

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

H. DABOUSSI

On the limiting distribution of non negative additive functions

Compositio Mathematica, tome 43, n° 1 (1981), p. 101-105

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1981__43_1_101_0

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1981, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/legal.php>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

ON THE LIMITING DISTRIBUTION OF NON NEGATIVE ADDITIVE FUNCTIONS

H. Daboussi

Let A be a set of positive integers and let for $N \geq 2$

$$A(N) = \sum_{n \in A, n \leq N} 1.$$

Let N_0 be the smallest element of A .

Let f be an arithmetic function and define for $N \geq N_0$,

$$F_{N,A}(t) = \frac{1}{A(N)} \sum_{\substack{n \leq N, n \in A \\ f(n) \leq t}} 1.$$

When A is fixed, or $A = N^*$, the set of positive integers, we write simply $F_N(t)$.

We say that f has a limiting distribution of the set A if there exists a non-decreasing function F satisfying $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} F(t) = 0$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} F(t) = 1$ and, at every continuity point, t , of F , $F_N(t)$ tends, when $N \rightarrow +\infty$, to $F(t)$.

Erdős and Wintner [2] showed that an additive function f (i.e. $f(m \cdot n) = f(m) + f(n)$ for every coprime m and n) has a limiting distribution on the set of all integers if and only if the following series converge

$$\sum_{|f(p)| \leq 1} \frac{f(p)}{p}, \quad \sum_{|f(p)| \leq 1} \frac{|f(p)|^2}{p}, \quad \sum_{|f(p)| > 1} \frac{1}{p}.$$

Kátai [3] proved that if these series converge, then the additive function f has a limiting distribution on the set $\{p + 1 \mid p \text{ is prime}\}$.

Elliott [1] proved that if $f(p) \geq 0$ for every prime p and $f(p^r) = f(p)$ if $r \geq 1$ and if f has a limiting distribution on the set $\{p + 1\}$ these series converge. We shall be concerned in the following with non negative additive functions (i.e. $f(p^r) \geq 0$ for every prime power p^r).

THEOREM: *Let A be a set of positive integers satisfying*

(i) *for every $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$,*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{A(N)} \sum_{\substack{d|n, n \leq N \\ n \in A}} 1$$

exists and is equal to $\omega(d)/d$.

(ii) *ω is a multiplicative function satisfying*

$$\sum_p \sum_{r \geq 2} \frac{\omega(p^r)}{p^r} < \infty.$$

Let f be a non negative additive function satisfying

$$\sum_{0 \leq f(p) \leq 1} \frac{f(p)\omega(p)}{p} + \sum_{1 < f(p)} \frac{\omega(p)}{p} = +\infty$$

then f has not a limiting distribution on the set A and more precisely

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} F_N(t) = 0 \quad \text{for every } t.$$

COROLLARY 1: *If $A = \{p + 1\}$ and if f is a non negative additive function satisfying*

$$\sum_{0 \leq f(p) \leq 1} \frac{f(p)}{p} = +\infty \quad \text{or} \quad \sum_{1 < f(p)} \frac{1}{p} = \infty$$

then f has not a limiting distribution on A and $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} F_N(t) = 0$ for every t .

This corollary contains Elliott's result.

COROLLARY 2: *Let A be a set of positive integers such that*

$$\liminf \frac{A(N)}{N} > 0.$$

If f is a non negative additive function and if

$$\sum_{0 \leq f(p) \leq 1} \frac{f(p)}{p} = +\infty \quad \text{or} \quad \sum_{1 < f(p)} \frac{1}{p} = +\infty$$

then f has not a limiting distribution on A and $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} F_{N,A}(t) = 0$.

PROOF OF THE COROLLARIES: Corollary 1 is immediate by remarking that for every

$$d \in \mathbb{N}^*, \frac{1}{A(N)} \sum_{\substack{p^+ | \leq N \\ d | p^+}} 1 \text{ tends to } \frac{1}{\varphi(d)} \text{ that is } \omega(d) = \frac{d}{\varphi(d)}$$

where φ is Euler's function.

