HIGH DEGREE PRECISION DECOMPOSITION METHOD
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Abstract. In the present work the symmetrized sequential-parallel decomposition method of the third
degree precision for the solution of Cauchy abstract problem with an operator under a split form, is
presented. The third degree precision is reached by introducing a complex coefficient with the positive
real part. For the considered schema the explicit \textit{a priori} estimation is obtained.
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1. Introduction

The study of the approximated schemas of a solution of evolution problems leads to the conclusion that
to each approximated schema there corresponds a definite operator (solving operator of a discrete problem),
which approximates a solving operator (semigroup) of a source continuous problem. The opposite is also true:
constructing approximation of a continuous semigroup, we build an approximated schema of a solution of an
evolution problem.

For example, if we apply Rotte’s method for a solution of an evolution problem, a solving operator of
the obtained difference problem will be a discrete semigroup and we come to a problem of approximating a
continuous semigroup with the help of discrete semigroups (in this case see Kato [14], Chap. IX).

In case of applying a decomposition method, the solving operator of the applicable decomposed problem
generates the Trotter formula [23], or the Chernoff formula [1,2], or a formula, which is a combination of these
formulas. Therefore, the error estimation of a decomposition method is equivalent to a problem of approximating
of a continuous semigroup using Trotter type formulas. Papers [12,18] (see also [19], Chap. II) are dedicated to
the error estimations of Trotter type formulas.

The schema of decomposition, associated with the Trotter formula, allows us to split Cauchy problem for an
evolution equation with an operator \( A = A_1 + A_2 + ... + A_m \) to \( m \) problems correspondingly with operators
\( A_1, A_2, ..., A_m \), which are solved sequentially on each time interval with the length \( t/n \).

The decomposition schema, associated with the Chernoff formula, is known as a method of fractional steps
(see Ianenko [11]).
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As it is known, the decomposition method is sufficiently general for obtaining economical schemas for the solution of the multidimensional problems of mathematical physics. They can be divided into two groups: the schemas of sequential account (Ianenko [11], Samarskii [20], Marchuk [17], Samarskii and Vabishchevich [21], Fryazinov [5], Diakonov [4], Temam [22], Gordeziani [7]) and the schemas of parallel account (Gordeziani and Samarskii [10], Gordeziani and Meladze [8, 9], Kuzyk and Makarov [16]). In [19] (see Chap. II) the explicit estimations for decomposition schemas of the parallel account are obtained, which were considered in [9]. At present, there are many works dedicated to the decomposition method (see [17, 21]).

In the above-stated works the schemas considered are of the first or second degree precision. As far as we know, high degree precision decomposition formulas in case of two addends for the first time were obtained in [3].

In the present work, a symmetrized sequential-parallel decomposition method of the third degree precision for the solution of the Cauchy abstract problem with operator 

$$A = A_1 + A_2 + \cdots + A_m,$$

is presented. For the considered schema the explicit a priori estimation is obtained. Under explicit estimations we understand such a priori estimations for an error of solution, where the constants of a right member do not depend on a solution of an initial continuous problem, i.e. are absolute.

2. SETTING OF THE PROBLEM

Let us consider the Cauchy abstract problem in the Banach space $X$:

$$\frac{du(t)}{dt} + Au(t) = 0, \quad t > 0, \quad u(0) = \varphi.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Here $A$ is a closed linear operator with the domain $D(A)$, which is everywhere dense in $X$, $\varphi$ is a given element from $D(A)$.

Suppose that $(-A)$ operator generates a strongly continuous semigroup $\exp(-tA)_{t \geq 0}$, then the solution of the problem (1) is given by the following formula (see [13, 15]):

$$u(t) = U(t, A) \varphi, \quad \varphi \in D(A),$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $U(t, A) \equiv \exp(-tA)$ is a strongly continuous semigroup.

Let $A = A_1 + A_2 + \cdots + A_m$, where $A_j$ $(j = 1, 2, \ldots, m)$ are compactly defined, closed linear operators in $X$.

Let us introduce a difference net domain:

$$\tau_x = \{t_k = k \tau, k = 1, 2, \ldots, \tau > 0\}.

