

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

MIHNEA COLTOIU

NICOLAE MIHALACHE

**Pseudoconvex domains on complex spaces
with singularities**

Compositio Mathematica, tome 72, n° 3 (1989), p. 241-247

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1989__72_3_241_0

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1989, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/legal.php>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

Pseudoconvex domains on complex spaces with singularities

MIHNEA COLTOIU and NICOLAE MIHALACHE

Department of Mathematics, Incelest, Bd. Păcii 220, 79622 Bucharest, Romania

Received 5 July 1988; accepted 16 March 1989

Section 1. Introduction

This short note deals with pseudoconvex domains (and more generally locally hyperconvex domains) on complex spaces with singularities. For strongly pseudoconvex domains the results are well known [13]: any strongly pseudoconvex domain $D \Subset X$ is a proper modification of a Stein space at a finite set, in particular it is holomorphically convex.

On the other hand an example of Grauert [12] shows that the pseudoconvexity of D is not sufficient to guarantee its holomorphic convexity. For complex manifolds the most general positive result in this direction seems to be the following theorem of Elenčwajg [4]: Let X be a complex manifold and $D \Subset X$ a locally Stein open subset. Assume that there exists a continuous strongly plurisubharmonic function in a neighbourhood of \bar{D} . Then D is Stein.

The main purpose of this note is to generalize Elenčwajg's theorem for complex spaces with singularities. We are able to prove only the following partial result:

THEOREM 1: *Let X be a complex space, $D \Subset X$ a relatively compact open subset which is locally hyperconvex and assume that there exists a continuous strongly plurisubharmonic function in a neighbourhood of \bar{D} . Then D is Stein.*

As a direct consequence we obtain:

COROLLARY 1: *Let X be a K -complete space and $D \Subset X$ a relatively compact open subset which is locally hyperconvex. Then D is Stein. In particular any pseudoconvex domain $D \Subset X$ is Stein.*

When X is a Stein space the above corollary can be strengthened as follows:

THEOREM 2. *Let X be a Stein space and $D \subset X$ a locally Stein open subset. Assume that D is locally hyperconvex at $\partial D \cap \text{Sing}(X)$. Then D is a Stein space.*

REMARK 1.

(a) Corollary 1 for pseudoconvex domains was proved in ([1], Theorem 2) under the additional assumption that D has a globally defined boundary.

- (b) A weaker result than Theorem 2 is proved in ([1], Corollary 2). Namely it is assumed that D is strongly pseudoconvex at $\partial D \cap \text{Sing}(X)$.

Section 2. Preliminaries

All complex space are supposed reduced and countable at infinity.

A Stein space is called hyperconvex [18] if there exists a continuous plurisubharmonic exhaustion function $\varphi: X \rightarrow (-\infty, 0)$ (the empty set is considered hyperconvex).

Examples of hyperconvex spaces.

Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a Stein open set. Each of the following conditions are sufficient for the hyperconvexity of D :

- (a) D is bounded and convex [18]
- (b) D is bounded and has C^2 boundary [2] or C^1 boundary [11]
- (c) D is a bounded Reinhardt domain containing the origin [5]
- (d) D is a tube whose base $\text{Re}(D) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is bounded and convex [5].

Other examples can be found in ([5], [8]).

To get examples of hyperconvex spaces in the singular case one may take subspaces or finite morphisms into the nonsingular ones given above. In particular any relatively compact analytic polyhedron in a Stein space is hyperconvex and any Stein space can be exhausted with hyperconvex open sets.

DEFINITION 1. Let X be a complex space, $D \subset X$ an open subset and $A \subset \partial D$ any subset. We say that D is *locally hyperconvex* at A if for any $x_0 \in A$ there exists an open neighbourhood U of x_0 such that $U \cap D$ is hyperconvex. When $A = \partial D$ D is called locally hyperconvex.

