

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

KE-ZHENG LI

Actions of group schemes (I)

Compositio Mathematica, tome 80, n° 1 (1991), p. 55-74

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1991__80_1_55_0

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1991, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

Actions of group schemes (I)*

KE-ZHENG LI**

Dept. of Math, Graduate School, Academia Sinica, P.O. Box 3908, Beijing 100039, P.R. of China

Received 30 October 1989; accepted in revised form 18 December 1990

0. Introduction

Let G be a separated group scheme over a base scheme S . Let $\omega_{G/S}$ be the sheaf of left invariant differentials of G . Let $\tau: X \rightarrow S$ be a separated morphism. Our main result of section 1 is the following

THEOREM. *Let $\rho: G \times_S X \rightarrow X$ be an action of G on X . Then*

(i) *There is a canonical complex induced by ρ :*

$$\mathrm{DR}_\rho: \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \tau^* \omega_{G/S} \rightarrow \tau^* \wedge^2 \omega_{G/S} \rightarrow \tau^* \wedge^3 \omega_{G/S} \cdots$$

(ii) *The identity map of \mathcal{O}_X induces a (unique) canonical \mathcal{O}_X -linear map $\Omega_{X/S} \rightarrow \mathrm{DR}_\rho$, which is surjective when ρ is free.*

(iii) *Let \mathcal{D}_ρ be the sheaf of ρ -invariant derivations. Then ρ induces a canonical map $\mathrm{Lie}(G/S) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\rho$ of sheaves of Lie algebras over \mathcal{O}_S .*

Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$. A finite group scheme G over k is called *infinitesimal* (or “local”, in the terminology of [9]) if it has only one point. The main result of section 2 is

THEOREM. *Let G be an infinitesimal group scheme over k . Let X be a smooth projective variety over k with a free action of G such that $\dim(X) \leq \mathrm{rank}_k \omega_G$. Suppose that either*

- (i) *X is ordinary and $p > 2$; or*
- (ii) *$\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ is reduced, G is commutative and the Cartier dual of G is also infinitesimal.*

Then X is an abelian variety.

There is an example which shows that the condition $p > 2$ is necessary in case (i).

*This is the first part of a series of research work started in Chicago. I wish to thank Professor Niels Nygaard for his very helpful discussion with me.

**Partially supported by NSF of USA, grant number DMS8601573.

1. Calculus of group scheme actions

Let $\pi: G \rightarrow S$ be a group scheme together with multiplication $m: G \times_S G$, unit section $o: S \rightarrow G$ and inverse $i: G \rightarrow G$ (over S). We will always assume that π is separated, or equivalently, that o is a closed immersion. Let \mathcal{M} be the ideal sheaf of o . Denote by $\omega_{G/S} \simeq o^* \mathcal{M}$ the sheaf of (left) invariant differentials.

Let $\tau: X \rightarrow S$ be a separated morphism. By an *action* of G on X we mean a morphism $\rho: G \times_S X \rightarrow X$ such that

- (i) $\rho \circ (\text{id}_G \times_S \rho) = \rho \circ (m \times_S \text{id}_X): G \times_S X \times_S X \rightarrow X$;
- (ii) $\rho \circ (o \times_S \text{id}_X) = \text{id}_X: X \simeq S \times_S X \rightarrow X$.

We will always denote $\alpha = (\rho, \text{pr}_2): G \times_S X \rightarrow X \times_S X$. We say ρ is *free* if α is a closed immersion, and ρ is *transitive* if α is smooth and onto.

LEMMA 1.1. *Let $\tau: X \rightarrow S$ be a separated morphism and $\pi: G \rightarrow S$ be a group scheme. Then an action $\rho: G \times_S X \rightarrow X$ induces a canonical map of O_X -modules*

$$\Omega_{X/S}^1 \rightarrow \tau^* \omega_{G/S} \tag{1}$$

which is surjective when ρ is free.

Proof. Look at the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 S & \xleftarrow{\tau} & X & & \\
 \downarrow o & & \downarrow i & \searrow \Delta & \\
 G & \xleftarrow{\text{pr}_1} & G \times_S X & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & X \times_S X
 \end{array} \tag{2}$$

where $i = o \times_S \text{id}_X$. Let \mathcal{I} (resp., \mathcal{I}' , \mathcal{M}) be the ideal sheaf of Δ (resp., i , o). Then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow O_G \longrightarrow o_* O_S \longrightarrow 0 \tag{3}$$

Apply pr_1^* . Since the left square of (2) is cartesian and o has a section, (3) splits locally over $\pi^{-1} O_S$. Hence the first row of the following diagram is again exact:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{pr}_1^* \mathcal{M} & \longrightarrow & \text{pr}_1^* O_G & \longrightarrow & \text{pr}_1^* o_* O_S \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}' & \longrightarrow & O_{G \times_S X} & \longrightarrow & i_* O_X \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array} \tag{4}$$

Therefore $\text{pr}_1^* \mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{F}'$. Next we apply α^* to $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X \times_S X} \rightarrow \Delta_* \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow 0$. Since $\Delta_* \mathcal{O}_X \simeq \alpha_*(i_* \mathcal{O}_X)$ we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & & & & & & \\
 & \searrow & & & & & \\
 & & \mathcal{F}' & & & & \\
 & & \searrow & & & & \\
 & & & \alpha^* \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{G \times_S X} & \longrightarrow & \alpha^* \Delta_* \mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & & & & \searrow & & \downarrow f & & \\
 & & & & & & & & i_* \mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array} \tag{5}$$

This induces $\alpha^* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'$. Now applying i^* we get

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 i^*(\alpha^* \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & i^* \mathcal{F}' \\
 \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\
 \Delta^* \mathcal{F} & & i^*(\text{pr}_1^* \mathcal{M}) \\
 \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\
 \Omega_{X/S}^1 & \longrightarrow & \tau^*(\alpha^* \mathcal{M}) \simeq \tau^* \omega_{G/S}
 \end{array} \tag{6}$$

Finally, when α is a closed immersion, f is an isomorphism, hence $\alpha^* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'$ which implies that $\Omega_{X/S}^1 \rightarrow \tau^* \omega_{G/S}$. \square

Suppose that an action $\rho: G \times_S X \rightarrow X$ is given. For an open affine subset $V \subset S$, let $U = \tau^{-1}(V)$. We say that a derivation $D \in \mathcal{D}er_S(\mathcal{O}_X)(U)$ is ρ -invariant if the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{O}_U & \xrightarrow{D} & \mathcal{O}_U \\
 \downarrow \rho^* & & \downarrow \rho^* \\
 \rho_* \mathcal{O}_{G \times_S U} & \xrightarrow{\rho_* \text{pr}_2^*(D)} & \rho_* \mathcal{O}_{G \times_S U}
 \end{array} \tag{7}$$

The sheaf of ρ -invariant derivations (as a sheaf of \mathcal{O}_S -modules) will be denoted by \mathcal{D}_ρ . Clearly \mathcal{D}_ρ is quasi-coherent.