Along with the problem (1) we consider two sequences of the following problems on each interval $[t_{k-1}, t_k]$: 

$$\frac{dv_1^k(t)}{dt} + \alpha A_1 v_1^k(t) = 0, \quad \frac{dw_1^k(t)}{dt} + \alpha A_m w_1^k(t) = 0,$$$$
v_1^k(t_{k-1}) = u_{k-1}(t_{k-1}), \quad w_1^k(t_{k-1}) = u_{k-1}(t_{k-1}),$$

$$\frac{dv_2^k(t)}{dt} + \alpha A_2 v_2^k(t) = 0, \quad \frac{dw_2^k(t)}{dt} + \alpha A_{m-1} w_2^k(t) = 0,$$$$
v_2^k(t_{k-1}) = v_1^k(t_k), \quad w_2^k(t_{k-1}) = w_1^k(t_k),$$

$$\vdots$$
We consider the function 
\[ A \] the above-stated schema in case of \( m \) we will need natural degrees of the operator \( j \) as an approximate solution of the problem (1) on the interval \([t_{k-1}, t_k]\). On each \([t_{k-1}, t_k]\) \((k = 1, 2, \ldots)\) interval \( u_k(t) \) are defined as follows:

\[ u_k(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ v_k^{2m-1}(t) + w_k^{2m-1}(t) \right]. \] (4)

We consider the function \( u_k(t) \) as an approximate solution of the problem (1) on the interval \([t_{k-1}, t_k]\).

The above-stated schema in case of \( m = 2 \) addends is considered in [6].

We will need natural degrees of the operator \( A = A_1 + A_2 + \ldots + A_m \ (A^s, \ s = 2, 3, 4) \). In case of two addends \((m = 2)\) they are defined as follows:

\[ A^2 = (A_1^2 + A_2^2) + (A_1A_2 + A_2A_1), \]
\[ A^3 = (A_1^3 + A_2^3) + (A_1^2A_2 + \ldots + A_2^2A_1) + (A_1A_2A_1 + A_2A_1A_2), \]
\[ A^4 = (A_1^4 + A_2^4) + (A_1^3A_2 + \ldots + A_2^3A_1) + (A_1^2A_2A_1 + \ldots + A_2^2A_1A_2) + (A_1A_2A_1A_2 + A_2A_1A_2A_1). \]

Analogously are defined \( A^s \ (s = 2, 3, 4) \) when \( m > 2 \).
Obviously, the domain $D(A^s)$ of the operator $A^s$ is the intersection of the domains of its addends. Let us introduce the following definitions:

$$
\| \varphi \|_{A} = \| A_1 \varphi \| + \cdots + \| A_m \varphi \|, \quad \varphi \in D(A),
$$

$$
\| \varphi \|_{A^2} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} \| A_i A_j \varphi \|, \quad \varphi \in D(A^2),
$$

where $\| \cdot \|$ is a norm in $X$; similarly are defined $\| \varphi \|_{A^s}$ ($s = 3, 4$).

**Theorem.** Let the following conditions be satisfied:

(a) $\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{i}{2\sqrt{3}}, \quad (i = \sqrt{-1})$;

(b) $(-\gamma A_j)$, $\gamma = 1, \alpha, \alpha$ ($j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$) and $(-A)$ operators generate strongly continuous semigroups, for which the following estimations hold correspondingly:

$$
\| U(t, \gamma A_j) \| \leq e^{\omega t},
$$

$$
\| U(t, A) \| \leq Me^{\omega t}, \quad M, \omega = \text{const} > 0;
$$

(c) $U(s, A) \varphi \in D(A^4)$ for every fixed $s \geq 0$.

Then the following estimation holds:

$$
\| u_k(t_k) - u(t_k) \| \leq ce^{\omega_0 k} \tau^3 \sup_{s \in [0, t_k]} \| U(s, A) \varphi \|_{A^4},
$$

where $c, \omega_0$ are positive constants.

3. **Auxiliary Lemma**

Let us prove the auxiliary lemma on which the proof of the theorem is based.

**Lemma (see [6]).** If the conditions (a) and (b) of the Theorem are satisfied and $m = 2$, then

$$
\frac{1}{2} [U(\tau, \overline{\alpha} A_1)U(\tau, A_2)U(\tau, \alpha A_1) + U(\tau, \overline{\alpha} A_2)U(\tau, A_1)U(\tau, \alpha A_2)] = I - \tau A + \frac{1}{2} \tau^2 A^2 - \frac{1}{6} \tau^3 A^3 + R_4^{(2)}(\tau),
$$

where the following estimation holds for $R_4^{(2)}(\tau)$:

$$
\| R_4^{(2)}(\tau) \varphi \| \leq ce^{\omega_0} \tau^4 \| \varphi \|_{A^4}, \quad \varphi \in D(A^4).
$$

Here $c, \omega_0$ are positive constants.

