### Journées

# ÉQUATIONS AUX DÉRIVÉES PARTIELLES

Roscoff, 1–5 juin 2015

Herbert Koch Global well-posedness and scattering for small data for the 2D and 3D KP-II Cauchy problem

*J. É. D. P.* (2015), Exposé n° IV, 9 p. <http://jedp.cedram.org/item?id=JEDP\_2015\_\_\_\_A4\_0>

### cedram

Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques http://www.cedram.org/

GROUPEMENT DE RECHERCHE 2434 DU CNRS

Journées Équations aux dérivées partielles Roscoff, 1–5 juin 2015 GDR 2434 (CNRS)

## Global well-posedness and scattering for small data for the 2D and 3D KP-II Cauchy problem

#### Herbert Koch

#### Abstract

We discuss global well-posedness for the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili II in two and three space dimensions with small data. The crucial points are new bilinear estimates and the definition of the function spaces. As by-product we obtain that all solutions to small initial data scatter as  $t \to \pm \infty$ .

#### 1. Introduction and main results

In this survey we study the Cauchy problem for the 2 and 3-dimensional Kadomtsev-Petviashvili II (KP-II) equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_x \left( \partial_t u + \partial_x^3 u + \partial_x (u^2) \right) + \triangle_y u = 0 & (t, x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \\ u(0, x, y) = u_0(x, y) & (x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where d = 1 is the two dimensional and d = 2 the three dimensional KP-II equation.

The Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (KP) equations describe nonlinear wave interactions of almost parallel waves. They come with at least four different flavors: The KP-II equation for which the line soliton is supposed to be stable, the KP-I equation with localized solitons, and the modified KP-I and KP-II equations with cubic nonlinearities.

The KP-II equation is invariant under

- i) Translations in x, y and t.
- ii) Scaling:  $\lambda^2 u(\lambda x, \lambda^2 y, \lambda^3 t)$  is a solution if u satisfies the KP-II equation (1.1).
- iii) Galilean transform: Let  $c \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Then  $u(t, x c \cdot y |c|^2 t, y + 2ct)$  is a solution if u satisfies (1.1). On the Fourier side the transform is  $\hat{u}(\tau |c|^2 \xi 2c \cdot \eta, \xi, \eta + c\xi)$  where  $\tau$  is the Fourier variable of  $t, \xi \in \mathbb{R}$  is the Fourier variable of x and  $\eta$  the one of y.
- iv) Isometries of the y plane.
- v) Simultaneous reflections of x, t and u.

The Galilean invariance is often a consequence of the rotational symmetry of full systems for which certain solutions are asymptotically described by a KP equation. The interest in the KP equations comes from the expectation that they describe waves in a certain asymptotic regime for a large class of problems, for which one does not even have to formulate a full model, similar to the role of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation in nonlinear optics.

Keywords: Kadomtsev-Petviashvili, Galilean transform, Bilinear estimate.

We search for spaces of initial data and solutions which reflect the symmetries. The norms

$$||D_x^{-1/2}u_0||_{L^2} = |||\xi|^{-1/2}\hat{u}_0||_{L^2}$$

for d = 1 and

$$\|D_x^{1/2}u_0\|_{L^2} = \||\xi|^{1/2}\hat{u}_0\|_{L^2}$$

are invariant under scaling and the shear of the Galilean transform.

We base our construction of the solution space on the space  $V^2$  of functions of bounded 2 variation  $V^2$  adapted to the dimensional KP-II equation. We refer to [4] for their properties.

The x variable plays a prominent role and we use a Littlewood-Paley decomposition in the x variables: for  $\lambda \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$  we define  $u_{\lambda}$  by its Fourier transform with respect to x,

$$\hat{u}_{\lambda} = \chi_{\lambda < |\xi| < 2\lambda} \hat{u}.$$

We search the solution to the two dimensional problem in the function space X defined by the norm

$$||u||_X = \left(\sum_{\lambda} (\lambda^{-1/2} ||u_{\lambda}||_{V^2})^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We postpone the discussion of the spaces  $V^2$ . Then the following theorem holds.

