

# JOURNÉES ÉQUATIONS AUX DÉRIVÉES PARTIELLES

MICHAEL CHRIST

## **On local and global analytic and Gevrey hypoellipticity**

*Journées Équations aux dérivées partielles* (1995), p. 1-7

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=JEDP\\_1995\\_\\_\\_\\_A9\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=JEDP_1995____A9_0)

© Journées Équations aux dérivées partielles, 1995, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Journées Équations aux dérivées partielles » (<http://www.math.sciences.univ-nantes.fr/edpa/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques  
<http://www.numdam.org/>

# ON LOCAL AND GLOBAL ANALYTIC AND GEVREY HYPOELLIPTICITY

MICHAEL CHRIST

UCLA

## Introduction.

This article summarizes recent progress in the investigation of analytic hypoellipticity of linear partial differential operators having analytic<sup>1</sup> coefficients. Results and examples previously known will first be recalled. The notion of global analytic hypoellipticity will be introduced in §2. Our first main result is then a counterexample to global analytic hypoellipticity in dimension three.

The simpler case of partial differential operators with multiple characteristics in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  will be discussed in detail in §3. For sums of squares of vector fields, a conjectured necessary and sufficient condition for analytic hypoellipticity will be stated. A geometric invariant  $q$  will be introduced, in terms of which a more refined conjecture on the optimal exponent for hypoellipticity in Gevrey classes will be formulated. A number of partial results supporting the conjecture will be adduced.

The analysis depends on certain nonlinear eigenvalue problems. These are the subject of §4, where a third conjecture will be put forward. No indications of proofs will be given.

## 1. Background.

Suppose that  $L = \sum_j X_j^2$  is a sum of squares of  $n$  real,  $C^\omega$  vector fields  $X_j$  on some real analytic manifold  $M$  of dimension  $N$ , which locally will be regarded as an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . We assume always the bracket hypothesis of Hörmander, which asserts that the Lie algebra generated by the vector fields spans the tangent space to the ambient manifold at every point.  $L$  is said to be analytic hypoelliptic (in an open set  $V$ ) if for every open  $V' \subset V$  and every  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(V')$  such that  $Lu \in C^\omega(V')$ , necessarily  $u \in C^\omega(V')$ . The bracket hypothesis ensures  $C^\infty$  hypoellipticity [H2].

Denote by  $\Sigma \subset T^*M \setminus \{(x, \xi) : \xi = 0\}$  the characteristic variety of  $L$ , that is, the set where the principal symbol of  $L$  vanishes. Denoting by  $\pi : T^*M \mapsto M$  the natural projection,  $L$  is said to be symplectic at a point  $p \in M$  if for some small neighborhood  $U$  of  $p$ ,  $\Sigma \cap \pi^{-1}(U)$  is a symplectic submanifold of  $T^*U$ .

Consider the special case where the vector fields  $X_j$  are linearly independent at  $p$  and  $N = n + 1$ . Fix a nonzero cotangent vector  $\omega \in T_p^*M$  that annihilates the span  $V$  of the  $X_j$  at  $p$ . Define the skew symmetric quadratic form  $Q_p$  on  $V$  by  $Q_p(Y, Y') = \langle \omega, [Y, Y'](p) \rangle$ , where the bracket denotes the pairing between cotangent and tangent vectors. Then  $p$  is a symplectic point if and only if  $Q_p$  is a nondegenerate quadratic form.

---

Research supported by the National Science Foundation.

<sup>1</sup>The terms “analytic” and “real analytic” are synonymous in this paper.

