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## Numdam

# p-LOCAL UNCONDITIONAL STRUCTURE OF BANACH SPACES* 

Y. Gordon


#### Abstract

There are Banach spaces which fail to have $p$-local unconditional structure ( $p$-l.u.st.) for any $p, \infty>p>0$. In particular, there exist $n$-dimensional Banach spaces $E_{n}, n=1,2, \ldots$, whose $p$-l.u.st. constants are "almost" the largest possible theoretical value $\min \left\{n^{1 / 2}, n^{p}\right\}$. The $p-1 . u . s t$. constant is smaller and not equivalent to the usual l.u.st. constant.


## 1. Introduction

Given any $\infty>p \geq 0$, let $\eta_{p}$ be the ideal norm defined in the following manner: If $T \in L(E, F)$ is a bounded operator from a Banach space $E$ to a Banach space $F$ which can be written the form $T x=\sum_{i \geq 1} A_{i} x(x \in E)$, where $A_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots)$ are in the class $\mathscr{F}(E, F)$ of the finite-rank operators from $E$ to $F$, then

$$
\eta_{p}(T)=\inf \sup \left\|\sum_{i \leq N} \pm\left(r\left(A_{i}\right)\right)^{p} A_{i}\right\|
$$

where $r(A)$ denotes the rank of an operator $A$, the supremum ranges over all choices of $\pm$ signs and integers $N$, and the infimum is taken over all the possible representations of the operator $T$.
$\eta_{p}(T)$ is a non-decreasing function of $p$, and $\eta_{p}$ is a Banach ideal norm, that is has the following properties:
(1) $\eta_{p}$ is a norm and $\eta_{p}(E, F)=\left\{T \in L(E, F) ; \eta_{p}(T)<\infty\right\}$ is a Banach space under the norm $\eta_{p}$.
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(2) $\eta_{p}(T)=\|T\|$ whenever $r(T)=1$.
(3) If $u \in L(G, E), T \in \eta_{p}(E, F), v \in L(F, H)$, then $v T u \in \eta_{p}(G, H)$ and $\eta_{p}(v T u) \leq\|v\|\|u\| \eta_{p}(T)$.

We recall some well known facts about a general Banach ideal norm $\alpha$ which may be found in [9]. If $T \in L(E, F)$, the adjoint ideal norm $\alpha^{*}(T)$ is defined as the least $C$ such that the inequality

$$
\operatorname{trace}(S v T u) \leq C\|v\|\|u\| \alpha(S)
$$

holds for any finite-dimensional normed spaces $X$ and $Y, u \in$ $L(X, E), v \in L(F, Y)$ and $S \in L(Y, X)$. If $X$ and $Y$ are finite-dimensional normed spaces, the dual space $(\alpha(X, Y))^{\prime}$ can be naturally identified with $\alpha^{*}(Y, X)=\left(L(Y, X), \alpha^{*}\right)$ via the identity $\langle T, S\rangle=$ $\operatorname{trace}(S T)$ for $T \in \alpha(X, Y), S \in \alpha^{*}(Y, X)$. Hence, if $T \in L(E, F)$, $\alpha^{* *}(T)=\sup \alpha(v T u)$, where the supremum ranges over all finitedimensional normed spaces $X$ and $Y, u \in L(X, E)$ and $v \in L(F, Y)$ with $\|u\|=\|v\|=1$. From this we get immediately that $\eta_{p}^{* *}(T)=\eta_{p}^{* *}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ for every operator $T \in L(E, F)$.

If in the definition of $\eta_{p}(T), T$ is further restricted only to representations for which $r\left(A_{i}\right)=1$ for all $i$, then the corresponding resulting norm which is independent of $p$ was called in [8] the weakly nuclear norm of $T$ and denoted by $\eta(T)$. It follows that $\|\cdot\| \leq \eta_{p} \leq$ $\eta_{q} \leq \eta$ for $0 \leq p<q<\infty$, and since $\eta_{0}=\|\cdot\|$ on finite dimensional spaces, taking double adjoints we obtain $\eta_{0}^{* *}=\|\cdot\|^{* *}=\|\cdot\| \leq \eta_{p}^{* *} \leq$ $\eta_{q}^{* *} \leq \eta^{* *}$.

Using ultraproducts it can be shown (see for example [16]) that $T \in \eta^{* *}(E, F)$ if and only if $j_{F} T$ factors through some Banach lattice, more precisely, $\eta^{* *}(T)=\inf \|v\|\| \| u \|$, where the infimum ranges over all Banach lattices $L$ and $u \in L(E, L), v \in L\left(L, F^{\prime \prime}\right)$, satisfying $j_{F} T=v u$, where $j_{F}: F \rightarrow F^{\prime \prime}$ is the canonical inclusion. Thus, if $T$ is a map on, or, into, a norm one complemented subspace of a Banach lattice, then $\|T\|=\eta_{p}^{* *}(T)=\eta^{* *}(T)$. If $T=I_{E}$ the identity operator on a Banach space $E, \eta^{* *}\left(I_{E}\right)$ is generally better known as the local unconditional structure (l.u.st.) constant of $E$ which is usually denoted by $x_{u}(E)$ [7]. If $\alpha$ is an ideal norm, $\alpha(E)$ denotes $\alpha\left(I_{E}\right)$. For $p>0, \eta_{p}^{* *}(E)$ will be called the $p$-l.u.st. constant of $E$. $x(E)$ will denote the unconditional basis constant of $E$.

If $\operatorname{dim}(E)=n$ and $0 \leq p<q<\infty$, then trivially we get from the definitions

$$
1 \leq \eta_{p}(E) \leq \eta_{q}(E) \leq \eta(E)=x_{u}(E) \leq x(E) \leq d\left(E, \ell_{2}^{n}\right) \leq \sqrt{n},
$$

and also, since $r\left(A_{i}\right) \leq n, \eta_{q}(E) \leq n^{q-p} \eta_{p}(E)$. Moreover, the represen-
tation $I_{E}=I_{E}$ shows that $\eta_{p}(E) \leq n^{p}$, thus $\eta_{p}(E) \leq \min \left\{n^{1 / 2}, n^{p}\right\}$ for all $p \geq 0$.

The main result here shows that the last inequality is asymptotically "almost" the best possible. There exists a sequence $E_{n}, n=1,2, \ldots$, of $n$-dimensional spaces for which $\eta_{p}\left(E_{n}\right) \geq$ $\min \left\{a n^{1 / 2}, a n^{p}\right\} \exp (-\sqrt{\log n})$ where $a$ is an absolute positive constant. Since the exponential factor tends to zero more slowly than any negative power of $n$, this implies that if $p \neq q$ and $0<p<\frac{1}{2}$, then $\eta_{p}$ and $\eta_{q}$ are not equivalent ideal norms, and in particular $\eta_{p}$ and $\eta$ are not equivalent ideal norms. Since $\eta_{p}\left(E_{n}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, this also implies there exists a reflexive separable Banach space which fails to have $p$-l.u.st. for all $p>0$.