**Theorem 1.1** (Hadac, Herr, Koch '09). There exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that if  $||u_0||_{\dot{H}^{-1/2,0}} < \varepsilon$  then there exists a unique solution u in a function space X which satisfies  $||u||_{C(\mathbb{R};\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2},0})} \leq c||u||_X \leq c||u_0||_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2},0}}$ . The solution scatters.

In [1], Bourgain settled the global well-posedness of the two dimensional version of (1.1) in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . The assertion was then extended by Takaoka and Tzvetkov [14] (see also Isaza and Mejía [7]) from  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  to  $H^{s_1,s_2}$  with  $s_1 > -\frac{1}{3}$ ,  $s_2 \ge 0$ . In [15], Takaoka obtained local well-posedness for  $s_1 > -\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $s_2 = 0$  under an additional assumption on the low frequencies which was later removed by Hadac in [3]. Hadac, Herr and the first author [4] studied the two dimensional KP-II equation in the critical case  $s_1 = -\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $s_2 = 0$ . They obtained global well-posedness and scattering result in the homogeneous Sobolev space  $\dot{H}^{-1/2,0}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  with small initial data. A local well posedness in  $H^{-1/2,0}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  were also obtained in [4]. Some recent results on the two dimensional KP-II equation can be found in [9].

Much less is known for KP II in three dimensional spaces. Tzvetkov [16] obtained the local well-posedness in  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$  with the additional condition  $\partial_x^{-1} u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$  for  $s > \frac{3}{2}$ . Here  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ denotes the isotropic Sobolev space. Isaza, López and Mejía [6] constructed unique local solutions in Sobolev space  $Y_{s,r}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  defined by

$$Y_{s,r}(\mathbb{R}^3) := \{ f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3); \| f \|_{Y_{s,r}(\mathbb{R}^3)} := \| <\xi >^s <\zeta >^r \hat{f}(\zeta) \|_{L^2_{\zeta}} < \infty \}$$

for s > 1, r > 0. Hadac [2] in his Ph.D thesis extended the local well-posedness result to almost all the subcritical cases. He obtained local well posed for (1.1) in  $Y_{s,r}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  for  $s > \frac{1}{2}, r > 0$ . To our best knowledge our result is the first result for initial data in a scaling invariant spaces, and the first scattering result for the three dimensional problem. Also the bilinear estimates accounting for dispersion in y seem to be new.

We will sketch a proof for a toy problem in the next section. The proof immediately carries over Theorem 1.1. The three dimensional KP-II equation requires some delicate improvements which we will discuss together with the statement in the last section.

I report on work with M. Hadac and Sebastian Herr [5] on the two dimensional case and with junfeng Li on the three dimensional case.

#### 2. A toy problem: A nonresonant derivative NLS

Consider in  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \ni (t, x)$ 

$$i\partial_t u + \Delta u = \partial_{x_1} \bar{u}^2$$

with initial condition  $u(0, x) = u_0(x)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** There exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for all  $u_0$  with  $||u_0||_{L^2} < \varepsilon$  there exists a unique global in time solution u. It scatters at  $t \to \pm \infty$ : The limit

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} e^{-it\Delta} u(t)$$

exists in  $L^2$ .

Let  $\lambda \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$  and  $\hat{u}_{\lambda} = \chi_{\lambda < |\xi| < 2\lambda} \hat{u}$ . Let

$$||u||_X = \left(\sum_{\lambda \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}} ||u_\lambda||_{V^2}^2\right)^{1/2}.$$

Then

$$v(t) = \begin{cases} e^{it\Delta}u_0 & \text{if } t > 0\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

satisfies

$$\|v\|_X \le \sqrt{2} \|u_0\|_{L^2}$$

and

$$\left\| \int_{0}^{t} S(t-s)f(s)ds \right\|_{V^{2}} \le 2 \sup_{\|v\|_{V^{2}} \le 1} \left| \int f\bar{v}dxdt \right|.$$

We claim that always

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^2} \bar{u}\bar{v}\partial_{x_1}\bar{w}dxdt \right| \le c \|u\|_X \|v\|_X \|w\|_X.$$

$$(2.1)$$

Then, by duality

$$\left\|\int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} \partial_{x_1} \bar{u}\bar{v}ds\right\|_X \le c \|u\|_X \|v\|_X$$

and the theorem follows by standard arguments.

