

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

PIOTR BLASS

JEFFREY LANG

Appendix : “Picard groups of Zariski surfaces”

Compositio Mathematica, tome 54, n° 1 (1985), p. 37-40

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1985__54_1_37_0>

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1985, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

APPENDIX TO “PICARD GROUPS OF ZARISKI SURFACES”

Piotr Blass and Jeffrey Lang

In this appendix we show how to pass from *generic* to *general* and how to prove our conjecture stated in the introduction to [1] and [2] (see Theorem 7(2) below).

We use techniques of P. Samuel and Jeffrey Lang and of course the main result of [1] which was proven with the help of Deligne.

We begin by introducing some notation which is analogous to [3] and [1]. If R is a normal noetherian domain we denote by $\text{Cl } R$ its divisor class group.

k algebraically closed field of characteristic $p \geq 5$;
 T_{ij} indeterminates; we consider the polynomial ring

$$k[T_{ij}] \quad 0 \leq i + j \leq p \text{ and two polynomials}$$

$$F(x, y) = \sum T_{ij} X^i Y^j, \quad 0 \leq i + j \leq p \text{ and}$$

$$\Upsilon(x, y) = \sum t_{\alpha, \beta} X^\alpha Y^\beta,$$

$$0 \leq \alpha + \beta \leq p - 2 \text{ with } t_{\alpha, \beta} \text{ also indeterminates over } k.$$

We denote $\nabla = \frac{\partial^{2p-2}}{\partial x^{p-1} \partial y^{p-1}}$. We consider the system of equations:

$$(LS) \nabla(F^j \Upsilon) = 0 \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, p - 2$$

$$(PLS) \nabla(F^{p-1} \Upsilon) = \Upsilon^p.$$

We consider the above equations as equalities of polynomials in X, Y . By comparing coefficients of the various monomials in X and Y we get an equivalent system

$$(LSI) \sum \underline{p}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} t_{\alpha, \beta} = 0$$

$$(PLSI) \sum Q_{\mu, \nu}^{\gamma, \delta} t_{\gamma, \delta} = t_{\mu, \nu}^p$$

$$\underline{p}, Q \in k[T_{ij}].$$

If we specialize the indeterminates $T_{i,j}$ to have values $(c_{i,j}) \in \text{Spec}k[T_{i,j}]$, a closed point, then we denote the corresponding system $LSI(c_{i,j}) + PLSI(c_{i,j})$.

We use the following facts.

THEOREM 1 (J. Lang): *Let A be any algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$. Let $G(x, y) \in A[x, y]$ be a polynomial such that $\partial G/\partial x$ and $\partial G/\partial y$ are relatively prime polynomials in $A[x, y]$. Then the surface $S: z^p = G(x, y)$ is normal and its divisor class group $Cl S$ is isomorphic to the set of polynomial solutions $t(x, y) \in A[x, y]$ of degree $\deg t \leq \deg G - 2$ of the following system of equations:*

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla(G^j t) &= 0, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, p-2, \text{ and} \\ \nabla(G^{p-1} t) &= t^p. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF: See [3], 2.1, 2.3, 2.9.1.

THEOREM 2 (Blass-Deligne): *The only solution of $(LSI) + (PLSI)$ in $L = \bar{k}(T_{i,j})$ i.e. with $t_{\alpha, \beta} \in L$ is the identically zero solution $t_{\alpha, \beta} = 0$.*

PROOF: The set of solutions is isomorphic to $Cl \frac{L[X, Y, Z]}{(Z^p - \sum T_{i,j} X^i Y^j)}$ by above theorem, but the latter group is shown to be zero in [1]. From now on Σ means $\sum_{0 \leq i+j=p}$.

The following is simple.

LEMMA 3. *If $q = (c_{i,j}) \in \text{Spec}k[T_{i,j}]$, then the set of solutions $(t_{\alpha, \beta})$ of $LS(c_{i,j}) + PLS(c_{i,j})$ is finite.*

PROOF: Lang [3], proof of Lemma 2.8.

In what follows, Let H be the subscheme of $\text{Spec} k[T_{i,j}] \times \text{Spec} k[t_{\alpha, \beta}]$ defined by $(LS + PLS)$ or equivalently by $(LSI$ and $PLSI)$.

Consider the projection $H_{\text{red}} \rightarrow H \rightarrow \text{Spec} k[T_{i,j}]$. We denote by $\kappa^{-1}(q)$ the set (group) of closed points of H_{red} that map to q .

REMARK 4. We point out that if $q = (c_{i,j}) \in \text{Spec} k[T_{i,j}]$ is a closed point, then $\kappa^{-1}(q)$ is in one to one correspondence with the solution set of equations $LSI(c_{i,j}) + PLSI(c_{i,j})$.

