

# COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

S. SANKARAN

## **Representations of semi direct products of groups**

*Compositio Mathematica*, tome 22, n° 2 (1970), p. 215-225

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM\\_1970\\_\\_22\\_2\\_215\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1970__22_2_215_0)

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1970, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/legal.php>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

## REPRESENTATIONS OF SEMI DIRECT PRODUCTS OF GROUPS

by

S. Sankaran

### Introduction

Let  $G_1$  be a locally compact Abelian group,  $G_2$  a locally compact group of continuous automorphisms of  $G_1$ . In this paper we characterise all pairs of unitary representations  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  respectively in a Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$ , where  $\rho$  is cyclic and

$$\sigma(\alpha)\rho(x)\sigma(\alpha^{-1}) = \rho(\alpha[x]), \quad \alpha \in G_2, x \in G_1. \quad (*)$$

A set of necessary and sufficient conditions for a pair  $(\rho_1, \sigma_1)$  to be unitarily equivalent to a pair  $(\rho_2, \sigma_2)$  is given.

It can be shown that the commutation relations  $(*)$  define a system of imprimitivity for the representation  $\sigma$ . In [[3] § 14.] Mackey investigates these representations, from a different point of view from ours, primarily as an application of his theory of induced representations.

I would like to thank the referee for his helpful comments.

### 1. Preliminaries

DEFINITION 1.1. Let  $G$  be a locally compact group. A unitary representation of  $G$  is a homomorphism  $\Pi : g \rightarrow \Pi(g)$  of  $G$  into the group of unitary transformations of a Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}(\Pi)$ , such that  $\Pi$  is continuous in the weak topology for operators. A closed linear manifold  $\mathfrak{M}$  is called an invariant subspace for  $\Pi$  if  $\Pi(g)\xi \in \mathfrak{M}$  for all  $g \in G$  and all  $\xi \in \mathfrak{M}$ . An invariant subspace  $\mathfrak{M}$  is said to be a cyclic subspace for  $\Pi$  if there is an element  $\xi_0$  in  $\mathfrak{H}(\Pi)$ , such that the smallest invariant subspace for  $\Pi$  containing  $\xi_0$  is  $\mathfrak{M}$ .  $\xi_0$  is called a relative cyclic vector for  $\Pi$ . If  $\mathfrak{H}(\Pi)$  is a cyclic subspace, then  $\Pi$  is said to be cyclic. The intertwining algebra of a representation  $\Pi$  is the set

$$R(\Pi, \Pi) = \{T : T\Pi(g) = \Pi(g)T, g \in G\},$$

$T$  being bounded, everywhere defined, linear transformations on  $\mathfrak{H}(\Pi)$ .

DEFINITION 1.2. Let  $\mathfrak{H}$  be a Hilbert space.  $A^{-*}$  algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  of (bounded,

everywhere defined, linear) transformations of  $\mathfrak{H}$  is called a von Neumann algebra, if  $\mathfrak{A}$  is closed in the weak topology for operators. A closed linear manifold  $\mathfrak{M}$  is called an invariant subspace for  $\mathfrak{A}$ , if  $A\xi \in \mathfrak{M}$  for all  $A \in \mathfrak{A}$  and all  $\xi \in \mathfrak{M}$ . An invariant subspace  $\mathfrak{M}$  is said to be a cyclic subspace for  $\mathfrak{A}$  if there is an element  $\xi_0$  in  $\mathfrak{H}$ , such that the smallest invariant subspace for  $\mathfrak{A}$  containing  $\xi_0$  is  $\mathfrak{M}$ . If  $\mathfrak{H}$  is an invariant subspace for  $\mathfrak{A}$ , then  $\mathfrak{A}$  is said to be cyclic. The commutant of  $\mathfrak{A}$  is the set

$$\mathfrak{A}' = \{T : TA = AT, A \in \mathfrak{A}\},$$

$T$  being bounded, everywhere defined, linear transformations on  $\mathfrak{H}$ .

It is easy to prove that a closed linear manifold  $\mathfrak{M} \subseteq \mathfrak{H}(\Pi)$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{M} \subseteq \mathfrak{H}$ ) is a cyclic subspace for  $\Pi$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{A}$ ) if and only if there is an element  $\xi_0 \in \mathfrak{H}(\Pi)$  (resp.  $\xi_0 \in \mathfrak{H}$ ) such that the closed linear manifold generated by  $(\Pi(g)\xi_0 : g \in G)$  (resp.  $(A\xi_0 : A \in \mathfrak{A})$ ) is  $\mathfrak{M}$ .

If  $S$  is a set of elements in a Hilbert space the closed linear manifold generated by  $S$  is denoted by  $[s : s \in S]$ .

Let  $\Pi : g \rightarrow \Pi(g)$  be a representation of a locally compact group  $G$ . We shall often use the following well-known results

LEMMA 1.1.