LEMMA 1.2. *An action ρ induces a canonical map of O_S -Lie algebras:*

$$\mathrm{Lie}(G/S) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\rho. \quad (8)$$

Proof. Let $d: O_X \rightarrow \Omega_{X/S}^1$ be the universal derivation. Let $\beta = (\rho \circ \mathrm{pr}_{12}, \rho \circ \mathrm{pr}_{13}): G \times_S X \times_S X \rightarrow X \times_S X$. Then the following diagram is cartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times_S X & \xrightarrow{\rho} & X \\ \downarrow \mathrm{id}_G \times \Delta & & \downarrow \Delta \\ G \times_S X \times_S X & \xrightarrow{\beta} & X \times_S X \end{array} \quad (9)$$

Imitating the proof of Lemma 1.1, we see that β induces a map

$$\Omega_{X/S}^1 \rightarrow \rho_* \mathrm{pr}_2^* \Omega_{X/S}^1, \quad (10)$$

denoted by β^* , by abuse of notation. It is easy to check that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} O_X & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_{X/S}^1 \\ \downarrow \rho^* & & \downarrow \beta^* \\ \rho_* O_{G \times_S X} & \xrightarrow{\rho_* \mathrm{pr}_2^*(d)} & \rho_* \mathrm{pr}_2^* \Omega_{X/S}^1 \end{array} \quad (11)$$

Indeed, since d is induced by $\mathrm{pr}_1^* - \mathrm{pr}_2^*: O_X \rightarrow \Delta^{-1} O_{X \times_S X}$, we need only check that $\rho \circ \mathrm{pr}_{12} = \mathrm{pr}_1 \circ \beta$ and $\rho \circ \mathrm{pr}_{13} = \mathrm{pr}_2 \circ \beta$.

Now consider an open subset $U \subset X$ as in the above definition of ρ -invariant derivations. Without losing generality we may assume that $U = X$. By (11), a section $D \in \mathcal{D}_\rho(X)$ corresponds to an O_X -linear map $\tilde{D}: \Omega_{X/S}^1 \rightarrow O_X$ such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega_{X/S}^1 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{D}} & O_X \\ \downarrow \beta^* & & \downarrow \rho^* \\ \rho_* \mathrm{pr}_2^* \Omega_{X/S}^1 & \xrightarrow{\rho_* \mathrm{pr}_2^*(\tilde{D})} & \rho_* O_{G \times_S X} \end{array} \quad (12)$$

Let \bar{D} be a global section of $\mathcal{H}om_{O_S}(\omega_{G/S}, O_S) \simeq \mathrm{Lie}(G/S)$. Define $\tilde{D} =$

$\tau^*(\bar{D}) \circ \alpha^*: \Omega_{X/S}^1 \rightarrow \tau^* \omega_{G/S} \rightarrow O_X$. Then \tilde{D} is ρ -invariant by the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Omega_{X/S}^1 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{D}} & O_X \\
 \downarrow \beta^* & \searrow \alpha^* & \searrow \tau^*(\bar{D}) \\
 \tau^* \omega_{G/S} & \xrightarrow{\tau^*(\bar{D})} & O_X \\
 \downarrow \lambda^* & \searrow \rho_* \text{pr}_2^*(\tilde{D}) & \searrow \rho_* \\
 \rho_* \text{pr}_2^* \Omega_{X/S}^1 & \xrightarrow{\rho_* \text{pr}_2^*(\tilde{D})} & \rho_* O_{G \times_S X} \\
 \downarrow v^* & \searrow \rho_*(\pi \times \tau)^*(\bar{D}) & \searrow \rho_* \\
 \rho_*(\pi \times \tau)^* \omega_{G/S} & \xrightarrow{\rho_*(\pi \times \tau)^*(\bar{D})} & \rho_* O_{G \times_S X}
 \end{array} \tag{13}$$

where λ^* is induced by $\lambda = (\text{pr}_2, \rho \circ \text{pr}_{13}): G \times_S G \times_S X \rightarrow G \times_S X$ together with the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G \times_S X & \xrightarrow{\rho} & X \\
 \text{id}_G \times o_G \times \text{id}_X \downarrow & & \downarrow o_G \times \text{id}_X \\
 G \times_S G \times_S X & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & G \times_S X
 \end{array} \tag{14}$$

and v^* is induced by $v = (\text{pr}_1, \rho \circ (m \circ (\iota \circ \text{pr}_1, \text{pr}_2), \rho \circ \text{pr}_{13}), \text{pr}_3)$:

$$G \times_S G \times_S X \rightarrow G \times_S X \times_S X$$

together with the following cartesian diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G \times_S X & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & G \times_S X \\
 \text{id}_G \times o_G \times \text{id}_X \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id}_G \times \Delta_X \\
 G \times_S G \times_S X & \xrightarrow{v} & G \times_S X \times_S X
 \end{array} \tag{15}$$

The upper triangle of (13) commutes by the definition of \tilde{D} . The three maps of the lower triangle are all O_G -linear, so we need only check the commutativity of the lower triangle on $1 \otimes \Omega_{X/S}^1$, which also comes from the definition of \tilde{D} . The left parallelogram commutes since $\alpha \circ \lambda = \beta \circ v$. The commutativity of the right parallelogram is obvious (a change of coefficients). Thus the commutativity of (13) is checked.

Now we get a map $\text{Lie}(G/S) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\rho$ sending \bar{D} to $\tilde{D} \circ d$. Clearly this map is O_S -linear. To check that this is a map of Lie algebras, we first note that for any

global section \bar{D} of $\mathcal{H}om_{O_S}(\omega_{G/S}, O_S)$ corresponding to D of \mathcal{D}_ρ and D' of $\text{Lie}(G/S)$, the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 O_X & \xrightarrow{-D} & O_X \\
 \rho^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho^* \\
 \rho_* O_{G \times_S X} & \xrightarrow{\rho_* \text{pr}_1^*(D')} & \rho_* O_{G \times_S X}
 \end{array} \tag{16}$$

Since ρ^* is injective, given D' , D is uniquely determined by (16). By (13), to check the commutativity of (16), we need only check the commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 O_X & \xrightarrow{d_X} & \Omega_{X/S}^1 \\
 \rho^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \lambda^* \circ \alpha^* \\
 \rho_* O_{G \times_S X} & & \\
 \rho_* \text{pr}_1^*(d_G) \downarrow & & \\
 \rho_* \text{pr}_1^* \Omega_{G/S}^1 & \xrightarrow{-\sigma^*} & \rho_*(\pi \times \tau)^* \omega_{G/S}
 \end{array} \tag{17}$$

where σ^* is induced by $\sigma = (\text{pr}_1, m \circ (\text{pr}_2, \text{pr}_1), \text{pr}_3)$:

$$G \times_S G \times_S X \rightarrow G \times_S G \times_S X.$$

It reduces to checking that $\rho \circ \text{pr}_{13} \circ \sigma = \text{pr}_2 \circ \alpha \circ \lambda$ and that $\rho \circ \text{pr}_{23} \circ \sigma = \text{pr}_1 \circ \alpha \circ \lambda$.

Suppose $\tilde{D}_1, \tilde{D}_2 \in \text{Hom}_{O_S}(\omega_{G/S}, O_S)$ correspond to $D_1, D_2 \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_\rho)$ and $D'_1, D'_2 \in \Gamma(\text{Lie}(G/S))$ respectively. Then (16) shows that $D_1 \circ D_2 = (-D_1) \circ (-D_2)$ is uniquely determined by $\rho_* \text{pr}_2^*(D'_1) \circ \rho_* \text{pr}_2^*(D'_2) = \rho_* \text{pr}_2^*(D'_1 \circ D'_2)$. Also $D_2 \circ D_1$ is determined by $\rho_* \text{pr}_2^*(D'_2 \circ D'_1)$. Hence $[D_1, D_2]$ is determined by $\rho_* \text{pr}_2^*([D'_1, D'_2])$, or in other words, $[D'_1, D'_2]$ maps to $[D_1, D_2]$ under $\text{Lie}(G/S) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\rho$. \square

REMARK 1.3. In the case when $X = G$ and $\rho = m$, Lemma 1.1 recovers the well-known isomorphism $\Omega_{G/S}^1 \simeq \pi^* \omega_{G/S}$. Also Lemma 1.2 recovers the well-known isomorphism $\mathcal{H}om_{O_S}(\omega_{G/S}, O_S) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Lie}(G/S)$, whose inverse is defined by $D \mapsto \sigma^*(\tilde{D})(D = \tilde{D} \circ d)$. We leave to the reader to check the details of this.