The fundamental theorem concerning analytic hypoellipticity for these operators, due independently to Treves [Tr1] and Tartakoff [Ta1][Ta2], states simply that  $L$  is analytic hypoelliptic in a neighborhood of any point where it is symplectic.<sup>2</sup>

At the opposite extreme is a theorem of Métivier [M1] asserting under certain auxiliary hypotheses that if *no* point of an open set  $U$  is symplectic, then  $L$  is not analytic hypoelliptic in  $U$ . A simple example is [BG]  $\partial_x^2 + x^2 \partial_t^2 + \partial_y^2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

Our motivation comes from complex analysis in several variables, where one encounters operators similar to sums of squares, especially in the simplest case of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  [K].<sup>3</sup> If  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  is a bounded pseudoconvex domain with  $C^\omega$  boundary, then  $\partial\Omega$  is a CR manifold on which is defined a Cauchy-Riemann operator  $\bar{\partial}_b$ .  $\bar{\partial}_b \circ \bar{\partial}_b^*$  may be expressed in local coordinates as  $(X + iY) \circ (-X + iY)$ , modulo insignificant lower-order terms, and the bracket hypothesis holds. The set of nonsymplectic (that is, weakly pseudoconvex) points is either empty, in which case the theorem of Treves applies,<sup>4</sup> or is a real analytic subvariety of positive codimension in  $\partial\Omega$ . The everywhere degenerate situation of [M1] does not arise.

Another very interesting example [M2] is  $L = \partial_x^2 + (x^2 + t^2)\partial_t^2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . This is a sum of squares of three vector fields, modulo an unimportant lower order term. It is elliptic except at a single point, namely the origin, where it still satisfies the bracket hypothesis, yet is not analytic hypoelliptic. Consider now  $L' = \partial_x^2 + x^2 \partial_t^2$ .  $L'$  is essentially weaker than  $L$ , for instance in the sense that  $\langle -Lf, f \rangle > \langle -L'f, f \rangle$  for all  $f \neq 0$  supported sufficiently near 0. Yet  $L'$  is symplectic and hence analytic hypoelliptic.

Concerning the intermediate situation, only one result of even a mild degree of generality<sup>5</sup> has been obtained. Given a two-dimensional subbundle  $T$  of  $T\mathbb{R}^3$ , a curve  $\gamma : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \mapsto \mathbb{R}^3$  is said to be subordinate to  $T$  if  $\dot{\gamma}(s)$  belongs to  $T$  for each  $s$ ; we assume always that  $\dot{\gamma} \neq 0$ .

**Theorem 1.** [C2] *Let  $X, Y$  be linearly independent  $C^\omega$  real vector fields in an open subset  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ , satisfying the bracket hypothesis, and let  $L = X^2 + Y^2$ . A necessary condition for analytic hypoellipticity of  $L$  is that there exist no curve  $\gamma$  in  $U$  subordinate to the subbundle of  $T\mathbb{R}^3$  spanned by  $X, Y$  with the additional property that  $\gamma(s)$  is a nonsymplectic point for every  $s$ .*

This is a special case of a much more general conjecture of Treves [Tr1]. The two-dimensional example above suggests that this necessary condition is not sufficient, but to date no example in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  having only an isolated nonsymplectic point has been proved to lack analytic hypoellipticity.<sup>6</sup>

The hypothesis of subordinary cannot be omitted. In  $\mathbb{R}^3$  set  $X = \partial_x$ ,  $Y = \partial_y + a(x, y)\partial_t$  with  $a(x, y) = x^{1+k_1} + xy^{k_2}$  where  $k_j$  are strictly positive, even integers, and take  $L = X^2 + Y^2$ . Then  $s \mapsto (0, 0, s)$  parametrizes a curve consisting entirely of nonsymplectic points, yet  $L$  is analytic hypoelliptic [GS].

Another class of examples is  $X = \partial_x$ ,  $Y = \partial_y + x^{m-1}\partial_t$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with coordinates  $(x, y, t)$ , where  $m \geq 2$  is a positive integer. The case  $m = 2$  is symplectic, but Theorem 1 asserts that analytic

<sup>2</sup>The results cited are actually formulated much more generally.

<sup>3</sup>In order to avoid complicating the exposition with inessential technicalities, we restrict attention in this article for the most part to sums of squares.

<sup>4</sup>Actually it applies only microlocally, in one half of the characteristic variety of  $\bar{\partial}_b \bar{\partial}_b^*$ ; analytic hypoellipticity always fails to hold in the other half, but that region turns out not to be relevant for the questions arising in complex analysis.

