

# GROUPE DE TRAVAIL D'ANALYSE ULTRAMÉTRIQUE

ANDREW D. POLLINGTON

## On nowhere dense $\Theta$ -sets

*Groupe de travail d'analyse ultramétrique*, tome 10, n° 2 (1982-1983), exp. n° 22, p. 1-2

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=GAU\\_1982-1983\\_\\_10\\_2\\_A5\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=GAU_1982-1983__10_2_A5_0)

© Groupe de travail d'analyse ultramétrique  
(Secrétariat mathématique, Paris), 1982-1983, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la collection « Groupe de travail d'analyse ultramétrique » implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques  
<http://www.numdam.org/>

ON NOWHERE DENSE  $\vartheta$ -SETS

by Andrew D. POLLINGTON (\*)

In this note, we show that, for every  $\vartheta > 1$ , there are real numbers  $k$  so that  $X_k = \{\widehat{k\vartheta^n}; n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  is nowhere dense. Here  $\widehat{x}$  denotes the image of  $x$  in  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ . This answers a question raised by CHOQUET in [1], and is a straightforward corollary of the following theorem.

THEOREM. - Given  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\vartheta > 1$  and a sequence of real numbers  $a_n$ , there is a sequence  $\epsilon_n$  of positive real numbers, and a set of real numbers  $K$  of Hausdorff dimension at least  $\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon$  so that, if  $k \in K$ , then

$$(1) \quad \|k\vartheta^n - a_m\| > \epsilon_n \quad \text{for all } m, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. - Let  $\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon < s < \frac{1}{2}$ , and choose  $r$  so that

$$(2) \quad \vartheta^r - 4r > \vartheta^{rs}.$$

Put

$$(3) \quad \epsilon_n = \vartheta^{-2^{n+2}r}, \quad t = [\vartheta^r - 1], \quad M = t - 4r.$$

We will construct a nested sequence of sets of intervals  $I_0 \subset I_1 \subset \dots$  so that  $k \in \bigcap I_j$  satisfies (1). These sets  $I_n$  will be chosen so that, if  $I$  is an interval of  $I_{2^n}$ , then  $|I| = \vartheta^{-rn}$ .  $I_{2^n}$  will be a union of  $M^{2^n}$  intervals each containing  $M^{2^n}$  intervals of  $I_{2^{n+1}}$ .

The construction. - Put  $I_0 = [0, 1]$ .

For each interval  $I$  of  $I_{n-1}$ , we divide  $I$  into  $t$  equally spaced subintervals, each of length  $\vartheta^{-r}|I|$ . We will delete some of these intervals, those remaining will form the set  $I_n$ . We will delete the intervals according to a rule depending on  $n$ .

Suppose  $n = 2^p + u \cdot 2^{p+1}$ , so  $2^p \parallel n$ . If  $u = 0$ , no intervals are deleted when forming  $I_n$ . If  $u > 0$ , we distinguish two cases:

(a) There is some integer  $q$  for which

$$n - 2^{p+1} < 2^q < n.$$

---

(\*) Andrew D. POLLINGTON, Dept of Mathematics, Brigham Young University, PROVO, UT 84602 (Etats-Unis).

(b) There is no such  $q$ .

We will choose the intervals  $I$  so that, if  $k \in I_n$ , then

$$(4) \quad \|k \sigma^m - a_p\| > \epsilon_p, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, (n - 2^{p+1})r.$$

Delete  $J$  from the choices for intervals of  $I_n$  if  $J \cap L \neq \emptyset$ , where

$$(5) \quad L = \{k; \|k \sigma^m - a_p\| \leq \epsilon_p, \quad (n - 2^{p+2})r + 1 \leq m \leq (n - 2^{p+1})r\}.$$

Case a. - By (3) and (5), for every interval  $I$  of  $I_{2^q}$ , we delete at most  $2^{p+2}r$  intervals contained in  $I$ .

Case b. - As above, for every interval  $I$  of  $I_{n-2^{p+1}}$ , we delete at most  $2^{p+2}r$  intervals contained in  $I$ .

It now only remains to verify the condition concerning the number of intervals in  $I_{2^n}$ . Suppose that  $I$  is an interval of  $I_{2^n}$ . Then  $I$  contains at least  $M^w$  intervals of  $I_{2^{n+w}}$ ,  $0 \leq w \leq 2^n$ .

Thus we may choose  $2^n$  intervals of  $I_{2^{n+1}}$  in every interval of  $I_{2^n}$ . Put  $J_n = I_{2^n}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . By (2) and a theorem of EGGLESTON [2], the dimension of the set of numbers satisfying (1) is at least  $s$ .

COROLLARY. - Given  $\epsilon > 1$ , the set of real numbers  $k$  for which  $X_k$  is nowhere dense has Hausdorff dimension at least  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

Proof. - Apply the theorem with  $a_n$  any dense sequence modulo 1.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] CHOQUET (G.). - Construction effective de suites  $(k(3/2)^n)$ . Étude des mesures  $(3/2)$ -stables, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris, t. 291, 1980, série A, p. 69-74.
- [2] EGGLESTON (H. G.). - Sets of fractional dimension which occur in some problems of number theory, Proc. London math. Soc., Series 2, t. 54, 1951/52, p. 42-93.