- (i)  $R(\Pi, \Pi)$  is a von Neumann algebra;
- (ii)  $R(\Pi, \Pi)'$  is the smallest von Neumann algebra containing the operators  $(\Pi(g) : g \in G)$ ;
- (iii)  $\mathfrak{M}$  is an invariant subspace for  $\Pi$  (resp.  $R(\Pi, \Pi)'$ ) if and only if  $P$ , the projection whose range is  $\mathfrak{M}$ , belongs to  $R(\Pi, \Pi)$ .
- (iv) A closed linear manifold  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a cyclic subspace for  $\Pi$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a cyclic subspace for  $R(\Pi, \Pi)'$ .

DEFINITION 1.3. Let  $X$  be a locally compact space,  $\mu$  a finite regular measure defined on the  $\sigma$ -ring of Borel subsets of  $X$ . We denote by  $L(X)$  the set of all continuous functions with compact support;  $C(X)$  the set of all continuous functions on  $X$ . If  $f \in L(X)$  we denote by  $M_f$  the operator on  $L^2(X, \mu)$  defined by  $(M_f h)(x) = f(x)h(x)$ , where  $h \in L^2(x, \mu)$ .

LEMMA 1.2. Let  $G_1$  be a locally compact Abelian group,  $\hat{G}_1$  the character group of  $G_1$  and  $\mu$  a finite regular measure defined on the  $\sigma$ -ring of Borel subsets of  $\hat{G}_1$ . The mapping  $M : x \rightarrow M_x$ , where  $(M_x f)(\tau) = x(\tau)f(\tau)$ ,  $f \in L^2(\hat{G}_1, \mu)$ ,  $x \in G_1$  is a cyclic representation of  $G_1$ .

PROOF. It is easy to verify that  $M : x \rightarrow M_x$  is a weakly continuous unitary representation of  $G_1$ . We shall show that  $M$  is cyclic.

Let  $e$  be the function on  $\hat{G}_1$ ,  $e(\tau) = 1$ . Since  $\mu$  is a finite measure on  $\hat{G}_1$ ,  $e$  belongs to  $L^2(\hat{G}_1, \mu)$  and therefore  $x = M_x e \in L^2(\hat{G}_1, \mu)$  for all  $x \in G_1$ . Denote by  $F$  the set of all finite linear combination of elements

of  $G_1$ . We recall [[4] § 31, cor. 4] that every continuous function on  $\hat{G}_1$  can be approximated uniformly on compact sets by members of  $F$ . If  $f, h_1, h_2$  are continuous functions with compact supports and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we can find  $s \in F$  such that

$$|f(\tau) - s(\tau)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{\|h_1\| \|h_2\|} \text{ for all } \tau \in k_1 \cap k_2$$

where  $K_i$  is the support of  $h_i$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |((M_f - M_s)h_1, h_2)| &= \left| \int_{\hat{G}_1} (f(\tau) - s(\tau)) h_1(\tau) \overline{h_2(\tau)} d\mu(\tau) \right| \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{\|h_1\| \|h_2\|} \|h_1\| \|h_2\| = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This is true for all  $h_1 \in L(\hat{G}_1)$  and  $h_2 \in L(\hat{G}_1)$ . Since  $L(\hat{G}_1)$  is dense in  $L^2(\hat{G}_1, \mu)$ , we have proved that  $(M_f : f \in L(\hat{G}_1))$  belongs to the weakly closed algebra generated by  $(M_x : x \in G_1)$ . From Lemma 1.1 (ii) we deduce that  $(M_f : f \in L(\hat{G}_1)) \subseteq R(\Pi, \Pi)'$  and therefore from the (iv) of Lemma 1.1. we deduce that  $f = M_f e \in [M_x e : x \in G_1]$ . That is  $L(\hat{G}_1) \subseteq [M_x e : x \in G_1]$ . We complete the proof by observing that  $L(\hat{G}_1)$  is dense in  $L^2(G_1, \mu)$ .

**LEMMA 1.3.** *Let  $\Pi : x \rightarrow \Pi(x)$  be a cyclic representation of a locally compact Abelian group  $G_1$ . There is a regular finite measure  $\mu$  on  $\hat{G}_1$ , and a linear isometry  $S : \mathfrak{S}(\Pi) \rightarrow L^2(\hat{G}_1, \mu)$  such that  $S\Pi(x)S^{-1} = M_x$ , where  $M : x \rightarrow M_x$  is the representation of  $G_1$  defined in Lemma 1.2.*

**PROOF.** Let  $\xi_0$  be a cyclic element for the cyclic representation  $\Pi$ , and let  $\Phi(x) = (\Pi(x)\xi_0, \xi_0)$ . There is a positive functional  $P$  on  $R(G_1)$ , the group algebra of  $G_1$ , which corresponds to the continuous positive definite function  $\Phi$ . Since  $R(G_1)$  is a commutative Banach algebra, the positive functional  $P$  can be represented in the form

$$P(f) = \int_{\Delta} f(\tau) d\mu(\tau).$$

The spectrum  $\Delta$  of  $R(G_1)$  is homeomorphic to  $\hat{G}_1 \cup \{L^1(G_1)\}$  and  $\mu(\{L^1(G_1)\}) = 0$ . Therefore, the measure  $\mu$  may be considered as a measure defined on  $\hat{G}_1$  [[4] § 31, sec. 3].