To prove (2.1) we expand the integrand and the functions

$$u = \sum_{\lambda \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}} u_{\lambda}$$

where

$$\hat{u}_{\lambda} = \chi_{\lambda \le |\xi| < 2\lambda} \hat{u}.$$

The dyadic estimate

$$\sum_{\mu \leq \lambda} \left| \int \bar{u}_{\mu} \bar{v}_{\lambda} \bar{w}_{\lambda} dx dt \right| \leq c \lambda^{-1} \left( \sum_{\mu \leq \lambda} \|u_{\mu}\|_{V^2}^2 \right)^{1/2} \|v_{\lambda}\|_{V^2} \|v_{\lambda}\|_{V^2}.$$

$$(2.2)$$

implies convergence of the fixed point argument. We postpone of (2.2) and show that it implies (2.1). We expand (with sums over  $2^{\mathbb{Z}}$ )

$$\int \bar{u}\bar{v}\partial_{x_1}\bar{w}dxdt \leq \sum_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_2} \left| \int \bar{u}_{\lambda_1}\bar{v}_{\lambda_2}\partial_{x_1}\bar{w}_{\lambda_3}.dxdt \right|$$

Since the integral of the product is the evaluation of the Fourier transform of the triple convolution at 0, there is only a contribution if there are frequencies adding up to zero in the support, i.e.

$$\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3 = 0, \lambda_j \le |\xi_j| \le 2\lambda_j$$

Then necessarily the two larger numbers of  $\lambda_j$  are of similar size. To simplify the notation we assume that they are equal and we denote them by  $\lambda$  and the smaller number by  $\mu$ .

Moreover

$$\|\partial_{x_1} w_{\lambda_3}\|_{V^2} \le 2\lambda_3 \|w_{\lambda_3}\|_{V^2}$$

and we may replace the derivative with a multiplication by  $\lambda_3$ .

We bound using (2.2)

$$\sum_{\lambda} \sum_{\mu \le \lambda} \left| \lambda \int \bar{u}_{\mu} \bar{v}_{\lambda} \bar{w}_{\lambda} dx dt \right| \le c \sum_{\lambda} \left( \sum_{\mu \le \lambda} \|u_{\mu}\|_{V^{2}}^{2} \right)^{1/2} \|u_{\lambda}\|_{V^{2}} \|w_{\lambda}\|_{V^{2}}$$
$$\le c \|u\|_{X} \|v\|_{X} \|w\|_{X}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\lambda} \sum_{\mu \leq \lambda} \mu \left| \int \bar{u}_{\lambda} \bar{v}_{\lambda} \bar{w}_{\mu} dx dt \right| &\leq c \sum_{\lambda} \sum_{\mu \leq \lambda} \frac{\mu}{\lambda} \|u_{\lambda}\|_{V^{2}} \|v_{\lambda}\|_{V^{2}} \|w_{\mu}\|_{V^{2}}. \\ &\leq c \|u\|_{X} \|v\|_{X} \|w\|_{X}. \end{split}$$

It remains to prove inequality (2.2). Its prove is based on Strichartz estimates, bilinear estimates, high modulation (i.e. non resonance) considerations and the adapted function spaces  $U^p$  and  $V^p$ .