**Proof.** According to the formula (see Kato [14], p. 603):

$$
A \int_{r}^{t} U(s, A) ds = U(r, A) - U(t, A), \quad 0 \leq r \leq t,
$$
we can get the following expansion:

\[ U(t, A) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (-1)^i \frac{t^i}{i!} A^i + R_k(t, A), \]  

(7)

where

\[ R_k(t, A) = (-A)^k \int_0^t \int_0^{s_1} \cdots \int_0^{s_{k-1}} U(s, A) ds ds_{k-1} \ldots ds_1, \]  

(8)

Let us consider the operator in the left side of equality (5). Let us decompose both its items from right to left according to the formula (7), so that each residual member is of the fourth degree. Then, using elementary algebraic transformations, we will get the right side of equality (5), where for the residual member \( R_4^{(2)}(\tau) \) the following presentation is true:

\[ R_4^{(2)}(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} [R_{1,2}(\tau) + R_{2,1}(\tau)], \]

where

\[
R_{i,j}(\tau) = R_4(\tau, \overline{\alpha} A_i) - \tau R_3(\tau, \overline{\alpha} A_i) A_j + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 R_2(\tau, \overline{\alpha} A_i) A_j^2 - \frac{1}{6} \alpha^3 R_1(\tau, \overline{\alpha} A_i) A_j^3 \\
+ U(\tau, \overline{\alpha} A_i) R_4(\tau, A_j) - \alpha \tau R_3(\tau, \overline{\alpha} A_i) A_j + \alpha^2 R_2(\tau, \overline{\alpha} A_i) A_j A_i - \frac{1}{2} \alpha^3 R_1(\tau, \overline{\alpha} A_i) A_j^2 A_i \\
- \alpha^2 U(\tau, \overline{\alpha} A_i) R_3(\tau, A_j) A_i + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 \tau R_2(\tau, \overline{\alpha} A_i) A_i^2 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha^3 \tau R_1(\tau, \overline{\alpha} A_i) A_i^3 \\
+ \frac{1}{6} \alpha^3 \tau R_1(t, \overline{\alpha} A_i) A_i^3 - \frac{1}{6} \alpha^3 \tau U(\tau, \overline{\alpha} A_i) R_1(t, A_j) A_j^3 \\
+ U(\tau, \overline{\alpha} A_i) U(\tau, A_j) R_4(\tau, \alpha A_i), \quad i, j = 1, 2.
\]

Hence, according to the formula (8) and condition (b) of the Theorem we obtain the estimation (6). 

\[ \Box \]

4. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

Let us get back to the proof of the Theorem.

It is obvious, that according to the formula (2) for the system (3) we have:

\[
v^j_k(t_k) = U(\tau, \alpha A_j) v^{j-1}_k(t_k), \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, m - 1,
\]

\[
v^m_k(t_k) = U(\tau, A_m) v^{m-1}_k(t_k),
\]

\[
v^{m+j}_k(t_k) = U(\tau, \overline{\alpha} A_{m-j}) v^{m+j-1}_k(t_k), \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, m - 1,
\]

where \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, \)

\[
v^0_k(t_k) = u_{k-1}(t_{k-1}), \quad u_0(0) = \varphi.
\]

Hence we have:

\[
v^{2m-1}_k(t_k) = V_1(\tau) u_{k-1}(t_{k-1}),
\]
\[ V_1(\tau) = U(\tau, \overline{\alpha}A_1) \ldots U(\tau, \overline{\alpha}A_{m-1}) U(\tau, A_m) U(\tau, \alpha A_{m-1}) \ldots U(\tau, \alpha A_1) . \]

Analogously we obtain that:
\[ w_{2m-1}^{2m-1}(t_k) = V_2(\tau) u_{k-1}(t_{k-1}) , \]

where
\[ V_2(\tau) = U(\tau, \overline{\alpha}A_m) \ldots U(\tau, \overline{\alpha}A_2) U(\tau, A_1) U(\tau, \alpha A_2) \ldots U(\tau, \alpha A_m) . \]

So according to the formula (4) we obtain:
\[ u_k(t_k) = V(\tau) u_{k-1}(t_{k-1}) = V^k(\tau) \varphi , \tag{9} \]

where
\[ V(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} (V_1(\tau) + V_2(\tau)) . \]

**Remark.** The operator \( V^k(\tau) \) is a solving operator of the above considered decomposed problem. It is obvious that according to the condition of the Theorem \((U(t, \gamma A_i) \leq e^{\omega t})\)

\[ \|V^k(\tau)\| \leq e^{\omega_1 t_k} , \tag{10} \]

where \( \omega_1 = (2m - 1)\omega \). From here it follows the stability of the above-stated decomposition schema on each finite time interval.