To set up the complex DR_ρ , we need to use the following definition of exterior differentials.

Let $P'_X = O_X \otimes_{O_S} O_X$, $P_X = \Delta^{-1} O_{X \times_S X}$. Then there is an obvious homomorphism $t: P'_X \rightarrow P_X$ sending $a \otimes b$ to $\Delta^{-1}(\text{pr}_1^*(a) \cdot \text{pr}_2^*(b))$. Thus the following

diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 P'_X & \xrightarrow{t} & P_X \\
 \mu \searrow & & \nearrow \Delta^* \\
 & O_X &
 \end{array} \tag{18}$$

where μ is the multiplication map: $\mu(a \otimes b) = ab$. Let $\mathcal{I} = \ker(\Delta^*)$, $\mathcal{I}' = \ker(\mu)$. Then for any positive integer n , the map $P'_X/\mathcal{I}'^n \rightarrow P_X/\mathcal{I}^n$ induced by t is an isomorphism. Furthermore, P_X/\mathcal{I}^n is quasi-coherent (and is coherent if X is noetherian). Denote $P_X^n = P_X/\mathcal{I}^n$. In the following, P_X , P'_X and P_X^n will be viewed as left O_X -modules.

Let $\tilde{d}_n: \bigotimes_{O_S}^{n+1} O_X \rightarrow \bigotimes_{O_S}^{n+2} O_X$ be defined by

$$\tilde{d}_n(a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} (-1)^i a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{i-1} \otimes 1 \otimes a_i \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n. \tag{19}$$

Since $\bigotimes_{O_S}^{n+1} O_X \simeq \bigotimes_{O_X}^n P'_X$, \tilde{d}_n can be viewed as a map $\bigotimes_{O_X}^n P'_X \rightarrow \bigotimes_{O_X}^{n+1} P'_X$. It is easy to check that \tilde{d}_n induces a map $\bar{d}_n: \bigotimes_{O_X}^n P_X^1 \rightarrow \bigotimes_{O_X}^{n+1} P_X^1$. Also \bar{d}_n induces a map $\hat{d}_n: \bigwedge_{O_X}^n P_X^1 \rightarrow \bigwedge_{O_X}^{n+1} P_X^1$. Clearly we have a canonical exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{X/S}^1 \rightarrow P_X^1 \xrightarrow{\Delta^*} O_X \rightarrow 0 \tag{20}$$

which splits over O_X . Hence we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{X/S}^n \rightarrow \bigwedge_{O_X}^n P_X^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{X/S}^{n-1} \rightarrow 0. \tag{21}$$

We now show that $\hat{d}_n(\Omega_{X/S}^n) \subset \Omega_{X/S}^{n+1}$. We need only check on a set of generators of $\Omega_{X/S}^n$ over O_S . Let ω be a section of $\bigotimes_{O_X}^{n-1} \mathcal{I}$, and a be a section of O_X . Then $\omega' = 1 \otimes a - a \otimes 1$ is a section of \mathcal{I} . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{d}_n(\omega \otimes \omega') &= \tilde{d}_n(\omega \otimes a - a\omega \otimes 1) \\
 &= \tilde{d}_{n-1}(\omega) \otimes a + (-1)^{n+1} \omega \otimes a \otimes 1 - a\tilde{d}_{n-1}(\omega) \otimes 1 \\
 &\quad - \tilde{d}_0(a) \otimes \omega \otimes 1 - (-1)^{n+1} a\omega \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \\
 &= \tilde{d}_{n-1}(\omega) \otimes \omega' + (-1)^{n+1} \omega \otimes \omega' \otimes 1 - \omega' \otimes \omega \otimes 1.
 \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Passing to \hat{d}_n , we get $\hat{d}_n(\hat{\omega} \wedge da) = \hat{d}_{n-1}(\hat{\omega}) \wedge da$ for any section $\hat{\omega}$ of $\Omega_{X/S}^{n-1}$. This shows that $\hat{d}_n(\Omega_{X/S}^n) \subset \Omega_{X/S}^{n+1}$ by induction. Thus d_n induces $d_n: \Omega_{X/S}^n \rightarrow \Omega_{X/S}^{n+1}$ which obviously coincides with the classical definition of the exterior differential

map. It is also easy to check that the map $\Omega_{X/S}^{n-1} \rightarrow \Omega_{X/S}^n$ induced by \hat{d}_n on (21) is equal to d_{n-1} , but we will not use this fact.

REMARK 1.4. Let $P_{n,X} = \Delta_n^{-1} O_{X_n}$, where X_n is the fiber product of $(n+1)$ copies of X (indexed from 0 to n) over S , and $\Delta_n: X \rightarrow X_n$ is the diagonal map. Let $\tau_{n,i}: X_{n+1} \rightarrow X_n$ ($0 \leq i \leq n+1$) be the projection to all except the i th factors. Then \hat{d}_n is also induced by $\Sigma_{i=0}^{n+1} (-1)^i \tau_{n,i}^*: P_{n,X} \rightarrow P_{n+1,X}$.

Now we go back to the action ρ . We define the following morphisms from $G \times_S^{n+1} \times_S G \times_S X$ (the copies of G 's being indexed from 0 to n) to $G \times_S^n \times_S G \times_S X$. Denote by $p_{n,i}$ ($0 \leq i \leq n$) the projection to all except the i th factors. Let $v_n = (m \circ (\text{pr}_0, \iota \circ \text{pr}_n), \dots, m \circ (\text{pr}_{n-1}, \iota \circ \text{pr}_n), \rho \circ \text{pr}_{n,n+1})$.

Again denote $\mathcal{M} = \ker(o^*)$. Let $O_G^1 = o^{-1}(O_G/\mathcal{M}^2)$. Then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \omega_{G/S} \rightarrow O_G^1 \rightarrow O_S \rightarrow 0 \quad (23)$$

which gives an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \bigwedge_{O_S}^n \omega_{G/S} \rightarrow \bigwedge_{O_S}^n O_G^1 \rightarrow \bigwedge_{O_S}^{n-1} \omega_{G/S} \rightarrow 0. \quad (24)$$

Let $\bar{\delta}_n: \bigotimes_{O_S}^n O_G^1 \otimes_{O_S} O_X \rightarrow \bigotimes_{O_S}^{n+1} O_G^1 \otimes_{O_S} O_X$ be the map induced by $\Sigma_{i=0}^n (-1)^i p_{n,i}^* + (-1)^{n+1} v_n^*$ (using the trick of Remark 1.4). We leave to the reader to check that this definition makes sense.

It is easy to see that $\bar{\delta}_n$ induces a map

$$\hat{\delta}_n: \bigwedge_{O_S}^n O_G^1 \otimes_{O_S} O_X \rightarrow \bigwedge_{O_S}^{n+1} O_G^1 \otimes_{O_S} O_X$$

Let us show that

$$\hat{\delta}_n \left(\bigwedge_{O_S}^n \omega_{G/S} \otimes_{O_S} O_X \right) \subset \bigwedge_{O_S}^{n+1} \omega_{G/S} \otimes_{O_S} O_X$$

LEMMA 1.5. *Let a be a section of \mathcal{M} . Then*

- (i) $m^*(a) - a \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes a$ is a section of $\text{pr}_1^*(\mathcal{M}) \cdot \text{pr}_2^*(\mathcal{M})$;
- (ii) $\iota^*(a) + a$ is a section of \mathcal{M}^2 .