The linear Schrödinger equation

$$i\partial_t u + \Delta u = 0$$

has a fundamental solution

$$g_t(x) = ((4\pi i t)^{1/2})^{-n} e^{\frac{|x|^2}{4it}}$$

with Fourier transform

$$\hat{g}_t(x) = e^{it|\xi|^2}$$

hence

$$||u(t)||_{L^2} = ||u_0||_{L^2} \qquad ||u(t)||_{L^{\infty}} \le |4\pi t|^{-n/2} ||u_0||_{L^1}$$

It defines a unitary group S(t) (Fourier transform). Solutions are called *free waves*. They satisfy linear Strichartz and bilinear  $L^2$  estimates. Bourgain has shown how to build function spaces which allow to use the Strichartz estimates and the bilinear estimates in the iteration. More precisely

$$\|u\|_{X^{s,b}} = \|S(-t)u(t)\|_{H^{b}(\mathbb{R}; H^{s,0}(\mathbb{R}^{1+d}))} = \left\||\xi|^{s}|\tau - |\xi|^{2}|^{b}\hat{u}\right\|_{L^{2}}$$

This pull back allows a reformulation of the equation. With v(t) = S(-t)u(t) it becomes

$$v_t = S(-t)\partial_x (S(t)v(t))^2$$

This formulation is often useful for the study of scattering. It has often good regularity properties and it allows a transparent study of resonances. For critical problems one would want to use  $X^{s,1/2}$ and  $X^{s,-1/2}$  - which usually does work due to failing imbeddings like  $H^{1/2} \not\subset C(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $L^1 \not\subset H^{-1/2}$ .

There is a replacement (Koch and Tataru [10], Hadac & Herr & Koch [5], Koch, [11]): The spaces  $U^p$  and  $V^p$ . We refer to [5] for the definition and explain here some properties. They 'interpolate' between the extremal Besov spaces:

$$B_{2,1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \subset U^2 \subset V_{rc}^2 \subset B_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Functions in  $V_{rc}^2$  are bounded and norms can be bounded via duality

$$||u||_{U^2(\mathbb{R})} \le \sup\left\{\int vu'dt : ||v||_{V^2_{rc}} \le 1\right\}.$$

The integral on the right hand is a suggestive notation which takes some care to make sense of, see [5]. There are natural embeddings for p < q

$$\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{1}{p}} \subset U^p \subset V_{rc}^p \subset \dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$
(2.3)

The spaces of bounded p variation have been used by Wiener, Lepingle [12] and Bourgain and others in harmonic analysis and by T. Lyons [13] in the rough path theory of stochastic ODE's.

We follow the recipe of Bourgain to adapt the function spaces to an unitary operator and define  $\| U \|_{L^{\infty}} = \| Q(-t) \|_{L^{\infty}}$ 

$$||u||_{U_S^p} = ||S(-t)u||_{U^p}$$

and similarly we deal with  $V^p$ . We will omit the index  $_S$ .

Step 2. We want to bound the left hand side of (2.2), in particular (neglecting powers of  $2\pi$ )

$$\int \bar{u}_{\mu} \bar{v}_{\lambda} \bar{w}_{\lambda} dx dt \bigg| = \left| \hat{u}_{\mu} * \hat{v}_{\lambda} * \hat{w}_{\lambda}(0) \right|.$$

The integral is zero unless there are points in the support which add up to 0. If  $\tau_1 = |\xi_1|^2$  and  $\tau_2 = |\xi_2|^2$  and  $\tau_3 = -\tau_1 - \tau_2$  and  $\xi_3 = -\xi_1 - \xi_2$  then

$$au_3 - |\xi_3|^2 = -|\xi_1|^2 - |\xi_2|^2 - |\xi_1 + \xi_2|^2$$

Thus, with  $\mu \leq \lambda$ , in

$$\int \bar{u}_{\mu} \bar{v}_{\lambda} \bar{w}_{\lambda} dx \, dt$$

at least one of the terms has high modulation - i.e. vertical distance  $\lambda^2/3$  to the characteristic set, otherwise the integral is zero.

