

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

P. L. CIJSOUW

**Transcendence measures of certain numbers whose
transcendency was proved by A. Baker**

Compositio Mathematica, tome 28, n° 2 (1974), p. 179-194

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1974__28_2_179_0

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1974, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/legal.php>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

**TRANSCENDENCE MEASURES OF CERTAIN NUMBERS WHOSE
 TRANSCENDENCY WAS PROVED BY A. BAKER**

P. L. Cijsouw

1. Introduction

In the subsequent paper we continue the investigation of transcendence measures of certain transcendental numbers σ , i.e. positive lower bounds for $|P(\sigma)|$ in terms of the degree N and height H of P , where P is an arbitrary polynomial with integral coefficients. For more information about transcendence measures and the type of transcendence measures we will look for, see the earlier paper [4]; see also the authors thesis [3], which includes the results of the present paper.

Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be non-zero algebraic numbers such that, for any (fixed) values of the logarithms, $\log \alpha_1, \dots, \log \alpha_n$ are linearly independent over \mathcal{Q} . In this paper, transcendence measures are derived for numbers which can be written in one of the following ways:

- (i) $\beta_1 \log \alpha_1 + \dots + \beta_n \log \alpha_n$ with $n \geq 2$, where β_1, \dots, β_n are algebraic numbers, not all zero
- (ii) $e^{\beta_0} \alpha_1^{\beta_1} \dots \alpha_n^{\beta_n}$ with $n \geq 1$ and

$$\alpha_i^{\beta_i} = e^{\beta_i \log \alpha_i}$$

where $\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$ are algebraic numbers such that at least one of β_1, \dots, β_n is irrational. We prove the transcendence measure

$$\exp \{ -C_1 N^{n^2+n+\varepsilon} S(1 + \log S)^{n+1+\varepsilon} \}$$

for numbers of the form (1), and the transcendence measure

$$\exp \{ -C_2 N^{n^2+2n+2+\varepsilon} S^{n+1+\varepsilon} \}$$

for numbers of the form (2). Here $S = N + \log H$, ε is an arbitrary positive number and C_1 and C_2 are effectively computable numbers, depending on ε, n , the α 's and their logarithms and the β 's.

We remark, that these transcendence measures are the first explicit ones to be published for these numbers in which both the dependence on H and N is expressed. If one is interested merely in the height H , better results can be given. For numbers of the form (i), N. I. FEL'DMAN [6] proved the transcendence measure $\exp \{ -CS \}$, where C is a positive

number, depending on N , the α 's and their logarithms and the β 's. For numbers of the form (ii), a recent result of A. BAKER [2] implies the transcendence measure $\exp \{-C \log H \log \log H\}$ for $H \geq 4$, where C again is a positive number depending on N , the α 's and their logarithms and the β 's. An earlier result for the special case of numbers of the form $e^{\beta_0} \alpha_1^{\beta_1}$ can be found in [7].

The method of proof of our transcendence measures is A. BAKER's one with some improvements introduced by N. I. FEL'DMAN. In the proof we firstly derive a measure for the approximability of numbers of the types (i) and (ii); after that, the transcendence measures are given.

The transcendence of numbers of the form (i) follows immediately from e.g. Theorem 1 of A. BAKER's paper [1]. The transcendence of numbers of the form (ii) was proved by A. BAKER, distinguishing the cases $\beta_0 = 0$ and $\beta_0 \neq 0$; see the same paper.

2. Lemmas

We shall use the same notations (especially for the degree; height and size) as in [4]. For shortness, we use without reformulation the lemmas 3, 6, 7, 8 and 9 of [4]. Further, we need the following lemmas:

LEMMA 1: *Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be non-zero algebraic numbers such that, for any fixed values of the logarithms, $\log \alpha_1, \dots, \log \alpha_n$ are linearly independent over \mathcal{Q} . Let ε be positive and let d be a positive integer. Then there exists an effectively computable positive number*

$$\theta(\varepsilon, d) = \theta(\varepsilon, d, \log \alpha_1, \dots, \log \alpha_n)$$

such that

$$(1) \quad |\beta_0 + \beta_1 \log \alpha_1 + \dots + \beta_n \log \alpha_n| > \theta(\varepsilon, d) \exp \{-(\log h)^{1+\varepsilon}\}$$

for all algebraic numbers $\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$, not all zero, of degrees at most d and of heights at most h .

PROOF: See Theorem 1 of [5].

LEMMA 2: *Let α and β be algebraic numbers, $\beta \neq 0$. Then*

$$(2) \quad s(\alpha\beta^{-1}) \leq 2d(\alpha)d(\beta) + d(\alpha)s(\beta) + d(\beta)s(\alpha).$$

PROOF: From Lemma 3 of [5] it follows that

$$h(\alpha\beta^{-1}) \leq 2^{d(\alpha)d(\beta)} \{h(\alpha)(d(\alpha)+1)\}^{d(\beta)} \{h(\beta)(d(\beta)+1)\}^{d(\alpha)}.$$

Using $d(\alpha)+1 < e^{d(\alpha)}$ and $d(\beta)+1 < e^{d(\beta)}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} s(\alpha\beta^{-1}) &= d(\alpha\beta^{-1}) + \log h(\alpha\beta^{-1}) \\ &\leq d(\alpha)d(\beta)(1 + \log 2) + d(\beta)s(\alpha) + d(\alpha)s(\beta) \end{aligned}$$

from which (2) follows.

LEMMA 3: *Let β be an algebraic number, and let k and ℓ be integers. Then*

$$(3) \quad h(k + \ell\beta) \leq h(\beta)|2k\ell|^{d(\beta)}.$$

PROOF: If $a_n z^n + \dots + a_1 z + a_0$ is the minimal polynomial of β , then

$$a_n(z-k)^n + \dots + a_1 \ell^{n-1}(z-k) + a_0 \ell^n$$

is a constant multiple of the minimal polynomial of $k + \ell\beta$. Thus, the coefficient of z^i ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n$) of this minimal polynomial is in absolute value at most

$$\left| a_n \binom{n}{i} (-k)^{n-i} + a_{n-1} \ell \binom{n-1}{i} (-k)^{n-1-i} + \dots + a_i \ell^{n-i} \right| \leq h(\beta)|k|^n |\ell|^n \left\{ \binom{n}{i} + \binom{n-1}{i} + \dots + 1 \right\}.$$

From the obvious inequality $\binom{m}{i} \leq 2^{m-1}$ for all positive integers m , it follows that

$$\binom{n}{i} + \binom{n-1}{i} + \dots + 1 \leq 2^n,$$

by which the proof is completed.