DEFINITION 2 ([1], [12], [13]). Let X be a complex space and $D \Subset X$ a relatively compact open subset. D is called *pseudoconvex* if for any $x_0 \in \partial D$ there exists an open neighbourhood U of x_0 and a continuous plurisubharmonic function $\varphi: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $U \cap D = \{x \in U \mid \varphi(x) < 0\}$.

It is clear from the above definitions that any pseudoconvex domain is locally hyperconvex.

The proof of Theorem 1 relies on a patching technique which allows us to produce a continuous strongly plurisubharmonic exhaustion function $\varphi: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. To obtain the Steiness of D we invoke the following result of Narasimham [13]:

THEOREM 3. *Let D be a complex space and assume that there exists a continuous strongly plurisubharmonic exhaustion function $\varphi: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then D is a Stein space.*

For the proof of Theorem 2 we shall need the following two results:

THEOREM 4 ([1], Theorem 4). *Let X be a Stein space and $D \subset X$ a locally Stein open subset. Assume that there is an open neighbourhood U of $\partial D \cap \text{Sing}(X)$ such that $D \cap U$ is a Stein space. Then D itself is a Stein space.*

THEOREM 5 ([14], Theorem 2). *Let X be a Stein space, $A \subset X$ a closed analytic subset and V an open neighbourhood of A . Then there exists a continuous plurisubharmonic function $p: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $A \subset \{p < 0\} \subset V$.*

Let us recall also the following:

DEFINITION 3. A complex space X is called K -complete if for any $x_0 \in X$ there is a holomorphic map $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^p$, $p = p(x_0)$ such that x_0 is an isolated point of $f^{-1}(f(x_0))$.

It is known [9] that a complex space X of pure dimension n is K -complete iff X can be realised as a remified domain over \mathbb{C}^n , but we shall not need this result.

In ([1], Lemma 5) it was proved:

THEOREM 6. *Every relatively compact open subset of a K -complete space carries a C^∞ strongly plurisubharmonic function.*

Section 3. Proof of the main results

In the proof of Theorem 1 the existence of some special convex increasing functions on $(-\infty, 0)$ will play an important role. So we state:

LEMMA 1. *Let $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a strictly increasing sequence of negative real numbers such that $a_n \rightarrow 0$. Then there exists a function $\tau: (-\infty, 0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the following properties:*

- (1) τ is continuous, increasing and convex
- (2) $\tau \geq 0$
- (3) $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \tau(x) = \infty$
- (4) $\tau(a_{n+1}) - \tau(a_n) < 1$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$

Proof. We define τ to be linear on each interval $[a_n, a_{n+1}]$ and to vanish identically near $-\infty$. The precise definition is as follows:

$$\tau(x) = \begin{cases} n - \left(\frac{a_2}{a_1} + \dots + \frac{a_n}{a_{n-1}} \right) - \frac{x}{a_n} & \text{if } a_n \leq x \leq a_{n+1} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \leq a_1 \end{cases}$$

Properties (1), (2) and (4) follow easily from the definition of τ so it remains to

verify (3). Since τ is increasing it suffices to show that $\tau(a_n) \rightarrow \infty$. Now

$$\tau(a_{n+p}) - \tau(a_n) = \frac{a_n - a_{n+1}}{a_n} + \dots + \frac{a_{n+p-1} - a_{n+p}}{a_{n+p-1}} \geq \frac{a_n - a_{n+p}}{a_n},$$

hence for a given n $\tau(a_{n+p}) - \tau(a_n) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ if p is sufficiently large (depending on n). It follows that $\tau(a_n) \rightarrow \infty$ which proves the lemma.