The Gelfand isomorphism theorem allows us to regard  $P$  as a positive functional on  $C(\Delta)$ , where  $C(\Delta)$  is the set of all continuous functions on  $\Delta$ . The positive functional  $P$  defines a representation of  $C(\Delta)$  which is equivalent to the representation  $M : f \rightarrow M_f$  on  $L^2(\Delta, \mu)$ , where

$$(M_f g)(\delta) = f(\delta)g(\delta), \quad g \in L^2(\Delta, \mu).$$

[[4]. ch. 4. § 17]. Since  $G_1 \subseteq C(\Delta)$ , we obtain a representation  $M : x \rightarrow M_x$  of  $G_1$  in

$$L^2(\Delta, \mu) = L^2(\hat{G}_1, \mu),$$

where

$$(M_x g)(\tau) = x(\tau)g(\tau).$$

Since the representations  $M$  and  $\Pi$  of  $G_1$  define the same representation of  $R(G_1)$ , namely the representation defined by the positive functional  $P$ , the representations  $M$  and  $\Pi$  are equivalent. [[4] § 29, sec. 3].

## 2. Semi-direct products

Let  $G$  be a locally compact group,  $G_2$  a locally compact group of automorphisms of  $G$  such that the mapping  $(g, \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha[g]$  of  $G \times G_2$  into  $G$  is continuous in both variables. The semi-direct product  $G \circledast G_2$  is the set of all pairs  $(g, \alpha)$ ,  $g \in G$ ,  $\alpha \in G_2$ , whose group operation is defined by

$$(g, \alpha)(h, \beta) = (g\alpha[h], \alpha\beta).$$

$G \circledast G_2$  is a locally compact group in the product topology. The mapping  $g \rightarrow (g, \varepsilon)$  where  $\varepsilon$  is the identity of  $G_2$  is an isomorphism between  $G$  and a closed normal subgroup of  $G \circledast G_2$ . The mapping  $\alpha \rightarrow (e, \alpha)$  where  $e$  is the identity element of  $G$  is an isomorphism between  $G_2$  and a closed subgroup of  $G \circledast G_2$ . Finally,  $(g, \alpha) = (g, \varepsilon)(e, \alpha)$ . [[2] pp. 6–7, 58–59, [3] § 14]. The proof of the following lemma is routine.

**LEMMA 2.1.** *Let  $\rho : g \rightarrow \rho(g)$  and  $\sigma : \alpha \rightarrow \sigma(\alpha)$  be representations of  $G$  and  $G_2$  respectively in a Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$ . The mapping  $\Pi : (g, \alpha) \rightarrow \Pi(g, \alpha)$ , where  $\Pi(g, \alpha) = \rho(g)\sigma(\alpha)$  is a representation of  $G \circledast G_2$  if and only if*

$$\sigma(\alpha)\rho(g)\sigma(\alpha^{-1}) = \rho(\alpha[g]).$$

In the following pages let  $G_1$  be a locally compact Abelian group,  $\hat{G}_1$  the character group of  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  a locally compact group of continuous automorphisms of  $G_1$  such that the mapping  $(x, \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha[x]$  of  $G_1 \times G_2$  to  $G_1$  is continuous in both variables. The group  $G_2$  acts as a group of automorphisms of  $\hat{G}_1$ , if we define  $[\tau]\alpha$  by the equation  $([\tau]\alpha)(x) = \tau(\alpha[x])$ ,  $x \in G_1$ . [[2] 26.9].

**DEFINITION 2.1.** Let  $\mu$  be a finite Borel measure defined on  $\hat{G}_1$ , and for each  $\alpha \in G_2$  let  $\mu_\alpha$  be the measure on  $\hat{G}_1$  defined by  $\mu_\alpha(B) = \mu([B]\alpha)$ . The measure  $\mu$  is said to be  $G_2$ -quasi invariant if  $\mu_\alpha$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$  for all  $\alpha \in G_2$ .

**LEMMA 2.2.** *Let  $\mu$  be a  $G_2$ -quasi invariant measure on  $\hat{G}_1$ . The mapping*

$$\Pi : (x, \alpha) \rightarrow \Pi(x, \alpha) = \Pi(x, \varepsilon)\Pi(e, \alpha),$$

where

$$(\Pi(x, \varepsilon)f)(\tau) = x(\tau)f(\tau)$$

and

$$(\Pi(e, \alpha)f)(\tau) = \sqrt{\frac{d\mu_\alpha}{d\mu}}(\tau)f([\tau]\alpha), f \in L^2(G_1, \mu)$$

is a representation of  $G_1 \otimes G_2$  in  $L^2(\hat{G}_1, \mu)$ .