3. The case $\beta_1 \log \alpha_1 + \dots + \beta_n \log \alpha_n$

First we give a measure for the approximability for numbers of the form (i), in the special case in which $\beta_n = -1$.

THEOREM 1: *Let, for $n \geq 2$, $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ and $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{n-1}$ be non-zero algebraic numbers such that, for any fixed values of the logarithms, $\log \alpha_1, \dots, \log \alpha_n$ are linearly independent over \mathcal{Q} . Let ε be a positive number. Then there exists an effectively computable number $S_1 = S_1(\varepsilon, \log \alpha_1, \dots, \log \alpha_n, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{n-1})$ such that*

$$(4) \quad |\gamma_1 \log \alpha_1 + \dots + \gamma_{n-1} \log \alpha_{n-1} - \log \alpha_n - \eta| > \exp \{ -N^{n^2+n+\varepsilon} S(\log S)^{n+1+\varepsilon} \}$$

for all algebraic numbers η of degree N and size $S \geq S_1$.

PROOF: Put $\delta = (2n^3 + 4n^2 + 3n + 7)^{-1}\varepsilon$. For abbreviation, put

$$\sigma = \gamma_1 \log \alpha_1 + \dots + \gamma_{n-1} \log \alpha_{n-1} - \log \alpha_n$$

and

$$U = N^{n^2+n+(2n^3+4n^2+2n+1)\delta} S(\log S)^{n+1+(2n^2+3n+7)\delta}.$$

It is sufficient to prove that

$$|\sigma - \eta| > \exp \{-U\}$$

if $S \geq S_1$. In this proof we may restrict ourselves to the case in which δ is rather small. By c_1, c_2, \dots we denote positive numbers which depend only on $n, \log \alpha_1, \dots, \log \alpha_n, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{n-1}$.

Suppose that

$$(5) \quad |\sigma - \eta| \leq \exp \{-U\}$$

for some algebraic number η of degree N and size S . We prove that this leads to a contradiction if S is sufficiently large.

Choose the following integers:

$$\begin{aligned} K &= [N^{n+(n^2-1)\delta}(\log S)^{1+(2n+1)\delta}], \\ M &= [N^{n+(n^2+n)\delta}S(\log S)^{1+(2n+3)\delta}], \\ C &= 2[\frac{1}{2} \exp \{N^{n+(n^2+n)\delta}S(\log S)^{2+(2n+4)\delta}\}], \\ T &= [N^{n+1+(n^2+n)\delta}(\log S)^{1+(2n+3)\delta}], \\ P &= [S(\log S)^{1+2\delta}], \\ R &= \left[\frac{n-1}{\delta} + 2n^2 + n \right], \\ T' &= [2^{-R}T] \text{ and} \\ P' &= [N^{n^2-1+(n^3-n)\delta}S(\log S)^{n+(2n^2+n+1)\delta}]. \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$F(z) = \sum_{k_1=0}^{K-1} \cdots \sum_{k_n=0}^{K-1} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \sum_{v=0}^{N-1} C_{k_1 \dots k_n m v} \eta^v z^m \times \exp \left\{ -k_n \eta z + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (k_i + k_n \gamma_i)(\log \alpha_i)z \right\},$$

where the numbers $C_{k_1 \dots k_n m v}$ are integers of absolute values at most C ; they will be specified later.

For $t = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ it is easily seen that

$$(6) \quad F^{(t)}(z) = \sum_{\tau_1 + \tau_2 + \dots + \tau_{n-1} = t} \frac{t!}{\tau_1! \tau_2! \cdots \tau_{n-1}!} \times (\log \alpha_1)^{\tau_1} \cdots (\log \alpha_{n-1})^{\tau_{n-1}} F_{\tau_1 \dots \tau_{n-1}}(z)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (7) \quad F_{\tau_1 \dots \tau_{n-1}}(z) &= \sum_{k_1} \cdots \sum_{k_n} \sum_m \sum_v C_{k_1 \dots k_n m v} \eta^v \\ &\times \sum_{\kappa=0}^m \binom{\tau}{\kappa} \frac{m!}{(m-\kappa)!} z^{m-\kappa} (-k_n)^{\tau-\kappa} \eta^{\tau-\kappa} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (k_i + k_n \gamma_i)^{\tau_i} \\ &\times \exp \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^n k_i \log \alpha_i \right) z + k_n (\sigma - \eta) z \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\tau\tau_1 \dots \tau_{n-1}}(z) &= \sum_{k_1} \dots \sum_{k_n} \sum_m \sum_\nu C_{k_1 \dots k_n m \nu} \eta^\nu \\ &\times \sum_{\kappa=0}^m \binom{\tau}{\kappa} \frac{m!}{(m-\kappa)!} z^{m-\kappa} (-k_n)^{\tau-\kappa} \eta^{\tau-\kappa} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (k_i + k_n \gamma_i)^{\tau_i} \\ &\times \exp \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^n k_i \log \alpha_i \right) z \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate the difference

$$|F_{\tau\tau_1 \dots \tau_{n-1}}(p) - \Phi_{\tau\tau_1 \dots \tau_{n-1}}(p)|$$

as follows: by $|e^z - 1| \leq |z|e^{|z|}$, one has for $k_n = 0, 1, \dots, K-1$ and

$$p = 0, 1, \dots, [N^{n^2-1+(2n^3+3n^2+n)\delta} S(\log S)^{n+(2n^2+n+2)\delta}]$$

the inequality

$$|e^{k_n(\sigma-\eta)p} - 1| \leq \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}U \right\}.$$