Regarding $x_{u}$ it was proved in [3] that there is an absolute constant $c>0$ and a sequence of spaces $F_{n}, \operatorname{dim}\left(F_{n}\right)=n$, such that $x_{u}\left(F_{n}\right) \geq$ $c \sqrt{n}$. Our result therefore is of interest for the smaller $p$-l.u.st. constants $\eta_{p}$. We do not know if $\eta_{p}(E)$ can be asymptotically equivalent to $\min \left\{n^{1 / 2}, n^{p}\right\}$ for a sequence of spaces $E_{n}, \operatorname{dim}\left(E_{n}\right)=n$. It is also an open question whether for $q>p \geq \frac{1}{2} n_{p}(E)$ and $\eta_{q}(E)$ are always equivalent when $\operatorname{dim}(E)<\infty$; the same question is also open for the constants $x_{u}(E)$ and $x(E)$. It was proved recently by Johnson, Lindenstrauss and Schechtman, that there exists a Banach spaces $E$ with $x_{u}(E)=\infty$, that is $E$ does not have local unconditional structure, yet $E$ has an unconditional Schauder decomposition into 2-dimensional spaces. This fact implies that $x_{u}(E)$ and $\eta_{p}(E)$ are not equivalent since $\eta_{p}(E)$ is finite for such spaces. Also unknown is whether many of the spaces which fail l.u.st. also fail $p$-l.u.st. for some $p>0$. Does $L_{q}(\infty>q \geq 1)$ have a subspace without $p$-l.u.st.? G. Pisier proved that if $p>2, L_{q}$ has a subspace without l.u.st. (See [15] for $q>4$; for $2<q$ we know of an unpublished proof).

To obtain the lower estimates for $\eta_{p}\left(E_{n}\right)$ we use the characterization of the adjoint norm $\eta_{p}^{*}$ proved in the next section and an inequality due to $S$. Chevet which was communicated to us by $G$. Pisier who has used the inequality to prove that l.u.st. constant $x_{u}$ of $\underbrace{\ell_{1}^{n} \hat{\otimes}_{\epsilon} \ell_{1}^{n} \hat{\otimes}_{\epsilon} \cdots \hat{\otimes}_{\epsilon} \ell_{1}^{n}}_{2^{k}}$ is bigger than $C_{\alpha} N^{\alpha}$, where $N=n^{2^{k}}$ and $n, k$ are chosen in some appropriate relation to $N$, and where $\alpha$ is any scalar $<\frac{1}{2}$, and $c_{\alpha}>0$ is a constant depending only on $\alpha$.

## 2. p-local unconditional structure

A characterization of $\eta_{p}^{*}$ is given by the following proposition.

Proposition 1: If $p, C$ are non-negative constants and $T \in$ $L(E, F)$, then the following statements are equivalent:
(1) $\eta_{p}^{*}(T) \leq C$.
(2) $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{trace}\left(T A_{i}\right) \leq C \max _{ \pm}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \pm\left(r\left(A_{i}\right)\right)^{p} A_{i}\right\|$ for any choice of $\left\{A_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n} \subset \mathscr{F}(F, E)$.
(3) If $K_{E^{\prime}}$ denotes the $w^{*}$-closure of the extreme points of the unit ball of $E^{\prime}$ equipped with the $w^{*}$ topology, there exists a probability measure on the compact topological product space $K=K_{E^{\prime}} \times K_{F^{\prime \prime}}$ such that for every $A \in \mathscr{F}(F, E)$ holds the inequality

$$
\operatorname{trace}(T A) \leq C(r(A))^{p} \int_{K}\left|\left\langle A^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right), y^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle\right| \mathrm{d} \mu\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

Proof: Let $C_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ denote a constant $C$ which appears in the inequality of statement (i). Let $X, Y$ be finite-dimensional spaces and $\epsilon>0$, and let $S=\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}$ where $A_{i} \in L(Y, X)$ are chosen to satisfy $(1+\epsilon) \eta_{p}(S) \geq \max _{ \pm}\left\|\Sigma \pm\left(r\left(A_{i}\right)\right)^{p} A_{i}\right\|$. Then, for any $u \in L(X, E), v \in$ $L(F, Y)$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{trace}(S v T u) & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{trace}\left(A_{i} v T u\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{trace}\left(u A_{i} v T\right) \\
& \leq C_{2} \max _{ \pm}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \pm\left(r\left(u A_{i} v\right)\right)^{p} u A_{i} v\right\| \\
& \leq C_{2}\|u\|\|v\| \max _{ \pm}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \pm\left(r\left(A_{i}\right)\right)^{p} A_{i}\right\| \\
& \leq C_{2}\|u\|\|v\|(1+\epsilon) \eta_{p}(S)
\end{aligned}
$$

this implies $\inf C_{1} \leq C_{2}(1+\epsilon)$, therefore $\inf C_{1} \leq \inf C_{2}$.
Given arbitrary $B_{i} \in \mathscr{F}(F, E), i=1,2, \ldots, n$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{trace}\left(T B_{i}\right) & \leq C_{3} \int_{K} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(r\left(B_{i}\right)\right)^{p}\left|\left\langle B_{i}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right), y^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle\right| \mathrm{d} \mu \\
& \leq C_{3} \sup \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(r\left(B_{i}\right)\right)^{p}\left|\left\langle B_{i}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right), y^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle\right| ;\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|=\left\|y^{\prime \prime}\right\|=1\right\} \\
& =C_{3} \max _{ \pm}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \pm\left(r\left(B_{i}\right)\right)^{p} B_{i}\right\|,
\end{aligned}
$$

hence $\inf C_{2} \leq C_{3}$.

If $A \in \mathscr{F}(F, E)$, let $\tilde{A} \in C(K)$ be the function defined by: $\tilde{A}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left\langle A^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right), y^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle(r(A))^{p}$, and denote by $M$ the convex hull of the set $\left\{C_{2} \tilde{A} ; A \in \mathscr{F}(F, E)\right.$, trace $\left.(T A)=1\right\}$. Statement (2) implies that $M$ is disjoint from the set $N=\{f \in C(K), f<1\}$ which is also convex and contains the open unit ball of $C(K)$, therefore there exists a probability measure $\mu \in M(K)=(C(K))^{\prime}$ such that $\mu(g) \geq 1$ for all $g \in M$, this shows that inf $C_{3} \leq C_{2}$.

Let now $\left\{A_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n} \subset \mathscr{F}(F, E)$, and consider the space $X=\operatorname{span}\left\{A_{i}(y)\right.$; $y \in F, i=1,2, \ldots, n\}$. Let $u: X \rightarrow E$ be the inclusion map, and $S=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}$ be the map of $F$ into $X, S^{\prime}$ maps $X^{\prime}$ to $F^{\prime}$ and $\left(S^{\prime}\right)_{a}$ will denote the map $S^{\prime}$ of $X^{\prime}$ onto $S^{\prime}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$. Let $j$ be the inclusion of $S^{\prime}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ in $F^{\prime}$, then $v=j^{\prime} j_{F}$ maps $F$ to $Y=\left(S^{\prime}\left(X^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}$. Both $X$ and $Y$ are now finite-dimensional spaces, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{trace}\left(T A_{i}\right) & =\operatorname{trace}\left(v T u\left(S^{\prime}\right)_{a}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \leq C_{1}\|u\|\|v\| \eta_{p}\left(\left(S^{\prime}\right)_{a}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \leq C_{1} \max _{ \pm}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \pm A_{i}\left(r\left(A_{i}\right)\right)^{p}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

the last inequality is because $\|u\|=\|v\|=1$ and the fact that if we denote by $\tilde{A}_{i}$ the operator $A_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ considered as a map of $Y$ to $X$, then
$\left(S^{\prime}\right)_{a}^{\prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{A}_{i}$ and so

$$
\eta_{p}\left(\left(S^{\prime}\right)_{a}^{\prime}\right) \leq \max _{ \pm}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \pm\left(r\left(\tilde{A}_{i}\right)\right)^{p} \tilde{A}_{i}\right\|=\max _{ \pm}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \pm\left(r\left(A_{i}\right)\right)^{p} A_{i}\right\| .
$$

Therefore, $\inf C_{2} \leq C_{1}$, and the proof is complete.

We need a preliminary lemma which was used in [6].

Lemma 2: If $x_{i}, y_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, m$, are arbitrary vectors in $\ell_{2}^{n}$, then $n \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\langle x_{i}, y_{j}\right\rangle^{2} \geq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\langle x_{i}, y_{i}\right\rangle\right)^{2}$.