For the proof of Corollary 2, let

$$F_{N,A}(t) = \frac{1}{A(N)} \sum_{\substack{n \leq N, n \in A \\ f(n) \leq t}} 1$$

and

$$F_N(t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{n \leq N \\ f(n) \leq t}} 1.$$

From the theorem with $A = \mathbb{N}^*$ we see that $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} F_N(t) = 0$. As

$$F_{N,A}(t) \leq \frac{N}{A(N)} F_N(t)$$

we get $\lim F_{N,A}(t) = 0$ for every t .

PROOF OF THE THEOREM: We first remark that

$$\sum_p \frac{\omega(p)(1 - e^{-f(p)})}{p} = +\infty.$$

This is easily deduced from the following inequalities:

$$(1 - 1/e)t \leq 1 - e^{-t} \leq t \quad \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq 1$$

$$(1 - 1/e) \leq 1 - e^{-t} \leq 1 \quad \text{if } t > 1$$

and the hypothesis on f .

For $y \geq 2$, define the non negative additive function f_y by

$$f_y(p^r) = \begin{cases} f(p^r) & \text{if } p^r \leq y \\ 0 & \text{if } p^r > y \end{cases} \text{ for every prime power } p^r.$$

Let $g_y = e^{-f_y} * \mu$ where μ is the Möbius function. Clearly g_y is multiplicative, $g_y(p^r) = e^{-f_y(p^r)} - e^{-f_y(p^{r-1})}$ which shows that $|g_y(p^r)| \leq 2$ and that $g_y(n) = 0$ except on a finite set of integers S_y , say.

Let $\Pi_y = \sum \frac{g_y(n)}{n} \omega(n)$. Then one sees easily that

$$\Pi_y = \prod_{p \leq y} \left(1 - \frac{(1 - e^{-f(p)})\omega(p)}{p} + \sum_{r \geq 2} \frac{g_y(p^r)\omega(p^r)}{p^r} \right).$$

As $\sum_p \frac{(1 - e^{-f(p)})\omega(p)}{p} = +\infty$ and $\sum_p \sum_{r \geq 2} \frac{\omega(p^r)}{p^r} < \infty$ we get $\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \Pi_y = 0$.

Now, as $e^{-f_y(n)} = \sum_{d|n} g_y(d)$ we have

$$\frac{1}{A(N)} \sum_{\substack{n \leq N \\ n \in A}} e^{-f_y(n)} = \sum_{d \in S_y} g_y(d) \frac{1}{A(N)} \sum_{\substack{n \leq N \\ n \in A, d|n}} 1$$

and so

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{A(N)} \sum_{\substack{n \leq N \\ n \in A}} e^{-f_y(n)} = \sum \frac{g_y(d)\omega(d)}{d} = \Pi_y.$$

Remarking that

$$e^{-f(n)} = \prod_{p^r \parallel n} e^{-f(p^r)} \leq \prod_{\substack{p^r \parallel n \\ p^r \leq y}} e^{-f(p^r)} = e^{-f_y(n)}$$

we obtain

$$\overline{\lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{A(N)} \sum_{\substack{n \leq N \\ n \in A}} e^{-f(n)} \leq \Pi_y$$

and by taking the limit when $y \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$\overline{\lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{A(N)} \sum_{\substack{n \leq N \\ n \in A}} e^{-f(n)} = 0.$$

As

$$\frac{1}{A(N)} \sum_{\substack{n \leq N \\ n \in A}} e^{-f(n)} = \int_0^\infty e^{-x} dF_N(x)$$

and for every t

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-x} dF_N(x) \geq e^{-t} F_N(t)$$

we obtain

$$\overline{\lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} F_N(t) = 0$$

and so the theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] P.D.T.A. ELLIOTT: On the limiting distribution of $f(p+1)$ for non negative additive functions. *Acta Arithmetica XXV* (1974) 259–264.
- [2] P. ERDÖS and A. WINTNER: Additive arithmetical functions and statistical independence. *Amer. J. Math.* 61 (1939) 713–721.
- [3] I. KÁTAI: On the distribution of arithmetical functions on the set of primes plus one. *Compositio Math.* 19 (1968) 278–289.

(Oblatum 2-I-1980 & 21-IV-1980)

Université de Paris-Sud
Centre d'Orsay
F 91405 ORSAY Cedex