Hence,

$$(8) \quad |F_{\tau\tau_1 \dots \tau_{n-1}}(p) - \Phi_{\tau\tau_1 \dots \tau_{n-1}}(p)| \leq \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{3}U \right\}$$

for $\tau, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-1} = 0, 1, \dots, T-1$ and

$$p = 0, 1, \dots, [N^{n^2-1+(2n^3+3n^2+n)\delta} S(\log S)^{n+(2n^2+n+2)\delta}].$$

Let

$$P_{\tau\tau_1 \dots \tau_{n-1} p k_1 \dots k_n m} (z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{2n-1})$$

($\tau, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-1} = 0, 1, \dots, T-1$; $p = 0, 1, \dots, P-1$; $k_1, \dots, k_n = 0, 1, \dots, K-1$; $m = 0, 1, \dots, M-1$) be the polynomials, chosen in the appropriate way, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\tau\tau_1 \dots \tau_{n-1}}(p) &= \sum_{k_1=0}^{K-1} \dots \sum_{k_n=0}^{K-1} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \sum_{\nu=0}^{N-1} C_{k_1 \dots k_n m \nu} \eta^\nu \\ &\times P_{\tau\tau_1 \dots \tau_{n-1} p k_1 \dots k_n m} (\eta, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

We apply Lemma 6 of [4] to these polynomials in the specified points. If r, s and B have the same meaning as in this lemma we have

$$\begin{aligned} r &= T^n P \leq N^{n^2+n+(n^3+n^2)\delta} S(\log S)^{n+1+(2n^2+3n+2)\delta}, \\ s &= K^n M \geq \frac{1}{2} N^{n^2+n+(n^3+n^2)\delta} S(\log S)^{n+1+(2n^2+3n+3)\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $s \geq 4rd$ where $d = [Q(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{n-1}) : Q]$. Further,

$$B \leq \exp \left\{ c_1 N^{n+(n^2+n)\delta} S(\log S)^{2+(2n+3)\delta} \right\}.$$

Hence, the right hand side of the second condition in Lemma 6 of [4] is at most

$$\exp \{c_2 N^{n+1+(n^2+n)\delta} S(\log S)^{2+(2n+3)\delta}\} \leq C^N.$$

It follows that the numbers $C_{k_1 \dots k_{nmv}}$ can be chosen as integers, not all zero, of absolute values at most C , such that $\Phi_{\tau_1 \dots \tau_{n-1}}(p) = 0$ for $\tau, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-1} = 0, 1, \dots, T-1$ and $p = 0, 1, \dots, P-1$. Doing so, we certainly have $\Phi_{\tau_1 \dots \tau_{n-1}}(p) = 0$ for $0 \leq \tau + \tau_1 + \dots + \tau_{n-1} \leq T-1$ and $0 \leq p \leq P-1$. From (8) we now get

$$(9) \quad |F_{\tau_1 \dots \tau_{n-1}}(p)| \leq \exp \{-\frac{1}{3}U\}$$

for $0 \leq \tau + \tau_1 + \dots + \tau_{n-1} \leq T-1$ and $0 \leq p \leq P-1$.

Define T_r and P_r for $r = 0, 1, \dots, R$ by

$$T_r = [2^{-r}T]$$

and

$$P_r = [(N^{n+1} \log S)^{\delta} P].$$

Remark that

$$(10) \quad P_R \leq [N^{n^2-1+(2n^3+3n^2+n)\delta} S(\log S)^{n+(2n^2+n+2)\delta}].$$

LEMMA: For $r = 0, 1, \dots, R$ the inequality

$$(11) \quad |F_{\tau_1 \dots \tau_{n-1}}(p)| \leq \exp \{-\frac{1}{3}U\}$$

holds for all non-negative integers $\tau, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-1}$ and p with $0 \leq \tau + \tau_1 + \dots + \tau_{n-1} \leq T_r-1$ and $0 \leq p \leq P_r-1$.

PROOF: We proceed by induction on r . For $r = 0$ the statement is proved in (9). Let r be an integer with $0 \leq r \leq R-1$ for which

$$(12) \quad |F_{\tau_1 \dots \tau_{n-1}}(p)| \leq \exp \{-\frac{1}{3}U\}$$

for $0 \leq \tau + \tau_1 + \dots + \tau_{n-1} \leq T_r-1$ and $0 \leq p \leq P_r-1$. Since

$$F_{\tau_1 \dots \tau_{n-1}}(z) = \sum_{k_1} \dots \sum_{k_n} \sum_m \sum_v C_{k_1 \dots k_{nmv}} \eta^v \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (\log \alpha_i)^{-\tau_i} \\ \times (z^m e^{-k_n z})^{(\tau)} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (\exp \{(k_i + k_n \gamma_i)(\log \alpha_i)z\})^{(\tau_i)}$$

we have for $t = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$F_{\tau_1 \dots \tau_{n-1}}^{(t)}(z) = \sum_{\mu + \mu_1 + \dots + \mu_{n-1} = t} \frac{t!}{\mu! \mu_1! \dots \mu_{n-1}!} \\ \times \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (\log \alpha_i)^{\mu_i} \times F_{\tau + \mu, \tau_1 + \mu_1, \dots, \tau_{n-1} + \mu_{n-1}}(z).$$

Together with (12) we obtain

$$(13) \quad |F_{\tau\tau_1 \dots \tau_{n-1}}^{(t)}(p)| \leq \exp \{-\frac{1}{4}U\}$$

for $0 \leq \tau + \tau_1 + \dots + \tau_{n-1} \leq T_{r+1} - 1, 0 \leq t \leq T_{r+1} - 1$ and $0 \leq p \leq P_r - 1$.