<sup>5</sup>The case of linear partial differential operators of principal type, in contrast to those having multiple characteristics, is completely understood through work of Trepreau [Tp] and of Treves [Tr2].

<sup>6</sup>It is this author's firm belief that such examples do exist, and work in this direction is underway.

hypoellipticity does not hold for  $m \geq 3$ .<sup>7</sup>

## 2. Global Regularity.

Suppose  $L$  to be defined on a compact manifold  $M$  without boundary.  $L$  is said to be globally analytic hypoelliptic if  $Lu \in C^\omega(M)$  implies  $u \in C^\omega(M)$ . Analytic hypoellipticity in the local sense implies it in the global sense, but not conversely. For example, consider any  $C^\infty$  hypoelliptic operator  $L$  with constant coefficients, regarded as acting on functions defined on the torus  $\mathbb{T}^n$  rather than on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $L$  is globally analytic hypoelliptic, but is so in the local sense only if it is elliptic.

Modify the example two paragraphs above by replacing  $x^{m-1}$  by  $\sin^{m-1}(x)$ , so that  $L = X^2 + Y^2$  is defined on the torus  $\mathbb{T}^3$ . Then Theorem 1 still guarantees that  $L$  is not analytic hypoelliptic in the local sense, yet it is so in the global sense [CH],[C3]. Since these examples are prototypical for the situation of Theorem 1, and since global hypoellipticity is a far weaker property than local hypoellipticity, it was hoped that global analytic hypoellipticity might always hold (for sums of squares, under the bracket hypothesis).

Consider  $L = X^2 + Y^2$  on  $\mathbb{T}^2$ , with periodic coordinates  $(x, t)$  (so that functions on  $\mathbb{T}^2$  are identified with periodic functions on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ). Assume that  $X \equiv \partial_x$  and  $Y = \theta(x, t)\partial_t$  for some  $C^\omega$  real coefficient  $\theta$ , and that the bracket hypothesis is satisfied.

**Theorem 2.** [C6] *Suppose that the Taylor expansion of  $\theta(x, t)$  at 0 is of the form  $\theta(x, t) = c_1 x^{m-1} + c_2 t^k$  plus higher order terms, where  $k > 0$ ,  $m \geq 3$ , and  $c_1, c_2 \neq 0$ . Suppose also that the range of  $L$  contains  $L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ . Then  $L$  is not globally analytic hypoelliptic.*

By higher order terms we mean all monomials  $x^\alpha t^\beta$  satisfying  $\alpha/(m-1) + \beta/k > 1$ . The assumption  $m \geq 3$  means that 0 is not a symplectic point.

Thus certain behavior of a finite part of the Taylor expansion of a coefficient at a single point is enough to preclude global regularity. The term  $t^k$  acts as a perturbation of the situation where  $\theta$  depends on  $x$  alone. There is then a rotational symmetry with respect to  $t$ , and global analytic hypoellipticity holds quite generally in the presence of such a symmetry [C3]. Much work has been done on symmetric special cases, which Theorem 2 now reveals to be atypical.

Three-dimensional counterexamples are constructed directly from the two-dimensional situation by replacing  $\theta(x, t)\partial_t$  by  $\partial_y + \theta\partial_t$ , and considering functions on  $\mathbb{T}^3$  independent of the  $y$  variable. Analogous analysis then leads to the following counterexample.

**Theorem 3.** [C4] *There exist a bounded, pseudoconvex domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  with  $C^\omega$  boundary and a function  $f \in C^\omega(\partial\Omega)$ , whose Szegő projection does not belong to  $C^\omega(\partial\Omega)$ .*

## 3. The Two-Dimensional Case.

The simplest case of all is that of a sum of squares  $L = X^2 + Y^2$  of two vector fields in an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . The bracket hypothesis implies that at every point, at least one of  $X, Y$  is nonzero. In general there will be some points at which  $L$  is elliptic, others at which it is nonelliptic but symplectic (that is,  $X, Y$  are dependent at  $p$  but  $X, Y, [X, Y]$  span the tangent space at  $p$ ), and yet others at which it is neither. Define  $m$  to be the smallest integer such that the vector space spanned by  $X, Y$  and all of their iterated Lie brackets with  $m$  or fewer factors equals the whole tangent space at  $p$ .<sup>8</sup> Then  $p$  is said to be a point of type  $m = m(p)$ . Type 1 means elliptic, type 2 symplectic.

