

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

HASSE CARLSSON

STEPHEN WAINGER

**An asymptotic series expansion of the
multidimensional renewal measure**

Compositio Mathematica, tome 47, n° 3 (1982), p. 355-364

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1982__47_3_355_0

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1982, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

AN ASYMPTOTIC SERIES EXPANSION OF THE MULTIDIMENSIONAL RENEWAL MEASURE

Hasse Carlsson¹ and Stephen Wainger²

1. Introduction and main theorem

Let $p(x)dx$ be an absolutely continuous probability distribution on Euclidean d -dimensional space with non-vanishing mean vector μ . As usual we define the renewal measure ν by the formula

$$\nu(E) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_E p^{n*}(x) dx$$

for any Borel set E . Then it is well known that, if say Q is the unit cube,

$$\nu(Q + \lambda\mu) \sim \frac{C}{\lambda^\rho}, \quad \lambda \rightarrow +\infty,$$

where $\rho = \frac{1}{2}(d - 1)$. See [1], [2], [4], and [6]. We are concerned here with the error

$$E(\lambda) = \nu(Q + \lambda\mu) - \frac{C}{\lambda^\rho}.$$

In one dimension the decay of $E(\lambda)$ is to a large extent independent of the distribution $p(x)$, provided $p(x)$ has sufficiently many moments. For example if $\int |x|^k p(x) dx < +\infty$, $k = 2, 3, 4, \dots$, then

$$E(\lambda) = o(\lambda^{-k+1}), \quad \lambda \rightarrow +\infty.$$

¹ Supported by the Swedish Natural Science Research Council.

² Supported in part by an N.S.F. grant at the University of Wisconsin.

(See however Stone [7] where it is shown that

$$E(\lambda) = R(\lambda) + o(\lambda^{-k}), \quad \lambda \rightarrow +\infty,$$

where $R(\lambda)$ does depend on $p(x)$.) In contrast to this, in more than one dimension it is not true in general that

$$E(\lambda) = o(\lambda^{-(\rho+1)}), \quad \lambda \rightarrow +\infty,$$

no matter how many moments are assumed finite. In fact $E(\lambda)$ has an asymptotic series expansion which is very much dependent on the complete structure of $p(x)$.

One may get a feeling for this phenomena by considering the following special example. Suppose dP is a singular measure in the plane supported on the line $x = 1$ and smooth on that line. So for a C_0^∞ function f ,

$$dP(f) = \int f(1, y)g(y)dy, \quad \int g(y)dy = 1$$

with $g \in C_0^\infty$. Suppose $\int yg(y)dy = 0$. Then dP^{*n} will be supported on the line $x = n$ and its distribution on this line is governed by the local central limit theorem which is very distributional dependent. It follows obviously that $v(Q + n)$ is also very dependent on the distribution of g .

To state our theorem we need some notation. Let π be the hyperplane through the origin perpendicular to μ . For x in \mathbb{R}^d let x_1 be the projection of x on μ and let x' be the projection on π . We let x_2, \dots, x_d denote the standard coordinates in π of x' . Then we consider the covariance matrix

$$B = (E[X_i X_j])_{i,j=2,\dots,d}$$

where $X = (X_1, \dots, X_d)$ is a random vector with distribution $p(x)dx$. By ω_j we mean an expression of the form

$$Q_0(x') + \frac{Q_1(x')}{x_1^{1/2}} + \dots + \frac{Q_{n_j}(x')}{x_1^{n_j/2}} \tag{1}$$

where each Q_k is a homogeneous polynomial of degree k in x' whose coefficients are determined by the moments of $p(x)$.

We shall prove the following result.

THEOREM: *If $p(x)$ has a sufficient number of finite moments then*

$$E_k(x) = v(Q + x) - \int_{Q+x} h_k(y) dy = o\left(\frac{1}{x_1^{\rho+k/2}}\right), \quad x_1 \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (2)$$

where

$$h_k(x) = \frac{|\mu|^{\rho-1}}{(\det B)^{1/2}(2\pi x_1)^\rho} \exp\left(-\frac{|\mu|B^{-1}(x', x')}{2x_1}\right) \cdot \left(1 + \frac{\omega_1(x)}{x_1^{1/2}} + \frac{\omega_2(x)}{x_1} + \dots + \frac{\omega_k(x)}{x_1^{k/2}}\right).$$

The estimate is uniform in x' .