From (7) we know that

$$(14) \quad \max_{|z| \leq 6P_{r+1}} |F_{\tau\tau_1 \dots \tau_{n-1}}(z)| \leq \exp \{c_3 N^{n+(n^2+n+nr+r)\delta} S(\log S)^{2+(2n+r+4)\delta}\}$$

for $\tau + \tau_1 + \dots + \tau_{n-1} \leq T_{r+1} - 1$. We apply Lemma 7 of [4] to $F_{\tau\tau_1 \dots \tau_{n-1}}$ with $R = P_{r+1}, A = 6, T = T_{r+1}$ and $P = P_r$. From (13), (14) and the inequality

$$N^{n+1} \log S \leq \exp \{N^\delta (\log S)^\delta\}$$

we then obtain

$$\max_{|z| \leq P_{r+1}} |F_{\tau\tau_1 \dots \tau_{n-1}}(z)| \leq \exp \{-2^{-(r+3)} N^{n+1+(n^2+n+nr+r)\delta} S(\log S)^{2+(2n+r+5)\delta}\}.$$

In particular,

$$|F_{\tau\tau_1 \dots \tau_{n-1}}(p)| \leq \exp \{-2^{-(r+3)} N^{n+1+(n^2+n+nr+r)\delta} S(\log S)^{2+(2n+r+5)\delta}\}$$

for $0 \leq \tau + \tau_1 + \dots + \tau_{n-1} \leq T_{r+1} - 1$ and $0 \leq p \leq P_{r+1} - 1$.

From (8) and (10) it follows that

$$(15) \quad |\Phi_{\tau\tau_1 \dots \tau_{n-1}}(p)| \leq \exp \{-2^{-(r+4)} N^{n+1+(n^2+n+nr+r)\delta} S(\log S)^{2+(2n+r+5)\delta}\}$$

for $0 \leq \tau + \tau_1 + \dots + \tau_{n-1} \leq T_{r+1} - 1$ and $0 \leq p \leq P_{r+1} - 1$. But for these values of $\tau, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-1}$ and p , we can consider $\Phi_{\tau\tau_1 \dots \tau_{n-1}}(p)$ as a polynomial in $\eta, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{n-1}$, of degree less than $T_{r+1} + N$ in η, KP_{r+1} in $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ and T_{r+1} in $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{n-1}$. If B denotes the sum of the absolute values of the coefficients, then we have

$$B \leq \exp \{2N^{n+(n^2+n)\delta} S(\log S)^{2+(2n+4)\delta}\}.$$

According to Lemma 3 of [4] we thus have either $\Phi_{\tau\tau_1 \dots \tau_{n-1}}(p) = 0$ or

$$(16) \quad |\Phi_{\tau\tau_1 \dots \tau_{n-1}}(p)| \geq \exp \{-c_4 N^{n+1+(n^2+n+nr+r)\delta} S(\log S)^{2+(2n+r+4)\delta}\}$$

for $0 \leq \tau + \tau_1 + \dots + \tau_{n-1} \leq T_{r+1} - 1$ and $0 \leq p \leq P_{r+1} - 1$. Hence, $\Phi_{\tau\tau_1 \dots \tau_{n-1}}(p) = 0$ for these $\tau, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-1}$ and p . Again from (8) and (10) we obtain

$$|F_{\tau_1 \dots \tau_{n-1}}(p)| \leq \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{3}U \right\}$$

for $0 \leq \tau + \tau_1 + \dots + \tau_{n-1} \leq T_{r+1} - 1$ and $0 \leq p \leq P_{r+1} - 1$. The lemma has been proved.

From (11) with $r = R$ we get

$$(17) \quad |F_{\tau_1 \dots \tau_{n-1}}(p)| \leq \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{3}U \right\}$$

for $0 \leq \tau + \tau_1 + \dots + \tau_{n-1} \leq T_R - 1$ and $0 \leq p \leq P_R - 1$. From their definitions we have $T_R = T'$. Since

$$R \geq \frac{n-1}{\delta} + 2n^2 + n - 1$$

we see that

$$P_R \geq \frac{1}{2} N^{n^2-1+(2n^3+3n^2-1)\delta} S(\log S)^{n+(2n^2+n+1)\delta} \geq P'.$$

Hence,

$$(18) \quad |F_{\tau_1 \dots \tau_{n-1}}(p)| \leq \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{3}U \right\}$$

for $0 \leq \tau + \tau_1 + \dots + \tau_{n-1} \leq T' - 1$ and $0 \leq p \leq P' - 1$. From (6) it now follows that

$$(19) \quad |F^{(t)}(p)| \leq \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{4}U \right\}$$

for $t = 0, 1, \dots, T' - 1$ and $p = 0, 1, \dots, P' - 1$.

We apply Lemma 8 of [4] to F with K replaced by K^n . Let Ω and ω have the same meaning as in this lemma. Since the exponents of F have the form

$$k_1 \log \alpha_1 + \dots + k_n \log \alpha_n + k_n(\sigma - \eta),$$

we see that

$$(20) \quad \Omega \leq c_5 N^{n+(n^2-1)\delta} (\log S)^{1+(2n+1)\delta}.$$

With this, the condition

$$T'P' \geq 2K^n M + 13\Omega P'$$

is easily checked. Further, we know from Lemma 1, applied with $\varepsilon = \delta$, that

$$(21) \quad |k_1 \log \alpha_1 + \dots + k_n \log \alpha_n| \geq \exp \left\{ -(\log K)^{1+2\delta} \right\} \\ \geq \exp \left\{ -N^\delta (\log S)^\delta \right\}$$

for all integers k_1, \dots, k_n , not all zero, with $|k_1| \leq K-1, \dots, |k_n| \leq K-1$. From (21) and (5) it follows that

$$(22) \quad \omega \geq \exp \left\{ -N^\delta (\log S)^\delta \right\} - \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}U \right\} \geq \exp \left\{ -2N^\delta (\log S)^\delta \right\}.$$

From (20) we have

$$(23) \quad \Omega \leq \exp \{N^\delta(\log S)^\delta\}.$$

From lemma 8 of [4], with (19), (22) and (23) we obtain

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{v=0}^{N-1} C_{k_1 \dots k_n m v} \eta^v \right| \\ & \leq \exp \{c_6 N^{n^2+n+(n^3+n^2+1)\delta} S(\log S)^{n+1+(2n^2+3n+4)\delta} - \frac{1}{4}U\} \\ & \leq \exp \{-\frac{1}{5}U\} \end{aligned}$$

for $k_1, \dots, k_n = 0, 1, \dots, K-1$ and $m = 0, 1, \dots, M-1$.