We denote this term by h and we have to bound using the Strichartz estimate

$$\|u\|_{L^4} \le c \|u\|_{U^4_{\alpha}}$$

which is an immediate consequence of the Strichartz estimate for free waves:

$$\left| \int \bar{u}_{\mu}^{h} \bar{v}_{\lambda} \bar{w}_{\lambda} dx dt \right| \leq \|u_{\mu}^{h}\|_{L^{2}} \|(v_{\lambda} w_{\lambda})_{\mu}\|_{L^{2}}$$
$$\leq \lambda^{-1} \|u_{\mu}\|_{V^{2}} \|v_{\lambda}\|_{L^{4}} \|w_{\lambda}\|_{L^{4}}$$
$$\leq \lambda^{-1} \|u_{\mu}\|_{V^{2}} \|v_{\lambda}\|_{U^{4}} \|w_{\lambda}\|_{U^{4}}$$

This completes the estimate in this case since

1 0

$$\|v_\lambda\|_{U^4} \le c \|v_\lambda\|_{V^2}$$

and

$$\left(\sum_{\mu\leq\lambda}\|(v_{\lambda}w_{\lambda})_{\mu}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)^{1/2}\leq\|v_{\lambda}w_{\lambda}\|_{L^{2}}.$$

If the high modulation falls on a high frequency term we will use a bilinear estimate:

$$\|u_{\lambda}v_{\mu}\|_{L^{2}} \leq c(\mu/\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u_{\lambda}\|_{U_{S}^{2}} \|v_{\lambda}\|_{U_{S}^{2}}$$

which again is a consequence of the analogous estimate for free waves to which we will return below. The bilinear estimate can be interpolated with the Strichartz estimate to yield

$$\|u_{\lambda}v_{\mu}\|_{L^{2}} \leq c(\mu/\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \ln^{2}(1+\lambda/\mu)\|u_{\lambda}\|_{V_{c}^{2}}\|v_{\lambda}\|_{V_{c}^{2}}.$$

It is now an easy exercise to deduce the bound for

$$\int u_{\mu}v_{\lambda}w_{\lambda}^{h}dtdxdy.$$

The Strichartz estimates for free waves are a consequence of the stationary phase, Stein's complex interpolation and the weak Young inequality with refinements at the endpoint, see [8].

The Strichartz estimates for free waves

$$||u||_{L^p_*L^q} \le c ||u(0)||_{L^2}$$

imply

$$||u||_{L^p_t L^q} \le c ||u||_{U^p}$$

where in the case of KP-I and KP-II in 2d  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $2 \le q < \infty$ . The bilinear estimate has a more geometric flavor. The Fourier transform of a solution to the linear equation with initial data  $u_0$  is

 $2\pi \hat{u}_0(\xi)\delta_\phi$ 

where  $\phi(\tau,\xi) = \tau - |\xi|^2$  for the Schrödinger equation. The distance to  $\Sigma$  measures the deviation from being a solution. We consider first free solutions resp. distributions supported on a surface:

$$\|\hat{u}_0\delta_\phi * \hat{v}_0\delta_\phi\|_{L^2} \le C \|u_0\|_{L^2} \|v_0\|_{L^2}$$

where (dyadic localization)

$$C^{2} = \sup_{(\tau_{i} = |\xi_{i}|^{2})} \int \delta_{(\phi(\tau - \tau_{1}, \xi - \xi_{1}, \eta - \eta_{1}), \phi(\tau - \tau_{2}, \xi - \xi_{2}, \eta - \eta_{2}))} dx.$$

This is a d-1 dimensional integral. It can easily expressed as an integral with respect to the Hausdorff measure. Then

$$||S(t)u_0S(t)v_0||_{L^2} \le C||u_0||_{L^2}||v_0||_{L^2}.$$

This calculus of Delta functions used here can be justified by replacing the Dirac functions by and approximation, and then applying the coarea formula to separate the effect of the regularization and the surface integrals. The proof boils down to an application of the Cauchy Schwartz inequality. See [11] for more details.

The bilinear estimates for free solutions

$$||S(t)u_{0,\mu}S(t)v_{0,\lambda}||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^2)} \le c(\mu/\lambda)^{1/2} ||u_{0,\mu}||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} ||u_{0,\lambda}||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}$$

imply

$$\|u_{\mu}v_{\lambda}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^{2})} \leq c(\mu/\lambda)^{1/2}\|u_{\mu}\|_{U^{2}}\|u_{\lambda}\|_{U^{2}}.$$

This completes the proof, up to replacing some  $U^2$  by  $V^2$  which can be done in this situation.