LEMMA 2. *Let $f_1, \dots, f_n: (-\infty, 0) \rightarrow (-\infty, 0)$ be increasing functions such that for any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f_i(x) = 0$. Then there exists a continuous increasing convex function $\tau: (-\infty, 0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that:*

- (a) $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \tau(x) = \infty$
- (b) $\tau \circ f_i - \tau \circ f_j$ is bounded for any $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

Proof. From the assumption “ $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f_i(x) = 0$ for any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ” it follows that there exists an increasing sequence $\{\alpha_v\}_{v \in \mathbb{N}}$ of negative real numbers, $\alpha_v \rightarrow 0$ such that:

$$\begin{aligned} & \max\{f_1(\alpha_v), \dots, f_n(\alpha_v)\} \\ & < \min\{f_1(\alpha_{v+1}), \dots, f_n(\alpha_{v+1})\} \quad \text{for any } v \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned} \tag{*}$$

If we set $a_v = \min\{f_1(\alpha_v), \dots, f_n(\alpha_v)\}$ for odd v and $a_v = \max\{f_1(\alpha_v), \dots, f_n(\alpha_v)\}$ for even v then $a_1 < \dots < a_v < a_{v+1} < \dots < 0$ and $a_v \rightarrow 0$.

By Lemma 1 there is a continuous convex increasing function

$$\tau: (-\infty, 0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \tau \geq 0, \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \tau(x) = \infty$$

and

$$\tau(a_{v+1}) - \tau(a_v) < 1 \quad \text{for any } v \in \mathbb{N}.$$

To prove Lemma 2 it remains to verify that $\tau \circ f_i - \tau \circ f_j$ is bounded. Since τ is bounded below ($\tau \geq 0$) it suffices to check that $\tau(f_i(x)) - \tau(f_j(x))$ is bounded for $x < 0$ sufficiently close to 0. If $\alpha_{2v} \leq x \leq \alpha_{2v+2}$ then

$$a_{2v-1} \leq \min\{f_i(x), f_j(x)\} \leq \max\{f_i(x), f_j(x)\} \leq a_{2v+2},$$

hence $\tau(f_i(x)) - \tau(f_j(x)) < 3$, which proves Lemma 2.

LEMMA 3. *Let Y be a complex space which carries a continuous strongly plurisubharmonic function and let $D \Subset Y$ be a relatively compact open subset. Assume that there exists open subsets of $Y A_i \Subset B_i \Subset C_i, i \in \{1, \dots, k\}, D \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i$ and continuous plurisubharmonic exhaustion functions $\varphi_i: C_i \cap D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$\varphi_i|_{B_i \cap B_j \cap D} - \varphi_j|_{B_i \cap B_j \cap D}$ is bounded for any $i, j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Then D is a Stein space.

Proof. The proof is obtained by a slight modification of the arguments given by M. Peternell in ([16], Lemma 10). For the sake of completeness we shall indicate the modifications to be done.

Take $p'_i \in C_0^\infty(Y)$ with $p'_i \geq 0$, $\text{supp } p'_i \subset B_i$ and $p'_i|_{A_i} = 1$. We define the functions $p_i \in C_0^\infty(Y)$ in the following way: for each i the functions $\varphi_j - \varphi_i$, $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ are bounded on $\partial B_j \cap A_i \cap D$ so we can choose a sufficiently large constant $\lambda_i > 0$ with $\lambda_i p'_i > \varphi_j - \varphi_i$ on $\partial B_j \cap A_i \cap D$. We set $p_i = \lambda_i p'_i$. Since $p_j = 0$ on ∂B_j we have:

$$p_i + \varphi_i > p_j + \varphi_j \quad \text{on } \partial B_j \cap A_i \cap D \tag{*}$$