But according to Lemma 3 of [4] we have either

$$\sum_{v=0}^{N-1} C_{k_1 \dots k_n m v} \eta^v = 0$$

or

$$(25) \quad \left| \sum_{v=0}^{N-1} C_{k_1 \dots k_n m v} \eta^v \right| \geq \exp \{-2N^{n+1+(n^2+n)\delta} S(\log S)^{2+(2n+4)\delta}\}$$

for the same values of k_1, \dots, k_n and m . Hence,

$$\sum_{v=0}^{N-1} C_{k_1 \dots k_n m v} \eta^v = 0 \text{ for } k_1, \dots, k_n = 0, 1, \dots, K-1$$

and $m = 0, 1, \dots, M-1$. Since η has the degree N , it follows that all integers $C_{k_1 \dots k_n m v}$ are zero, in contradiction to their choice. This contradiction proves Theorem 1.

We have the following

COROLLARY: *Under the conditions of Theorem 1, there exists an effectively computable, number $C_3 = C_3(\varepsilon, \log \alpha_1, \dots, \log \alpha_n, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{n-1}) > 0$ such that*

$$(26) \quad \begin{aligned} & |\gamma_1 \log \alpha_1 + \dots + \gamma_{n-1} \log \alpha_{n-1} - \log \alpha_n - \eta| \\ & > \exp \{-C_3 N^{n^2+n+\varepsilon} S(1+\log S)^{n+1+\varepsilon}\} \end{aligned}$$

for all algebraic numbers η of degree at most N and size at most S .

PROOF: There are only finitely many algebraic numbers η of size $s(\eta) < S_1$. Choose $C_3 \geq 1$ such that (26) holds for these finitely many numbers.

THEOREM 2: *Let, for $n \geq 2$, $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ and β_1, \dots, β_n be non-zero algebraic numbers such that, for any fixed values of the logarithms, $\log \alpha_1, \dots, \log \alpha_n$ are linearly independent over \mathcal{Q} . Let ε be a positive number. Then there exists an effectively computable positive number $C_4 = C_4(\varepsilon, \log \alpha_1, \dots, \log \alpha_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$ such that*

$$(27) \quad |\beta_1 \log \alpha_1 + \cdots + \beta_n \log \alpha_n - \xi| > \exp \{-C_4 N^{n^2+n+\varepsilon} S(1+\log S)^{n+1+\varepsilon}\}$$

for all algebraic numbers ξ of degree N and size S .

PROOF: Put $\gamma_i = -\beta_i/\beta_n$ ($i = 1, \dots, n-1$) and $\eta = -\xi/\beta_n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &(-1/\beta_n)(\beta_1 \log \alpha_1 + \cdots + \beta_n \log \alpha_n - \xi) \\ &= \gamma_1 \log \alpha_1 + \cdots + \gamma_{n-1} \log \alpha_{n-1} - \log \alpha_n - \eta. \end{aligned}$$

We have $d(\eta) \leq c_7 N$ with $c_7 = d(\beta_n)$ and, by Lemma 2, $s(\eta) \leq c_8 S$ with $c_8 = 3d(\beta_n) + s(\beta_n)$. From (26) we now obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &|\beta_1 \log \alpha_1 + \cdots + \beta_n \log \alpha_n - \xi| \\ &= |\beta_n| |\gamma_1 \log \alpha_1 + \cdots + \gamma_{n-1} \log \alpha_{n-1} - \log \alpha_n - \eta| \\ &> |\beta_n| \exp \{-C_3(c_1 N)^{n^2+n+\varepsilon} c_2 S(1+\log c_2 S)^{n+1+\varepsilon}\} \\ &\geq \exp \{-C_4 N^{n^2+n+\varepsilon} S(1+\log S)^{n+1+\varepsilon}\} \end{aligned}$$

for some effectively computable positive number C_4 .

THEOREM 3: Under the conditions of Theorem 2, there exists an effectively computable number $C_1 = C_1(\varepsilon, \log \alpha_1, \dots, \log \alpha_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) > 0$, such that

$$\exp \{-C_1 N^{n^2+n+\varepsilon} S(1+\log S)^{n+1+\varepsilon}\}$$

is a transcendence measure of $\beta_1 \log \alpha_1 + \cdots + \beta_n \log \alpha_n$.

PROOF: Apply Lemma 9 of [4] to the result of Theorem 2 and put $C_1 = 6C_4(1+\log 2)^{n+1+\varepsilon}$.

4. The case $e^{\beta_0} \alpha_1^{\beta_1} \cdots \alpha_n^{\beta_n}$

THEOREM 4: Let n be a positive integer. Let β_0 be algebraic and let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be non-zero algebraic numbers such that, for any fixed values of the logarithms, $\log \alpha_1, \dots, \log \alpha_n$ are linearly independent over \mathcal{Q} . Let β_1, \dots, β_n be algebraic numbers, not all rational. Put

$$\alpha_i^{\beta_i} = e^{\beta_i \log \alpha_i} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Let ε be a positive number. Then there exists an effectively computable number $S_2 = S_2(\varepsilon, \log \alpha_1, \dots, \log \alpha_n, \beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) > 0$ such that

$$(28) \quad |e^{\beta_0} \alpha_1^{\beta_1} \cdots \alpha_n^{\beta_n} - \xi| > \exp \{-N^{n^2+2n+2+\varepsilon} S^{n+1+\varepsilon}\}$$

for all algebraic ξ of degree N and size $S \geq S_2$.

PROOF: Put $\delta = (2n^3 + 8n^2 + 10n + 4)^{-1} \varepsilon$. For the sake of brevity, put

$$\sigma = e^{\beta_0} \alpha_1^{\beta_1} \cdots \alpha_n^{\beta_n}$$

and

$$U = N^{n^2+2n+2+(2n^3+8n^2+10n+4)\delta} S^{n+1+(2n^2+7n+11)\delta}$$

It is sufficient to prove that

$$|\sigma - \xi| > \exp \{-U\}$$

if $S \geq S_2$; in this proof we may assume that δ is rather small. By c_1, c_2, \dots we shall denote positive numbers which depend on $n, \log \alpha_1, \dots, \log \alpha_n, \beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$ only.