Proof. (i) Since (3) splits locally over $\pi^{-1}O_S$, it is easy to see that the following sequence is exact:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \text{pr}_1^*(\mathcal{M}) \cdot \text{pr}_2^*(\mathcal{M}) &\rightarrow \ker(o_G^* \times_S G) \\ &\rightarrow (\text{id}_G \times_S o)_* \mathcal{M} \oplus (o \times_S \text{id}_G)_* \mathcal{M} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Since a is a section of \mathcal{M} , $m^*(a)$ is a section of $\ker(o_G^* \times_S o_G)$, so are $a \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes a$.
But

$$(\text{id}_G \times_S o)^*(m^*(a) - a \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes a) = (o \times_S \text{id}_G)^*(m^*(a) - a \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes a) = 0$$

Hence $m^*(a) - a \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes a$ is a section of $\text{pr}_1^*(\mathcal{M}) \cdot \text{pr}_2^*(\mathcal{M})$.

(ii) Let $\mu = m \circ (\text{id}_G, \iota)$. Then $\mu \circ \Delta_G = o \circ \pi$. By (i), $\mu^*(a) = a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \iota^*(a) + b$, where b is a section of $\text{pr}_1^*(\mathcal{M}) \cdot \text{pr}_2^*(\mathcal{M})$. Therefore

$$0 = \Delta_G^* \circ \mu^*(a) = \Delta_G^*(a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \iota^*(a) + b) \equiv a + \iota^*(a) \pmod{\mathcal{M}^2}. \quad (26)$$

□

Let $\omega_0, \dots, \omega_{n-1}$ be sections of $\omega_{G/S}$, and b be a section of O_X . By direct calculation using Lemma 1.5 we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{\delta}_n(\omega_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_{n-1} \otimes b) \\ & \equiv \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \omega_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_{i-1} \otimes 1 \otimes \omega_i \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_{n-1} \otimes b \\ & \quad + (-1)^{n+1} (\omega_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_{n-1} \otimes 1 \otimes 1) \\ & \quad - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \omega_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_{i-1} \otimes 1 \otimes \omega_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_{n-1} \otimes \omega_i \otimes 1) \\ & \quad \times (1 \otimes \omega_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_{i-1} \otimes 1 \otimes (1 \otimes b - \bar{\delta}_0(b))) \left(\text{mod } \bigotimes_{O_S}^n \omega_{G/S} \otimes_{O_S} O_X \right) \\ & \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} ((-1)^i \omega_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_{i-1} \otimes 1 \otimes \omega_i \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_{n-1} \\ & \quad + (-1)^n \omega_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_{i-1} \otimes 1 \otimes \omega_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_{n-1} \otimes \omega_i) \otimes b \\ & \quad \left(\text{mod } \bigotimes_{O_S}^n \omega_{G/S} \otimes_{O_S} O_X \right). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

The last row of (27) maps to 0 in $\bigwedge_{O_S}^{n+1} O_G^1 \otimes_{O_S} O_X$. This shows that

$$\hat{\delta}_n \left(\bigwedge_{O_S}^n \omega_{G/S} \otimes_{O_S} O_X \right) \subset \bigwedge_{O_S}^{n+1} \omega_{G/S} \otimes_{O_S} O_X$$

Hence $\hat{\delta}_n$ induces a map

$$\delta_n: \bigwedge_{O_S}^n \omega_{G/S} \otimes_{O_S} O_X \rightarrow \bigwedge_{O_S}^{n+1} \omega_{G/S} \otimes_{O_S} O_X$$

Next we check that $\delta_n \circ \delta_{n-1} = 0$. It is enough to check that $\bar{\delta}_n \circ \bar{\delta}_{n-1} = 0$. By the definition of $\bar{\delta}_n$, $\bar{\delta}_n \circ \bar{\delta}_{n-1}$ is equal to the sum of the following terms

$$\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j p_{n,i}^* \circ p_{n-1,j}^*; \quad (28)$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n+1+i} v_n^* \circ p_{n-1,i}^*; \quad (29)$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n+i} p_{n,i}^* \circ v_{n-1}^*; \quad (30)$$

$$p_{n,n}^* \circ v_{n-1}^*; \quad (31)$$

$$-v_n^* \circ v_{n-1}^*. \quad (32)$$

(28) is obviously equal to 0. (29) cancels (30) since $v_{n-1} \circ p_{n,i} = p_{n-1,i} \circ v_n$ ($0 \leq i \leq n-1$). Finally, one checks that $v_{n-1} \circ p_{n,n} = v_{n-1} \circ v_n$, which shows that (31) cancels (32).

Now we can define a map $\Omega_{X/S}^n \rightarrow \mathrm{DR}_\rho$ by letting the map of degree n be

$$\mu_n^* : \Omega_{X/S}^n \rightarrow \bigwedge_{O_S}^n \omega_{G/S} \otimes_{O_S} O_X, \quad (33)$$

where μ_n^* is induced by

$$\mu_n = (\rho \circ \mathrm{pr}_{0n}, \dots, \rho \circ \mathrm{pr}_{n-1,n}, \mathrm{pr}_n) : G \times_S \cdots \times_S G \times_S X \rightarrow X \times_S^{n+1} \times_S X. \quad (34)$$

To check the commutativity $\mu_{n+1}^* \circ d_n = \delta_n \circ \mu_n^*$, one need only check that $\tau_{n,i} \circ \mu_{n+1} = \mu_n \circ p_{n,i}$ ($0 \leq i \leq n$) and $\tau_{n,n+1} \circ \mu_{n+1} = \mu_n \circ v_n$, which are clear. Since $\Omega_{X/S}^{n+1}$ is generated by $d_n(\Omega_{X/S}^n)$ over O_X , we see that there is only one O_X -linear map $\Omega_{X/S}^n \rightarrow \mathrm{DR}_\rho$ whose degree zero map is the identity.

Summarizing, we get

THEOREM 1.6. *Let $\rho : G \times_S X \rightarrow X$ be an action of G on X . Then*

(i) *There is a canonical complex induced by ρ :*

$$\mathrm{DR}_\rho : O_X \rightarrow \tau^* \omega_{G/S} \rightarrow \tau^* \bigwedge_{O_S}^2 \omega_{G/S} \rightarrow \tau^* \bigwedge_{O_S}^3 \omega_{G/S} \cdots$$

(ii) *The identity map of O_X induces a (unique) canonical O_X -linear map $\Omega_{X/S}^n \rightarrow \mathrm{DR}_\rho$, which is surjective when ρ is free.*

(iii) *Let \mathcal{D}_ρ be the sheaf of ρ -invariant derivations. Then ρ induces a canonical map $\mathrm{Lie}(G/S) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\rho$ of sheaves of Lie algebras over O_S .*

COROLLARY 1.7. *If $\omega_{G/S}$ is flat, then ρ induces a canonical spectral sequence*

$$E_1^{i,j} = \bigwedge_{\mathcal{O}_S}^i \omega_{G/S} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} R^j \tau_* \mathcal{O}_X. \tag{35}$$

REMARK 1.8. We conjecture that

$$\omega_{G/S} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} 1 \subset \ker(\delta_1) \tag{36}$$

if G is commutative. When $X = G$ and $\rho = m$, (36) is well-known in some special cases. In fact, (36) is true at least in most of the cases. Indeed, if ω is a section of $\omega_{G/S}$, then $\bar{\delta}_1(\omega \otimes 1) = (m^*(\omega) - \omega \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \omega) \otimes 1$ which is symmetric when G is commutative. Hence $\delta_1(\omega \otimes 1) = 0$ when either S has characteristic away from 2 (i.e., 2 has an inverse in $\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_S)$) or $\omega_{G/S}$ is locally free.