#### 3. KP-II in 2d

The argument sketched above applies with small changes to the two dimensional KP-II equation. Due to the Galilean symmetry we apply the Littlewood-Paley decomposition only in the  $\xi$ frequencies. The  $U^p$  and  $V^p$  spaces are defined with respect to the KP-II evolution.

#### 4. KP-II in 3d

The Strichartz estimates are

**Lemma 4.1.** Suppose that  $2 \le p \le \infty$  and

 $\frac{2}{p} + \frac{3}{q} = \frac{3}{2}.$ (4.1)

Then the following estimate holds for all  $u_0 \in S$ 

$$||u||_{L^p_t L^q_x} \lesssim |||D_x|^{\frac{1}{3p}} u_0||_{L^2}.$$

If  $2 \le q < \infty$ 

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{2} \tag{4.2}$$

then

$$||u||_{L^p_t L^q_x} \lesssim ||D_x|^{\frac{2}{p}} u_0||_{L^2}.$$

The proof follows the same lines as in the two dimensional setting. The endpoint p = 2 and q = 6 follows from [8].

There is an important special case of (4.2):

$$||u||_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^4)} \le c|||D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} u_0||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$
(4.3)

The proof of the main theorem relies crucially on the following bilinear refinements. We denote by  $u_{<\mu}$  the Fourier projection to all  $\xi$  frequencies less in absolute value than  $\mu$ , by  $u_{>\lambda}$  the Fourier projection to  $\xi$  frequencies with absolute value >  $\lambda$  and by  $u_{\mu,\Gamma}$  the Fourier projection to

$$\left\{ (\xi,\eta) : \mu < |\xi| \le 2\mu, \frac{\eta}{\xi} \in \Gamma \right\}.$$

Let  $|\Gamma|$  denote the Lebesgue measure of  $\Gamma$ . With this notation the following variant or sharpening of the bilinear estimate is true.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $0 < \mu, \lambda$ . Then

$$\|u_{<\mu}v_{>\lambda}\|_{L^2} \le c\mu \|u_0\|_{L^2} \|v_0\|_{L^2},\tag{4.4}$$

and, if  $\mu \leq \lambda$ , if  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is measurable, and if either

- $\mu \leq \lambda/8 \ or$
- $\lambda/8 < \mu \leq \lambda$  and  $\Gamma \subset B_{\lambda}(0)$  and the support of the Fourier transform of  $v_{\lambda}$  is disjoint from  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times B_{10\lambda^2}(0)$

then

$$\left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \lambda + \left| \frac{\eta_1}{\xi_1} - \frac{\eta - \eta_1}{\xi - \xi_1} \right| \right) \hat{u}_{\mu,\Gamma}(t,\xi_1,\eta_1) \hat{v}_{\lambda}(t,\xi - \xi_1,\eta - \eta_1) d\xi_1 d\eta_1 \right\|_{L^2} \\ \lesssim \mu |\Gamma|^{\frac{1}{2}} \| u_{0,\mu,\Gamma} \|_{L^2} \| v_{0,\lambda} \|_{L^2}.$$
(4.5)

Let

$$\|u\|_{X} = \sup_{\lambda} \left(\lambda^{1/2} \|u_{\lambda}\|_{U^{2}} + \lambda^{-1} \|u_{\lambda}\|_{X^{0,1}}\right)$$