Suppose that

$$(29) \quad |\sigma - \xi| \leq \exp \{-U\}$$

for some algebraic number ξ of degree N and size S . We prove that this is impossible if S is sufficiently large.

Choose the following integers:

$$\begin{aligned} K &= [N^{n+(n^2+n)\delta} S^{1+(2n+3)\delta}], \\ L &= [N^{n+1+(n^2+n)\delta} S^{(2n+3)\delta}], \\ M &= [N^{n+1+(n^2+2n+1)\delta} S^{1+(2n+5)\delta}], \\ C &= 2[\frac{1}{2} \exp \{N^{n+1+(n^2+2n+1)\delta} S^{1+(2n+6)\delta}\}], \\ T &= [N^{n+1+(n^2+2n+1)\delta} S^{1+(2n+5)\delta}] (= M), \\ P &= [NS^{2\delta}], \\ R &= \left[\frac{n}{\delta} + 2n^2 + 5n + 3 \right], \\ T' &= [2^{-RT}], \text{ and} \\ P' &= [\frac{1}{2} N^{n^2+n+1+(n^3+2n^2+n)\delta} S^{n+(2n^2+5n+4)\delta}]. \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$\begin{aligned} F(z) &= \sum_{k_1=0}^{K-1} \cdots \sum_{k_n=0}^{K-1} \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \sum_{v=0}^{N-1} C_{k_1 \dots k_n l m v} \xi^v z^m \\ &\quad \times \exp \{ \ell \beta_0 z + \sum_{i=1}^n (k_i + \ell \beta_i) (\log \alpha_i) z \}, \end{aligned}$$

where the numbers $C_{k_1 \dots k_n l m v}$ are integers of absolute values at most C ; they will be specified later.

For $t = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ we have

$$(30) \quad F^{(t)}(z) = \sum_{\tau_1 + \dots + \tau_n = t} \frac{t!}{\tau_1! \cdots \tau_n!} \prod_{i=1}^n (\log \alpha_i)^{\tau_i} F_{\tau_1 \dots \tau_n}(z)$$

where

$$(31) \quad F_{\tau_1 \dots \tau_n}(z) = \sum_{k_1} \dots \sum_{k_n} \sum_l \sum_m \sum_v C_{k_1 \dots k_n l m v} \zeta^v \sum_{\kappa=0}^m \binom{\tau}{\kappa} \frac{m!}{(m-\kappa)!} \\ \times z^{m-\kappa} \ell^{\tau-\kappa} \beta_0^{\tau-\kappa} \prod_{i=1}^n (k_i + \ell \beta_i)^{\tau_i} \exp \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n k_i (\log \alpha_i) z \right\} \sigma^{Lz}.$$

Define $\Phi_{\tau_1 \dots \tau_n}$ by

$$\Phi_{\tau_1 \dots \tau_n}(z) = \sum_{k_1} \dots \sum_{k_n} \sum_l \sum_m \sum_v C_{k_1 \dots k_n l m v} \zeta^v \sum_{\kappa=0}^m \binom{\tau}{\kappa} \frac{m!}{(m-\kappa)!} \\ \times z^{m-\kappa} \ell^{\tau-\kappa} \beta_0^{\tau-\kappa} \prod_{i=1}^n (k_i + \ell \beta_i)^{\tau_i} \exp \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n k_i (\log \alpha_i) z \right\} \xi^{Lz}.$$

For $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, L-1$ and

$$p = 0, 1, \dots, [N^{n^2+n+1+(2n^3+7n^2+8n+3)\delta} S^{n+(2n^2+5n+5)\delta}]$$

one has

$$|\sigma^{lp} - \xi^{lp}| \leq lp |\sigma - \xi| (|\sigma| + 1)^{lp} \leq \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} U \right\}.$$

Hence,

$$(32) \quad |F_{\tau_1 \dots \tau_n}(p) - \Phi_{\tau_1 \dots \tau_n}(p)| \leq \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{3} U \right\}$$

for $\tau, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n = 0, 1, \dots, T-1$ and

$$p = 0, 1, \dots, [N^{n^2+n+1+(2n^3+7n^2+8n+3)\delta} S^{n+(2n^2+5n+5)\delta}].$$

We apply Lemma 6 of [4] to the polynomials

$$P_{\tau_1 \dots \tau_n p k_1 \dots k_n l m}(\tau, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n = 0, 1, \dots, T-1; p = 0, 1, \dots, P-1; \\ k_1, \dots, k_n = 0, 1, \dots, K-1; \ell = 0, 1, \dots, L-1 \text{ and } m = 0, 1, \dots, M-1),$$

chosen in the appropriate way such that

$$\Phi_{\tau_1 \dots \tau_n}(p) = \sum_{k_1} \dots \sum_{k_n} \sum_l \sum_m \sum_v C_{k_1 \dots k_n l m v} \zeta^v \\ \times P_{\tau_1 \dots \tau_n p k_1 \dots k_n l m}(\xi, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n).$$

If r, s and B denote the same numbers as in Lemma 6 of [4], we have

$$r = T^{n+1}P \leq N^{n^2+2n+2+(n^3+3n^2+3n+1)\delta} S^{n+1+(2n^2+7n+7)\delta}, \\ s = K^n L M \geq \frac{1}{2} N^{n^2+2n+2+(n^3+3n^2+3n+1)\delta} S^{n+1+(2n^2+7n+8)\delta}$$

and

$$B \leq \exp \{ c_1 N^{n+1+(n^2+2n+1)\delta} S^{1+(2n+5)\delta} \log S \}.$$

From these inequalities it is easy to check the conditions of this lemma. Hence, we can fix the numbers $C_{k_1 \dots k_n l m v}$ as integers, not all zero, of

absolute values at most C , such that $\Phi_{\tau\tau_1 \dots \tau_n p} = 0$ for $\tau, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n = 0, 1, \dots, T-1$ and $p = 0, 1, \dots, P-1$. With (32) this implies

$$(33) \quad |F_{\tau\tau_1 \dots \tau_n}(p)| \leq \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{3}U \right\}$$

for $0 \leq \tau + \tau_1 + \dots + \tau_n \leq T-1$ and $0 \leq p \leq P-1$.