<sup>7</sup>These examples were treated earlier in a series of papers [He],[PR],[HH],[C5].

<sup>8</sup>For this purpose  $X, Y$  themselves are considered to be Lie brackets with 1 factor.

In this section we discuss only hypoellipticity in the local sense. Fixing a local coordinate system,  $X, Y$  may be regarded as the two columns of a square matrix, and we define  $\Theta(p)$  to be the determinant of that matrix, evaluated at  $p$ . Changing the coordinates has the effect only of multiplying  $\Theta$  by a nowhere vanishing factor; the same goes if the pair  $X, Y$  is replaced by a second pair represented as an invertible linear combination, with analytic coefficients, of  $X, Y$ .<sup>9</sup>

The invariant  $m$  alone does not govern analytic hypoellipticity. Shortly we will introduce a second geometric invariant,  $q \in (0, \infty]$ . Like  $m$ ,  $q$  is determined by the Taylor expansion of the coefficients of  $X, Y$  at  $p$ . For our immediate purpose it suffices to know that if  $p$  is a point of type  $m \geq 2$ , then  $q = q(p)$  equals  $\infty$  if and only if there exist coordinates  $(x, t)$  with respect to which  $p = 0$  and the span of  $X, Y$  equals the span of  $\partial_x, x^{m-1}\partial_t$  in a neighborhood of 0.

**Conjecture 1.**  $L = X^2 + Y^2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is analytic hypoelliptic in some neighborhood of a point  $p$  if and only either  $m(p) = 1$  or  $q(p) = \infty$ .

When  $m(p) = 2$  then  $q$  is always  $\infty$ . An example where  $q < \infty$  is  $X = \partial_x$  and  $Y = [x^{m-1} + t^k]\partial_t$ , for any  $m \geq 3$  and  $k \geq 1$ .

In general,  $q$  is defined as follows. Where  $m = 1$ ,  $q$  is simply defined to be  $\infty$ . Assume henceforth that  $m(p) \geq 2$ . It is possible to choose coordinates  $(x, t)$  in which  $p = 0$ , together with vector fields  $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}$  having everywhere the same span as  $X, Y$ , such that  $\tilde{X} \equiv \partial_x, \tilde{Y} = \theta(x, t)\partial_t$ ,  $\theta(x, t) = x^{m-1} + \sum_{j=0}^{m-3} \beta_j(t)x^j$ , and each coefficient  $\beta_j$  vanishes where  $t = 0$ .  $q$  is defined to be  $\infty$  if and only if each  $\beta_j$  vanishes identically. Otherwise define  $\tau_j$  to be the order of vanishing of  $\beta_j$  at  $t = 0$  and set

$$q = \min_j \tau_j / (m - 1 - j).$$

This quantity can be shown to be independent of all choices made.<sup>10</sup>

The basic example is  $\theta(x, t) = x^{m-1} + t^\ell x^{k-1}$  where  $1 \leq k \leq m-2$  and  $\ell > 0$ . Then  $q = \ell / (m - k)$ . Thus  $q$  is rational, and  $(m - 1)^{-1} \leq q < \infty$ .

In those situations where  $q$  is finite, define the exponent  $s_0$  by the relation  $1 - s_0^{-1} = (mq)^{-1}$ . Then  $1 < s_0 \leq m$ , since  $q \geq (m - 1)^{-1}$ . Given  $m \geq 3$ , the set of possible values for  $s_0$  is a certain infinite set of rational numbers in the interval  $(1, m]$ .