2. Free actions

Let S be a scheme over a field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Then a noetherian group scheme G over S is called *infinitesimal* (or “local”, in the terminology of [9, p. 136]) if $\mathcal{M} = \ker(o^*)$ is nilpotent, or equivalently, $F_{G/S}^n = 0$ for some n , where $F_{G/S}^n: G \rightarrow G^{(p^n)}$ is the (relative) n th power of the Frobenius morphism.

EXAMPLE 2.1. Suppose G is an infinitesimal group scheme over k such that $\text{rank}_k(\omega_G) = 1$, and k is algebraically closed. Then G must be isomorphic to one of the following $G_{1,n}^r$'s (here we follow the notation of [5]):

$$G_{1,n}^r \simeq \text{Spec } k[x]/(x^{p^n}), \quad o^*(x) = 0,$$

$$m^*(x) = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x + \sum_{\substack{i+j=p \\ i,j>0}} \frac{1}{i!j!} x^{ip^n} \otimes x^{jp^n} + \text{terms of higher degree}, \tag{1}$$

and $t^*(x) = -x$ when $p > 2$ (see [12] for another description). In particular, G is commutative. We have some special cases: $\mu_p \simeq G_{1,0}^1$, $\alpha_p \simeq G_{1,1}^1$, and $\ker(p_E) \simeq G_{1,1}^2$ for a supersingular elliptic curve E .

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Let X be a smooth complete curve over k . Let G be a nontrivial connected group scheme over k . If there exists a free action of G on X , then $g(X) = 1$. In particular, when k is algebraically closed, if X has a free action of μ_p (resp., α_p), then X is an ordinary (resp., supersingular) elliptic curve.*

Proof. Since G is non-trivial, $\omega_G \neq 0$. But Ω_X^1 is locally free of rank 1. Hence by Lemma 1.1 we must have $\text{rank}_k(\omega_G) = 1$ and (1) of section 1 is an isomorphism, i.e., $\Omega_X^1 \simeq \mathcal{O}_X$. For the last statement we need the following

LEMMA 2.3. Let $X \rightarrow S$ be an abelian scheme and $G \rightarrow S$ be a noetherian group scheme with connected fibers. Let ρ be an action of G on X . Then $h = \rho \circ (\text{id}_G \times_S o_X): G \rightarrow X$ is a homomorphism and $\rho = m_X \circ (h \times_S \text{id}_X)$. In particular, if there is a section $s: S \rightarrow X$ of $X \rightarrow S$ such that $\rho \circ (\text{id}_G \times_S s)$ is a closed immersion, then h embeds G into X as a closed subgroup scheme.

Proof. Let $g = \rho - m_X \circ (h \times_S \text{id}_X): G \times_S X \rightarrow X$. Then we have $g \circ (o_G \times_S \text{id}_X) = 0: X \rightarrow X$. Since $G \rightarrow S$ has connected fibers, by rigidity, we see that g factors through pr_1 . However, for the zero section o_X , we have $g \circ (\text{id}_G \times_S o_X) = h - m_X \circ (h \times_S o_X) = 0: G \rightarrow X$. Hence $g = 0$, or $\rho = m_X \circ (h \times_S \text{id}_X)$.

Now we check that h is a homomorphism. We have

$$\begin{aligned} h \circ m_G &= \rho \circ (m_G \times_S o_X) = \rho \circ (m_G \times_S \text{id}_X) \circ (\text{id}_G \times_S \text{id}_X \times_S o_X) \\ &= \rho \circ (\text{id}_G \times_S \rho) \circ (\text{id}_G \times_S \text{id}_X \times_S o_X) = \rho \circ (\text{id}_G \times_S h) \\ &= m_X \circ (h \times_S \text{id}_X) \circ (\text{id}_G \times_S h) = m_X \circ (h \times_S h). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Finally, if we have a closed immersion $\rho \circ (\text{id}_G \times_S s)$, then applying the translation by s we see that h is also a closed immersion since $\rho \circ (\text{id}_G \times_S s) = m_X \circ (h \times_S s)$. \square

We now try to generalize Proposition 2.2.

LEMMA 2.4. Let $e: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be an étale covering over S . Let G be an infinitesimal group scheme over S . Suppose that ρ is an action of G on X . Then ρ can be (uniquely) lifted to an action of G on \tilde{X} .

Proof. Let Y be the pull-back of $e \times_S e: \tilde{X} \times_S \tilde{X} \rightarrow X \times_S X$ and

$$\alpha = (\rho, \text{pr}_2): G \times_S X \rightarrow X \times_S X.$$

Then we have a cartesian diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \tilde{X} \times_S \tilde{X} \\ \downarrow \gamma & & \downarrow e \times_S e \\ G \times_S X & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & X \times_S X \end{array} \quad (3)$$

Hence $\beta(Y) = (e \times_S e)^{-1}(\Delta_X) \supset \Delta_{\tilde{X}}$. Let Y_0 be the component of Y such that $\beta(Y_0) = \Delta_{\tilde{X}}$. Then $\gamma|_{Y_0}$ is étale of degree n , where $n = \deg(e)$. Let

$$\mu = (\text{pr}_1 \circ \gamma|_{Y_0}, \text{pr}_2 \circ \beta|_{Y_0}): Y_0 \rightarrow G \times_S \tilde{X}. \quad (4)$$

We claim that μ is a closed immersion. To show this we need only check on closed fibers over X , which is clear.

Since Y_0 and $G \times_S \tilde{X}$ are both flat over $G \times_S X$ of the same degree, we see that μ is an isomorphism. Let

$$\tilde{\rho} = \text{pr}_1 \circ \beta|_{Y_0} \circ \mu^{-1}: G \times_S \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}. \quad (5)$$

Then it is easy to see that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times_S \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{(\tilde{\rho}, \text{pr}_2)} & \tilde{X} \times_S \tilde{X} \\ \text{id}_G \times_S e \downarrow & & \downarrow e \times_S e \\ G \times_S X & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & X \times_S X \end{array} \quad (6)$$

Let us check that $\tilde{\rho}$ is an action. We need to show that

$$(\tilde{\rho} \circ (m \times_S \text{id}_{\tilde{X}}))^* = (\tilde{\rho} \circ (\text{id}_G \times_S \tilde{\rho}))^*$$

as maps of O_X -modules. By the standard argument of formal completion, this is reduced to

$$(\rho \circ (m \times_S \text{id}_X))^* = (\rho \circ (\text{id}_G \times_S \rho))^*. \quad \square$$

LEMMA 2.5. *Let $X \rightarrow S$ be an abelian scheme of dimension g . Let f be an endomorphism of X such that $(\text{id}_X + f)^n = \text{id}_X$ for some $n > 0$. Suppose that f factors through $F_{X/S}^r$ for some $r > g + 1$. Then $f = 0$.*

Proof. We may assume that n is prime, by induction.

Suppose $f \neq 0$. Then there exists $m \geq r$ such that f factors through $F_{X/S}^m$ but not $F_{X/S}^{m+1}$. Let $f = h \circ F_{X/S}^m$. Expand $(\text{id}_X + f)^n$:

$$\text{id}_X = \text{id}_X + nh \circ F_{X/S}^m + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} (h \circ F_{X/S}^m)^2 + \cdots + (h \circ F_{X/S}^m)^n \quad (7)$$

Cancel id_X . Then we can cancel $F_{X/S}^m$ since it is an isogeny. We get

$$-nh = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} h_1 \circ F^m + \cdots + h_{n-1} \circ F^{m(n-1)} \quad (8)$$

for some $h_1 \cdots h_{n-1}$. Hence $\ker(F) \subset \ker(nh)$. There are two possible cases:

(i) $n \neq p$. Take $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $tn + sp = 1$. Then

$$\ker(F) \subset \ker(tnh) \cap \ker(sph) \subset \ker(tnh + sph) = \ker(h), \quad (9)$$

contrary to our choice of h .