As the proof consists of a routine verification of the condition given in lemma 2.1, we omit the proof.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $\Pi : (x, \alpha) \rightarrow \Pi(x, \alpha)$  be a representation of  $G_1 \otimes G_2$  in a Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}(\Pi)$  such that the representation  $\Pi(x, \varepsilon)$  of  $G_1$  in  $\mathfrak{H}(\Pi)$  is cyclic. There is a  $G_2$ -quasi invariant measure  $\mu$  on  $\hat{G}_1$  and a linear isometry  $S$  from  $\mathfrak{H}(\Pi)$  on to  $L^2(\hat{G}_1, \mu)$  such that*

$$S\Pi(x, \varepsilon)S^{-1}f(\tau) = x(\tau)f(\tau)$$

and

$$S\Pi(e, \alpha)S^{-1}f(\tau) = a(\tau, \alpha) \sqrt{\frac{d\mu_\alpha}{d\mu}}(\tau)f([\tau]\alpha)$$

where  $a(\tau, \alpha)$  is a Borel function on  $\hat{G}_1 \times G_2$  with the following properties:

- i  $|a(\tau, \alpha)| = 1$  almost everywhere, and
- ii  $a(\tau, \alpha_1 \alpha_2) = a(\tau, \alpha_1) a([\tau]\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ , a.e.

**PROOF.** Let  $\rho(x) = \Pi(x, \varepsilon)$  and  $\sigma(\alpha) = \Pi(e, \alpha)$ . Since  $\rho$  is a cyclic representation of  $G_1$  in  $\mathfrak{H}(\Pi)$ , it follows from Lemma 1.2 that there is a finite Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $\hat{G}_1$  and a linear isometry  $S$  from  $\mathfrak{H}(\Pi)$  onto  $L^2(\hat{G}_1, \mu)$  such that  $S\rho(x)S^{-1}f(\tau) = x(\tau)f(\tau)$ . The well-known Stone-Naimark-Ambrose-Godement theorem asserts that there is a projection valued measure  $P : B \rightarrow P_B$  on the Borel subsets of  $\hat{G}_1$  to the projections in the intertwining algebra  $R(\rho, \rho)'$  such that [[4] § 31. Th. 6]

$$(\rho(x)\xi, \eta) = \int_{\hat{G}_1} x(\tau)d(P_\tau \xi, \eta) \tag{1}$$

for every pair of elements  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  in  $\mathfrak{H}(\Pi)$ . Moreover, if  $\xi_0$  is a cyclic element for the representation  $\rho$  then the measure  $\mu$  is equivalent to the measure  $\nu$  where  $\nu(B) = \|P_B \xi_0\|^2$ . Now

$$(\sigma(\alpha)\rho(x)\sigma(\alpha^{-1})\xi, \eta) = \rho(\alpha[x]\xi, \eta). \tag{2}$$

From (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\sigma(\alpha)\rho(x)\sigma(\alpha^{-1})\xi, \eta) &= (\rho(x)\sigma(\alpha^{-1})\xi, \sigma(\alpha^{-1})\eta) \\
&= \int_{\hat{G}_1} x(\tau)d(P_\tau\sigma(\alpha^{-1})\xi, \sigma(\alpha^{-1})\eta) \\
&= \int_{\hat{G}_1} x(\tau)d(\sigma(\alpha)P_\tau\sigma(\alpha^{-1})\xi, \eta) \tag{3}
\end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\rho(\alpha[x])\xi, \eta) &= \int_{\hat{G}_1} \alpha[x](\tau)d(P_\tau\xi, \eta) \\
&= \int_{\hat{G}_1} x([\tau]\alpha)d(P_\tau\xi, \eta) = \int_{\hat{G}_1} x(\tau)d(P_{[\tau]\alpha^{-1}}\xi, \eta) \tag{4}
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2), (3) and (4) that

$$\sigma(\alpha)P_B\sigma(\alpha^{-1}) = P_{[B]\alpha^{-1}}. \tag{5}$$

Now  $\mu(B) = 0$  implies  $\nu(B) = 0$  and consequently  $P_B\xi_0 = 0$ . Since  $P_B \in R(\rho, \rho)$ , the equation  $0 = TP_B\xi_0 = P_B T\xi_0$ ,  $T \in R(\rho, \rho)$  implies  $P_B E = 0$  where  $E$  is the projection on the closed linear manifold generated by  $(T\xi_0 : T \in R(\rho, \rho))$ . However,  $E = I$  because  $\xi_0$  is a cyclic element for  $\rho$ . Therefore  $P_B = 0$ . Thus  $\mu(B) = 0$  implies  $P_B = 0$ , and from (5) it follows that  $P_{[B]\alpha^{-1}} = 0$ . That is,  $\mu(B) = 0$  implies  $\nu([B]\alpha^{-1}) = 0$ . Since  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are equivalent,  $\nu([B]\alpha^{-1}) = 0$ , implies  $\mu([B]\alpha^{-1}) = 0$ .

Hence  $\mu_{\alpha^{-1}}$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ . Since  $\alpha \in G_2$  is arbitrary, we have shown that  $\mu$  is  $G_2$  quasi invariant.