Proof: Without loss of generality we can assume $\left\{y_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{m}$ are fixed such that the operator $T=\sum_{i=1}^{m} y_{i} \otimes y_{i}$ has rank $n$. We shall maximize the function $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\langle x_{i}, y_{i}\right\rangle$ subject to the constraint $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\langle x_{i}, y_{j}\right\rangle^{2}=1$. At the maximum point, the function

$$
\varphi \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\langle x_{i}, y_{i}\right\rangle-\lambda\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\langle x_{i}, y_{j}\right\rangle^{2}-1\right)
$$

satisfies $\quad \partial \varphi / \partial x_{i k}=0, \quad$ where $\quad x_{i}=\left(x_{i k}\right)_{k=1}^{n}$. This yields $y_{i}=$ $2 \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\langle x_{i}, y_{j}\right\rangle y_{j}=2 \lambda T\left(x_{i}\right)$, hence $f=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\langle x_{i}, y_{i}\right\rangle=2 \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\langle x_{i}, y_{j}\right\rangle^{2}=$ $2 \lambda . T=\sum_{i=1}^{m} y_{i} \otimes y_{i}=2 \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{m} y_{i} \otimes T x_{i}$, therefore $I_{\ell_{2}^{n}}=2 \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i} \otimes y_{i}$ and taking trace, $n=2 \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\langle x_{i}, y_{i}\right\rangle=4 \lambda^{2}$, so that $2 \lambda=\sqrt{n}$.

Given Banach spaces $E$ and $F$ let $E \hat{\bigotimes}_{\epsilon} F$ denote the completion of the tensor product space $E \otimes F$ under the $\epsilon$-norm, that is the ordinary norm induced on it as a subspace of $L\left(E^{\prime}, F\right) . E \otimes_{\pi} F$ denotes the completion of $E \otimes F$ under the $\pi$-norm, that is, on $E \otimes F$ the norm $|\cdot|_{\pi}$ is defined as

$$
\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \otimes y_{i}\right|_{\pi}=\sup \left\{\sum\left\langle y_{i}, B x_{i}\right\rangle ;\|B\| \leq 1, B \in L\left(E, F^{\prime}\right)\right\} .
$$

If $k$ is a positive integer, $E_{\epsilon}^{k}$ will denote the space $E \hat{\bigotimes}_{\epsilon} E \hat{\bigotimes}_{\epsilon} \cdots \hat{\bigotimes}_{\epsilon} E$, and for $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{k} \subset E, \vec{x}=\bigotimes_{i=1}^{k} x_{i}=x_{1} \otimes x_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{k}$ will be a $k$ tensor in $E_{\epsilon}^{k}$. If $u_{i} \in L(E, E)$ are isometries on $E(i=1,2, \ldots, k)$, let $\vec{u}=$ $\otimes_{i=1}^{k} u_{i}=u_{1} \otimes u_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{k}$ be the isometry of $E_{\epsilon}^{k}$ defined by: $\vec{u}(\vec{x})=$ $\otimes_{i=1}^{k} u_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)$. This definition makes $\vec{u}$ also an isometry on $E_{\pi}^{k}=$ $\underbrace{E \hat{\bigotimes}_{\pi} \otimes \cdots \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} E}$. We shall denote by $\pi_{p}(1 \leq p<\infty)$ the $p$-absolutely summing ideal norm [14].

Lemma 3: Let $E$ have a normalized symmetric basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$, and let $T: E \rightarrow \ell_{2}^{n}$ be the basis to basis map, $T\left(e_{i}\right)=(\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0,1,0, \ldots, 0) \text {, }, ~, ~}$ $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. Let $A=\sum_{i_{1}=1}^{n} \ldots \sum_{i_{k}=1}^{n} a_{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{k}} e_{i_{1}} \otimes e_{i_{2}} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_{k}}$ be any norm-one element in $E_{\epsilon}^{k}$, then

$$
\eta_{1 / 2}^{*}\left(E_{\epsilon}^{k}\right) \sum\left|a_{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{k}}\right| \leq\left(n \sqrt{2} \pi_{1}(T)\right)^{k} .
$$

Proof: By Pietsch [14] there exists a probability measure $\mu$ on $K_{E^{\prime}}$ such that for every $x=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i} e_{i} \in E$

$$
\|T x\|_{2}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq\left(\pi_{1}(T) \int_{K_{E^{\prime}}}\left|\left\langle x, x^{\prime}\right\rangle\right| d \mu\left(x^{\prime}\right) .\right.
$$

Let $\vec{d} \mu=d \mu \times d \mu \times \cdots \times d \mu$ be the product measure on the set of extreme points of the unit ball of $\left(E_{\epsilon}^{k}\right)^{\prime}=\left(E^{\prime}\right)_{\pi}^{k}$,

$$
K_{\left(E^{\prime}\right)_{\pi}^{k}}=\left\{\vec{x}^{\prime}=\bigotimes_{i=1}^{k} x_{i}^{\prime} ; x_{i}^{\prime} \in K_{E^{\prime}}\right\} .
$$

Let $u=\sum_{i=1}^{m} A_{i} \otimes B_{i}$ be any rank-m operator in $L\left(E_{\epsilon}^{k}, E_{\epsilon}^{k}\right)$, where $A_{i} \in\left(E_{\epsilon}^{k}\right)^{\prime}$ and $B_{i} \in E_{\epsilon}^{k}$. Suppose $A_{i}$ and $B_{i}$ have the representations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{i}=\sum_{i_{1}=1}^{n} \ldots \sum_{i_{k}=1}^{n} a_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}}^{(i)} e_{i_{1}}^{\prime} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_{k}}^{\prime}, \quad \text { and } \\
& B_{i}=\sum_{i_{1}=1}^{n} \ldots \sum_{i_{k}=1}^{n} b_{i_{1}}^{(i)}, \ldots, i_{k} e_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_{k} .}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\epsilon^{(i)}=\left(\epsilon_{j}^{(i)}\right)_{j=1}^{n}, \epsilon_{j}^{(i)}= \pm 1, i=1,2, \ldots, k, j=1,2, \ldots, n$, and $g_{\epsilon^{(i)}}$ be the isometry of $E$ defined by $g_{\epsilon^{(i)}}\left(e_{j}\right)=\epsilon_{j}^{(i)} e_{j}$. Let $\pi^{(i)}$ be any permutation of the integers $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$, and $g_{\pi^{(i)}}$ be the isometry of $E$ defined by $g_{\pi^{(i)}}\left(e_{j}\right)=e_{\pi^{(i)}(j)}$. Set $g_{i}=g_{\epsilon^{(i)}} g_{\pi^{(i)}}$, and let $\vec{g}_{\epsilon, \pi}=\bigotimes_{i=1}^{k} g_{i}$ be the isometry of $E_{\epsilon}^{k}$.

Denote by $A v_{\epsilon}$ and $A v_{\pi}$ the averages with respect to signs and permutations, that is, if $f\left(\epsilon^{(1)}, \ldots, \epsilon^{(k)}\right)$ is a real function then

$$
A v_{\epsilon}(f)=2^{-n k} \sum_{\epsilon} f\left(\epsilon^{(1)}, \ldots, \epsilon^{(k)}\right)
$$

where the sum is taken over all possible distinct elements $\left(\epsilon^{(1)}, \epsilon^{(2)}, \ldots, \epsilon^{(k)}\right)$; and similarly for a function $h\left(\pi^{(1)}, \ldots, \pi^{(k)}\right)$

$$
A v_{\pi}(h)=(n!)^{-k} \sum_{\pi} h\left(\pi^{(1)}, \ldots, \pi^{(k)}\right)
$$

where the sum ranges over all $(n!)^{k}$ possible choices of ( $\pi^{(1)}, \pi^{(2)}, \ldots, \pi^{(k)}$.