REMARK 1: ω_j is bounded in any paraboloid $|x'|^2 \leq Cx_1$ and thus the behavior of $v(Q + x)$ in such a paraboloid is determined by (2) up to “order” $\rho + \frac{k}{2}$.

REMARK 2: The explicit form of (1) in terms of the moments of $p(x)$ is rather complicated. For instance in \mathbb{R}^2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1(x) = & \frac{1}{2} \frac{x_2}{x_1^{1/2}} \left(\mu_{0,3} \frac{\mu_1^2}{\mu_2^2} - \mu_{1,1} \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_2} \right) + \\ & + \frac{x_2^3}{x_1^{3/2}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \mu_{1,1} \frac{\mu_1^2}{\mu_2^2} - \frac{1}{6} \mu_{0,3} \frac{\mu_1^3}{\mu_2^3} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu_i = E[X_i^i]$ and $\mu_{i,j} = E[X_1^i X_2^j]$.

REMARK 3: We will prove (2) if

$$E[|X_1|^{\max(1, \rho) + \frac{1}{2}(k+3)}] < +\infty$$

and

$$E[|X'|^{k+5}] < +\infty.$$

In fact one can prove the sharper result

$$E_k(x) = o\left(\frac{1}{x_1^{\rho + \frac{1}{2}(k+a)}}\right), \quad x_1 \rightarrow +\infty,$$

for any $\alpha < 1$ if one assumes that

$$E[|X_1|^{\max(1, \rho) + \frac{1}{2}(k + \alpha) + \varepsilon}] < +\infty$$

and

$$E[|X'|^{2+k+\alpha+\varepsilon}] < +\infty$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$. For the stronger result one needs to use more delicate techniques such as those developed in [1].

In proving the theorem we need the “correct” method of expanding $f(t) = \hat{p}(t)$. We write

$$f(t) = \sum_{j=0}^m p_j(t) + E_m(t),$$

where $p_j(\lambda^2 t_1, \lambda t') = \lambda^j p_j(t_1, t')$, for $\lambda > 0$. This method of counting degrees has been employed in several complex variables and operators on nilpotent groups. See [3] and [5].

2. Proof of the theorem

Let $\phi(x)$ be C^∞ and have support in the unit cube $Q = \{x; |x_i| \leq 1\}$ and put $\phi_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-d} \phi(x/\varepsilon)$. Let χ_E denote the indicator function of the set E , and put $Q_r = \{x; |x_i| \leq r\}$. Let Ω_k be the measure with density h_k , let N denote the integer $[\rho + \frac{1}{2}k] + 1$ and put $M = 2(N - \rho)$. We shall prove

$$|\phi_\varepsilon * \chi_{Q_r} * (v - \Omega_M)(x)| \leq C \frac{\log^d \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}{x_1^N}, \tag{3}$$

where C can be chosen uniformly for r bounded, and

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\varepsilon * \chi_{Q_{1-\varepsilon}} * (v - \Omega_M)(x) - C\varepsilon &\leq (v - \Omega_M)(Q + x) \leq \\ &\leq \phi_\varepsilon * \chi_{Q_{1+\varepsilon}} * (v - \Omega_M)(x) + C\varepsilon. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

(2) follows easily from (3) and (4) by putting $\varepsilon = x_1^{-m}$ with m large enough. (As $N \geq \rho + \frac{1}{2}(k + 1)$ and $M \geq k + 1$, we obtain a sharper result than (2). This is possible as we assume a stronger moment condition than necessary; compare Remark 3.)

We assume without loss of generality that $|\mu| = 1$ and that B is the identity matrix.