Let

$$\sigma_0(\alpha)f(\tau) = \sqrt{\frac{d\mu_\alpha}{d\mu}}(\tau)f([\tau]\alpha), f \in L^2(\hat{G}_1, \mu)$$

and

$$\sigma_1(\alpha) = S\sigma(\alpha)S^{-1}\sigma_0(\alpha^{-1})$$

where  $S$  is the linear isometry  $\mathfrak{S}(\Pi) \rightarrow L^2(\hat{G}_1, \mu)$  introduced in the first paragraph of this proof. It is clear that  $\sigma_1(\alpha)$  is a unitary transformation. Now, from the relation  $\sigma_0(\alpha^{-1})M_x = M_{\alpha^{-1}[x]}\sigma_0(\alpha^{-1})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_1(\alpha)S\rho(x)S^{-1}(\tau) &= S\sigma(\alpha)S^{-1}\sigma_0(\alpha^{-1})M_x f(\tau) \\
&= S\sigma(\alpha)S^{-1}M_{\alpha^{-1}[x]}\sigma_0(\alpha^{-1})f(\tau) \\
&= S\sigma(\alpha)S^{-1}S\rho(\alpha^{-1}[x])S^{-1}\sigma_0(\alpha^{-1})f(\tau) \\
&= S\sigma(\alpha)\rho(\alpha^{-1}[x])S^{-1}\sigma_0(\alpha^{-1})f(\tau) \\
&= S\rho(\alpha\alpha^{-1}[x])\sigma(\alpha)S^{-1}\sigma_0(\alpha^{-1})f(\tau) \\
&= S\rho(x)\sigma(\alpha)S^{-1}\sigma_0(\alpha^{-1})f(\tau) \\
&= S\rho(x)S^{-1}S\sigma(\alpha)S^{-1}\sigma_0(\alpha^{-1})f(\tau) \\
&= S\rho(x)S^{-1}\sigma_1(\alpha)f(\tau).
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $\sigma_1(\alpha)$  commutes with  $S\rho(x)S^{-1} = M_x$  and consequently  $\sigma_1(\alpha)$  commutes with the von Neumann algebra generated by  $M_x$ . It is known [[5] cor. 1.1] that a commutative von Neumann algebra with a cyclic vector is maximal Abelian. Therefore  $\sigma_1(\alpha)$  belongs to the von Neumann algebra generated by  $(M_x : x \in G_1)$  which is the algebra of multiplication by essentially bounded measurable functions on  $(\hat{G}_1, \mu)$ . Hence  $\sigma_1(\alpha)f(\tau) = a(\tau, \alpha)f(\tau)$  where  $a(\tau, \alpha)$  is, for each  $\alpha$  a measurable essentially bounded function of modulus 1. We introduce the operator  $M_a$  in  $L^2(\hat{G}_1, \mu)$  where  $(M_a f)(\tau) = a(\tau)f(\tau)$ .

From the equation  $S\sigma(\alpha)S^{-1}\sigma_0(\alpha^{-1}) = M_\alpha$  we obtain  $S\sigma(\alpha)S^{-1} = M_a\sigma_0(\alpha)$ : that is

$$S\sigma(\alpha)S^{-1}f(\tau) = a(\tau, \alpha) \sqrt{\frac{d\mu_\alpha}{d\mu}}(\tau)f([\tau]\alpha).$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} S\sigma(\alpha_1\alpha_2)S^{-1}f(\tau) &= a(\tau, \alpha_1\alpha_2) \sqrt{\frac{d\mu_{\alpha_1\alpha_2}}{d\mu}}(\tau)f([\tau]\alpha_1\alpha_2) \\ S\sigma(\alpha_1)\sigma(\alpha_2)S^{-1}f(\tau) &= S\sigma(\alpha_1)S^{-1}S\sigma(\alpha_2)S^{-1}f(\tau) \\ &= S\sigma(\alpha_1)S^{-1}a(\tau, \alpha_2) \sqrt{\frac{d\mu_{\alpha_2}}{d\mu}}(\tau)f([\tau]\alpha_2) \\ &= a(\tau, \alpha_1) \sqrt{\frac{d\mu_{\alpha_1}}{d\mu}}(\tau)\alpha([\tau]\alpha_1, \alpha_2). \\ &\quad \sqrt{\frac{d\mu_{\alpha_2}}{d\mu}}([\tau]\alpha_1)f([\tau]\alpha_1\alpha_2) \\ &= a(\tau, \alpha_1)a([\tau]\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \sqrt{\frac{d\mu_{\alpha_1\alpha_2}}{d\mu_{\alpha_1}}}(\tau) \\ &\quad \sqrt{\frac{d\mu_{\alpha_1}}{d\mu}}(\tau)f([\tau]\alpha_1\alpha_2) \\ &= a(\tau, \alpha_1)a([\tau]\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \sqrt{\frac{d\mu_{\alpha_1\alpha_2}}{d\mu}}(\tau)f([\tau]\alpha_1\alpha_2). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $S\sigma(\alpha_1\alpha_2)S^{-1} = S\sigma(\alpha_1)\sigma(\alpha_2)S^{-1}$  we have

$$\alpha(\tau, \alpha_1\alpha_2) = \alpha([\tau]\alpha_1, \alpha_2)\alpha(\tau, \alpha_1), \text{ a.e.}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

