

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

GILBERT BAUMSLAG

Residually finite groups with the same finite images

Compositio Mathematica, tome 29, n° 3 (1974), p. 249-252

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1974__29_3_249_0>

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1974, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/legal.php>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

RESIDUALLY FINITE GROUPS WITH THE SAME FINITE IMAGES

Gilbert Baumslag¹

Section 1

The object of this note is to describe a new way of constructing finitely generated residually finite groups with the same finite images which are not isomorphic (see [1], [2], [4] and [9]). It is easy to construct examples of this kind unless severe restrictions are placed on the groups concerned – in the works cited above they are either finitely generated nilpotent or polycyclic. Here we shall derive a recipe for constructing some surprising simple additional examples. In particular this recipe leads to the

THEOREM: *Let F be a finite cyclic group with an automorphism of order n , where n is different from 1, 2, 3, 4 and 6. Then there are at least two non-isomorphic cyclic extensions of F with the same finite images.*

It is, perhaps, worth emphasizing that the groups provided by the theorem are all metacyclic i.e., extensions of cyclic groups by cyclic groups (and hence residually finite [5]). Thus even metacyclic groups are not determined by their finite images. In fact it is easy to extract from the proof of the theorem the somewhat surprising

COROLLARY: *The metacyclic groups*

$$G = \langle a, b; a^{25} = 1, b^{-1}ab = a^6 \rangle \text{ and} \\ H = \langle c, d; c^{25} = 1, d^{-1}cd = c^{11} \rangle$$

have the same finite images and are nilpotent of class two, but they are not isomorphic.

This corollary establishes the existence of non-isomorphic finitely generated nilpotent groups of class two with the same finite images.

¹ Support from the N.S.F. is gratefully acknowledged.

Section 2

The proof of Theorem 1 depends on the following simple

PROPOSITION: *Let A, B, C and D be finitely generated groups. If B and D have precisely the same finite images and if*

$$A \times B \simeq C \times D,$$

then A and C also have the same finite images.

PROOF: Let \underline{V} be any variety which is generated by a finite group. If $V(X)$ denotes the verbal subgroup of the group X defined by \underline{V} (see [7]) then it follows that

$$V(A \times B) = V(A) \times V(B) \simeq V(C) \times V(D) = V(C \times D).$$

Hence

$$(1) \quad A/V(A) \times B/V(B) \simeq C/V(C) \times D/V(D).$$

Now the finitely generated groups in a variety generated by a finite group are finite (see [7], p. 18). Thus all of the groups in equation (1) are finite. Moreover $B/V(B) \simeq D/V(D)$ since, by hypothesis, B and D have the same finite images. Therefore, by the well-known theorem of R. Remak [8], $A/V(A)$ and $C/V(C)$ are isomorphic. Since \underline{V} is any variety generated by a finite group, it follows that A and C have the same finite images.

This proposition may be viewed as a recipe for constructing non-isomorphic finitely generated residually finite groups with the same finite images. We need only choose A and C to be finitely generated residually finite groups which are not isomorphic but admit a choice of two finitely generated groups B and D such that $A \times B \simeq C \times D$. This is not difficult (see [10] and [6]). The theorem is proved in this way by allying the proposition with Hirshon's remarks in [6].

Bearing these comments in mind we shall proceed now with the details of the proof of the theorem. Thus we suppose that $F = gp(a)$ is a finite cyclic group with an automorphism α of order n , n different from 1, 2, 3, 4 and 6. Since $\phi(n) > 2$, where $\phi(n)$ is the number of positive integers less than and prime to n (cf. Hardy and Wright [3]), we can find a power α^l of α with the properties

$$(i) \quad \alpha^l \neq \alpha, \quad \alpha^l \neq \alpha^{-1} \quad \text{and}$$

$$(ii) \quad (l, n) = 1.$$

Let A be the split extension of F by an infinite cyclic group which induces α on F and let C be the split extension of F by an infinite cyclic group which induces α^l on F . If $ax = a^r$ we may present A and C as

follows:

$$A = \langle a, b; a^m = 1, b^{-1}ab = a^r \rangle \text{ and } C = \langle a, c; a^m = 1, c^{-1}ac = a^r \rangle.$$

We shall prove

LEMMA 1: $A \not\cong C$

and

LEMMA 2: A and C have the same finite images.