Then

$$||u_{\lambda}u_{\lambda}||_{L^{2}} \le c\lambda ||u_{\mu}||_{U^{2}} ||u_{\lambda}||_{U^{2}}$$

implies

$$\mu^{-1} \left\| \left( \int_0^t S(t) \partial_x(u_\lambda u_\lambda) ds \right)_\mu \|_{X^{0,1}} \le c\lambda \|u_\lambda\|_{V^2} \|u_\lambda\|_{V^2}$$

and by duality

$$\begin{split} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \| \int_{0}^{t} S(t-s) \partial_{x} u_{\mu}^{h} u_{\lambda} dx dt \|_{V^{2}} &= \lambda^{\frac{3}{2}} \sup_{\|w_{\lambda}\|_{U^{2}} \leq q} \int u_{\mu}^{h} u_{\lambda} w_{\lambda} dx dt dy \\ &\leq \lambda^{\frac{3}{2}} (\mu \lambda^{2})^{-1} \|u_{\mu}\|_{X^{0,1}} \lambda \|u_{\lambda}\|_{V^{2}} \\ &\leq (\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u_{\lambda}\|_{V^{2}}) (\mu^{-1} \|u_{\mu}\|_{X^{0,1}}) \end{split}$$

It is immediately obvious that these estimates are tight. There are three areas we need to improve the argument.

- i) Replace  $V^2$  by  $U^2$ .
- ii) Deal with the summation over the Littlewood-Paley pieces
- iii) The solution to the linear problem  $\chi_{t\neq 0}S(t)u_0$  is not in  $X^{0,1}$  unless it is trivial since the characteristic function is not in  $H^1_{loc}$ .

We recall the resonance relation

$$(\xi_1 + \xi_2)^3 - \frac{|\eta_1 + \eta_2|^2}{\xi_1 + \xi_2} - (\xi_1^3 - \frac{|\eta_1|^2}{\xi_1}) - (\xi_2^3 - \frac{|\eta_2|^2}{\xi_2}) = \xi_1 \xi_2 (\xi_1 + \xi_2) \left(3 + \frac{\left|\frac{\eta_1}{\xi_1} - \frac{\eta_2}{\xi_2}\right|^2}{|\xi_1 + \xi_2|^2}\right)$$

In the previous argument we only used the first summand of the second factor on the right hand side. The second term expresses some gain when the slope of the lines through  $(\xi_j, \eta_j)$  differs. There is a similar gain in the second part of the bilinear estimate. This motivates are finer partition of the frequency space. The only way - up to equivalent choices - to partition three dimensional space is shown in the graphic



By scaling we may focus on  $\lambda = 1$  and  $\mu \leq \lambda$ . Both the bilinear estimate and the resonance relation indicate that we may limit considerations to frequencies  $\{(\xi, \eta) : |\eta| \leq |\xi| \leq 1\}$ . The problem is that for  $\mu$  small there are about  $\mu^2$  pieces interacting with the same set with  $\frac{1}{2} \leq |\xi| \leq 1$ . The previous bilinear estimate shows that we obtain tight estimates if we use function spaces with  $l^2$  summation with respect to  $\eta$ .

For fixed  $\lambda$ , we partition the set  $\{(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 : \lambda \leq |\xi| < 2\lambda\}$  into sets  $\Gamma_{\lambda,k}$  for  $k \in \lambda \cdot \mathbb{Z}^2$  defined by

$$\Gamma_{\lambda,k} = \left\{ (\xi,\eta) : \lambda \le |\xi| < 2\lambda, \left| \frac{\eta}{\xi} - k \right|_{\infty} \le \frac{\lambda}{2} \right\}$$

where

$$|a|_{\infty} = \max\{|a_1|, |a_2|\}.$$

For any  $1 \le q < \infty$ ,  $1 \le p < \infty$ , we say a distribution f is in  $l^q l^p L^2$  if is in the closure of  $C_0^{\infty}$  with respect to the norm

$$\|f\|_{l^q l^p L^2} := \left\{ \sum_{\lambda \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}} \lambda^{\frac{q}{2}} \left( \sum_{k \in \lambda \cdot \mathbb{Z}^2} \|f_{\Gamma_{\lambda,k}}\|_{L^2}^p \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty.$$

The case  $p, q = \infty$  follows an obvious modification.