Denote by  $G^s$  the Gevrey class of order  $s \in [1, \infty)$ . Recall that  $G^s \subset G^t$  whenever  $s < t$ , and that  $G^1 = C^\omega$ . A partial differential operator  $L$  is said to be  $G^s$  hypoelliptic if each distribution  $u$  belongs to  $G^s$  in any open set in which  $Lu \in G^s$ . Under a mild hypothesis always satisfied by sums of squares of vector fields satisfying the bracket condition,  $G^s$  hypoellipticity implies  $G^t$  hypoellipticity for any  $t > s$  [M1].

Let  $X, Y$  be as in Conjecture 1.

**Conjecture 2.** Assume that  $m(p) \geq 3$  and  $q(p) < \infty$ . Then in every sufficiently small neighborhood of  $p$ ,  $L = X^2 + Y^2$  is  $G^s$  hypoelliptic if and only if  $s \geq s_0$ .

Here  $s_0 = s_0(p)$ . Any sum of squares operator is  $G^s$  hypoelliptic for all  $s \geq m$  [GS], but  $s_0 < m$  unless  $q = (m - 1)^{-1}$ , the minimum possible value for  $q$ .

Recall [RS],[H2] that if  $p$  is a point of type  $m$  and  $Lu$  belongs to some Sobolev space  $H^s$  ( $s \geq 0$ ) in a neighborhood of  $p$ , then  $u \in H^{s+2/m}$  in some neighborhood of  $p$ , and that the exponent  $s + 2m^{-1}$

<sup>9</sup>All our results depend only on the span of  $X, Y$ , rather than on the vector fields themselves.

<sup>10</sup>It is essential in the definition that the coefficient of  $x^{m-2}$  vanish identically. When  $m = 2$ , there are no terms  $\beta_j(t)x^j$  at all, so that  $q = \infty$ .

is best possible in all cases. Thus  $m$  alone suffices to determine the regularity properties of  $L$  in the Sobolev scale.

**Theorem 4.** [C6] *If  $q = \infty$  then  $L$  is analytic hypoelliptic. If  $q < \infty$  then  $L$  is  $G^s$  hypoelliptic for all  $s \geq s_0$ .*

Typical examples where  $q = \infty$  are  $\partial_x^2 + [a(x, t)x^{m-1}\partial_t]^2$ , where  $a \neq 0$ . In the next theorem we assume that  $m \geq 3$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq m - 2$ , and  $\ell > 0$ .

**Theorem 5.** [C6]  *$L = \partial_x^2 + [(x^{m-1} + t^\ell x^{k-1})\partial_t]^2$  fails to be  $G^s$  hypoelliptic for all  $s < s_0$ , except possibly when all of the following conditions hold:  $m/(m - k)$  is an integer,  $m$  is even,  $k$  is odd,  $k > 1$ , and  $m/(m - k)$  is not divisible by 4.*

We believe this restriction on  $(m, k)$  to be merely an artifact of an *ad hoc* method of proof.

These examples suffice to demonstrate that the optimal Gevrey exponent need not be an integer, in contrast to all cases previously known to this author.

In  $\mathbb{R}^2$  the pair  $X, Y$  is said to define a pseudoconvex structure if  $\Theta$  does not change sign. The characteristic variety  $\Sigma$  of  $L = (X + iY) \circ (-X + iY)$  is then a trivial line bundle over the variety of nonelliptic points in the base space. As in the three-dimensional case, it splits as the union of two half-line bundles  $\Sigma^\pm$  (depending on the sign of the variable dual to  $t$  in the special coordinates  $(x, t)$  described above). The natural question for  $L$  is whether it is analytic microhypoelliptic, or  $G^s$  microhypoelliptic, in some conic neighborhood of  $\Sigma^+$ .<sup>11</sup>

**Theorem 6.** [C6] *Assume pseudoconvexity and the bracket hypothesis. Then the analogues of Conjectures 1 and 2 hold for  $L = (X + iY) \circ (-X + iY)$ , in a conic neighborhood of  $\Sigma^+$ , in full generality.*

In §4 we will introduce, for each operator  $X^2 + Y^2$  or  $(X + iY) \circ (-X + iY)$ , an associated nonlinear eigenvalue problem, and will conjecture that this problem has an affirmative solution whenever  $q$  is finite.