(ii) $n = p$. Denote by V the Verschiebung morphism of X . Since $\ker(V)$ is contained in the kernel of every but possibly the last term in (8), it must be contained in $\ker(h_{n-1} \circ F^{m(n-1)})$ also. Clearly $\ker(V) \subset \ker(V)_{\text{red}} \times \ker(F^g)$. Hence the kernel of the right hand side of (8) is contained in $\ker(F^2 \circ V) = \ker(pF)$. Canceling p in (8) we see that h factors through F , again contrary to our choice of h . \square

REMARK 2.6. In any case, it is never necessary to assume that $r > g + 1$. For example, if X is ordinary, then we can take $r = 1$ when $p > 2$, and $r = 2$ when $p = 2$.

In the following, we assume that k is algebraically closed. We will use the definition of an ordinary variety over k introduced by Illusie and Raynaud ([4]). If X is smooth projective of dimension g over k such that $\Omega_X^1 \simeq \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus g}$, then X is ordinary if and only if its Frobenius induces a non-degenerate map on $H^g(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ ([7, p. 193]).

THEOREM 2.7. *Let X be an ordinary smooth projective variety of dimension g over a field k of characteristic $p > 2$. Let G be a connected group scheme over k such that $\text{rank}_k \omega_G \geq g$. Suppose there is a free action ρ of G on X . Then*

- (i) X is an ordinary abelian variety;
- (ii) G can be viewed as a closed subgroup scheme of X acting on X by translation. In particular, G is projective and commutative;
- (iii) If G is infinitesimal, then $G \simeq \mu_{p^{l_1}} \times \cdots \times \mu_{p^{l_g}}$ for some positive integers l_1, \dots, l_g .

If $\text{ch}(k) = 2$, the statement is also true with an additional assumption that the structure ring of $\ker(F_{G/k}^2)$ has rank at least p^{2g} over k .

Proof. By taking $\ker(F_{G/k}^2)$ instead of G , we may assume that G is infinitesimal. (By Lemma 2.3, ρ induces a homomorphism $G \rightarrow X$, which is a closed immersion $\Leftrightarrow \omega_X \rightarrow \omega_G \simeq \omega_{\ker(F_{G/k}^2)} \Leftrightarrow \ker(F_{G/k}^2) \rightarrow X$ is a closed immersion.) By Lemma 1.1, we have $\Omega_X^1 \simeq \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus g}$. Then by [7, Theorem 1], there is an ordinary abelian variety \tilde{X} together with a free action of a finite étale group scheme G' such that $X \simeq \tilde{X}/G'$. Let $\zeta: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the projection. By Lemma 2.4, ρ can be lifted to an action $\tilde{\rho}$ on \tilde{X} . Then by Lemma 2.4, G can be viewed as a closed subgroup scheme of \tilde{X} such that $\tilde{\rho} = m|_{G \times_s \tilde{X}}$, and $\ker(F_{\tilde{X}/k}) \subset G$. (In the case when $p = 2$, the additional assumption guarantees that $\ker(F_{\tilde{X}/k}^2) \subset G$.)

Let $\phi \in G'$. Then the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G \times_s \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_G \times_s \phi} & G \times_s \tilde{X} \\
 \eta \searrow & & \nearrow \eta \\
 & G \times_s X & \\
 \tilde{\rho} \downarrow & \downarrow \phi & \downarrow \tilde{\rho} \\
 \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \tilde{X} \\
 \zeta \searrow & & \nearrow \zeta \\
 & X & \\
 & \downarrow \rho & \\
 & X &
 \end{array} \tag{10}$$

where $\eta = \text{id}_G \times_S \zeta$. Indeed, to check that $\phi \circ \tilde{\rho} = \tilde{\rho} \circ (\text{id}_G \times_S \phi)$, we need only check that $\zeta \circ \phi \circ \tilde{\rho} = \zeta \circ \tilde{\rho} \circ (\text{id}_G \times_S \phi)$ by the standard argument of formal completion.

Therefore $\phi|_G = \phi(0) + \text{id}_G$. Let $\psi = \phi - \phi(0)$. Suppose $\phi^n = \text{id}_{\tilde{X}}$. Then since $\psi(0) = 0$ and $\psi^n = \phi^n + \text{constant}$, we must have $\psi^n = \text{id}_{\tilde{X}}$. Let $f = \psi - \text{id}_{\tilde{X}}$. Then $f|_G = 0$, so f factors through $F_{\tilde{X}/k}$ (resp., $F_{\tilde{X}/k}^2$ when $p = 2$). Now by Lemma 2.5 and Remark 2.6, we have $f = 0$. Hence ϕ is a translation. Therefore G' can be viewed as a subgroup scheme of \tilde{X} acting via $m_{\tilde{X}}$. Hence \tilde{X}/G' is also an abelian variety. The remaining statements come from Lemma 2.3. □

EXAMPLE 2.8 (cf. [3]). Let E be an ordinary elliptic curve over a field k of characteristic $p = 2$. Let $a \in E$ be a closed point of order 2. Let $X = E \times E$. Then X has a closed subgroup scheme $G \simeq \mu_p \times \mu_p$. Let $G' = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = (\bar{0}, \bar{1})$. Let $\bar{0}$ correspond to id_X and $\bar{1}$ correspond to the isomorphism $(x, y) \mapsto (-x, y + a)$ of X . This defines a free action of G' on X . The action of G (by translation) commutes with the action of G' since $\text{id}_{\mu_p} = -\text{id}_{\mu_p}$. Let $Y = X/G'$. Then the action of G on X induces a free action of G on Y . But clearly Y is not an abelian variety.

Therefore we really need the additional condition in Theorem 2.7 in the case when $p = 2$.

For a smooth projective variety X over k , we denote by $\text{Pic}^{\tau}(X)$ (following [10, p. 85]) the subscheme of $\text{Pic}(X)$ representing the following functor

$$((k\text{-schemes})) \rightarrow ((\text{abelian groups}))$$

$$T \mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{invertible sheaves } \mathcal{F} \text{ on } X \times T \text{ with numerical class } 0 \\ \text{such that } \mathcal{F}|_{\{x\} \times T} \simeq \mathcal{O}_T \end{array} \right\}$$

where x is a fixed closed point of X . Denote by \hat{X} the component of $\text{Pic}(X)$ containing 0 with the reduced induced scheme structure. Then $\text{Pic}^{\tau}(X)$ is a projective group scheme and \hat{X} is an abelian variety. There is an invertible sheaf \mathcal{F}_X on $X \times \hat{X}$ representing the following functor:

$$((k\text{-varieties})) \rightarrow ((\text{abelian groups}))$$

$$T \mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{invertible sheaves } \mathcal{F} \text{ on } X \times T \text{ with Néron-Severi class } 0 \\ \text{such that } \mathcal{F}|_{\{x\} \times T} \simeq \mathcal{O}_T \end{array} \right\}.$$

Denote by $\tilde{X} = \text{Pic}^{\tau}(\hat{X})$ and \mathcal{P}_X the Poincaré sheaf on $\tilde{X} \times \hat{X}$. Then \mathcal{F}_X induces a canonical morphism $\mu_X: X \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ such that $(\mu_X \times \text{id}_{\hat{X}})^* \mathcal{P}_X \simeq \mathcal{F}_X$.