We shall find a lower bound for the integral

$$
I=A v_{\epsilon} A v_{\pi} \int_{\left.K_{(E)}\right)_{\pi}^{\prime}}\left|\left\langle u^{\prime}\left(\vec{x}^{\prime}\right), \vec{g}_{\epsilon, \pi}(A)\right\rangle\right| d \vec{\mu}\left(\vec{x}^{\prime}\right)
$$

which will give the claim of the lemma. First observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left\langle u^{\prime}\left(\vec{x}^{\prime}\right), \vec{g}_{\epsilon_{,}, n}(A)\right\rangle\right|= \\
& \quad=\mid \sum_{\ell_{1}, \ldots, e_{k}=1}^{n}\left\langle e_{\ell_{1}}, x_{1}^{\prime}\right\rangle \ldots\left\langle e_{e_{k}}, x_{k}^{\prime}\right\rangle \sum_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}=1}^{n} \sum_{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{k}=1}^{n}\left\langle g_{1}\left(e_{i_{1}}\right), e_{j_{1}}^{\prime}\right\rangle \\
& \quad \ldots\left\langle g_{k}\left(e_{i_{k}}\right), e_{j_{k}}^{\prime}\right\rangle a_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{j_{1}}^{(i)}, \ldots,{ }_{j_{k}} b_{\ell_{1}}^{(i)}, \ldots, e_{k} \mid .
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating with respect to $d \mu\left(x_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ first we get

$$
\int_{K_{E^{\prime}}}\left|\left\langle u^{\prime}\left(\vec{x}^{\prime}\right), \vec{g}_{\epsilon, \pi}(A)\right\rangle\right| d \mu\left(x_{1}^{\prime}\right) \geq\left(\pi_{1}(T)^{-1}\right)\left[\sum_{\ell_{1}=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{1}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{1}= & \sum_{e_{2}, \ldots, \ell_{k}=1}^{n}\left\langle e_{e_{2}}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right\rangle \ldots\left\langle e_{e_{k}}, x_{k}^{\prime}\right\rangle \sum_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}=1}^{n} \sum_{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{k}=1}^{n}\left\langle g_{1}\left(e_{i_{1}}\right), e_{j_{1}}^{\prime}\right\rangle \\
& \ldots\left\langle g_{k}\left(e_{i_{k}}\right), e_{j_{k}}^{\prime}\right\rangle a_{i_{1}}, \ldots, i_{k} \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{k}}^{(i)} b_{\ell_{1}, \ldots, e_{k}}^{(i)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, integrating with respect to $d \mu\left(x_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ and using the fact, which we shall also use throughout, that

$$
\int\left[\sum_{\ell_{1}=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{1}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} d \mu\left(x_{2}^{\prime}\right) \geq\left[\sum_{\ell_{1}=1}^{n}\left(\int\left|\sum_{1}\right| d \mu\left(x_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

we obtain

$$
\int_{K_{E^{\prime}}} \int_{K_{E^{\prime}}}\left|\left\langle u^{\prime}\left(\vec{x}^{\prime}\right), \vec{g}_{\epsilon, \pi}(A)\right\rangle\right| d \mu\left(x_{1}^{\prime}\right) d \mu\left(x_{2}^{\prime}\right) \geq\left(\pi_{1}(T)\right)^{-2}\left[\sum_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

where $\Sigma_{2}=\Sigma_{\ell_{3}, \ldots, \ell_{k}=1}^{n}\left\langle e_{\ell_{3}}, x_{3}^{\prime}\right\rangle \ldots$ (the $\ldots$ represent the same terms which appear in $\Sigma_{1}$ ).

If we continue to integrate with respect to $d \mu\left(x_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ and so on, finishing with $d \mu\left(x_{k}^{\prime}\right)$ we obtain

$$
\int_{\left.K_{\left(E^{\prime}\right)}\right)}\left|\left\langle u^{\prime}\left(\vec{x}^{\prime}\right), \vec{g}_{\epsilon, \pi}(A)\right\rangle\right| d \vec{\mu}\left(\vec{x}^{\prime}\right) \geq\left(\pi_{1}(T)\right)^{-k}\left[\sum_{\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{k}=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{k}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k}= & \sum_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}=1}^{n} \sum_{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{k}=1}^{n}\left\langle g_{1}\left(e_{i_{1}}\right), e_{j_{1}}^{\prime}\right\rangle \ldots\left\langle g_{k}\left(e_{i_{k}}\right), e_{j_{k}}^{\prime}\right\rangle a_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}} \\
& \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{j_{1}}^{(i)}, \ldots, j_{k} b_{\ell_{1}}^{(i)}, \ldots, e_{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\sigma^{(i)}=\pi^{(i)^{-1}}$, i.e. $\sigma^{(i)}(r)=j$ iff $\pi^{(i)}(j)=r$, then

$$
\left\langle g_{1}\left(e_{i_{1}}\right), e_{j_{1}}^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle\epsilon_{j_{1}}^{(1)} e_{\pi^{(1)}\left(i_{1}\right)}, e_{j_{1}}^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
0 ; & i \neq \sigma^{(1)}\left(j_{1}\right) \\
\epsilon_{j_{1}}^{(1)} ; & i_{1}=\sigma^{(1)}\left(j_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Using Khintchine's inequality [17]

$$
A v_{\epsilon^{(1)}}\left|\sum_{i_{1} j_{1}} c_{i_{1},{ }_{j}{ }_{1}} \epsilon_{j_{1}}^{(1)}\right| \geq 2^{-1 / 2}\left(\sum_{j_{1}=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{i_{1}=1}^{n} c_{i_{1}, j_{1}}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

and averaging over all $\epsilon^{(1)}, \epsilon^{(2)}, \ldots, \epsilon^{(k)}$, we get

$$
2^{k / 2} A v_{\epsilon}\left|\sum_{k}\right| \geq\left[\sum_{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{k}=1}^{n}\left(a_{\sigma^{(1)}\left(j_{j}\right), \ldots, \sigma^{(k)}\left(j_{k}\right)}\right)^{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{k}}^{(i)} b_{\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{k}}^{(i)}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A v_{\epsilon} \int_{K_{\left(E^{\prime}\right)} / \pi}\left|\left\langle u^{\prime}\left(\vec{x}^{\prime}\right), \vec{g}_{\epsilon, \pi}(A)\right\rangle\right| d \vec{\mu}\left(\bar{x}^{\prime}\right) \geq \\
& \geq\left(\sqrt{2} \pi_{1}(T)\right)^{-k}\left[\sum _ { \ell _ { 1 } , \ldots , e _ { k } = 1 } ^ { n } \sum _ { j _ { 1 } , \ldots , j _ { k } = 1 } ^ { n } \left(a_{\left.\left.\left.\sigma^{(1)}\left(j_{1}\right), \ldots, \sigma^{(k)}\right)_{\left(j_{k}\right)}\right)\right)^{2}}^{n}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\quad \times\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{k}}^{(i)} b_{\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{k}}^{(i)}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we shall average over all permutations, and use the fact that

$$
A v_{\pi}\left|a_{\left.\sigma^{(1)}\left(i_{1}\right), \ldots, \sigma^{(k)}\right)}^{j_{k} k}\right|=n^{-k} \sum_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}}^{n}\left|a_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}}\right|
$$

this gives the following estimate for I

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(n \sqrt{2} \pi_{1}(T)\right)^{k} I \geq \\
& \quad \geq \sum_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}=1}^{n}\left|a_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}}\right|\left[\sum _ { \ell _ { 1 } , \ldots , \ell _ { k } = 1 } ^ { n } \sum _ { j _ { 1 } , \ldots , j _ { k } = 1 } ^ { n } \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{k}}^{(i)}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\quad \times b_{\left.\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{k}\right)^{2}}^{(i)}\right]^{1 / 2} \geq \sum\left|a_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}}\right| m^{-1 / 2}|\operatorname{trace}(u)|
\end{aligned}
$$

the last inequality follows from Lemma 2 . The proof is completed by applying Proposition 1 for $p=\frac{1}{2}$ while noting that $\vec{g}_{\epsilon, \pi}(A)$ are norm-one elements of $E_{\epsilon}^{k}$ and the $\vec{x}^{\prime}$ which appear in I are norm-one elements of the dual space $\left(E^{\prime}\right)_{\pi}^{k}$.

