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By an algebraic number field \( F \) we shall mean a finite extension of \( \mathbb{Q} \), the field of rational numbers. The class number \( h_F \) of \( F \) is the order of \( C_F \), the group of ideal classes of \( F \). In previous work ([5], [6], [7]) we have determined all \( F \) of the form \( F = \mathbb{Q}(\exp 2\pi i/m) \) such that \( h_m = h_{\mathbb{C}_m} \leq 2 \). In this paper we extend our results and give a complete list of cyclotomic fields whose class number is less than 11. Since for \( m \) an odd integer we have \( \mathbb{C}_m = \mathbb{C}_{2m} \), we assume throughout this paper that \( m \equiv 2 \mod 4 \) and our result is

**Main Theorem:** Let \( m \) be an integer greater than 2, \( m \not\equiv 2 \mod 4 \). Then all the values of \( m \) for which the cyclotomic field \( \mathbb{C}_m \) has class number \( h_m \) with \( 2 \leq h_m \leq 10 \) are listed in the table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( h_m )</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( m )</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>56</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td>68</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Furthermore, all the other values of \( m \) with \( \varphi(m) = |\mathbb{C}_m : \mathbb{Q}| \leq 24 \) give the twenty-nine values of \( m \) for which \( h_m = 1 \).

In §1 we use group actions on the ideal class group to prove a general lemma which is of interest in itself. Essentially it says that for non-cyclic abelian extensions \( L/K \) all the possible prime divisors of \( h_L \) can be found by looking at \( |L : K| \) and the class numbers of proper subfields of \( L \). In §2 we show how the problem of finding all \( m \) with
1. The \((p, p)\)-lemma

In the sequel we shall refer to the following lemma as the \((p, p)\)-lemma.

**Lemma 1:** Let \(p\) and \(q\) be distinct primes and let \(L/K\) be a Galois extension of type \((p, p)\). Then \(q\) divides \(h_L\) if and only if \(q\) divides the class number of at least one of the proper intermediate fields between \(K\) and \(L\).

**Proof:** Let \(G_0\) be the Galois group of \(L/K\) and let \(G_1, \ldots, G_{p+1}\) be the distinct subgroups of \(G_0\) which have order \(p\). Denote the fixed field of \(G_i\) by \(K_i\) (so \(K = K_0\)), put \(C_i = C_{K_i}\), and let \(S_i\) be the \(q\)-Sylow subgroup of \(C_i\), \(0 \leq i \leq p + 1\). Let \(S\) be the \(q\)-Sylow subgroup of \(C_L\).

Now if \(L \supset E \supset K_i\) with \(|E : K_i| = p\), then \(q|h_E\) whenever \(q|h_{K_i}\). One sees this as follows. A non-trivial ideal class \(c\) of order \(q\) in \(S_i\) may be viewed as an element of \(C_E\) by extending any ideal representative \(a\) of \(c\) to an ideal \(\mathfrak{a}\) of \(E\) in the natural manner. Suppose \(c\) is trivial in \(C_E\), i.e. \(\mathfrak{a}\) is a principal ideal of \(E\). Applying the norm map shows that \(\alpha^p\) is principal and hence \(\alpha^p = 1\) in \(S_i\), a \(q\)-group. This contradicts the non-triviality of \(c\). Thus, \(q|h_{K_i}\) implies a non-trivial class \(c\) exists in \(S_i\) and this class extends to a non-trivial class of \(C_E\) whence \(q|h_E\). This proves the “if” part of the lemma. Moreover, it shows that \(q|h_{K_i}\), \(1 \leq i \leq p + 1\) whenever \(q|h_K\) so we may assume for the “only if” part of the lemma that \(q \nmid h_K\).

The group \(G_0\) operates on \(S\) and since every element of \(S\) has a unique \(p\)-th root we may extend the action of \(G_0\) on \(S\) to an action of \(R = (\mathbb{Z}[G_0])[p^{-1}]\) on \(S\) where \(\mathbb{Z}\) denotes the ring of rational integers. We denote this action exponentially so that for \(r \in R, s \in S, s'\) is the result of the action of \(r\) on \(s\).