**Theorem 7.** [C6] *If Conjecture 3, concerning nonlinear eigenvalue problems, is correct, then Conjectures 1 and 2 hold in full generality.*

More precisely, Conjectures 1 and 2 hold in any particular case for which the unique associated nonlinear eigenvalue problem satisfies Conjecture 3.

It is interesting to contrast these results with the following example in  $\mathbb{R}^5$ , analyzed by Ching-Chau Yu [Y]. For  $m \geq 3$  set  $L_m = \partial_{x_1}^2 + (\partial_{y_1} + x_1^{m-1}\partial_t)^2 + \partial_{x_2}^2 + (\partial_{y_2} + x_2\partial_t)^2$ . Then the quadratic form  $Q$  has rank one where  $x_1 = 0$ , and full rank elsewhere.

**Theorem 8.** (Yu) *For any even  $m \geq 4$ ,  $L_m$  fails to be analytic hypoelliptic. More precisely,  $L_m$  is  $G^s$  hypoelliptic if and only if  $s \geq 2$ .*

The fact that  $G^2$  hypoellipticity holds for all  $s \geq 2$  is implied by the theorem of Derridj and Zuily [DZ]. This is the first example known to this author in dimension greater than three for which analytic hypoellipticity is shown to fail, yet  $Q$  is not everywhere degenerate. Although  $L_m$  becomes more degenerate as  $m$  increases, the optimal Gevrey exponent does not change so long as  $m \geq 3$ .

---

<sup>11</sup>  $\bar{\partial}_b^*$  is never microlocally Gevrey, analytic, or  $C^\infty$  hypoelliptic in any conic neighborhood of  $\Sigma^-$  in this situation, hence neither is  $\bar{\partial}_b \circ \bar{\partial}_b^*$ .

#### 4. Nonlinear Eigenvalue Problems.

Suppose that  $\Phi$  is a homogeneous polynomial of the form

$$\Phi(x, z) = x^{m-1} + \sum_{j=0}^{m-2} \alpha_j z^{m-1-j} x^j$$

with  $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose further that  $P$  is a homogeneous quadratic polynomial in two noncommuting variables  $w_1, w_2$  of the form  $P(w) = [c_{11}w_1 + c_{12}w_2]^2 + [c_{12}w_1 + c_{22}w_2]^2$ , where the coefficients  $c_{ij}$  are real and the matrix  $(c_{ij})$  is nonsingular. Define the ordinary differential operator  $\mathcal{L}_z = P(d/dx, i\Phi(x, z))$ , acting on functions of  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and depending on the parameter  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Given a family  $\{\mathcal{L}_z : z \in \mathbb{C}\}$  of ordinary differential operators, we say that  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  is a nonlinear eigenvalue if there exists  $0 \neq f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\mathcal{L}_z f \equiv 0$ . In the situation of the preceding paragraph, it is equivalent to ask for  $f \in L^2$ , or  $f \in \mathcal{S}$ , rather than  $f \in L^\infty$ .

**Conjecture 3.** *Assume  $\mathcal{L}_z$  to be a family of ordinary differential operators of the class described. Then either there exists at least one nonlinear eigenvalue, or  $\Phi(x, z) = c'(x + cz)^{m-1}$  for some constants  $c, c'$ .*

Various problems of this type have been analyzed in [PR],[K],[FS],[C5],[C1]. Yu [Y] has determined the asymptotic distribution of the nonlinear eigenvalues for  $-\partial_x^2 + (x^{m-1} + z)^2$ .