Lemma 4: With the notation of Lemma 3,

$$
\left(\sqrt{2} \pi_{1}(T)\right)^{k} \eta_{1 / 2}\left(E_{\epsilon}^{k}\right) \geq \sum\left|a_{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{k}}\right| .
$$

Proof: This follows immediately from Lemma 3 and the obvious inequality $\alpha(F) \alpha^{*}(F) \geq \operatorname{dim}(F)$ for any ideal norm $\alpha$ and finitedimensional space $F$.

We shall next use the following inequality due to $S$. Chevet [1], for the sake of completeness we include the proof. If $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n} \subset E$, we shall denote by $\epsilon_{2}\left(\left\{x_{i}\right\}\right)=\sup \left\{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\left\langle x_{i}, x^{\prime}\right\rangle\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} ; x^{\prime} \in E^{\prime},\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|=1\right\}$.

Lemma 5: If $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ and $\left\{y_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{n}$ are elements in Banach spaces $E$ and $F$ respectively, and $g_{i, j}(i, j=1,2, \ldots, n)$ is a sequence of equidistributed, independent, orthonormal random Gaussian variables, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Lambda}{2} \leq E\left(\left\|\sum_{i, j} g_{i, j} x_{i} \otimes y_{j}\right\|_{E \hat{\otimes}_{\epsilon} F}\right) \leq \sqrt{2} \Lambda \tag{}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Lambda=\epsilon_{2}\left(\left\{y_{i}\right\}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(\left\|\Sigma_{i} g_{i, 1} x_{i}\right\|\right)+\epsilon_{2}\left(\left\{x_{i}\right\}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(\left\|\Sigma_{j} g_{j, 1} y_{j}\right\|\right)$.
Proof: Let $T=\left\{(\xi, \eta) ; \xi \in E^{\prime}, \eta \in F^{\prime},\|\xi\|=\|\eta\|=1\right\}$. For each $t=$ $(\xi, \eta) \in T$, define the random variables

$$
\begin{gathered}
X_{t}=\sum_{i, j} g_{i, j}\left\langle x_{i}, \xi\right\rangle\left\langle y_{j}, \eta\right) \quad \text { and } \\
Y_{t}=\alpha \sum_{j} g_{j, 1}\left\langle y_{j}, \eta\right\rangle+\beta \sum_{i} g_{i, 2}\left\langle x_{i}, \xi\right\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\alpha=\epsilon_{2}\left(\left\{x_{i}\right\}\right), \beta=\epsilon_{2}\left(\left\{y_{j}\right\}\right)$. It is easy to see that if $s=\left(\xi_{1}, \eta_{1}\right) \in T$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}\left(\left|X_{t}-X_{s}\right|^{2}\right) & =\sum_{i, j}\left(\left\langle x_{i}, \xi\right\rangle\left\langle y_{j}, \eta\right\rangle-\left\langle x_{i}, \xi_{1}\right\rangle\left\langle y_{j}, \eta_{1}\right\rangle\right)^{2} \\
& =\sum_{i, j}\left(\left\langle x_{i}, \xi-\xi_{1}\right\rangle\left\langle y_{j}, \eta\right\rangle+\left\langle x_{i}, \xi_{1}\right\rangle\left\langle y_{j}, \eta-\eta_{1}\right\rangle\right)^{2} \\
& \leq 2 \sum_{i, j}\left(\left\langle x_{i}, \xi-\xi_{1}\right\rangle\left\langle y_{j}, \eta\right\rangle\right)^{2}+\left(\left\langle x_{i}, \xi_{1}\right\rangle\left\langle y_{j}, \eta-\eta_{1}\right\rangle\right)^{2} \\
& =2 \mathrm{E}\left(\left|Y_{t}-Y_{s}\right|^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

hence $\mathrm{E}\left(\left|X_{t}-X_{s}\right|^{2}\right) \leq 2 \mathrm{E}\left(\left|Y_{t}-Y_{s}\right|^{2}\right)$. By a result due to Sudakov ([2], Corollaire 2.1.3) this implies $E\left(v_{T} X_{t}\right) \leq \sqrt{2} E\left(\vee_{T} Y_{t}\right)$, from which the right hand side of $\left(^{*}\right)$ follows.

For the other side, pick $\xi_{0} \in E^{\prime},\left\|\xi_{0}\right\|=1$, such that $\alpha=\epsilon_{2}\left(\left\{x_{i}\right\}\right)$, and define the random variables $Z_{\eta}=\Sigma_{i, j} g_{i, j}\left\langle x_{i}, \xi_{0}\right\rangle\left\langle y_{j}, \eta\right\rangle$ and $W_{\eta}=$ $\alpha \sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{j, 1}\left\langle y_{j}, \eta\right\rangle$. Then

$$
\mathbf{E}\left(\left|Z_{\eta}-Z_{\eta_{1}}\right|^{2}\right)=\alpha^{2} \sum_{j}\left\langle y_{j}, \eta-\eta_{1}\right\rangle^{2}=\mathbf{E}\left(\left|W_{\eta}-W_{\eta_{1}}\right|^{2}\right)
$$

so again $\mathrm{E}\left(\sup _{\|\eta\|=1} Z_{\eta}\right)=\mathrm{E}\left(\sup _{\|\eta\|=1} W_{\eta}\right)$, but

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{E}\left(\left\|\sum_{i, j} g_{i, j} x_{i} \otimes y_{j}\right\|_{E \hat{\otimes}_{\epsilon} F}\right) \geq \mathbf{E}\left(\left\|\sum_{i, j} g_{i, j}\left\langle x_{i}, \xi_{0}\right\rangle y_{j}\right\|\right) \\
& \quad=\mathbf{E}\left(\sup _{\|\eta\|=1} Z_{\eta}\right)=\mathbf{E}\left(\sup _{\|\eta\|=1} W_{\eta}\right) \\
& \quad=\alpha \mathbf{E}\left(\left\|\sum_{i} g_{i, 1} y_{i}\right\|\right), \quad \text { and similarly }, \geqq \beta \mathbf{E}\left(\left\|\sum_{i} g_{i, 1} x_{i}\right\|\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

hence the left side of (*).
ThEOREM 6: Let $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ be a symmetric basis for a Banach space $E$, and let $T: E \rightarrow \ell_{2}^{n}$ be the natural basis to basis map. Then, if $E_{\epsilon}^{2^{k}}=$ $\underbrace{E \hat{\bigotimes}_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{E} \hat{\bigotimes}_{\epsilon} \cdots \hat{\otimes}_{\epsilon} E}_{2^{k}}$

$$
\eta_{1 / 2}\left(E_{\epsilon}^{2 k}\right)\left(\left\|T^{-1}\right\| \pi_{1}(T)\right)^{2^{k}} \geq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi n}} n^{2^{k}} 2^{-3 k / 2-2^{k-1}}
$$