Let us turn to the estimate (3). Set $f(t) = \int e^{-it \cdot x} p(x) dx$. As observed in [1], $\hat{v} = (1 - f)^{-1} \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. So

$$\phi_\varepsilon * \chi_{Q_r} * v(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int e^{it \cdot x} \frac{\hat{\phi}(\varepsilon t) \hat{\chi}_{Q_r}(t)}{1 - f(t)} dt. \tag{5}$$

Let $\psi(t)$ be C^∞_0 and 1 near the origin. Then, as $(1 - f(t))^{-1}$ is bounded if t is bounded away from the origin and χ_{Q_r} and its derivatives are bounded by a constant times $\prod_{i=1}^d |t_i|^{-1}$, $|t| \rightarrow +\infty$, N integrations by parts gives

$$\left| \int e^{it \cdot x} \frac{\hat{\phi}(\varepsilon t) \hat{\chi}_{Q_r}(t)}{1 - f(t)} (1 - \psi(t)) dt \right| \leq \frac{C \log^d \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}{x_1^N}. \tag{6}$$

It remains to consider

$$I(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int e^{it \cdot x} \frac{\hat{\phi}(\varepsilon t) \hat{\chi}_{Q_r}(t) \psi(t)}{1 - f(t)} dt. \tag{7}$$

The general idea is to use the Taylor expansion of f at the origin to prove that

$$I(x) = \phi_\varepsilon * \chi_{Q_r} * \Omega_M(x) + O(x_1^{-N}), \quad x_1 \rightarrow +\infty. \tag{8}$$

By expanding $e^{-it \cdot x}$ in a Taylor series and integrating term by term, we get

$$f(t) = 1 - \sum_{j=2}^n P_j(t) + R_n(t), \tag{9}$$

where $P_j(t) = P_j(t_1, t')$ is a polynomial homogeneous in the sense that

$$P_j(\lambda^2 t_1, \lambda t') = \lambda^j P_j(t).$$

The coefficients of P_j are determined by the moments of $p(x)$. From the Taylor expansion of $e^{-it \cdot x}$ and the moment condition imposed on $p(x)$, we get if $n \leq M + 2$ that

$$\begin{aligned} R_n(t) &= \int \left(e^{-it \cdot x} - \sum_{\| \alpha \| \leq n} \frac{(-it \cdot x)^\alpha}{\alpha!} \right) p(x) dx = \\ &= O((|t_1| + |t'|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(n+1)}), \quad t \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where $\|\alpha\| = 2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_d$. Furthermore, if $l \leq n/2$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^l R_n}{\partial t_1^l}(t) &= \int (-ix_1)^l \left(e^{-it \cdot x} - \sum_{\|\alpha\| \leq n-2l} \frac{(-it \cdot x)^\alpha}{\alpha!} \right) p(x) dx = \\ &= O((|t_1| + |t|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(n+1)-l}), \quad t \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

and $\partial^l R_n / \partial t_1^l$ is bounded if $n/2 < l \leq N$.

Write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{1-f(t)} &= \frac{1}{P_2(t)} + \frac{1}{1-f(t)} - \frac{1}{P_2(t)} = \\ &= \frac{1}{P_2(t)} + \frac{R_2(t)}{P_2(t)(1-f(t))}. \end{aligned}$$

By iteration, we find

$$\frac{1}{1-f(t)} = \sum_{j=0}^M \frac{R_2^j(t)}{P_2^{j+1}(t)} + \frac{R_2^{M+1}(t)}{P_2^{M+1}(t)(1-f(t))}. \tag{12}$$

We write

$$R_2(t) = - \sum_{k=3}^{M+2} P_k(t) + R_{M+2}(t)$$

and expand $R_2(t)$ by the multinomial theorem to obtain

$$\frac{1}{1-f(t)} = \sum_{j=0}^M \frac{\left(- \sum_{k=3}^{M+2} P_k(t) \right)^j}{P_2^{j+1}(t)} + S_M(t). \tag{13}$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} S_M(t) &= \frac{R_2^{M+1}(t)}{P_2^{M+1}(t)(1-f(t))} + \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^M \Sigma' C_{i_1, \dots, i_m} \frac{R_{M+2}^{i_1}(t) P_3^{i_3}(t) \dots P_{M+2}^{i_{M+2}}(t)}{P_2^{j+1}(t)} \end{aligned}$$

where Σ' is a finite sum with $i_1 + i_3 + \dots + i_{M+2} = j$ and $i_1 \geq 1$. We claim that S_M has N derivatives with respect to t_1 that are locally integrable. Granted this we can integrate by parts N times to obtain

$$\left| \int e^{it \cdot x} \hat{\phi}(\varepsilon t) \hat{\chi}_{Q_r}(t) \psi(t) S_M(t) dt \right| \leq \frac{C}{x_1^N}. \tag{14}$$

