

D. DE WERRA

A note on graph coloring

*Revue française d'automatique informatique recherche opérationnelle.
Informatique théorique*, tome 8, n° R1 (1974), p. 49-53

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ITA_1974__8_1_49_0

© AFCET, 1974, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Revue française d'automatique informatique recherche opérationnelle. Informatique théorique » implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

A NOTE ON GRAPH COLORING

par D. DE WERRA (1)

Communiqué par R. CORI

Abstract. — *A result concerning edge colorings in graphs is extended to the case of vertex colorings. Let S_1, \dots, S_k be a coloring of the vertices of G and let s_i be the cardinality of S_i . It is shown that there always exists a k -coloring with*

$$|s_j - s_i| \leq (l - 2) \min(s_i, s_j) + 1 \quad \text{for any } i, j.$$

where l is such that no vertex belongs to more than l maximal cliques.

A multigraph consists of a finite nonempty set X of vertices and a set U of edges. A k -edge-coloring is a partition of U into subsets H_1, H_2, \dots, H_k such that no two edges in the same H_k are adjacent. Let h_i be the cardinality of H_i ($i = 1, \dots, k$). We will say that the sequence (h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k) where $h_1 \geq h_2 \geq \dots \geq h_k$ is *color-feasible* in G .

The following proposition appears in [1] and [2] :

Proposition 1 : If (h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k) is color-feasible in G , then any sequence $(h'_1, h'_2, \dots, h'_k)$ with :

- a) $h'_1 \geq \dots \geq h'_k$
- b) $\sum_{i=1}^l h'_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^l h_i \quad l = 1, \dots, k - 1$
- c) $\sum_{i=1}^k h'_i = \sum_{i=1}^k h_i$

is color-feasible in G .

(1) Département de Mathématiques, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (Suisse).

Let the *chromatic index* $q(G)$ of G be the smallest k for which G has a k -edge-coloring. As a consequence of proposition 1 we have :

Proposition 2 : For any $k \geq q(G)$, G has a k -edge coloring

$$H_1, \dots, H_k \text{ with } |h_i - h_j| \leq 1, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, k$$

In this note we will extend these results to the more general case of vertex colorings.

A k -coloring of G is a partition of its vertices into subsets S_1, S_2, \dots, S_k of nonadjacent vertices.

(Note that whenever we are dealing with vertex colorings, we just have to consider *simple* graphs, i.e. graphs without multiple edges.)

The *chromatic number* $\gamma(G)$ of G is the smallest k for which G has a k -coloring.

A *clique* K in G is a subset of vertices such that any two vertices in K are adjacent in G . A clique K is *maximal* if there is no clique K' in G which strictly contains K .

Given a subset A of X , $\langle A \rangle$ will denote the subgraph spanned by A : its edges are those edges of G with both endpoints in A . The *degree* of a vertex x in G is the number of edges in G which are adjacent to x .

Let S_1, S_2, \dots, S_k define a k -coloring of G ; s_i will denote the cardinality of S_i . We assume that no vertex in G belongs to more than l maximal cliques ($l \geq 2$). If $l = 1$, each connected component G' of G is a clique.

Proposition 3 : The degrees in the subgraph $\langle S_i \cup S_j \rangle$ are at most l for any i, j .

Proof : Assume a vertex x in S_i is adjacent to $p > l$ vertices x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p in S_j ; any two of these vertices are nonadjacent, so they cannot belong to the same clique. Hence the maximal cliques K_i containing x and x_i are distinct ($i = 1, \dots, p$) which is a contradiction.

Proposition 4 : Let $S'_i \subset S_i, S'_j \subset S_j$ define a connected component $G' = \langle S'_i \cup S'_j \rangle$ of $\langle S_i \cup S_j \rangle$; then $|s'_j - s'_i| \leq (l - 2) \min(s'_i, s'_j) + 1$.

Proof : Suppose $s'_i = p$ and $s'_j > (l - 1)p + 1$; since G' is bipartite, it has at most $l \cdot p$ edges (no degree exceeds l) ; however G' has more than $p + (l - 1)p + 1 = l \cdot p + 1$ vertices, hence it cannot be connected, so $s'_j \leq (l - 1)p + 1$ and the proposition follows.

Proposition 5 : Given a k -coloring S_1, S_2, \dots, S_k of a graph G where no vertex belongs to more than l maximal cliques, any two subsets S_i, S_j with $s_j > (l-1)s_i + 1$ may be replaced by two subsets \bar{S}_i, \bar{S}_j satisfying

$$|\bar{s}_j - \bar{s}_i| \leq (l-2) \min(\bar{s}_i, \bar{s}_j) + 1.$$

Proof : Let $s_j = s_i + K$ with $K > (l-2)s_i + 1$; then $G' = \langle S_i \cup S_j \rangle$ is not connected and there is a connected component $\langle S'_i \cup S'_j \rangle$ of G' with $s'_j = s'_i + K'$ where $0 < K' \leq (l-2)s'_i + 1 \leq (l-2)s_i + 1 < K$.

By interchanging the vertices of S'_i and S'_j we obtain two subsets \bar{S}_i and \bar{S}_j of nonadjacent vertices.

They satisfy :

$$s_i = s_j - K < \bar{s}_j = s_j - K' < s_j$$

$$s_i < s_i + K' = s_i < s_i + K = s_j.$$

Hence $|\bar{s}_j - \bar{s}_i| < K = |s_j - s_i|$.