Proof: For each integer $k=1,2, \ldots$, let $I_{k}$ denote a set consisting of $n^{2^{k}}$ elements, and let $\left\{b_{\nu}\right\}_{\nu \in I_{k}}$ denote the natural basis of $E_{\epsilon}^{2^{k}}$ (each $b_{\nu}$ has the form $e_{i_{1}} \otimes e_{i_{2}} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_{k}}$, where $\left.1 \leq i_{j} \leq n\right)$. Consider the random vectors of $E_{\epsilon}^{2^{k}}=E_{\epsilon}^{2^{k-1}} \hat{\otimes}_{\epsilon} E_{\epsilon}^{2^{k-1}}$ of the form $A^{k}=$ $\Sigma_{\alpha, \beta \in I_{k-1}} g_{\alpha, \beta} b_{\alpha} \otimes b_{\beta}$. By Lemma 5

$$
\mathrm{E}\left(\left\|A^{k}\right\|_{E_{\epsilon}^{2 k}}\right) \leq 2 \sqrt{2} \epsilon_{2}\left(\left\{b_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in I_{k-1}}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(\left\|A^{k-1}\right\|_{E_{\epsilon}^{2 k-1}}\right)
$$

Since $\left\{\bigotimes_{i=1}^{2^{k-1}} x_{i}^{\prime} ; x_{i}^{\prime} \in K_{E}\right\}$ is the set of extreme points of the unit ball of $\left(E_{\epsilon}^{2^{k-1}}\right)^{\prime}=\left(E^{\prime}\right)_{\pi}^{2^{k-1}}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \epsilon_{2}\left(\left\{b_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in I_{k-1}}\right)=\sup \left\{\left(\sum_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{2^{k}-1=1}}^{n}\left(\left\langle e_{i_{1}}, x_{1}^{\prime}\right\rangle \ldots\left\langle e_{i_{2} k-1}, x_{2^{k-1}}^{\prime}\right\rangle\right)^{2} ;\right.\right. \\
& \left.x_{i}^{\prime} \in K_{E^{\prime}}\right\}=\left[\sup \left\{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle e_{i}, x^{\prime}\right\rangle^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} ; x^{\prime} \in K_{E^{\prime}}\right\}\right]^{2^{k-1}}=\left\|T^{-1}\right\|^{k-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

hence we get the reduction formula

$$
\mathrm{E}\left(\left\|A^{k}\right\|_{E_{\epsilon}^{k}}\right) \leq 2 \sqrt{2}\left\|T^{-1}\right\| \|^{k-1} \mathrm{E}\left(\left\|A^{k-1}\right\|_{E_{e}^{2 k-1}}\right)
$$

and so

$$
\mathbf{E}\left(\left\|A^{k}\right\|_{E_{e}^{2 k}}\right) \leq(2 \sqrt{2})^{k}\left\|T^{-1}\right\|^{2 k-1+2^{k-2}+\cdots+2^{0}} E\left(\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{i} e_{i}\right\|_{E}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =(2 \sqrt{2})^{k}\left\|T^{-1}\right\|^{k^{k-1}} E\left(\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{i} e_{i}\right\|\right) \\
& \leq(2 \sqrt{2})^{k}\left\|T^{-1}\right\|^{k} E\left(\left(\sum g_{i}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) \\
& \leq(2 \sqrt{2})^{k}\left\|T^{-1}\right\|^{k} \sqrt{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, $E\left(\sum_{\alpha, \beta \in I_{k-1}}\left|g_{\alpha, \beta}\right|\right)=\sqrt{2 / \pi} n^{2^{k}}$, hence

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sup \left(\sum\left|a_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{2} k}\right| /\left\|\sum a_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{2} k} e_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_{2} k}\right\|_{E_{\epsilon}^{2^{k}}}\right) \\
\geq \mathrm{E}\left(\sum_{\alpha, \beta \in I_{k-1}}\left|g_{\alpha, \beta}\right|\right) / \mathrm{E}\left(\left\|A^{k}\right\|\right) \\
\geq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} n^{2^{k}} /(2 / \sqrt{2})^{k} \sqrt{n}\left\|T^{-1}\right\|^{2^{k}},
\end{gathered}
$$

and the inequality of Lemma 4 establishes the Theorem.

Remark: More generally, the same proof can show that if $E_{i}$ ( $i=1,2, \ldots, 2^{k}$ ) are $n_{i}$-dimensional spaces with symmetric bases, and if $T_{i}: E_{i} \rightarrow \ell_{2}^{n_{i}}$ are the natural basis to basis maps, then for $F=$ $E_{1} \hat{\otimes}_{\epsilon} E_{2} \hat{\otimes}_{\epsilon} \cdots \hat{\otimes}_{\epsilon} E_{2^{k}}$,

$$
\eta_{1 / 2}(F) \prod_{j=1}^{2^{k}}\left\|T_{j}^{-1}\right\| \pi_{1}\left(T_{j}\right) \geq \sqrt{2 / \pi} \prod_{j=1}^{2^{k}} n_{j} / 2^{k / 2+2^{k-1}}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^{k}} \sqrt{n_{j}}\right)
$$

It is not essential that $2^{k}$ spaces appear in $F$, however, if the number is not $2^{k}$ then the bottom line on the right hand side of the inequality will be different.

Example: If $E=\ell_{2}^{n}$, then $\left\|T^{-1}\right\|=1, \pi_{1}(T) \leq \sqrt{\pi n / 2}$ [5], hence if $E_{N}=\underbrace{\ell_{2}^{n} \hat{\otimes}_{\epsilon} \cdots \hat{\otimes}_{\epsilon} \ell_{2}^{n}}_{2^{k}}$ where $N=n^{2^{k}}$,

$$
\eta_{1 / 2}\left(E_{N}\right) \geq \sqrt{2 / \pi} \sqrt{n^{2 k}} /(\sqrt{\pi})^{2 k} 2^{3 k / 2} \sqrt{n} \geq a \sqrt{N} e^{-\sqrt{\log N}}
$$

where $a>0$ is constant, and $k, n$ are chosen in an appropriate relation to $N$. If $0<p<\frac{1}{2}$, then $\eta_{p}\left(E_{N}\right) \geq N^{p-1 / 2} \eta_{1 / 2}\left(E_{N}\right) \geq a N^{p} e^{-V \log N} \rightarrow \infty$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Corollary 7: If $E$ is an n-dimensional normed space such that $d\left(E, \ell_{2}^{n}\right)<(n / \pi)^{1 / 2}$, then $\eta_{1 / 2}\left(E_{\epsilon}^{k}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof: First observe that if $k>\ell$ then $E_{\epsilon}^{\ell}$ is isometric to a norm one-complemented subspace of $E_{\epsilon}^{k}$, therefore the ideal property of the norm $\eta_{1 / 2}$ implies that $\eta_{1 / 2}\left(E_{\epsilon}^{k}\right), k=1,2, \ldots$, is a nondecreasing sequence. Clearly $d\left(E_{\epsilon}^{k},\left(\ell_{2}^{n}\right)_{\epsilon}^{k}\right) \leq\left(d\left(E, \ell_{2}^{n}\right)\right)^{k}$, hence using the estimates for $\eta_{1 / 2}\left(\left(\ell_{2}^{n}\right)_{\epsilon}^{2 k}\right)$ we obtain

$$
\eta_{1 / 2}\left(E_{\epsilon}^{2 k}\right) \geq \eta_{1 / 2}\left(\left(\ell_{2}^{n}\right)_{\epsilon}^{2^{k}}\right) /\left(d\left(E, \ell_{2}^{n}\right)\right)^{2^{k}} \geq \sqrt{2 / \pi}\left(\frac{\sqrt{n / \pi}}{d\left(E, \ell_{2}^{n}\right)}\right)^{2^{k}} / 2^{3 k / 2} \sqrt{n}
$$

which tends to $\infty$ with $k$.