To see that $S_M(t)$ has N locally integrable derivatives, note that the moment assumption on $p(x)$ implies that $f(t)$ is differentiable N times with respect to t_1 . Hence $S_M(t)$ is differentiable N times if $t \neq 0$. Furthermore, in a neighborhood of the origin every quotient occurring in S_M is bounded by a constant times $(|t_1| + |t'|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(M-1)}$ (see (10)). As each differentiation with respect to t_1 introduces at worst a multiplicative factor of size $(|t_1| + |t'|^2)^{-1}$ (see (11)), we get

$$\left| \frac{\partial^N S_M(t)}{\partial t_1^N} (t) \right| \leq \frac{C}{(|t_1| + |t'|^2)^{\rho+1/2}} \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

In view of (7), (13) and (14) we have

$$I(x) = \sum_{j=0}^M \sum_{l=3j}^{(M+2)j} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int e^{it \cdot x} \hat{\phi}(\varepsilon t) \hat{\chi}_{Q_r}(t) \psi(t) \frac{q_{l,j}(t)}{P_2^{j+1}(t)} dt + O(x_1^{-N}),$$

$x_1 \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (15)$

where $q_{l,j}(t)$ is a polynomial satisfying

$$q_{l,j}(\lambda^2 t_1, \lambda t') = \lambda^l q_{l,j}(t_1, t'). \quad (16)$$

Write

$$\psi(t) \frac{q_{l,j}(t)}{P_2^{j+1}(t)} = \frac{q_{l,j}(t)}{P_2^{j+1}(t)} + (\psi(t) - 1) \frac{q_{l,j}(t)}{P_2^{j+1}(t)}.$$

Now sufficiently high order derivatives with respect to t_1 to

$$(\psi(t) - 1) q_{l,j}(t) P_2^{-(j+1)}(t)$$

are integrable functions, so $\{(\psi(t) - 1) q_{l,j}(t) P_2^{-(j+1)}(t)\}^\vee$ is a function in $x_1 > 0$ and is $O(x_1^{-k})$, $x_1 \rightarrow +\infty$, for any k . Thus we get from (15) that

$$I(x) = \sum_{j=0}^M \sum_{l=3j}^{(M+2)j} \phi_\varepsilon * \chi_{Q_r} * Q_{l,j}(x) + O(x_1^{-N}), \quad x_1 \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (17)$$

where $\hat{Q}_{l,j} = q_{l,j} P_2^{-(j+1)}$.

From (16) we see that

$$\frac{q_{l,j}(\lambda^2 t_1, \lambda t')}{P_2^{j+1}(\lambda^2 t_1, \lambda t')} = \lambda^{l-2(j+1)} \frac{q_{l,j}(t)}{P_2^{j+1}(t)}$$

which implies

$$Q_{l,j}(\lambda^2 x_1, \lambda x') = \frac{1}{\lambda^{d-1+l-2j}} Q_{l,j}(x).$$

By letting $x_1 = 1, x' = 0$ and $y_1 = \lambda^2$ we get

$$Q_{l,j}(y_1, 0) = \frac{C_{l,j}}{y_1^{\rho+l/2-j}}, \quad y_1 > 0.$$

Now if we define ω_k by

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi x_1)^\rho} \exp\left(-\frac{|x'|^2}{2x_1}\right) \frac{\omega_k(x)}{x_1^{k/2}} = \sum_{l-2j=k} Q_{l,j}(x), \tag{18}$$

we have $\omega_k(x_1, 0) = c_k$ which agrees with (1). To see that $\omega_k(x)$ has the representation (1) also when $x' \neq 0$, we first observe that the Fourier transform of