We now decompose \(1 \in R\) into a sum of orthogonal idempotents and use the resulting decomposition of \(S\) to achieve our result. For a subgroup \(H\) of \(G_0\) let \(H^+ \subseteq R\) be the sum of the elements of \(H\) and put \(d_1^+ = p^{-1}G_i^+, d_i = 1 - d_i^+\) for \(1 \leq i \leq p + 1\). Let \(e_0 = p^{-2}G_o^+\) and \(e_i = d_1 \cdots d_{i-1}d_i; d_{i+1} \cdots d_{p+1} = d_i^+ - e_0\), \(1 \leq i \leq p + 1\). Then \(1 = e_0 + \cdots + e_{p+1}\) and \(e_i e_j = \delta_{ij} e_0\) for \(0 \leq i, j \leq p + 1\) where \(\delta_{ij}\) is the Kronecker delta.
Define $S^i = \{ s^i | s \in S \}$ for $0 \leq i \leq p + 1$. Then $S^i = \{ s \in S | s^i = s \}$ and since $S^0 = 1$ (we assumed $q \nmid h_K$), $S = S^0 \times \cdots \times S^{p+1}$, an internal direct product. We claim the norm map $N_i$ from $S$ to $S_i$ induces an embedding of $S^i$ into $S_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq p + 1$. To see this, note that $N_i(s) = 1 \in S_i$ for $s \in S^i$ implies $s^p = s^{p^i} = s^{p^i\cdot p} = s^{G^i} = 1 \in S^i$, a $q$-group. Consequently, $q \mid h_i$ implies that $S$ and hence at least one $S^i$ is non-trivial so that via the embedding of $S^i$ into $S_i$ we see that $q \mid h_K$.

2. The relative class number

Let $C_m^+$ be the maximal real subfield of $C_m$ and put $h_m^+ = h_{C_m^+}$. Then $h_m^+ | h_m$ so $h_m = h_m^+ h_m^*$ where the positive integer $h_m^*$ is called the relative class number of $C_m$. In this section we will determine all $m$ with $h_m^* \leq 10$. We shall call such a value of $m$ admissible. We obtain a finite list of admissible $m$ so that to determine when $h_m \leq 10$ we need only to find $h_m^*$ for each admissible value of $m$. In the next section we will see that $h_m^* = 1$ whenever $h_m^* \leq 10$.

We require a formula for $h_m^*$ which may be described as follows (cf. [3], pp. 78–79). Let $\Delta$ be the group of Dirichlet characters modulo $m$. For each cyclic subgroup of $\Delta$ choose a generator $\chi$, let $\psi \pmod{f_\chi}$ be the primitive character which induces $\chi$ (so that $f_\chi$ is the conductor of both $\chi$ and $\psi$), and let $n_\psi$ be the order of $\psi$. Denote by $\Psi = \Psi(m)$ the set of such $\psi$ with $\psi(-1) = -1$. Let $N_\psi$ be the norm map $N_\psi : C_{n_\psi} \to \mathbb{Q}$ and put $\Theta_\psi = (2f_\psi)^{-1} \sum_{a=1}^{f_\psi} a\psi(a)$. Then

$$h_m^* = Q w \prod_{\psi \in \Psi(m)} N_\psi(\Theta_\psi)$$

where $Q = 1$ if $m$ is a prime power and $Q = 2$ otherwise, and $w$ is the number of distinct roots of unity in $C_m$. We use the following properties of the $N_\psi(\Theta_\psi)$.

**Lemma 2:** Let $\psi$ be a primitive Dirichlet character mod $f_\psi$ with $\psi(-1) = -1$ and let $n_\psi$ be the order of $\psi$.

(A) If $f_\psi$ is divisible by more than one prime, then $N_\psi(\Theta_\psi) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(B) Suppose $n_\psi$ is a power of 2.

(i) If at least three distinct primes divide $f_\psi$, then $N_\psi(\Theta_\psi) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$.

(ii) If exactly two primes $p > q$ divide $f_\psi$, then $N_\psi(\Theta_\psi)$ is an even or an odd integer according as the quadratic residue symbol $(\frac{\psi}{p}) = 1$ or $-1$.

(C) If $f_\psi = p^n$ for $p$ a prime, then $N_\psi(\Theta_\psi) \in (2p)^{-1} \mathbb{Z}$ and, more precisely, the absolute value of the denominator (in lowest terms)
(i) may be divisible by \( p \) for \( p \) odd and \( n = \varphi(p^a) \).