We need also the homogeneous Fourier restriction space  $\dot{X}^{0,b}$  for  $|b| \leq 1$  which is defined by

$$\|u_1\|_{\dot{X}^{0,b}} = \||\partial_t - \partial_x^3 + \partial_x^{-1} \Delta_y|^b u_1\|_{L^2} := \||\tau - \omega(\xi,\eta)|^b \hat{u}_1\|_{L^2} < +\infty$$

for distributions supported in  $[0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$ .

Here  $\omega(\xi,\eta) = \xi^3 - \frac{|\eta|^2}{\xi}$  is the dispersion function associated to KP-II equation. We define

$$\|u\|_{l^{q}\dot{X}^{0,b}} = \|\lambda^{2}u_{\lambda}(\lambda x, \lambda^{2}y, \lambda^{3}t)\|_{l^{q}_{\lambda}\dot{X}^{0,b}} = \left(\sum_{\lambda \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}} \lambda^{(2-3b)q} \|u_{\lambda}\|_{\dot{X}^{0,b}}^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Where the  $l^p$  denotes the p summation over the same partition as above. Finally we define the function space for the fixed point map by

$$\|u\|_X = \|u\|_{l^{\infty}l^p V_{KP}^2} + \|u\|_{l^q \dot{X}^{0,b}} < \infty.$$

We obtain

**Theorem 4.2** (Koch, Li 15). There exist  $\frac{4}{3} , <math>b < 1$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  so that for

$$\|u_0\|_{l^\infty l^p L^2} < \varepsilon$$

there is a unique global solution  $u = S(t)u_0 + w$  with

$$||w||_X \le c ||u_0||_{l^{\infty} l^p L^2}^2.$$

#### References

- Jean Bourgain. On the Cauchy problem for the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation. Geom. Funct. Anal., 3(4):315–341, 1993.
- [2] Martin Hadac. On the local well-posedness of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili II equation. PhD thesis, Universität Dortmund, 2007.
- [3] Martin Hadac. Well-posedness for the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili II equation and generalisations. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 360(12):6555-6572, 2008.
- [4] Martin Hadac, Sebastian Herr, and Herbert Koch. Well-posedness and scattering for the KP-II equation in a critical space. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, 26(3):917–941, 2009.
- [5] Martin Hadac, Sebastian Herr, and Herbert Koch. Erratum to "Well-posedness and scattering for the KP-II equation in a critical space". Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, 27(3):971–972, 2010.
- [6] Pedro Isaza, Juan López, and Jorge Mejía. The Cauchy problem for the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (KPII) equation in three space dimensions. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 32(4-6):611–641, 2007.
- [7] Pedro Isaza and Jorge Mejía. Local and global Cauchy problems for the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (KP-II) equation in Sobolev spaces of negative indices. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 26(5-6):1027–1054, 2001.
- [8] Markus Keel and Terence Tao. Endpoint Strichartz estimates. Amer. J. Math., 120(5):955– 980, 1998.
- [9] Christian Klein and Jean-Claude Saut. Numerical study of blow up and stability of solutions of generalized Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equations. J. Nonlinear Sci., 22(5):763–811, 2012.
- [10] Herbert Koch and Daniel Tataru. Dispersive estimates for principally normal pseudodifferential operators. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 58(2):217–284, 2005.
- [11] Herbert Koch, Daniel Tataru, and Monica Vişan. Dispersive equations and nonlinear waves. 2014.
- [12] D. Lépingle. La variation d'ordre p des semi-martingales. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete, 36(4):295–316, 1976.
- [13] Terry J. Lyons. Differential equations driven by rough signals. Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana, 14(2):215–310, 1998.
- [14] H. Takaoka and N. Tzvetkov. On the local regularity of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili-II equation. Internat. Math. Res. Notices, (2):77–114, 2001.
- [15] Hideo Takaoka. Well-posedness for the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili II equation. Adv. Differential Equations, 5(10-12):1421–1443, 2000.
- [16] Nickolay Tzvetkov. On the Cauchy problem for Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation. Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 24(7-8):1367–1397, 1999.

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT UNIVERSITÄT BONN ENDENICHER ALLEE 60 53115 BONN GERMANY koch@math.uni-bonn.de