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of smooth projective varieties over k . Then f induces $\hat{f}: \hat{Y} \rightarrow \hat{X}$ such that $(\text{id}_X \times \hat{f})^* \mathcal{F}_X \simeq (f \times \text{id}_{\hat{Y}})^* \mathcal{F}_Y$, and \hat{f} induces $\tilde{f}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$ such that $(\text{id}_{\tilde{X}} \times \hat{f})^* \mathcal{P}_X \simeq (\tilde{f} \times \text{id}_{\hat{Y}})^* \mathcal{P}_Y$. The following diagram is

commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\
 \downarrow \mu_X & & \downarrow \mu_Y \\
 \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & \tilde{Y}
 \end{array} \tag{11}$$

Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\mu_Y \circ f \times \text{id}_{\hat{Y}})^* \mathcal{P}_Y &\simeq (f \times \text{id}_{\hat{Y}})^* \circ (\mu_Y \times \text{id}_{\hat{Y}})^* \mathcal{P}_Y \simeq (f \times \text{id}_{\hat{Y}})^* \mathcal{F}_Y \\
 &\simeq (\text{id}_X \times \hat{f})^* \mathcal{F}_X \simeq (\text{id}_X \times \hat{f})^* \circ (\mu_X \times \text{id}_{\hat{X}})^* \mathcal{P}_X \\
 &\simeq (\mu_X \times \text{id}_{\hat{Y}})^* \circ (\text{id}_{\tilde{X}} \times \hat{f})^* \mathcal{P}_X \\
 &\simeq (\mu_X \times \text{id}_{\hat{Y}})^* \circ (\tilde{f} \times \text{id}_{\hat{Y}})^* \mathcal{P}_Y \\
 &\simeq (\tilde{f} \circ \mu_X \times \text{id}_{\hat{Y}})^* \mathcal{P}_Y.
 \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Therefore $\mu_Y \circ f = \tilde{f} \circ \mu_X$ by the universality of \mathcal{P}_Y .

In particular, if f is the relative Frobenius $F_{X/k}: X \rightarrow X^{(p)}$, then $\hat{Y} \simeq \hat{X}^{(p)}$, $\tilde{Y} \simeq \tilde{X}^{(p)}$ and $\tilde{f} = F_{\tilde{X}/k}$. Indeed, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{F_{X/k}} & X^{(p)} \\
 \downarrow \mu_X & & \downarrow \mu_{X^{(p)}} \\
 \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{F_{\tilde{X}/k}} & \tilde{X}^{(p)}
 \end{array} \tag{13}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 (F_{X/k} \times \text{id}_{\hat{X}^{(p)}})^* \mathcal{F}_{X^{(p)}} &\simeq (\mu_X \times \text{id}_{\hat{X}^{(p)}})^* \circ (F_{\tilde{X}/k} \times \text{id}_{\hat{X}^{(p)}})^* \mathcal{P}_{X^{(p)}} \\
 &\simeq (\mu_X \times \text{id}_{\hat{X}^{(p)}})^* \circ (\text{id}_{\tilde{X}} \times V_{\tilde{X}/k})^* \mathcal{P} \\
 &\simeq (\text{id}_X \times V_{\tilde{X}/k})^* \circ (\mu_X \times \text{id}_{\tilde{X}})^* \mathcal{P}_X \\
 &\simeq (\text{id}_X \times V_{\tilde{X}/k})^* \mathcal{F}_X
 \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where $V_{\tilde{X}/k}$ is the relative Verschiebung morphism. Therefore $\widehat{F}_{X/k} = V_{\tilde{X}/k}$ by the universality of \mathcal{F}_X .

Now suppose that X has a free action ρ of a finite commutative group scheme G and f is the quotient of ρ . In this case we have

LEMMA 2.9. Let $K = \ker(\tilde{f})$. Then there is an epimorphism $h: G \rightarrow K$ such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G \times X & \xrightarrow{\rho} & X \\
 h \times \mu_X \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu_X \\
 K \times \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{m_{\tilde{X}}} & \tilde{X}
 \end{array} \tag{15}$$

where $m_{\tilde{X}}$ denotes the multiplication of \tilde{X} restricted to $K \times \tilde{X}$, by abuse of notation. Furthermore, \hat{G} (the Cartier dual of G) can be viewed as a closed subgroup scheme of $\text{Pic}^c(Y)$, and h is an isomorphism if \hat{G} is contained in \hat{Y} .

Proof. We know that there exists $\lambda: G \times X \rightarrow X \times_Y X$ such that $\text{pr}_1 \circ \lambda = \rho$, $\text{pr}_2 \circ \lambda = \text{pr}_2$. Also there exists $\tilde{\lambda}: K \times \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X} \times_{\tilde{Y}} \tilde{X}$ such that $\text{pr}_1 \circ \tilde{\lambda} = m_{\tilde{X}}$, $\text{pr}_2 \circ \tilde{\lambda} = \text{pr}_2$ ([9, p. 112]). Therefore we get $\xi: G \times X \rightarrow K \times \tilde{X}$ such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G \times X & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & X \times_Y X \\
 \xi \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu_X \times \mu_X \\
 K \times \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\lambda}} & \tilde{X} \times_{\tilde{Y}} \tilde{X}
 \end{array} \tag{16}$$

The above says that $\text{pr}_2 \circ \xi = \mu_X \circ \text{pr}_2$, $m_{\tilde{X}} \circ \xi = \mu_X \circ \rho$. The induced morphism $G \times X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\Gamma(O_{G \times X})) \simeq G$ is simply the first projection. Similarly, $K \times \tilde{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\Gamma(O_{K \times \tilde{X}})) \simeq K$ is the first projection. Therefore we get a morphism $h: G \rightarrow K$ induced by $\Gamma(O_{K \times \tilde{X}}) \rightarrow \Gamma(O_{G \times X})$ such that $\text{pr}_1 \circ \xi = h \circ \text{pr}_1$. This means that $\xi = h \times \mu_X$ and the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G \times X & \xrightarrow{\rho} & X \\
 h \times \mu_X \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu_X \\
 K \times \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{m_{\tilde{X}}} & \tilde{X}
 \end{array} \tag{17}$$

We claim that h is a homomorphism. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & m_{\tilde{X}} \circ (m_K \times \text{id}_{\tilde{X}}) \circ (h \times h \times \mu_X) \\
 &= m_{\tilde{X}} \circ (\text{id}_K \times m_{\tilde{X}}) \circ (h \times h \times \mu_X) \\
 &= m_{\tilde{X}} \circ (h \times m_{\tilde{X}} \circ (h \times \mu_X)) = m_{\tilde{X}} \circ (h \times \mu_X \circ \rho) \\
 &= m_{\tilde{X}} \circ (h \times \mu_X) \circ (\text{id}_G \times \rho) = \mu_X \circ \rho \circ (\text{id}_G \times \rho) \\
 &= \mu_X \circ \rho \circ (m_G \times \text{id}_X) = m_{\tilde{X}} \circ (h \times \mu_X) \circ (m_G \times \text{id}_X).
 \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Hence $m_{\tilde{X}} \circ (m_K \circ (h \times h) \times \mu_X) = m_{\tilde{X}} \circ ((h \circ m_G) \times \mu_X)$. Canceling μ_X on both sides we get $m_K \circ (h \times h) = h \circ m_G$, which shows that h is a homomorphism.