To an operator  $L = X^2 + Y^2$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and a point  $p$  at which  $L$  is not elliptic we assign a family  $\mathcal{L}_z$  of the above type by the following procedure. Choose coordinates  $(x, t)$  with origin at  $p$  as in the definition of  $q$ , and determine the function  $\theta(x, t)$ . Then define a polynomial  $P$  by  $P(x, z) = x^{m-1} + \sum_j \alpha_j z^{m-j} x^j$  where  $\alpha_j = 0$  if  $\beta_j$  vanishes to order  $\tau_j > (m-1-j)q$  at  $t = 0$ , and  $\alpha_j$  is the leading-order coefficient in the Taylor expansion  $\beta_j(t) = \alpha_j t^{\tau_j} + O(t^{\tau_j+1})$  if  $\tau_j = (m-1-j)q$ . Unlike  $\Theta$  and  $\theta$ ,  $P$  is independent of all choices made in its construction, modulo multiplication by constants.

There exist analytic real-valued functions  $\tilde{c}_{ij}$  such that  $X = \tilde{c}_{11}\partial_x + \tilde{c}_{12}\theta\partial_t$ ,  $Y = \tilde{c}_{21}\partial_x + \tilde{c}_{22}\theta\partial_t$ , and the matrix  $(\tilde{c}_{ij})$  is invertible at  $p$ . Set  $c_{ij} = \tilde{c}_{ij}(p)$ . The family of ordinary differential operators associated to  $L$  at  $p$  is then

$$\mathcal{L}_z = [c_{11}\partial_x + ic_{12}P(x, z)]^2 + [c_{21}\partial_x + ic_{22}P(x, z)]^2.$$

When  $q < \infty$  the polynomial  $P$  is never of the exceptional form  $c'(x+cz)^{m-1}$ , because the coefficient of  $x^{m-2}$  for  $\theta$  vanishes.

Let  $p$  be a polynomial satisfying  $\partial_x p = P$ . If  $\lambda$  is any real constant, then defining  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_z = \exp(-i\lambda p) \circ \mathcal{L}_z \circ \exp(i\lambda p)$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  is a nonlinear eigenvalue for  $\{\mathcal{L}_z\}$  if and only if it is one for  $\{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_z\}$ . Therefore the nonlinear eigenvalue problem for  $L = X^2 + Y^2$  depends only on the span of  $X, Y$ , rather than on the vector fields themselves.

Theorems 5 and 6 are obtained by showing that nonlinear eigenvalues exist for  $-\partial_x^2 + (x^{m-1} + z^{m-k}x^{k-1})^2$  and for  $(\partial_x + P(x, z)) \circ (-\partial_x + P(x, z))$ , respectively. In the latter case there is the pseudoconvexity hypothesis that  $\partial P/\partial x \geq 0$  for all  $x, z \in \mathbb{R}$ .

For partial differential operators with sufficiently many geometric symmetries, such as  $\partial_x^2 + (\partial_y + x^{m-1}\partial_t)^2$ , the associated nonlinear eigenvalue problems arise directly via separation of variables. One looks for solutions of  $Lu = 0$  of the form  $u = \exp(i\tau t + i\eta y)f_{\eta, \tau}(x)$ . A dilation symmetry allows reduction to the case  $\tau = 1$ . If  $z = \eta$  is a nonlinear eigenvalue for the resulting family of ordinary differential operators, then  $u_\tau(x, y, t) = \exp(i\tau t + i\tau^{1/m}zy)f(\tau^{1/m}x)$  defines a one-parameter family

of functions annihilated by  $L$ . These may be used to contradict certain *a priori* estimates implied by analytic hypoellipticity [He],[H1]. In the absence of symmetry, however, no direct reduction to ordinary differential operators is possible, and the proofs are at present substantially more involved.