Let now φ be a continuous strongly plurisubharmonic function on Y and let $A > 0$ be a sufficiently large constant such that $A\varphi + p_i$ is strongly plurisubharmonic for any $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. We set $I = \{1, \dots, k\}$ and for $x \in D$ we define $I(x) \subset I$ by $I(x) = \{i \in I \mid x \in B_i\}$. If $x \in D$ we set $u(x) = \max_{i \in I(x)} \{p_i(x) + \varphi_i(x)\}$. We show that $\psi = A\varphi + u$ is a continuous strongly plurisubharmonic exhaustion function on D . It is clear that ψ is an exhaustion function because φ_i are exhaustion functions on $C_i \cap D$, hence it remains to verify that ψ is a continuous strongly plurisubharmonic function on D . Let $x_0 \in D$ and set $I'(x_0) = \{i \in I \mid x_0 \in \partial B_i\}$. Choose a neighbourhood $D_{x_0} \subset D$ of x_0 such that $D_{x_0} \cap B_i = \emptyset$ if $i \notin I(x_0) \cup I'(x_0)$ and let $i_0 \in I(x_0)$ with $x_0 \in A_{i_0}$. For each $j \in I'(x_0)$ it follows from (*) that $p_{i_0} + \varphi_{i_0} > p_j + \varphi_j$ on D_{x_0} if $D_{x_0} \subset A_{i_0}$ is chosen small enough. We get $u|_{D_{x_0}} = \max_{i \in I(x_0)} \{p_i + \varphi_i\}$ hence $\psi|_{D_{x_0}} = \max_{i \in I(x_0)} \{A\varphi + p_i + \varphi_i\}$ which shows that ψ is a continuous strongly plurisubharmonic function. By Theorem 3 D is Stein and the proof of Lemma 3 is complete.

THEOREM 1. *Let X be a complex space and $D \Subset X$ a relatively compact open subset which is locally hyperconvex and assume that there exists a continuous strongly plurisubharmonic function in a neighbourhood of \bar{D} . Then D is Stein.*

Proof. Let Y be a neighbourhood of \bar{D} and φ a continuous strongly plurisubharmonic function on Y . Choose open subsets $A_i \Subset B_i \Subset C_i \subset Y$, $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that:

- (1) $D \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i$
- (2) for any $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ there exists a continuous plurisubharmonic exhaustion function $v_i: C_i \cap D \rightarrow (-\infty, 0)$.

For every $i, j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that $B_i \cap B_j \cap D \neq \emptyset$ we define the function $E_{ij}: (-\infty, 0) \rightarrow (-\infty, 0)$ by $E_{ij}(x) = \inf\{v_j(z) \mid z \in B_i \cap B_j \cap D, v_i(z) \geq x\}$. E_{ij} are increasing functions and $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} E_{ij}(x) = 0$ because v_i are exhaustion functions. Let $h: (-\infty, 0) \rightarrow (-\infty, 0)$ be the identity map. Now we use Lemma 2 for the finite set of functions $\{E_{ij}, h\}$ and we get a continuous increasing convex function

$\tau: (-\infty, 0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that:

- (1) $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \tau(x) = \infty$
- (2) $\tau - \tau \circ E_{ij}$ is bounded for any $i, j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ with $B_i \cap B_j \cap D \neq \emptyset$.

Setting $\varphi_i = \tau \circ v_i$ we get continuous plurisubharmonic exhaustion functions on $C_i \cap D$. Moreover, if $z \in B_i \cap B_j \cap D$ $E_{ij}(v_i(z)) \leq v_j(z)$, therefore $\varphi_i(z) - \varphi_j(z) \leq (\tau - \tau \circ E_{ij})(v_i(z))$. From Lemma 3 D is Stein and the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

We give now some immediate consequences of Theorem 1. By Theorem 6 we know that any relatively compact open subset of a K -complete space carries a C^∞ strongly plurisubharmonic function. Therefore we obtain:

COROLLARY 1. *Let X be a K -complete space and $D \Subset X$ a relatively compact open subset which is locally hyperconvex. Then D is Stein. In particular any pseudoconvex domain $D \Subset X$ is Stein.*

Corollary 1 is a particular case of the following open problem (see [8]):

LEVI PROBLEM: *Let X be a K -complete space and $D \Subset X$ a locally Stein open set. Is D itself a Stein space?*

We show that this is the case at least when X is a Stein space and D is locally hyperconvex at $\partial D \cap \text{Sing}(X)$, namely we prove:

THEOREM 2. *Let X be a Stein space and $D \subset X$ a locally Stein open subset. Assume that D is locally hyperconvex at $\partial D \cap \text{Sing}(X)$. Then D is a Stein space.*

