)$$

then

$$(4.3) \quad \beta \leq \rho \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M(r)}{r^\rho} \leq \rho \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M(r)}{r^\rho} \leq \alpha.$$

PROOF. For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $r > r'_0 = r'_0(\varepsilon)$ , we have from (4.2)

$$\beta - \varepsilon < \frac{W(r)}{r^\rho} < \alpha + \varepsilon.$$

So, for  $r > \max(r_0, r'_0)$ , we have

$$(\beta - \varepsilon)r^{\rho-1} < \frac{M'(r)}{M(r)} < (\alpha + \varepsilon)r^{\rho-1}.$$

Integrating the above inequalities between suitable limits and then dividing by  $r^\rho$  and proceeding to limits we get the result in (4.3).

We are now in a position to prove the following:

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $f(z)$  be an integral function of order  $\rho$  ( $0 < \rho < \infty$ ) and type  $T$  ( $0 < T < \infty$ ) and let  $M(r) = \max_{|z|=r} |f(z)|$  and  $W(r)$  be given by (4.1). If  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} (W(r))/r^\rho$  exists then  $(\log M(r))/r^\rho$  is a proximate type of  $f(z)$ .*

PROOF. Let

$$(4.4) \quad T(r) = \frac{\log M(r)}{r^\rho}.$$

Since  $\log M(r)$  is a real, continuous, increasing function of  $r$ , which is differentiable in adjacent intervals, it follows that  $T(r)$  satisfies (2.1). Since  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{-\rho} W(r)$  exists, (4.3) shows that  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{-\rho} \log M(r)$  also exists and so  $T(r) \rightarrow T$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . Further  $T(r)$  is piecewise differentiable and it has right and left hand derivatives where they are different, so

$$T'(r)r^\rho + \rho r^{\rho-1}T(r) = \frac{M'(r)}{M(r)}$$

or,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} rT'(r) &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \frac{M'(r)}{M(r)r^{\rho-1}} - \rho T(r) \right] \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \frac{W(r)}{r^\rho} - \rho T(r) \right] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $T(r)$  satisfies the condition (2.3) also. Finally

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M(r)}{\exp [r^\rho T(r)]} = 1$$

follows from (4.4). Hence the theorem is established.

#### REFERENCES

CARTWRIGHT, M. L.

[1] *Integral Functions*, Cambridge Tract, C.U.P., 1956.

SHAH, S. M.

[2] On proximate orders of integral functions. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **52**, (1946), 326—328.

VALIRON, G.

[3] *Lectures on the general theory of integral functions*. Chel. Publ. Co. New York, (1949).

(Oblatum 24-10-65)