**DEFINITION 2.2.** A Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $\hat{G}_1$  is said to be  $G_2$ -ergodic if

1.  $\mu$  is  $G_2$ -quasi invariant, and



2. the  $G_2$ -quasi-invariant non zero measures on  $\hat{G}_2$  which are absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$  are equivalent to  $\mu$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be as in the paragraph preceding Definition 2.1. Let  $\Pi : (x, \alpha) \rightarrow \Pi(x, \alpha) = \rho(x)\sigma(\alpha)$  be a representation of  $G_1 \otimes G_2$ . If the measure  $\mu$  defined by the cyclic representation  $\rho$  is  $G_2$  ergodic, then  $\Pi$  is irreducible.*

**PROOF.** Suppose a closed linear manifold  $\mathfrak{M}$  of  $\mathfrak{H}(\Pi)$  is invariant for  $\Pi$ . Then clearly  $\mathfrak{M}$  is invariant for  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$ . Let  $E$  be the projection whose range is  $\mathfrak{M}$ .  $E$  belongs to  $R(\rho, \rho)$ . The representation  $\rho|_{\mathfrak{M}}$  being cyclic, the von Neumann algebra  $R(\rho, \rho)'$ , generated by the operators  $\rho(x) : x \in G_1$ , is a commutative von Neumann algebra with a cyclic element. Consequently [[5]. cor. 1.1].  $R(\rho, \rho)'$  is maximal Abelian. Therefore  $R(\rho, \rho)' = R(\rho, \rho)$ . Since every projection of  $R(\rho, \rho)'$  is of the form  $P_B$ , where  $P : B \rightarrow P_B$  is the projection valued measure defined by  $\rho$ , there is a Borel set  $B_0$  of  $\hat{G}_1$  such that  $E = P_{B_0}$ .

Let  $\mu_0(B) = \mu(B_0 \cap B)$ . Clearly  $\mu_0$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ . We shall show that  $\mu_0$  is  $G_2$ -quasi invariant. The measure  $\mu$  is equivalent to the measure  $\nu$  where  $\nu(B) = \|P_B \xi_0\|^2$ . We may for the purpose of this proof assume, without loss of generality, that  $\mu(B) = \|P_B \xi_0\|^2$ . From equation (5) in the proof of Theorem 2.1 we have

$$\sigma(\alpha)P_{B_0 \cap B} \sigma(\alpha^{-1}) = P_{[B_0 \cap B]\alpha^{-1}}.$$

However,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\alpha)P_{B_0 \cap B} \sigma(\alpha^{-1}) &= \sigma(\alpha)P_{B_0} P_B \sigma(\alpha^{-1}) \\ &= \sigma(\alpha)P_{B_0} \sigma(\alpha)^{-1} \sigma(\alpha) P_B \sigma(\alpha^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose  $\mu_0(B) = 0$ . Then  $\mu(B_0 \cap B) = 0$ , and by the  $G_2$ -quasi invariance of  $\mu$ , it follows that  $\mu([B_0 \cap B]\alpha^{-1}) = 0$ . Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \|P_{[B_0 \cap B]\alpha^{-1}} \xi_0\|^2 \\ &= \|P_{B_0 \cap [B]\alpha^{-1}} \xi_0\|^2 \\ &= \mu(B_0 \cap [B]\alpha^{-1}) = \mu_0([B]\alpha^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\alpha$  in  $G_2$  is arbitrary, we have shown that  $\mu_0$  is  $G_2$ -quasi invariant. The measure  $\mu$  is  $G_2$ -ergodic. Therefore either  $\mu_0$  is equivalent to  $\mu$  or  $\mu_0$  is the zero measure. That is either  $B_0 = \hat{G}_1$  or  $B_0 = \phi$ . Consequently,  $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{H}$  or  $\mathfrak{M} = \{0\}$ .

This completes the proof.

**DEFINITION 2.3.** Let  $\Pi_i : (x, \alpha) \rightarrow \Pi_i(x, \alpha) = \rho_i(x)\sigma_i(\alpha)$  be representations of  $G_1$  s  $G_2$  in  $\mathfrak{H}(\Pi_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .  $\Pi_i$  is said to be equivalent to  $\Pi_2$  if there is a linear isometry  $S : \mathfrak{H}(\Pi_1) \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}(\Pi_2)$  such that

$$S\rho_1(x)S^{-1} = \rho_2(x) \quad S\sigma_1(\alpha)S^{-1} = \sigma_2(\alpha).$$

THEOREM 2.3. Let  $\Pi_i : (x, \alpha) \rightarrow \Pi_i(x, \alpha)$  be representations of  $G_1 \otimes G_2$  on  $\mathfrak{H}(\Pi_i)$  where

$$\Pi_i(\circ, \varepsilon) : x \rightarrow \Pi_i(x, \varepsilon) \quad i = 1, 2$$

are cyclic. A set of necessary and sufficient condition that  $\Pi_1$  is equivalent to  $\Pi_2$  is

1.  $\mu^1$  is equivalent to  $\mu^2$  where  $\mu^i$  is the measure on  $\hat{G}_i$  defined by  $\Pi_i(\circ, \varepsilon)$   $i = 1, 2$ ; and
2. there exists a Borel function  $b$  on  $\hat{G}_1$  with the properties
  - 2.1.  $|b(\tau)| = 1$  almost everywhere, and
  - 2.2.  $a_2(\tau, \alpha) = b(\tau)a_1(\tau, \alpha)b^{-1}([\tau]\alpha)$  where  $a_i(\tau, \alpha)$  is the function associated with  $\Pi_i(e, \circ) : \alpha \rightarrow \Pi_i(e, \alpha)$  in theorem 2.1.