Proof. For each $x \in \text{Sing}(X)$ we choose a hyperconvex neighbourhood $V_x \Subset X$ of x such that $V_x \cap D$ is hyperconvex. Then $V = \bigcup_{x \in \text{Sing}(X)} V_x$ is an open neighbourhood of $\text{Sing}(X)$ and by Theorem 5 there is a continuous plurisubharmonic function p on X such that $B = \{p < 0\}$ contains $\text{Sing}(X)$ and $\bar{B} \subset V$. We show that $B \cap D$ is locally hyperconvex. Indeed, for any $x_0 \in \bar{B} \cap \bar{D} \subset \bar{B} \subset V$ there exists $x \in \text{Sing}(X)$ with $x_0 \in V_x$. On the other hand $V_x \cap B \cap D = (V_x \cap B) \cap (V_x \cap D)$ which is hyperconvex as an intersection of two hyperconvex open subsets. Therefore $B \cap D$ is locally hyperconvex and by Corollary 1 and an exhaustion argument it follows that $B \cap D$ is Stein. In view of Theorem 4 D itself is a Stein space and the proof is complete.

References

- [1] A. Andreotti and R. Narasimhan, Oka's Heftungslemma and the Levi problem for complex spaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 111 (1964) 345–366.
- [2] K. Diederich and J.E. Forneaess, Pseudoconvex domains: bounded strictly plurisubharmonic exhaustion functions. *Inv. Math.* 39 (1977) 129–141.
- [3] F. Docquier and H. Grauert, Levisches Problem und Rungescher Satz für Teilgebiete Steinscher Mannigfaltigkeiten. *Math. Ann.* 140 (1960) 94–123.

- [4] G. Elencwajg, Pseudoconvexité locale dans les variétés Kähleriennes. *Ann. Inst. Fourier* 25 (1975) 295–314.
- [5] J.L. Ermine, Conjecture de Serre et espaces hyperconvexes. *Sém. Norquet. Lecture Notes* 670 (1978) 124–139.
- [6] G. Fischer, Complex Analytic Geometry. *Lecture Notes* 538 (1976).
- [7] J.E. Fornaess, The Levi problem in Stein spaces. *Math. Scand.* 45 (1979) 55–69.
- [8] J.E. Fornaess and R. Narasimhan, The Levi problem on complex spaces with singularities. *Math. Ann.* 248 (1980) 47–72.
- [9] H. Grauert, Charakterisierung der holomorph-vollständigen komplexen Räume. *Math. Ann.* 129 (1955) 233–259.
- [10] H. Grauert, On Levi's problem and the imbedding of real-analytic manifolds. *Ann. Math.* 68 (1958) 460–472.
- [11] N. Kerzman and J.P. Rosay, Fonctions plurisousharmoniques d'exhaustion bornées et domaines taut. *Math. Ann.* 257 (1981) 171–184.
- [12] R. Narasimhan, The Levi problem in the theory of functions of several complex variables. *Proc. Internat. Congr. Math. (Stockholm 1962)*. Almqvist and Wiksells. Uppsala (1963) 385–388.
- [13] R. Narasimhan, The Levi problem for complex spaces II. *Math. Ann.* 146 (1962) 195–216.
- [14] R. Narasimhan, On the homology groups of Stein spaces. *Inv. Math.* 2 (1967) 377–385.
- [15] K. Oka, Sur les fonctions analytiques des plusieurs variables. IX. Domaines finis sans points critiques intérieurs. *Japan. J. Math.* 23 (1953) 97–155.
- [16] M. Peternell, Continuous q -convex exhaustion functions. *Inv. Math.* 85 (1986) 249–262.
- [17] Y.T. Siu, Pseudoconvexity and the problem of Levi. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 84 (1978) 481–512.
- [18] J.L. Stehle, Fonctions plurisousharmoniques et convexité holomorphe de certains fibrés analytiques. *Sém. Lelong. Lecture Notes* 474 (1973/74) 155–180.