Remarks: (1) It may be true that $\eta_{1 / 2}\left(E_{\epsilon}^{k}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ whenever $d\left(E, \ell_{2}^{n}\right)<\sqrt{n}$. It is obviously false if $d\left(E, \ell_{2}^{n}\right)=\sqrt{n}$, as in the case $E=\ell_{\infty}^{n}$.
(2) If $1<q<\infty$, and $c>\left|\frac{1}{2}-1 / q\right|$, there exists $N$ such that if $n \geq N$ and $E$ is any $m$-dimensional subspace of $L_{q}(\mu)$, then $\eta_{c}\left(E_{\epsilon}^{k}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. The reason for this is that $d\left(E, \ell_{2}^{n}\right) \leq n^{|1 / 2-1 / q|}$ by [13], so if $c \leq \frac{1}{2}$

$$
\eta_{c}\left(E_{\epsilon}^{2 k}\right) \geq{ }^{(c-1 / 2)^{2}} \eta_{1 / 2}\left(E_{\epsilon}^{2 k}\right)
$$

which tends to $\infty$ by applying the inequality in the proof of Corollary 7.

Theorem 8: There exists a reflexive separable Banach space $E$ with both $\eta_{p}(E)$ and $\eta_{p}^{* *}(E)$ infinite for all values of $p>0$.

Proof: Let $E_{N}$ be the space in the Example, and let $E=$ $\left(\Sigma_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus E_{N_{i}}\right)_{\ell_{2}}$ where $N_{i} \rightarrow \infty, N_{i}=\left(n_{i}\right)^{2_{i}}$, which the proper relation maintained between $n_{i}$ and $k_{i}$ with $N_{i}$. Since $E_{N_{i}}$ is norm one complemented in $E$, it follows that

$$
\eta_{p}(E) \geq \eta_{p}^{* *}(E) \geq \eta_{p}^{* *}\left(E_{N_{i}}\right)=\eta_{p}\left(E_{N_{i}}\right) \geq a N_{i}^{p} \exp \left(-\sqrt{\log N_{i}}\right) \xrightarrow[i \rightarrow \infty]{ } \infty
$$

In order to estimate $\pi_{1}(T)$ for general spaces it may be useful to apply the following proposition.

Proposition 9: For any $0<p, q, r<\infty$ there exist constants $a_{r, q}$, $b_{p, q}>0$ such that for any Banach space $E$ and any operator $T: E \rightarrow \ell_{2}^{n}$
(1) $b_{p, q}^{-1} \pi_{p}(T) \leq \sqrt{n}\left(\int_{S_{n}}\left\|T^{\prime} x\right\|^{q} d m(x)\right)^{1 / q} \leq a_{r, q}^{-1} \pi_{r}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$, where $S_{n}=$ $\left\{x \in \ell_{2}^{n} ;\|x\|_{2}=1\right\}$ and $d m(x)$ is the rotation invariant normalized measure on $S_{n}$.
(2) If $E^{\prime}$ is a subspace of an $L_{s}$-space, $1 \leq s<\infty$, and if $0<p \leq s \leq$ $r<\infty$ and $0<q<\infty$, the inequalities of (1) becomes equivalence relations and the constants of equivalence are independent of $n, T$ and E.
(3) If $\operatorname{dim}(E)=n$ and $E$ has a symmetric basis and $T: E \rightarrow \ell_{2}^{n}$ is the basis to basis map, then all the values $I_{q}=\left(\int_{S_{n}}\left\|T^{\prime} x\right\|^{q} d m(x)\right)^{1 / q}(0<$ $q<\infty$ ) are equivalent and the constants of equivalence are independent of $n$ and $E$.

Proof: (1) By [14] there exists a probability measure $\mu$ on $S_{n}$ such that for all $x \in \ell_{2}^{n}$

$$
\left\|T^{\prime} x\right\| \leq \pi_{q}\left(T^{\prime}\right)\left(\int_{S_{n}}\left|\left\langle x, x^{\prime}\right\rangle\right|^{q} d \mu\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{1 / q}
$$

hence by integrating with respect to $d m$

$$
I_{q} \leq \pi_{q}\left(T^{\prime}\right)\left(\int_{S_{n}} \int_{S_{n}}\left|\left\langle x, x^{\prime}\right\rangle\right|^{q} d \mu\left(x^{\prime}\right) d m(x)\right)^{1 / q}=\pi_{q}\left(T^{\prime}\right) / \pi_{q}\left(\ell_{2}^{n}\right)
$$

since $\left(\int_{S_{n}}\left|\left\langle x, x^{\prime}\right\rangle\right|^{q} d m(x)\right)^{1 / q}=\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{2}\left(\pi_{q}\left(\ell_{2}^{n}\right)\right)^{-1} \quad$ [5]. Since $\pi_{q}\left(\ell_{2}^{n}\right) \sim \sqrt{n}$, and $\pi_{q}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ is a non-increasing function of $q$, and $I_{q}$ is a nondecreasing function of $q$, the right hand side of (1) readily follows.

Without loss of generality we may assume that $T^{\prime}$ is a $1-1$ map, and define the probability measure $\nu$ on the unit ball $B_{E^{\prime}}$ of $E^{\prime}$ by

$$
\int_{B_{E^{\prime}}} f d \nu=\int_{S_{n}} f\left(T^{\prime} x\left\|T^{\prime} x\right\|\right)\left\|T^{\prime} x\right\|^{q} d m(x) / \int_{S_{n}}\left\|T^{\prime} x\right\|^{a} d m(x)
$$

for $f \in C\left(B_{E^{\prime}}\right)$. Taking $f=|\langle\xi, \cdot\rangle|^{q}$ where $\xi \in E$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{E^{\prime}}}\left|\left\langle\xi, x^{\prime}\right\rangle\right|^{a} d \nu\left(x^{\prime}\right) & =\int_{S_{n}}|\langle T \xi, x\rangle|^{q} d m(x) / \int_{S_{n}}\left\|T^{\prime} x\right\|^{a} d m(x) \\
& =\|T \xi\|^{a} /\left(\pi_{q}\left(\ell_{2}^{n}\right)\right)^{q} \int_{S_{n}}\left\|T^{\prime} x\right\|^{q} d m(x),
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore, $\pi_{q}(T) \leq \pi_{q}\left(\ell_{2}^{n}\right)\left(\int_{S_{n}}\left\|T^{\prime} x\right\|^{q} d m(x)\right)^{1 / q}$, and as above this proves the left hand side of (1).
(2) Let $j: E^{\prime} \rightarrow L_{s}$ be an isometric embedding, then by [12] $\pi_{s}\left(j T^{\prime}\right) \leq$ $\pi_{s}\left(\left(j T^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right)$, that is

$$
\pi_{s}\left(T^{\prime}\right)=\pi_{s}\left(j T^{\prime}\right) \leq \pi_{s}\left(T^{\prime \prime} j^{\prime}\right) \leq \pi_{s}\left(T^{\prime \prime}\right)=\pi_{s}(T)
$$

and (2) follows from the inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{s}\left(T^{\prime}\right) & \leq \pi_{s}(T) \leq \pi_{p}(T) \leq b_{p, q} \sqrt{n} I_{q} \leq b_{p, q} a_{r, q}^{-1} \pi_{r}\left(T^{\prime}\right) \\
& \leq b_{p, q} a_{r, q}^{-1} \pi_{s}\left(T^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(3) Set $|x|_{E^{\prime}}=\left\|T^{\prime} x\right\|$ for vectors $x \in \ell_{2}^{n}=\left(R^{n},\|\cdot\|_{2}\right)$. Since $E$ is symmetric, by [10] $\|T\|\left\|T^{-1}\right\|=d\left(E, \ell_{2}^{n}\right)$, and $d\left(E, \ell_{2}^{n}\right) \leq \sqrt{n}$, so $a\|x\|_{2} \leq$ $|x|_{E^{\prime}} \leq b\|x\|_{2}$ for all $x \in \ell_{2}^{n}$, where $b / a \leq \sqrt{n}$. From the remark following Lemma 2.7 in [4], the values $I_{q}=\left(\int_{S_{n}}|x|_{E^{\prime}}^{q} d m(x)\right)^{1 / q}(0<q<\infty)$ are all equivalent to the Levy mean $M^{*}$, which is by definition the unique number such that $m\left(\left\{x \in S_{n} ; \quad|x|_{E^{\prime}} \leq M^{*}\right\}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $m\left(\left\{x \in S_{n}\right.\right.$; $\left.\left.|x|_{E^{\prime}} \geq M^{*}\right\}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}$, that is, there exist absolute positive constants $a_{q}, b_{q}$ such that $a_{q} M^{*} \leq I_{q} \leq b_{q} M^{*}$.