PROOF. It is evident from Theorem 2.1 that,  $\Pi_1$  is equivalent to  $\Pi_2$  if and only if the following is true: (\*) there is a linear isometry  $S : L^2(\hat{G}_1, \mu^1) \rightarrow L^2(\hat{G}_1, \mu^2)$  such that  $S\rho_1(x) = \rho_2(x)S$  where

$$\rho_i(x)f(\tau) = x(\tau)f(\tau), f \in L^2(\hat{G}_1, \mu^i)$$

and  $S\sigma_1(\alpha) = \sigma_2(\alpha)S$ , where

$$\sigma_i(\alpha)(\tau) = a_i(\tau, \alpha) \sqrt{\frac{d\mu_\alpha^i}{d\mu^i}}(\tau) f([\tau]\alpha), i = 1, 2.$$

Assume that the conditions (\*) are satisfied. We recall that  $L(\hat{G}_1)$ , the set of all continuous functions with compact support, is dense in  $L^p(G_1, \mu^i)$  where  $p = 1, 2$  and  $i = 1, 2$ . In the course of the proof of lemma 1.2 we saw that the operators  $\rho_i(g)$  where  $(\rho_i(g)f)(\tau) = g(\tau)f(\tau)$ ,  $g \in L(\hat{G}_1)$  and  $f \in L^2(\hat{G}_1, \mu^i)$  belong to  $R(\rho_i, \rho_i)'$ . It is easily verified that  $S\rho_1(x)S^{-1} = \rho_2(x)$  implies  $S\rho_1(g)S^{-1} = \rho_2(g)$  for all  $g \in L(\hat{G}_1)$ .

Since  $S\rho_1(x)S^{-1} = \rho_2(x)$  for all  $x$  in  $G_1$ , the commutative von Neumann algebra  $R(\rho_1, \rho_1)'$  generated by  $(\rho_1(x) : x \in G)$  is unitarily equivalent to the von Neumann algebra  $R(\rho_2, \rho_2)'$  generated by  $(\rho_2(x) : x \in G_1)$ . Since  $\rho_i$  are cyclic representations, the commutative von Neumann algebras  $R(\rho_i, \rho_i)'$  are cyclic. A commutative von Neumann algebra with a cyclic vector is maximal Abelian ([5] corollary 1.1) and is unitarily equivalent to a multiplication algebra ([5] Lemma 1.2). Consequently, the multiplication algebra on  $L^2(\hat{G}_1, \mu^1)$  is unitarily equivalent to the multiplication algebra on  $L^2(\hat{G}_1, \mu^2)$  and therefore ([6] Theorem 4.1)  $\mu^1$  is equivalent to  $\mu^2$ .

The function  $e$ , where  $e(\tau) = 1$  for all  $\tau \in \hat{G}_1$ , belongs to  $L^2(\hat{G}_1, \mu^1)$ . Let  $Se = c \in L^2(\hat{G}_1, \mu^2)$ . We shall show that  $c$  is an essentially bounded function. If  $g \in L(\hat{G}_1)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 Sg &= Sge = S\rho_1(g)e = S\rho_1(g)S^{-1}Se \\
 &= \rho_2(g)Se = \rho_2(g)c.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{i}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
 C(g) &= \int_{\mathcal{G}_1} |c(\tau)|^2 g(\tau) d\mu^2(\tau) \\
 |C(g)| &= (gc, c) = (\rho_2(g)c, c) = (\rho_2(g)Se, Se) \\
 &= (S^{-1}\rho_2(g)Se, e) = (\rho_2(g)e, e) \\
 &= \int_{\mathcal{G}_1} g(\tau) d\mu^1(\tau).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{ii}$$

Hence  $|C(g)| \leq \|g\|_1$  (the  $L^1$ -norm of  $g \in L^1(\hat{\mathcal{G}}_1, \mu^1)$ ).

That is,  $C(g)$  is bounded on a dense linear subset  $L(\hat{\mathcal{G}}_1)$  of  $L^1(\hat{\mathcal{G}}_1, \mu^1)$ , and can therefore be extended to  $L^1(\hat{\mathcal{G}}_1, \mu^1)$ . Hence  $C \in L^\infty(\hat{\mathcal{G}}_1, \mu^1)$ , and therefore  $c$  is essentially bounded with respect to  $\mu^1$ . Since  $\mu^1$  and  $\mu^2$  are equivalent it follows that  $c$  is essentially bounded with respect to  $\mu^2$ .