The proof of Lemma 1 is straightforward while that of Lemma 2, which can be proved directly, makes use of the proposition. First we prove Lemma 1. Thus suppose, if possible, that $\theta : A \rightarrow C$ is an isomorphism. Now F is the set of elements of finite order in both A and C . Therefore θ induces an automorphism of F . Hence

$$a\theta = a^s$$

where s and m are coprime. Moreover since A/F and C/F are both infinite cyclic we either have

$$b\theta = ca^t \text{ or } b\theta = c^{-1}a^t$$

where t is a suitably chosen integer. This implies that either $\alpha = \alpha^l$ or that $\alpha^{-1} = \alpha^l$ contradicting the choice of l in (i). To see this suppose that $b\theta = ca^t$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^s \alpha &= a^{rs} = (a^r)\theta = (b^{-1}ab)\theta = (b\theta)^{-1}a\theta b\theta = (ca^t)^{-1}a^s(ca^t) \\ &= c^{-1}a^s c = \alpha^s \alpha^l \end{aligned}$$

But $(s, m) = 1$ which means that $\alpha = \alpha^l$. A similar argument yields $\alpha^{-1} = \alpha^l$ in the case where $b\theta = c^{-1}a^t$. This completes the proof of Lemma 1.

In order to prove Lemma 2 it suffices, by the proposition, to prove that $P = A \times Z$, where Z is an infinite cyclic group generated by z , has a second direct decomposition $P = C^* \times Z^*$ where $C^* \cong C$ and $Z^* \cong Z$. This is done by following, essentially verbatim, the argument given by Hirshon in [6]. For completeness we give the details here. By (ii) we can find integers u and v such that $ul - vn = 1$. Put $Z^* = gp(b^n z^u)$ and $C^* = gp(a, b^l z^v)$. Observe that Z^* is central in P and that $P = C^* \times Z^*$ because

$$(b^n z^u)^l (b^l z^v)^{-n} = z^{ul - vn} = z.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.

Putting Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 together now proves the Theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. C. BRIGHAM: On the isomorphism problem for just-infinite groups. *Comm. Pure and Applied Math.* XXIV. (1971) 789–796.
- [2] L. L. DYER: On the isomorphism problem for polycyclic groups. *Math. Zeitsch.* 112 (1969) 145–153.
- [3] G. H. HARDY and E. M. WRIGHT: *An introduction to the theory of numbers*. Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- [4] G. HIGMAN: Unpublished.
- [5] K. A. HIRSCH: On infinite solvable groups. II. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (2) 44 (1938) 336–344.
- [6] R. HIRSHON: On cancellation in groups. *American Math. Monthly* 76 (1969), 1037–1039.
- [7] H. NEUMANN: *Varieties of groups*, *Ergebnisse der Math. und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 37*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1967, MR. 35 # 6734.
- [8] R. REMAK: Über die Zerlegung der endlichen Gruppen in direkte unzerlegbare Faktoren. *J. reine angew. Math.* 139 (1911) 293–308.
- [9] V. N. REMESLENNIKOV: Conjugacy of subgroups in nilpotent groups. *Algebra i Logika Sem.* 6 (1967) no. 2 61–76. (Russian) MR. 36 # 1545.
- [10] E. A. WALKER: Cancellation in direct sums of groups. *Contributions to the theory of groups* (1956) 101–129. University of Kansas.

(Oblatum 26–II–1974)

City College of C.U.N.Y.
Convent Avenue at 138th Street,
New York, N.Y.