Define T_r and P_r for $r = 0, 1, \dots, R$ by

$$T_r = [2^{-r}T]$$

and

$$P_r = [(N^{n+1}S)^{\delta}P].$$

Observe that

$$(34) \quad P_R \leq N^{n^2+n+1+(2n^3+7n^2+8n+3)\delta} S^{n+(2n^2+5n+5)\delta}.$$

LEMMA: For $r = 0, 1, \dots, R$ the inequality

$$(35) \quad |F_{\tau\tau_1 \dots \tau_n}(p)| \leq \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{3}U \right\}$$

holds for all non-negative integers $\tau, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$ and p with $0 \leq \tau + \tau_1 + \dots + \tau_n \leq T_r - 1$ and $0 \leq p \leq P_r - 1$.

PROOF: We use induction on r . For $r = 0$ the inequality has already been proved in (33). Let r be an integer with $0 \leq r \leq R-1$ for which

$$(36) \quad |F_{\tau\tau_1 \dots \tau_n}(p)| \leq \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{3}U \right\}$$

for $0 \leq \tau + \tau_1 + \dots + \tau_n \leq T_r - 1$ and $0 \leq p \leq P_r - 1$. Since

$$F_{\tau\tau_1 \dots \tau_n}(p) = \sum_{k_1} \dots \sum_{k_n} \sum_l \sum_m \sum_v C_{k_1 \dots k_n l m v} \xi^v \\ \times \prod_{i=1}^n (\log \alpha_i)^{-\tau_i} \times (z^m e^{l\beta_0 z})^{(\tau)} \times \prod_{i=1}^n (e^{(k_i + l\beta_i)(\log \alpha_i \tau_i)})^{(\tau_i)}$$

it follows that for $t = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$F_{\tau\tau_1 \dots \tau_n}^{(t)}(z) = \sum_{\mu + \mu_1 + \dots + \mu_n = t} \frac{t!}{\mu! \mu_1! \dots \mu_n!} \\ \times \prod_{i=1}^n (\log \alpha_i)^{\mu_i} F_{\tau + \mu, \tau_1 + \mu_1, \dots, \tau_n + \mu_n}(z).$$

Hence, (36) implies

$$(37) \quad |F_{\tau\tau_1 \dots \tau_n}^{(t)}(p)| \leq \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{4}U \right\}$$

for $0 \leq \tau + \tau_1 + \dots + \tau_n \leq T_{r+1} - 1$, $0 \leq t \leq T_{r+1} - 1$ and $0 \leq p \leq P_r - 1$.

For the same values of $\tau, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$ we obtain from (31)

$$(38) \quad \max_{|z| \leq 6P_{r+1}} |F_{\tau_1 \dots \tau_n}(z)| \leq \exp \{c_2 N^{n+1+(n^2+nr+2n+r+1)\delta} S^{1+(2n+r+6)\delta}\}.$$

We apply Lemma 7 of [4] to $F_{\tau_1 \dots \tau_n}$ with $R = P_{r+1}$, $A = 6$, $T = T_{r+1}$ and $P = P_r$. From (37), (38) and (34) we then obtain

$$\max_{|z| \leq P_{r+1}} |F_{\tau_1 \dots \tau_n}(z)| \leq \exp \{-2^{-(r+3)} N^{n+2+(n^2+nr+2n+r+1)\delta} S^{1+(2n+r+7)\delta}\}.$$

Consequently,

$$|F_{\tau_1 \dots \tau_n}(p)| \leq \exp \{-2^{-(r+3)} N^{n+2+(n^2+nr+2n+r+1)\delta} S^{1+(2n+r+7)\delta}\}$$

for $0 \leq \tau + \tau_1 + \dots + \tau_n \leq T_{r+1} - 1$ and $0 \leq p \leq P_{r+1} - 1$. From (32) and (34), it follows that

$$(39) \quad |\Phi_{\tau_1 \dots \tau_n}(p)| \leq \exp \{-2^{-(r+4)} N^{n+2+(n^2+nr+2n+r+1)\delta} S^{1+(2n+r+7)\delta}\}$$

for $0 \leq \tau + \tau_1 + \dots + \tau_n \leq T_{r+1} - 1$ and $0 \leq p \leq P_{r+1} - 1$.

However, for these values of $\tau, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$ and p , we can consider $\Phi_{\tau_1 \dots \tau_n}(p)$ as a polynomial in $\xi, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$, of degree less than $LP_{r+1} + N$ in ξ, KP_{r+1} in $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ and T_{r+1} in $\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$. The sum of the absolute values of its coefficients is at most

$$\exp \{2N^{n+1+(n^2+2n+1)\delta} S^{1+(2n+6)\delta}\}.$$

According to Lemma 3 of [4] we have either $\Phi_{\tau_1 \dots \tau_n}(p) = 0$ or

$$(40) \quad |\Phi_{\tau_1 \dots \tau_n}(p)| \geq \exp \{-c_3 N^{n+2+(n^2+nr+2n+r+1)\delta} S^{1+(2n+r+6)\delta}\}.$$

Hence,

$$\Phi_{\tau_1 \dots \tau_n}(p) = 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq \tau + \tau_1 + \dots + \tau_n \leq T_{r+1} - 1 \text{ and } 0 \leq p \leq P_{r+1} - 1.$$

From (32) and (34) we see

$$|F_{\tau_1 \dots \tau_n}(p)| \leq \exp \{-\frac{1}{3}U\}$$

for $0 \leq \tau + \tau_1 + \dots + \tau_n \leq T_{r+1} - 1$ and $0 \leq p \leq P_{r+1} - 1$, which proves the lemma.