#### REFERENCES

- [BG] M. S. Baouendi and C. Goulaouic, *Nonanalytic-hypoellipticity for some degenerate elliptic operators*, Bulletin AMS **78** (1972), 483-486.
- [C1] M. Christ, *Analytic hypoellipticity, representations of nilpotent groups, and a nonlinear eigenvalue problem*, Duke Math. J. **72** (1993), 595-639.
- [C2] ———, *A necessary condition for analytic hypoellipticity*, Math. Research Letters **1** (1994), 241-248.
- [C3] ———, *Global analytic regularity in the presence of symmetry*, Math. Research Letters **1** (1994), 599-563.
- [C4] ———, *The Szegő projection need not preserve global analyticity*, Annals of Math. (to appear).
- [C5] ———, *Certain sums of squares of vector fields fail to be analytic hypoelliptic*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **16** (1991), 1695-1707.
- [C6] ———, *Gevrey and analytic hypoellipticity in dimension two*, in preparation.
- [CG] M. Christ and D. Geller, *Counterexamples to analytic hypoellipticity for domains of finite type*, Annals of Math. **235** (1992), 551-566.
- [CH] P. Cordaro and A. A. Himonas, *Global analytic hypoellipticity of a class of degenerate elliptic operators on the torus*, Mathematical Research Letters **1** (1994).
- [DZ] M. Derridj and C. Zuily, *Régularité analytique et Gevrey pour des classes d'opérateurs elliptiques paraboliques dégénérés du second ordre*, Astérisque **2,3** (1973), 371-381.
- [FS] A. Friedman and M. Shinbrot, *Nonlinear eigenvalue problems*, Acta Math. **121** (1968), 77-128.
- [GS] A. Grigis and J. Sjöstrand, *Front d'onde analytique et sommes de carrés de champs de vecteurs*, Duke Math. J. **52** (1985), 35-51.
- [HH] N. Hanges and A. A. Himonas, *Singular solutions for sums of squares of vector fields*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **16** (1991), 1503-1511.
- [He] B. Helffer, *Conditions nécessaires d'hypoanalyticité pour des opérateurs invariants à gauche homogènes sur un groupe nilpotent gradué*, J. Diff. Eq. **44** (1982), 460-481.
- [H1] L. Hörmander, *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [H2] ———, *Hypoelliptic second order differential equations*, Acta Math. **119** (1967), 147-171.
- [Ke] M. V. Keldysh, *On the completeness of the eigenfunctions of classes of non-selfadjoint linear operators*, Russian Math. Surveys **26** (1971), 15-44.
- [K] J. J. Kohn, *Estimates for  $\bar{\partial}_b$  on pseudoconvex CR manifolds*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **43** (1985), 207-217.
- [M1] G. Métivier, *Une classe d'opérateurs non hypoelliptiques analytiques*, Indiana Math. J. **29** (1980), 823-860.
- [M2] ———, *Non-hypoellipticité analytique pour  $D_x^2 + (x^2 + y^2)D_y^2$* , Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris **292** (1981), 401-404.
- [PR] Pham The Lai and D. Robert, *Sur un problème aux valeurs propres non linéaire*, Israel J. Math. **36** (1980), 169-186.
- [RS] L. P. Rothschild and E. M. Stein, *Hypoelliptic differential operators and nilpotent groups*, Acta Math. **137** (1976), 247-320.
- [Ta1] D. Tartakoff, *Local analytic hypoellipticity for  $\square_b$  on non-degenerate Cauchy-Riemann manifolds*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **75** (1978), 3027-3028.
- [Ta2] ———, *On the local real analyticity of solutions to  $\square_b$  and the  $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem*, Acta Math. **145** (1980), 117-204.
- [Tp] J.-M. Trepreau, *Sur l'hypoellipticité analytique microlocale des opérateurs de type principal*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **9** (1984), 1119-1146.
- [Tr1] F. Trèves, *Analytic hypo-ellipticity of a class of pseudodifferential operators with double characteristics and applications to the  $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **3** (1978), 475-642.
- [Tr2] ———, *Analytic-hypoelliptic partial differential equations of principal type*, Comm. Pure Appl Math. **24** (1971), 537-570.
- [Y] C.-C. Yu, *Nonlinear eigenvalues and analytic-hypoellipticity*, 1995 UCLA Ph.D. dissertation (to appear).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UCLA, LOS ANGELES, CA. 90095-1555  
*E-mail address:* christ @ math.ucla.edu