

LAURENT HABSIEGER

**A new lower bound for the football pool
problem for 7 matches**

Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux, tome 8, n° 2 (1996),
p. 481-484

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=JTNB_1996__8_2_481_0

© Université Bordeaux 1, 1996, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux » (<http://jtnb.cedram.org/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/legal.php>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

A new lower bound for the football pool problem for 7 matches

par LAURENT HABSIEGER¹

RÉSUMÉ. Notons $K_3(7, 1)$ le cardinal minimal d'un code ternaire de longueur 7 et de rayon de recouvrement un. Dans un précédent article, nous avons amélioré la minoration $K_3(7, 1) \geq 147$ en montrant que $K_3(7, 1) \geq 150$. Dans cette note, nous prouvons que $K_3(7, 1) \geq 153$.

ABSTRACT. Let $K_3(7, 1)$ denote the minimum cardinality of a ternary code of length 7 and covering radius one. In a previous paper, we improved on the lower bound $K_3(7, 1) \geq 147$ by showing that $K_3(7, 1) \geq 150$. In this note, we prove that $K_3(7, 1) \geq 153$.

1. Introduction

Let \mathbb{F}_3 be the finite field with three elements and n be some positive integer. Define the Hamming distance between two elements $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ of \mathbb{F}_3^n by $d(x, y) = |\{i \in \{1, \dots, n\} : x_i \neq y_i\}|$. For $x \in \mathbb{F}_3^n$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$, the sphere of center x and radius r is denoted $S_r(x)$ and is defined by $S_r(x) = \{y \in \mathbb{F}_3^n : d(x, y) = r\}$.

A covering code with covering radius one is a subset C of \mathbb{F}_3^n such that the following condition holds:

$$(1) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{F}_3^n, \exists y \in C : d(x, y) \leq 1.$$

Let A denote the characteristic function of C . For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ let, as usual, the function A_i be defined by $A_i(x) = |C \cap S_i(x)|$. More generally, for any function F defined on \mathbb{F}_3^n , we define the function F_i by

$$F_i(x) = \sum_{y \in S_i(x)} F(y),$$

as in [4]. Then the covering condition (1) becomes

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{F}_3^n, (A_0 + A_1)(x) \geq 1.$$

Manuscrit reçu le 15 octobre 1996

¹partially supported by the EC grant CHRX-CT93-0400 and the "PRC maths-info"

The problem of determining $K_3(n, 1)$, the minimal cardinality of C , has been widely studied [2] and is known as the “football pool problem”. Summing the covering conditions over \mathbb{F}_3^n leads to the sphere covering bound $(2n + 1)K_3(n, 1) \geq 3^n$. For instance this gives $K_3(7, 1) \geq 146$. Chen and Honkala [1] found the lower bound 147 and in [3] we gave the lower bound 150. The purpose of this paper is to prove the following Theorem.

THEOREM 1. $K_3(7, 1) \geq 153$.

2. Proof of Theorem 1

Let us consider the case $n = 7$. Let C be a covering code with covering radius one and suppose that $|C| \leq 152$. Up to adding some points to C , we may assume that $|C| = 152$. Let xy denotes the concatenation of x and y . For $x \in \mathbb{F}_3^3$, let us put

$$N(x) = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_3^4} A(xy) = |\{y \in \mathbb{F}_3^4 : xy \in C\}|,$$

so that $\sum_{i=0}^3 N_i(x) = |C| = 152$. We proved in [3] that the following condition holds:

$$(2) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{F}_3^3, \quad 9N_0(x) + N_1(x) \geq 81.$$

By summing this inequality over $S_2(x)$ and $S_3(x)$, we obtain the additional conditions

$$(3) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{F}_3^3, \quad 4N_1(x) + 11N_2(x) + 3N_3(x) \geq 972,$$

$$(4) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{F}_3^3, \quad 2N_2(x) + 12N_3(x) \geq 648.$$

The linear combination $43(2) + 5(3) + 4(4)$ now gives the inequality $63|C| + 324N(x) \geq 10935$, which implies the lower bound $N(x) \geq 5$.