Since the function  $c$  is essentially bounded the equation (i) can be written in the form  $Sg = M_c g$  where  $M_c$  is the operation of multiplying by  $c$ . Since  $M_c$  is a bounded operator and  $L(\hat{\mathcal{G}}_1)$  is dense in  $L^2(\hat{\mathcal{G}}_1, \mu)$ , the equation  $Sg = M_c g$  holds for all  $g$  in  $L^2(\hat{\mathcal{G}}_1, \mu^1)$ . It follows from the equivalence of  $\mu^1$  and  $\mu^2$  and the equation (ii) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\mathcal{G}_1} g(\tau) |c(\tau)|^2 d\mu^2(\tau) &= \int_{\mathcal{G}_1} g(\tau) d\mu^1(\tau) \\
 &= \int_{\mathcal{G}_1} g(\tau) \frac{d\mu^1}{d\mu^2}(\tau) d\mu^2(\tau).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $|c(\tau)|^2 = \frac{d\mu^1}{d\mu^2}(\tau)$

almost everywhere, and

$$c(\tau) = b(\tau) \sqrt{\frac{d\mu^1}{d\mu^2}(\tau)}$$

where

$$|b(\tau)| = 1$$

almost everywhere.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 S\sigma_1(\alpha)(\tau) &= M_c a_1(\tau, \alpha) \sqrt{\frac{d(\mu^1)_\alpha}{d\mu^1}(\tau)} g([\tau]\alpha) \\
 &= b(\tau) \sqrt{\frac{d\mu^1}{d\mu^2}(\tau)} a_1(\tau, \alpha) \sqrt{\frac{d(\mu^1)_\alpha}{d\mu^1}(\tau)} g([\tau]\alpha) \\
 &= b(\tau) \alpha_1(\tau, \alpha) \sqrt{\frac{d(\mu^1)_\alpha}{d\mu^2}(\tau)} g([\tau]\alpha).
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_2(\alpha)Sg &= \sigma_2(\alpha)b(\tau)\sqrt{\frac{d\mu^1}{d\mu^2}}(\tau)g(\tau) \\
&= a_2(\tau, \alpha)\sqrt{\frac{d(\mu^2)_\alpha}{d\mu^2}}(\tau)b([\tau]\alpha)\sqrt{\frac{d\mu^1}{d\mu^2}}([\tau]\alpha)g([\tau]\alpha) \\
&= a_2(\tau, \alpha)b(\tau\alpha)\sqrt{\frac{d(\mu^2)_\alpha}{d\mu^2}}(\tau)\sqrt{\frac{d(\mu^2)_\alpha}{d(\mu^2)_\alpha}}(\tau)g(\tau\alpha) \\
&= a_2(\tau, \alpha)b([\tau]\alpha)\sqrt{\frac{d(\mu^1)_\alpha}{d\mu^2}}(\tau)g([\tau]\alpha).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the equation  $S\sigma_1(\alpha)g = \sigma_2(\alpha)Sg$  yields

$$b(\tau)\alpha_1(\tau, \alpha) = \alpha_2(\tau, \alpha)b([\tau]\alpha), \text{ a.e.}$$

i.e.

$$\alpha_2(\tau, \alpha) = b(\tau)\alpha_1(\tau, \alpha)b^{-1}([\tau]\alpha) \text{ a.e.}$$

The converse is easy to verify and we omit the details.

This completes the proof.

The condition 2 of the last theorem can be reformulated in terms of a one dimensional cohomology group. To this end we observe first that  $G_2$  as a group of automorphisms of  $L^\infty(\hat{G}_1, \mu) : \alpha[g](\tau) = g([\tau]\alpha)$ . Furthermore, the function  $\alpha(\tau, \alpha)$  of Theorem 2.1 defines a mapping  $\tilde{\alpha} : G_2 \rightarrow L^\infty(\hat{G}_1, \mu)$  where  $(\tilde{\alpha}(\alpha))(\cdot) = \alpha(\cdot, \alpha)$ . From ii of theorem 2.1 we see that  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is a crossed homomorphism. It is evident that  $(\tilde{b}(\alpha))(\cdot) = b(\cdot)b^{-1}([\cdot]\alpha)$ , where  $b \in L^\infty$ , is a principal crossed homomorphism. In view of these observations the condition 2 of Theorem 2.3 states  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  define the same element of the one dimensional cohomology group  $H^1(G_2, L^\infty)$ .

#### REFERENCES

J. DIXMIER

[1] Les Algebres d'opérateurs dans l'espace Hilbertien, Paris, 1957.

E. HEWITT AND K. A. ROSSE

[2] Abstract Harmonic Analysis, Berlin, 1963.

G. W. MACKEY

[3] Induced representations of locally compact Groups I, Ann. Math., Vol. 55 (1962) pp. 101–139.

M. A. NAIMARK

[4] Normed Rings, Groningen, 1959.

I. E. SEGAL

[5] Decompositions of Operator Algebras II, Providence, R. I., 1951.

I. E. SEGAL

[6] Equivalence of Measure Spaces, Amer. J. Math., Vol. 73 (1951) 275–313.

(Oblatum 20-VI-1968)

Queen Elizabeth College, University of London,  
Campden Hill Road, London, U.K.