If $|\bar{s}_j - \bar{s}_i| > (l-2) \min(\bar{s}_i, \bar{s}_j) + 1 > K$ the interchange procedure may be reiterated and finally we will obtain two subsets \bar{S}_i, \bar{S}_j satisfying

$$|\bar{s}_j - \bar{s}_i| \leq (l-2) \min(\bar{s}_i, \bar{s}_j) + 1.$$

Quite similarly to the case of edge-colorings, we say that a sequence (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k) with $s_1 \geq s_2 \geq \dots \geq s_k$ is color-feasible in G if there exists a k -coloring S_1, S_2, \dots, S_k of G where S_i has cardinality $s_i (i = 1, \dots, k)$.

According to Proposition 5, let $S = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k)$ be color-feasible in G ; if $S' = (s'_1, s'_2, \dots, s'_k)$ is any sequence obtained from S by interchanges between subsets S_i, S_j with $|s_j - s_i| > (l-2) \min(s_i, s_j) + 1$, then S' is also color-feasible.

In particular, by making successive interchanges, we obtain :

Proposition 6 : Let G be a graph with chromatic number $\gamma(G)$ and where no vertex belongs to more than l maximal cliques; then for any $k \geq \gamma(G)$, there exists a color-feasible sequence (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k) with $s_1 \leq (l-1)s_k + 1$.

We conclude this note with a few remarks :

REMARK 1 : Proposition 6 should be related to a theorem of Hajnal and Szemerédi [3] : For any graph G with maximum degree h , there exists a color-feasible sequence $(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{h+1})$, with $s_1 \leq s_{h+1} + 1$.

In other words, if $h + 1$ colors are to be used for the vertices of G , then it is always possible to find an $(h + 1)$ -coloring where all cardinalities of the S'_i 's are within 1.

However if less than $h + 1$ colors may be used, then it is not always possible to do so. As an exemple consider graph G_1 with 4 vertices u, v, w, x and 3 edges $(u, v), (u, w), (u, x)$; the only way of coloring its vertices with $2 < h + 1 = 4$ colors is $S_1 = \{v, w, x\}$, $S_2 = \{u\}$ and so we have $s_1 - s_2 = 3 - 1 = (l - 2)s_2 + 1 = 1 + 1 > 1$ since u belongs to $l = 3$ maximal cliques.

REMARK 2 : It is well known that an edge coloring problem in G may be reduced to a vertex coloring problem in a graph G' whose vertices are the edges of G : any two adjacent edges in G' are represented by adjacent vertices in G' and there exists in G' a family F of cliques such that :

- a) each pair of adjacent vertices belongs to exactly one clique of F ;
- b) each vertex belongs to at most 2 cliques of F (F contains all maximal cliques of G' which are not normal triangles (4, p. 390)).

Thus any subset S of vertices in G' with $|S \cap K| \leq 1$ for any clique K of F represents a subset of nonadjacent edges in G .

It is thus possible to consider that the only « maximal » cliques of G' are those in F ; so $l = 2$ and it follows from Proposition 5 that interchanges can be made between S_i and S_j whenever $|s_j - s_i| > 1$. This means of course that Propositions 1 and 2 are valid.

REMARK 3 : One could think of deducing the result of Hajnal and Szemerédi from Proposition 6 in the following way : if for any graph G with maximum degree h it is possible to introduce some edges in such a way that

- a) the maximum degree is still h
 - b) each vertex belongs to at most 2 maximum cliques of the new graph,
- then obviously (since $\gamma(G) \leq h + 1$) it is possible to find an $(h + 1)$ -coloring S_1, \dots, S_k with $s_1 - s_k \leq 1$.

Unfortunately, this is not true as is shown by considering graph G_2 with vertices $x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3$ and edges $(x_i, y_j), i, j = 1, 2, 3$; each vertex belongs to 3 maximal cliques and the introduction of any supplementary edge increases the maximum degree.

REMARK 4 : Finally Proposition 6 may be formulated in terms of hypergraphs (notions which are not defined here can be found in [4]) ; we want to color the edges of a hypergraph H in such a way that no 2 edges E_i, E_j with $E_i \cap E_j \neq \emptyset$ are of the same color. Now l is the rank of H i.e.

$$r(H) = \max_i |E_i| = l$$

and let $q(H)$ be the minimum number of colors required to color the edges of H ; then for any $k \geq q(H)$ there exists a k -edge-coloring S_1, \dots, S_k of H with $|s_i - s_j| \leq (r(H) - 2) \min(s_i, s_j) + 1$.

REFERENCES

- [1] FOLKMAN J. and FULKERSON D. R., *Edge colorings in bipartite graphs*, in : Combinatorial Mathematics and its applications (University of North Carolina Press, Chapel Hill, 1969).
- [2] De WERRA D., *Equitable Colorations of Graphs*, Revue française d'Informatique et de Recherche Opérationnelle, R-3, 1971, p. 3-8.
- [3] HAJNAL A. and SZEMZREDI E., *Proof of a conjecture of P. Erdős* in : Combinatorial Theory and its applications, Balatonfüred, (Erdős, Rényi, V.T. Sos, éd.), North Holland, Amsterdam, 1970, p. 601-623.
- [4] BERGE C., *Graphes et hypergraphes*, Paris, Dunod, 1970.