(ii) is divisible by 2 if \( p \) is odd and \( n = 2^\alpha \) (since \( \psi(-1) = -1 \), this occurs only for \( 2^m \mid p-1 \)).

(iii) is 4 for \( f = 4 \) and is 2 for \( f = 2^a, \alpha \geq 3 \).

(iv) is 1, i.e. \( N(\vartheta) \in \mathbb{Z} \), in all other cases.

**Proof:** This is the content of Sätze 30–33 in [3].

From the properties of the \( N(\vartheta) \) recorded in Lemma 2 we have proved in [7], Lemma 5 that

**Lemma 3:** If \( m \mid n \), then \( h_n^* \mid h_m^* \).

By virtue of Lemma 3 we limit the admissible values of \( m \) to those \( m \) whose prime power factors are admissible. That there are only finitely many admissible \( m \) follows then from

**Lemma 4:** If \( p^a > 32 \) for a prime \( p \), then \( h_{p^a}^* > 10 \).

**Proof:** It is known ([7], Cor. 1) that \( h_{p^a}^* > 10^{30} \) for \( p > 131 \). Now the numbers \( h_p^* \), \( 3 \leq p < 200 \) have been calculated independently by Schrutka [10] and M. Newman [9] and one sees then that the prime \( p \) is admissible if and only if \( p < 32 \). With regard to prime powers one knows ([8]) that \( h_{p^a}^* > e^3 h_{p^{a-1}}^* \) for \( a \geq 2 \) and \( p^a > 100 \). Hence, \( h_{p^a}^* > e^a > 10 \) for \( p^a = 5^4, 11^2, 13^2, 17^2, 19^2, 23^2, 29^2, 31^2 \). We have ([3] or [10]) \( h_{p^a}^* > 10 \) for \( p^a = 2^6, 3^4, 7^2 \) so by Lemma 3 we are done.

The following two lemmas are useful for showing that values of \( m \) are inadmissible.

**Lemma 5:** If \( p \neq q \) are odd primes, then \( h_{pq}^*/(h_p^*h_q^*) = \Pi_{\psi \in \Psi(pq)} f_\psi = pqN(\vartheta) \in \mathbb{Z} \). In particular, if there exists \( \psi \in \Psi(pq) \) of conductor \( pq \) with \( N(\vartheta) > 10/(h_p^*h_q^*) \) then \( pq \) is inadmissible.

**Proof:** Use (2.1) for \( m = p, q, \) and \( pq \) to get the expression for \( h_{pq}^*/(h_p^*h_q^*) \) as a product of norms. Lemma 2A says that each norm in the product \( \in \mathbb{Z} \).

**Lemma 6:** If \( p \) and \( q \) are odd primes, \( p \not\equiv q \mod 4 \), then for \( K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-pq}) \) we have \( h_K h_p^* h_q^* \mid h_{pq}^* \).

**Proof:** Use (2.1) for \( m = p, q, \) and \( pq \) to get the expression for \( h_{pq}^*/(h_p^*h_q^*) \) as a product of norms. Lemma 2A says that each norm in the product \( \in \mathbb{Z} \).
PROOF: Use Lemma 5, Lemma 2A, and the fact that the quadratic character \( \psi \mod pq \) satisfies \( h_{\psi} = 2N_\psi(\Theta_\psi) \).

It is now easy to find all admissible \( m \). The result is as follows:

**Proposition 1:** Let \( m \not\equiv 2 \mod 4 \) be an integer greater than 1. Then the relative class number \( h_m^* \) of the cyclotomic field \( \mathbb{C}_m \) is less than 11 precisely for \( m = 51, 55, 57, 63, 68, 80, 96, 120 \) and all \( m \) with \( |\mathbb{C}_m : \mathbb{Q}| = \varphi(m) < 32 \).

**Remark:** A more exact breakdown of this list is provided in the statement of the Main Theorem because we shall show in the next section that \( h_m^* = 1 \) and hence \( h_m^* = h_m \) for all admissible \( m \).