Corollary 10: If $\operatorname{dim}(E)=n$, there are absolute constants $a, b>0$ such that for any $T: E \rightarrow \ell_{2}^{n}$

$$
a \pi_{1}(T) \leq \sqrt{ } \bar{n} \int_{S_{n}}\left\|T^{\prime} x\right\| d m(x) \leq b \pi_{1}(T) \sqrt{\ln n} x_{u}(E)
$$

Proof: Let $\gamma_{p}$ denote the best factorization through an $L_{p}$-space norm [9]. Interpolation technique as in Theorem 7 [11] shows that $\pi_{p}\left(T^{\prime}\right) \leq n^{1 / p} \gamma_{\infty}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$. Since $\quad \gamma_{\infty}\left(T^{\prime}\right)=\gamma_{1}(T) \leq x_{u}(E) \pi_{1}(T) \quad[7], \quad$ and $\pi_{p}\left(\ell_{2}^{n}\right) \geq c \sqrt{n / p}$ [5], we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{S_{n}}\left\|T^{\prime} x\right\| d m(x) & \leq\left(\int_{S_{n}}\left\|T^{\prime} x\right\|^{p} d m(x)\right)^{1 / p} \leq \pi_{p}\left(T^{\prime}\right) / \pi_{p}\left(\ell_{2}^{n}\right) \\
& \leq \sqrt{p / n} c^{-1} n^{1 / p} \pi_{l}(T) x_{u}(E)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the estimate follows by taking $p=\ln n$, and from Proposition 9 .

Corollary 11: Let $E_{N}=\underbrace{\ell_{p}^{n} \hat{\otimes}_{\epsilon} \cdots \hat{\otimes}_{\epsilon} \ell_{p}^{n}}_{2^{k}}, \quad N=n^{2^{k}}$, where $1 \leq$ $p \leq 2$. There exists $b>0$, such that $\eta_{1 / 2}\left(E_{N}\right) \geq b \sqrt{N} e^{-\sqrt{\log N}}$ for the proper relation between $n, k$ with $N$.

Proof: Factor $T: \ell_{p}^{n} \xrightarrow{A} \ell_{1}^{n} \xrightarrow{B} \ell_{2}^{n}$, where $A, B$ are the inclusions. Then $\left\|T^{-1}\right\|=n^{1 / p-1 / 2}$, and $\pi_{1}(T) \leq\|A\| \pi_{1}(B)=\sqrt{2} n^{1-1 / p}$, since $\pi_{1}(B)=$ $\sqrt{2}$ is the Khintchine constant. Therefore, $\left\|T^{-1}\right\| \pi_{1}(T) \leq \sqrt{2 n}$. The proof is concluded as in the Example following Theorem 6.

Remark: Since the distance between $E_{\epsilon}^{k}$ and $\left(\ell_{p}^{n}\right)_{\epsilon}^{k}$ is $\leq\left(d\left(E, \ell_{p}^{n}\right)\right)^{k}$, it follows from the estimates of Corollary 10, Theorem 6 and the inequality

$$
\eta_{1 / 2}\left(E_{\epsilon}^{k}\right) \geq \eta_{1 / 2}\left(\left(\ell_{p}^{n}\right)_{\epsilon}^{k}\right)\left(d\left(E, \ell_{p}^{n}\right)^{-k}\right.
$$

that if $E$ is any $n$-dimensional Banach space such that $\inf _{1 \leq p \leqslant 2} d\left(E, \ell_{p}^{n}\right)<\sqrt{n} / 2$, then $\eta_{1 / 2}\left(E_{\epsilon}^{2^{k}}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Denote by $r_{i}(t)$, the $i$-th Rademacher function on $[0,1]$.
Corollary 12: Let $1<p \leq 2 \leq q<\infty, 1 / p<1 / q+\frac{1}{2}$. Assume $F$ is $a$ Banach space of type $p$ and cotype $q$. Then, for any $c$ satisfying $c>1 / p-1 / q$, there exists an integer $N$ such that if $n>N$ and if $E$ is any n-dimensional symmetric subspace of $F$, then $\eta_{c}\left(E_{\epsilon}^{k}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof: Let $\alpha$ be the type- $p$ constant and $\beta$ be the cotype- $q$ constant of $F$ respectively. Let $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ denote the symmetric basis of a subspace $E \subset F$, and $\left\{e_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{1}^{n}$ be the biorthogonal functionals. The inequality

$$
\left(\sum_{i}^{n} \mid \xi_{i} \dot{q}^{\dot{q}}\right)^{1 / q} \leq \beta \int_{0}^{1}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i} r_{i}(t) e_{i}\right\| d t=\beta\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i} e_{i}\right\|
$$

implies that $\left\|\Sigma \xi_{i} e^{\prime}\right\| \leq \beta\|\xi\|_{q^{\prime}}$. By Proposition 9

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{1, q^{\prime}}^{-1}, n^{-1 / 2} \pi_{1}(T) & \leq\left(\int_{S_{n}}\left\|T^{\prime} x\right\|^{q^{\prime}} d m(x)\right)^{1 / q^{\prime}} \leq \beta\left(\int_{S_{n}}\|\xi\|_{q^{\prime}}^{q^{\prime}} d m(\xi)\right)^{1 / q^{\prime}} \\
& =\beta n^{1 / q^{\prime}}\left(\pi_{q^{\prime}}\left(\ell_{2}^{n}\right)\right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

hence $\pi_{1}(T) \leq \beta c_{q} n^{1 / q^{\prime}}$, where $c_{q}>0$ is constant. Select scalars $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ so that $\Sigma x_{i}^{2}=1$ and $\left\|T^{-1}\right\|=\left\|\Sigma x_{i} e_{i}\right\|$. Then,

$$
\left\|T^{-1}\right\|=\int_{0}^{1}\left\|\sum x_{i} r_{i}(t) e_{i}\right\| d t \leq \alpha\|x\|_{p} \leq \alpha n^{1 / p-1 / 2}
$$

therefore $\left\|T^{-1}\right\| \pi_{1}(T) \leq \alpha \beta c_{q} n^{1 / p-1 / q+1 / 2}$. Combining this with the inequality $\eta_{c}\left(E_{\epsilon}^{2 k}\right) \geq \eta_{1 / 2}\left(E_{\epsilon}^{2 k}\right)\left(n^{2 k}\right)^{c-1 / 2}$, and Theorem 6, establishes that for $c>1 / p-1 / q$ and $n$ sufficiently large, $\eta_{1 / 2}\left(E_{\epsilon}^{2 k}\right)\left(n^{2^{k}}\right)^{c-1 / 2} \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.
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