From (35) with $r = R$ we get

$$|F_{\tau_1 \dots \tau_n}(p)| \leq \exp \{-\frac{1}{3}U\}$$

for $0 \leq \tau + \tau_1 + \dots + \tau_n \leq T_R - 1$ and $0 \leq p \leq P_R - 1$. We have $T_R = T'$. From $R \geq n/\delta + 2n^2 + 5n + 2$ we see

$$P_R \geq \left[\frac{1}{2} N^{n^2+n+1+(2n^3+7n^2+7n+2)\delta} S^{n+(2n^2+5n+4)\delta} \right] \geq P'$$

Thus,

$$|F_{\tau_1 \dots \tau_n}(p)| \leq \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{3} U \right\}$$

for $0 \leq \tau + \tau_1 + \dots + \tau_n \leq T' - 1$ and $0 \leq p \leq P' - 1$. From (30) we now obtain

$$(41) \quad |F^{(t)}(p)| \leq \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} U \right\}$$

for $t = 0, 1, \dots, T' - 1$ and $p = 0, 1, \dots, P' - 1$.

The exponents of F have the form

$$\ell \beta_0 + (k_1 + \ell \beta_1) \log \alpha_1 + \dots + (k_n + \ell \beta_n) \log \alpha_n.$$

Let Ω and ω have the same meaning as in Lemma 8 of [4]. Then

$$(42) \quad \Omega \leq c_4 N^{n+(n^2+n)\delta} S^{1+(2n+3)\delta},$$

from which the condition

$$T'P' \geq 2K^rLM + 13\Omega P'$$

follows by direct computation.

The difference of two exponents of F is of the form

$$\ell \beta_0 + (k_1 + \ell \beta_1) \log \alpha_1 + \dots + (k_n + \ell \beta_n) \log \alpha_n$$

with integral k_1, \dots, k_n, ℓ , not all zero, and $|k_i| \leq K - 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $|\ell| \leq L - 1$. Moreover, at least one of the numbers $k_i + \ell \beta_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) is non-zero, since β_1, \dots, β_n are not all rational. The degrees of $\ell \beta_0, k_1 + \ell \beta_1, \dots, k_n + \ell \beta_n$ are constants. We estimate their heights by means of Lemma 3; we then see that these heights do not exceed

$$c_5(2KL)^{c_6} \leq S^{c_7}$$

in which c_5 and c_6 are upper bounds for the heights and degrees resp. of $\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$. From Lemma 1 with $\varepsilon = \delta$ it follows that

$$|\ell \beta_0 + (k_1 + \ell \beta_1) \log \alpha_1 + \dots + (k_n + \ell \beta_n) \log \alpha_n| > \exp \left\{ -(\log S)^{1+2\delta} \right\}.$$

Hence, the exponents of F are distinct and

$$(43) \quad \omega > \exp \left\{ -(\log S)^{1+2\delta} \right\} > \exp \left\{ -S^\delta \right\}.$$

From Lemma 8 of [4], using (41), (42) and (43) we obtain the inequality

$$(44) \quad \left| \sum_{v=0}^{N-1} C_{k_1 \dots k_n \ell m v} \xi^v \right| \leq \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{5} U \right\}$$

for $k_1, \dots, k_n = 0, 1, \dots, K - 1$; $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, L - 1$ and $m = 0, 1, \dots, M - 1$.

According to Lemma 3 of [4] we have either

$$(45) \quad \sum_{v=0}^{N-1} C_{k_1 \dots k_n l m v} \xi^v = 0 \text{ or} \\ \left| \sum_{v=0}^{N-1} C_{k_1 \dots k_n l m v} \xi^v \right| > \exp \left\{ -2N^{n+2+(n^2+2n+1)\delta} S^{1+(2n+6)\delta} \right\}$$

for the same values of k_1, \dots, k_n, l and m . It follows that

$$\sum_{v=0}^{N-1} C_{k_1 \dots k_n l m v} \xi^v = 0$$

for all of these values. Since ξ has the degree N , this implies that all integers $C_{k_1 \dots k_n l m v}$ are zero, in contradiction to their choice. The theorem has been proved.

Using the fact, that there are only finitely many algebraic numbers ξ of size $S < S_2$, and using Lemma 9 of [4], one immediately obtains the following theorem:

THEOREM 5: *Under the conditions of Theorem 4, there exists an effectively computable, number $C_2 = C_2(\varepsilon, \log \alpha_1, \dots, \log \alpha_n, \beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) > 0$ such that*

$$\exp \left\{ -C_2 N^{n^2+2n+2+\varepsilon} S^{n+1+\varepsilon} \right\}$$

is a transcendence measure of $e^{\beta_0} \alpha_1^{\beta_1} \dots \alpha_n^{\beta_n}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. BAKER: Linear forms in the logarithms of algebraic numbers III. *Mathematika* 14 (1967) 220–228.
- [2] A. BAKER: A central theorem in transcendence theory, Diophantine approximations and its applications (edited by Charles F. Osgood). Academic Press, New York and London 1973, pp. 1–23.
- [3] P. L. CIJSOUW: Transcendence measures, thesis. University of Amsterdam, 1972.
- [4] P. L. CIJSOUW: Transcendence measures of exponentials and logarithms of algebraic numbers *Comp. Math.* 28 (2) (1974) 163–178.
- [5] N. I. FEL'DMAN: Estimate for a linear form of logarithms of algebraic numbers (Russian). *Mat. Sbornik (N.S.)* 76 (118) (1968) 304–319. English translation: *Math. USSR Sbornik* 5 (1968) 291–307.
- [6] N. I. FEL'DMAN: Improved estimate for a linear form of the logarithms of algebraic numbers (Russian). *Mat. Sbornik (N.S.)* 77 (119) (1968) 423–436. English translation: *Math. USSR Sbornik* 6 (1968) 393–406.
- [7] A. A. SMELEV: The approximation of a certain class of transcendental numbers (Russian). *Mat. Zametki* 5 (1) (1969) 117–128. English translation: *Math. Notes* 5 (1969) 73–79.

(Oblatum 22-X-1973)

Instituut voor Propedeutische Wiskunde
Universiteit van Amsterdam
Roetersstraat 15
Amsterdam.