**Proof of Proposition 1:** An admissible \( m = p_1^{n_1} \cdots p_t^{n_t} \) with \( p_1, \ldots, p_t \) distinct primes must have \( p_i^{n_i} \leq 32 \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq t \) by Lemmas 3 and 4. We use Lemmas 2, 5, and 6 to cut down the possibilities even further. For example, \( h_{221}^* = h_{221}^*/(h_{13}^*h_{17}^*) \) is a product of the integers \( N_\psi(\Theta_\psi) \) with \( \psi \in \Psi(221), f_\psi = 221 \) (cf. Lemma 5). There are six such \( \psi \) with \( n_\psi \) a power of 2 so by Lemma 2B(ii) we have \( 2^6|h_{221}^* \), and, by Lemma 3, \( 2^6|h_{13}^*h_{17}^* \) for any positive integer \( k \). Lemma 3 also shows that \( h_{23}^*h_{29}^* = 24 \) divides \( h_{23}^*h_{29}^* \).

The values of \( h_m^* \) for \( \varphi(m) \leq 256 \) which are given in the tables of Schrutka [10] show that most values of \( m \) are inadmissible. For example, \( h_{32}^* = h_{132}^* = 11 \) so \( m = 3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot k \) and \( m = 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 11 \cdot k, k \) any positive integer, are inadmissible. For values not covered in available tables one can check that \( m \) is inadmissible by computing enough \( N_\psi(\Theta_\psi) \)-type factors, \( \psi \in \Psi(m) \) keeping Lemma 2 in mind. A remarkably simple scheme for computing \( N_\psi(\Theta_\psi) \) when more than one prime divides \( f_\psi \) is given in §§28, 33 of [3]. We used these methods and Lemma 5 to show that \( m = 31 \cdot 19, 31 \cdot 13, 31 \cdot 11, 29 \cdot 17, 29 \cdot 13, 19 \cdot 17 \) and their multiples are inadmissible. We used Lemma 6 to see that \( m = 31 \cdot 29, 31 \cdot 17, 29 \cdot 19, 29 \cdot 11, 23 \cdot 17, 23 \cdot 13 \) and their multiples are inadmissible. From all these considerations the only \( m \) with \( h_m^* \leq 10 \) are found to be the 44 values mentioned in the proposition and the Main Theorem.

### 3. Class numbers of maximal real subfields

In this section we show that \( h_m^* = 1 \) whenever \( h_m^* < 11 \). Hence, the admissible \( m \) determined in §2 all give cyclotomic fields with class
numbers < 11 and these are all the full cyclotomic fields with such low class numbers.

We have already shown ([5], [6], [7]) that $n^* = 1$ when $h^* \leq 2$. The $m$ with $3 \leq h^* \leq 10$ are $m = 23, 29, 31, 51, 52, 55, 57, 63, 72, 80, 96, 120$. For $m = 23, 29, 31, 51, 52, 55, 57, 68$ we have $C_m$ cyclic over $\mathbb{Q}$ and then $n^* = 1$ from computations of Bauer [1]. For $m = 63, 72, 80, 96, 120$ we will use other methods to determine $h^*_m$. We will need

**Lemma 7:** Let $L/K$ be a cyclic extension with $|L : K| = p^n$ for $p$ a prime. Suppose only one prime divisor of $K$ ramifies in $L$. Then $p|h_L$ only if $p|h_K$.

**Proof:** This lemma was first proved by Iwasawa [4]. The result is true under the weaker assumption that $L/K$ is a $p$-extension (cf. Yokoyama [11]).

**Definition:** Let $L/K$ be a cyclic extension of algebraic number fields and let $p$ be a rational prime. We say $L/K$ pushes $p$ away if $|L : K| = p^n$, $p \nmid h_K$, and if there is a unique prime $P$ of $K$ above $p$ and $P$ is the only prime divisor of $K$ ramified in $L$.

We note that $C_{63}/C_{21}$ pushes 3 away so $3 \nmid h_{63}$ by Lemma 7. We claim also that $C_{51}/C_{9}, C_{80}/C_{40}, C_{120}/C_{60}, C_{60}/C_{15}$, and $C_{96}/F_{96}$ push 2 away where $F_{96}$ is the cyclic subfield of $C_{96}$ of degree 8 and conductor 96. All the conditions are easy to check except that $h_{40} = h_{40}^*$ which is done in [7] and that $h_{96}^* = h_{96}^{(6\text{th})}$ which is covered in Bauer’s computations [1]. Hence $h_{72}, h_{160}, h_{120},$ and $h_{96}$ are odd by Lemma 7.