PROPOSITION 5: *There exists an open and dense subset $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p}}$ of $\text{Spec} k[T_{i,j}]$ such that for $q \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p}}$, $\kappa^{-1}(q)$ consists of a single point with coordinates $t_{\alpha, \beta} = 0$ for all α, β .*

LEMMA 6: Let $Z \subset H_{\text{red}}$ be the subset of $\text{Spec } k[T_{i,j}] \times \text{Spec } k[t_{\alpha,\beta}]$ defined by $t_{\alpha,\beta} = 0$ (all α, β). Let C be any irreducible component of H_{red} whose image $\kappa(C)$ is dense in $\text{Spec } k[T_{i,j}]$. Then $C = Z$.

PROOF: First of all, $\dim C = \dim Z = \dim k[T_{i,j}]$ because of Lemma 3. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}(C) & \leftarrow & k[T_{i,j}] \\ & \searrow m & \downarrow \\ & & k(T_{i,j}) = L. \end{array}$$

Let $[t_{\alpha,\beta}]$ be the class of $t_{\alpha,\beta}$ in $\mathcal{O}(C)$. $\mathcal{O}(C)$ has fraction field which is finite algebraic over $k[t_{i,j}]$. Hence we get an injective map m .

Suppose that for some α, β , $[t_{\alpha,\beta}] \neq 0$ in $\mathcal{O}(C)$ then $m([t_{\alpha,\beta}]) \neq 0$ and we would get a non-trivial solution of $(LS) + (PLS)$ in L which contradicts the Blass-Deligne theorem. Thus $[t_{\alpha,\beta}] = 0$ in $\mathcal{O}(C)$ for all α, β , i.e. $C \subseteq Z$ and consequently $C = Z$ since Z is irreducible.

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 5. Let $H_{\text{red}} = ZUC_1 \dots UC_s$ be a decomposition of H_{red} into irreducible components.

We have $\overline{\kappa(C_j)} \subset \text{Spec } k[T_{i,j}]$ by Lemma 6. Thus set $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p}} = \text{Spec } k[T_{i,j}] - \bigcup_{j=1}^s \overline{\kappa(C_j)}$. For every $q \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{p})$, $\kappa^{-1}(q)$ is a single point of Z . Q.E.D.

REMARK 6. There exists an open and dense subset of $\text{Spec } k[T_{i,j}]$, for example the subset V defined in [1] (0.2), such that if $q = (c_{i,j})$ belongs to it, then

$$\kappa^{-1}(q) \leftrightarrow \text{Cl} \frac{k[x, y, z]}{(z^p - \sum c_{i,j} x^i y^j)}.$$

PROOF: For $q \in V$, $q = (c_{i,j})$, the polynomials $\partial(\sum c_{i,j} x^i y^j) / \partial x$ and $\partial(\sum c_{i,j} x^i y^j) / \partial y$ are relatively prime. Thus Remark 6 follows from Remark 4 and Theorem 1. Q.E.D.

THEOREM 6. There exists an open and dense subset $D \subset \text{Spec } k[T_{i,j}]$ such that for every closed point $q = (c_{i,j}) \in D$,

- (1) $\kappa^{-1}(q)$ consists of the single point,
- (2) $\text{Spec} \frac{k[x, y, z]}{(z^p - \sum c_{i,j} x^i y^j)}$ is a UFD,
- (3) Cl of the above ring in (2) is the zero group
- (4) The system $SLI(c_{i,j}) + PSLI(c_{i,j})$ has only the zero solution.

PROOF: Set $D = V \cap \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p}}$. Then (1) follows from Proposition 5 and we deduce (3) and (2) from Remark 6. Finally (4) follows because the closed

points of $\kappa^{-1}(q)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the solutions of the system $SLI(c_{i,j}) + PLSI(c_{i,j})$. Q.E.D.

References

- [1] P. BLASS: Picard groups of Zariski surfaces I. *Comp. Math.* 54 (1985) 3–36.
- [2] P. BLASS: Groupes de Picard des surfaces de Zariski. *Comptes Rendus des Seances de l'Academie des Sciences*, 1-315, 19 septembre 1983.
- [3] JEFFREY LANG: The divisor class group of the surface $z^p = G(x, y)$ over fields of characteristic $p > 0$. *Journal of Algebra* (2) 1983.

(Oblatum 16-I-1984)

Piotr Blass
Department of Mathematical Sciences
University of Arkansas
Fayetteville, AR 72701
USA

Jeffrey Lang
Department of Mathematics
Harney Science Center
University of San Francisco
San Francisco, CA 94117
USA