$$w(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(2\pi x_1)^\rho} \exp\left(-\frac{|x'|^2}{2x_1}\right), & x_1 > 0 \\ 0, & x_1 \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

is

$$\hat{w}(t) = P_2^{-1}(t),$$

see [1]. From this we obtain

$$\left(\frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha} x_1^\rho w(x)\right)^\wedge(t) = C_{\alpha,p} \frac{t^\alpha}{P_2^\rho(t)},$$

where the derivatives and the Fourier transform are interpreted in the sense of distributions. As $x_1^\rho w(x) \in C_0^\infty$ if $x \neq 0$, we see that $\frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha} x_1^\rho w(x)$ for $x \neq 0$ is a function obtained from $x_1^\rho w(x)$ by (ordinary) differentiation. From this the representation (1) follows easily by induction. We also see that the terms $\phi_\varepsilon * \chi_{Q_r} * Q_{l,j}$ in (17) with $l - 2j > M$ is $O(x_1^{-N})$, $x_1 \rightarrow +\infty$. Thus (9) follows from (17). This completes the proof of (3), as it is an immediate consequence of (5), (6), (7) and (9).

To prove (4) we observe that if $y \in \text{supp } \phi_\varepsilon$ then

$$Q_{1-\varepsilon} + x - y \subseteq Q + x \subseteq Q_{1+\varepsilon} + x - y,$$

which implies

$$\phi_\varepsilon * \chi_{Q_{1-\varepsilon}} * v(x) \leq v(Q + x) = \int v(Q + x) \phi_\varepsilon(y) dy \leq \phi_\varepsilon * \chi_{Q_{1+\varepsilon}} * v(x) \tag{19}$$

as v is a nonnegative measure. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\phi_\varepsilon * \chi_{Q_{1\pm\varepsilon}} * \Omega_M(x) - \Omega_M(Q + x)| \leq \\ & \leq \int |\Omega_M(Q_{1\pm\varepsilon} + x - y) - \Omega_M(Q + x)| \phi_\varepsilon(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

As $y \in \text{supp } \phi_\varepsilon$ implies that the symmetric difference between $Q_{1\pm\varepsilon} + x - y$ and $Q + x$ is included in $\{x; 1 - 2\varepsilon \leq |x_i| \leq 1 + 2\varepsilon\}$ and Ω_M has a bounded density, we get

$$|\phi_\varepsilon * \chi_{Q_{1\pm\varepsilon}} * \Omega_M(x) - \Omega_M(Q + x)| \leq C\varepsilon. \tag{20}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem as (19) and (20) implies (4).

REMARK 4: It can be seen from the proof that the theorem is true for any measure that satisfies $\lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \inf |1 - f(t)| > 0$. Also Q can be an arbitrary parallelepiped and the estimate is uniform for Q in bounded sets.

[1] H. CARLSSON: Error estimates in d -dimensional renewal theory. *Comp. Math.* 46 (1982) 227–253.
 [2] R.A. DONEY: An analogue of the renewal theorem in higher dimensions. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) 16 (1966) 669–684.
 [3] G.B. FOLLAND and E.M. STEIN: Estimates for the $\bar{\partial}_b$ complex and analysis on the Heisenberg group. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 27 (1974) 429–552.
 [4] P.E. NEY and F. SPITZER: The Martin boundary for random walk. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 121 (1966) 116–132.
 [5] L.P. ROTHSCHILD and E.M. STEIN: Hypoelliptic differential operators and nilpotent groups. *Acta Math.* 137 (1976) 247–320.
 [6] A.J. STAM: Renewal theory in r dimensions, I. *Comp. Math.* 21 (1969) 383–399.
 [7] C.J. STONE: On characteristic functions and renewal theory. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 120 (1965) 327–342.

(Oblatum 15-VII-1981 & 29-I-1982)

Hasse Carlsson
Department of Mathematics
University of Göteborg
S-412 96 Göteborg
Sweden

Stephen Wainger
Department of Mathematics
University of Wisconsin
Madison, Wisconsin 53706
USA