We are now ready to prove the

**Main Theorem:** Let $m$ be an integer greater than one, $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and let $h_m$ be the class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\exp 2\pi i/m)$. Then all the $m$ with $2 \leq h_m \leq 10$ are listed in the table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$h_m$</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$m$</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>56</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
<td>57</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Furthermore, the twenty-nine other values of $m$ with $\varphi(m) \leq 24$ are all the $m$ with $h_m = 1$. 

PROOF: All that remains is to show that no odd prime divides $h_{120}$, $h_{50}$, $h_{96}$, or $h_{120}$ and that no prime other than 3 divides $h_{63}$. We have used the $(p, p)$-lemma to verify this. To illustrate the procedure we will show that $h_{120} = h_{63} = 1$.

Suppose the odd prime $q$ divides $h_{120}$. Now $C_{120}/C_{20}$ is a $(2, 2)$-extension with intermediate fields $C_{20}(6^{1/2})$, $C_{60}$, $C_{40}$. Since $h_{60} = h_{40} = 1$ (see [7]), the $(2, 2)$-lemma implies that $q$ must divide the class number of $C_{20}(6^{1/2})$. Now $C_{20}(6^{1/2})/C_{5}$ is again a $(2, 2)$-extension so then $q$ must divide the class number of at least one of $C_{20}$, $C_{5}(6^{1/2})$, or $F_{120}$ where $F_{120}$ is a cyclic extension of degree 4 over $Q$, has conductor 120, and contains $C_{5} = Q(5^{1/2})$ as unique quadratic subfield. The field $C_{20}$ has class number one ([7]) and the $(2, 2)$-lemma shows that the class number of $C_{5}(6^{1/2})$ is prime to $q$ so it suffices to show that $q$ does not divide $h_{F_{120}}$ to obtain a contradiction. A defining equation for $F_{120}$ is $f(x) = x^4 - 30x^2 + 180$ (cf. [2]). It is easy to verify that the ideals generated by 2 and 3 are each the square of a prime ideal, that the ideal generated by 5 is the fourth power of a prime ideal ($f(x)$ is Eisenstein for 5), and that all other prime ideals of norm less than the Minkowski bound are in the ideal classes generated by the prime ideals above 2, 3, and 5. Therefore, no class has odd order in $C_{F_{120}}$ and $q$ does not divide $h_{F_{120}}$.

Similarly, assume the prime $q \neq 3$ divides $h_{63}$. Now $C_{63}/Q(21^{1/2})$ is a $(3, 3)$-extension with four proper intermediate fields (cf. [3], p. 169) each of which is a cyclic extension of $Q$ of degree 6. The tables of Bauer [1] show that two of these fields have class number three and the other two have class number one. However, the $(3, 3)$-lemma implies that $q$ divides the class number of one of these four fields. This contradiction proves that $q \nmid h_{63}$ and since we have already seen that $3 \nmid h_{63}$ we obtain $h_{63} = 1$ and $h_{63} = 7$.

REMARKS:
1. There are 189 real cyclic fields with conductor not exceeding 100. For those fields of degree 8 or higher we can improve the Minkowski bound for minimal norms of integral ideals in an ideal class. If the field has degree $n$, we multiply the usual Minkowski bound by

$$e^{3/2}(1 + n \log n)(2.5 \log n)^{3/2} \log n \left(\frac{\pi}{4\sqrt{e}}\right)^n.$$

This formula can be found in [H]. Bauer’s paper [1] lists those of the 189 fields which have improved Minkowski bound of more than 50,000.

2. The bound of 10 was chosen because $h_{132} = 11$ and $C_{132}$ contains a cyclic field of degree 10 not covered by Bauer’s computations.
3. New methods have developed which have allowed us to check all of Bauer’s computations which were needed in [5–7] and this paper. Refinements of the new methods will probably allow us to verify all the results of [1].

4. It would be useful to have divisors of $h_m^*$ computed for $m = pq$ with $p$ and $q$ distinct primes $< 70$. It seems likely that then we could determine all $m$ with $h_m < 1,000,000$.

5. The structure of the class groups of $C_m$ for $m = 120, 68, 57,$ and $96$ is unknown. For the other $m$ with $h_m < 11$, the class group is cyclic except for that of $C_{29}$ which is of exponent 2.
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