Now we show that h is an epimorphism. Using the argument of [9, p. 144], one sees that there exists a canonical isomorphism $\eta: \widehat{G} \xrightarrow{\sim} \ker(\text{Pic}(Y) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X))$. Since \widehat{G} is torsion, $\eta(\widehat{G}) \subset \text{Pic}^c(Y)$. Hence $\widehat{G} \simeq \ker(\text{Pic}^c(Y) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^c(X))$. Therefore $\ker(\widehat{f}) = \eta(\widehat{G}) \cap \widehat{Y} \simeq \widehat{K}$. Hence $\widehat{\ker(\widehat{f})} \simeq K$ is a quotient of G . Let $H = \ker(G \rightarrow \widehat{\ker(\widehat{f})})$. Let $X' = X/H$. Then by functoriality we have $\ker(\widehat{X}' \rightarrow \widehat{X}) = 0$, hence $\widehat{X}' \simeq \widehat{X}$, $\widetilde{X}' \simeq \widetilde{X}$. Therefore μ_X factors through X' , hence $H \subset \ker(h)$. It is enough to show that $\ker(h) = H$. Let $Y' = X/\ker(h)$. Let $g: X \rightarrow Y'$, $f': Y' \rightarrow Y$ be the projections. We have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \ker(h) \times X & \xrightarrow{\rho} & X \\
 \text{pr}_2 \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu_X \\
 X & \xrightarrow{\mu_X} & \widetilde{X}
 \end{array} \tag{19}$$

Hence μ_X factors through Y' . Therefore $\widehat{\mu}_X$ factors through \widehat{g} . However, clearly we have $\widehat{\mu}_X = \text{id}_{\widehat{X}}$, so \widehat{g} is an isomorphism. Hence $\ker(\widehat{f}) = \ker(\widehat{f}')$. Since $\deg(f') \geq \deg(\widehat{f}')$, we have $\deg(g) \leq \deg(X \rightarrow X')$. Hence $\ker(h) = H$.

Finally, if η factors through \widehat{Y} , then $H = 0$ and h is an isomorphism. □

REMARK 2.10. When h is an isomorphism, diagram (11) is cartesian. Indeed, in this case we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\widetilde{X} \times_{\widetilde{Y}} Y) \times_Y X &\simeq \widetilde{X} \times_{\widetilde{Y}} X \simeq (\widetilde{X} \times_{\widetilde{Y}} \widetilde{X}) \times_{\widetilde{X}} X \\
 &\simeq (K \times \widetilde{X}) \times_{\widetilde{X}} X \simeq G \times X \simeq X \times_Y X.
 \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Since X is faithfully flat over Y , this shows that $X \rightarrow \widetilde{X} \times_{\widetilde{Y}} Y$ is an isomorphism.

COROLLARY 2.11 (see [8, p. 47]). *Let G be a finite commutative group scheme over k . Let X be a smooth projective variety with a free action of G . If $Y = X/G$ is an abelian variety, so is X .*

Proof. In this case $\text{Pic}^c(Y)$ is an abelian variety. Hence the homomorphism h in Lemma 2.9 is an isomorphism. Now (11) is cartesian by Remark 2.10, and μ_Y is an isomorphism. Hence μ_X is also an isomorphism. □

THEOREM 2.12. *Let X be a smooth projective variety and G be a commutative infinitesimal group scheme over k such that $\dim(X) \leq \text{rank}_k(\omega_G)$. Suppose that X has a free action of G . Then*

- (i) *If $\text{Pic}^c(X)$ is reduced and connected, then X is an abelian variety;*
- (ii) *If \widehat{G} is also infinitesimal and $\text{Pic}(X)$ is reduced, then X is a very special (i.e., having no closed point of order p) abelian variety.*

Proof. We may assume that $F_{G/k} = 0$, otherwise we can take $\ker(F_{G/k})$ instead of G . Again use the above notation. By Lemma 1.1, we have $\Omega_{X/k}^1 \simeq \omega_G \otimes O_X$ and hence $\dim(X) = \text{rank}_k(\omega_G)$. By the functoriality of Frobenius, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G \times X & \xrightarrow{\rho} & X \\
 \downarrow F_{X/k} \circ \text{pr}_2 & & \downarrow F_{X/k} \\
 X^{(p)} & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & X^{(p)}
 \end{array} \tag{21}$$

since $F_{G \times X/k}$ factors through X . Hence $F_{X/k}$ factors through $Y = X/G$. However, X is flat over both $X^{(p)}$ and Y of the same degree, so $X^{(p)} \simeq Y$.

Under condition (i) or (ii), G can be identified with a subgroup scheme of \tilde{X} by Lemma 2.9. Let $i: G \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ be the inclusion morphism. Then i induces an isomorphism $\omega_{\tilde{X}} \simeq \omega_G$, and hence an isomorphism $\mu_{\tilde{X}}^* \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^1 \simeq \Omega_X^1$ by Lemma 1.1 again. Therefore μ_X is finite. By [6, Theorem 51], μ_X is flat, hence étale. Therefore X is an abelian variety by Serre-Lang’s Theorem ([9, p. 167]). Hence μ_X is an isomorphism.

Finally, since $\hat{G} \simeq \ker(V_{\hat{X}/k})$, X is very special under condition (ii). □

REMARK 2.13. In Example 2.8, we can take $X = E' \times E$ instead of $E \times E$ and let $G = \ker(F_{X/k}^2)$, where E' is a supersingular elliptic curve. Then G satisfies the additional assumption of Theorem 2.7, but $Y = X/G'$ is still not an abelian variety. Furthermore, in this case $\text{Pic}(Y)$ must be non-reduced by Theorem 2.12, since α_p is a subgroup scheme of $\text{Pic}(Y)$ and \hat{Y} is an ordinary elliptic curve.

EXAMPLE 2.14. Let G be a direct product of g copies of α_p . Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension g over k such that $\text{Pic}(X)$ is reduced. If X has a free action of G , then $X \simeq E^g$, where E is a supersingular elliptic curve (see [11, Theorem 2]).

References

- [1] P. Berthelot and A. Ogus: *Notes on Crystalline Cohomology*, Mathematical Notes, Princeton University Press, Princeton (1978).
- [2] J.-I. Igusa: A Fundamental Inequality in the Theory of Picard Varieties, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* 41 (1955), 318–320.
- [3] J.-I. Igusa: On Some Problems in Abstract Algebraic Geometry, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* 41 (1955), 964–967.
- [4] L. Illusie and M. Raynaud: Les suites spectrales associees au complexe de De Rham, *Publ. Math. IHES* 57 (1983), 73–212.
- [5] Yu. I. Manin: The Theory of Commutative Formal Groups over Fields of Finite Characteristic, *Russian Math. Surveys* 18 (1963), 1–80.

- [6] H. Matsumura: *Commutative Algebra*, W. A. Benjamin Co., New York (1970).
- [7] V. B. Mehta and V. Srinivas: Varieties in Positive Characteristic with Trivial Tangent Bundle, *Compositio Mathematica* 64 (1987), 191–212.
- [8] M. Miyanishi: On the pro-representability of a functor on the category of finite group schemes, *J. Math. of Kyoto Univ.* 6(1) (1966), 31–48.
- [9] D. Mumford: *Abelian Varieties*, Oxford University Press, Oxford (1970).
- [10] D. Mumford: *Lectures on Curves on an Algebraic Surface*, Annals of Math Studies 59, Princeton University Press, Princeton (1966).
- [11] F. Oort: Which Abelian Surfaces are Products of Elliptic Curves? *Math. Ann.* 214 (1975), 35–47.
- [12] T. Sekiguchi and F. Oort: On the Deformations of Witt Groups to Tori, Algebraic and Topological Theories-to the Memory of Takehiro Miyata (1985), 283–298.