Let us put $D(x) = N(x) - 5$, so that $\sum_{i=0}^3 D_i(x) = 152 - 5 \times 27 = 17$. The condition (2) becomes

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{F}_3^3, \quad 9D(x) + D_1(x) \geq 6,$$

which is equivalent to the new condition

$$(5) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{F}_3^3, \quad 6D(x) + D_1(x) \geq 6.$$

We shall need the following lemma.

LEMMA 2. Let u be an element of \mathbb{F}_3^3 such that $d(0, u) = 3$. Then the following property holds

$$(6) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{F}_3^3, D(x - u) + D(x) + D(x + u) \geq 1.$$

Proof. Up to isometry, we may assume that $u = 111$. Let us define the function ϕ on \mathbb{F}_3^3 by $\phi(x) = D(x - u) + D(x) + D(x + u)$. Let us add the condition (5) for $x - u, x$ and $x + u$. We obtain $6\phi(x) + \phi_1(x) \geq 18$. Since we know that

$$\phi_1(x) \leq \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_3^3} D(x) = 17,$$

we have $6\phi(x) \geq 1$ and the lemma follows. \square

Since $\sum_{i=0}^3 D_i(x) = 17 < 2 \times 9$, there exists some $a \in \mathbb{F}_3^2$ such that $D(a0) + D(a1) + D(a2) \leq 1$, say $a = 00$. If $D(000) = D(001) = D(002) = 0$, it follows from condition (5) that $3 \times 6 \leq \sum_{i=0}^3 D_i(x) = 17$, which is impossible. Therefore, we may assume that, up to translation, $D(000) = D(001) = 0$ and $D(002) = 1$. The condition (5) and Lemma 2 then give us the following inequalities:

$$(7) \quad D(100) + D(200) + D(010) + D(020) \geq 5,$$

$$(8) \quad D(101) + D(201) + D(011) + D(021) \geq 5,$$

$$(9) \quad D(111) + D(222) \geq 1,$$

By using (5) for $x = 222$, (7-9) and the evaluation $D(002) = 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 18 \leq \sum_{x \in S_1(000) \cup S_1(001) \cup S_1(222)} D(x) + D(111) + 7D(222) \\ \leq \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_3^3} D(x) + 6D(222) = 17 + 6D(222), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $D(222) \geq 1$. By symmetry we also have $D(112)$,

$D(122), D(212) \geq 1$, which implies the inequality

$$(10) \quad D(222) + D(112) + D(122) + D(212) \geq 4.$$

We take the following linear combination of inequalities: the inequalities (5) with $x \in \{110, 111, 120, 121, 210, 211, 220, 221\}$, plus seven times the inequalities (7-8) and (10) plus nine times the equation $D(002) = 1$. In this way, we obtain

$$155 \leq 9 \left(\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_3^3 \setminus \{012, 022, 102, 202\}} D(x) \right) \leq 9 \times 17 = 153,$$

which is impossible. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. CHEN AND I. S. HONKALA, Lower bounds for q -ary covering codes, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* **36** (1990), 664-671.
- [2] G. D. COHEN, S. N. LITSYN, A. C. LOBSTEIN and H. F. MATTSON, Covering radius 1985-1994, preprint.
- [3] L. HABSIEGER, Lower bounds for q -ary coverings by spheres of radius one, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **67** (1994), 199-222.
- [4] L. HABSIEGER, Binary codes with covering radius one: some new lower bounds, *Discrete Mathematics*, to appear.

Laurent HABSIEGER
Laboratoire d'Algorithmique Arithmétique
CNRS UMR 9936
Université Bordeaux 1
351 cours de la Libération
33405 TALENCE Cedex
FRANCE
E-mail: habsiege@math.u-bordeaux.fr