Let us suppose that \( W(\tau) \) is a combination (sum, product) of semigroups, generated by operators \((-\gamma A_i)\) \((i = 1, 2, \ldots, m)\). Let us decompose all semigroups including in the operator \( W(\tau) \) according to the formula (7), multiply these decompositions, group together the similar members and define the coefficients of the members \((-\tau A_i), (\tau^2 A_i A_j)\) \((i, j, k = 1, 2, \ldots, m)\) to be correspondingly \([W(\tau)]_{i,1}, [W(\tau)]_{i,j}\) and \([W(\tau)]_{i,j,k}\) in the obtained decomposition.

If we decompose all semigroups in the \( V(\tau) \) from right to left according to the formula (7) so that each residual member is of the fourth degree, we get the following formula:

\[ V(\tau) = I - \tau \sum_{i=1}^{m} [V(\tau)]_{i,1} A_i + \tau^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} [V(\tau)]_{i,j} A_i A_j - \tau^3 \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{m} [V(\tau)]_{i,j,k} A_i A_j A_k + R_4^{(m)}(\tau) . \tag{11} \]

Similarly to \( R_4^{(2)} \), according to the first inequality of the condition (b) of the theorem the following estimation is true for \( R_4^{(m)}(\tau) \) \((m > 2)\):

\[ \| R_4^{(m)}(\tau) \varphi \| \leq c e^{\omega_2 \tau^d} \| \varphi \|_{A^1} , \quad \varphi \in D(A^4) , \tag{12} \]

where \( c, \omega_2 \) are positive constants.
It is obvious that:

\[ [V(\tau)]_i = \frac{1}{2} ([V_1(\tau)]_i + [V_2(\tau)]_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, m, \]

\[ [V(\tau)]_{i,j} = \frac{1}{2} ([V_1(\tau)]_{i,j} + [V_2(\tau)]_{i,j}), \quad i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, m, \]

\[ [V(\tau)]_{i,j,k} = \frac{1}{2} ([V_1(\tau)]_{i,j,k} + [V_2(\tau)]_{i,j,k}), \quad i, j, k = 1, 2, \ldots, m. \]

Let us compute coefficients \([V_1(\tau)]_i\). Obviously, we get the corresponding members of these coefficients from decomposition of only those multipliers (semigroups) of the operator \(V_1(\tau)\) which are generated by operators \((-\gamma A_i)\). From decomposition of other semigroups only first addends (identical operators) will be used. So we have:

\[ [V_1(\tau)]_i = [U(\tau, A_i)]_i = 1. \]

Analogously

\[ [V_2(\tau)]_i = [U(\tau, A_i)]_i = 1. \]

So we have

\[ [V(\tau)]_i = 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, m. \]

Let us compute coefficients \([V_1(\tau)]_{i,j}\). Obviously, we get the corresponding members of these coefficients from decomposition of only those multipliers (semigroups) of the operator \(V_1(\tau)\) which are generated by operators \((-\gamma A_i)\) and \((-\gamma A_j)\). From decomposition of other semigroups only first addends (identical operators) will be used. So we have:

\[ [V_1(\tau)]_{i,j} = [U(\tau, A_1)U(\tau, A_2)U(\tau, A_1)]_{i,j}, \]

Analogously

\[ [V_2(\tau)]_{i,j} = [U(\tau, A_1)U(\tau, A_2)U(\tau, A_1)]_{i,j}, \]

where \((i_1, i_2)\) is a pair of \(i\) and \(j\) indices, arranged in an increasing order. According to the lemma we have:

\[ \frac{1}{2} ([U(\tau, \alpha A_{i_1})U(\tau, A_{i_2})U(\tau, \alpha A_{i_3})]_{i,j} + [U(\tau, \alpha A_{i_2})U(\tau, A_{i_1})U(\tau, \alpha A_{i_3})]_{i,j}) = \frac{1}{2}. \]

So we have

\[ [V(\tau)]_{i,j} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, m. \]

Let us compute coefficients \([V_1(\tau)]_{i,j,k}\). Obviously, we get the corresponding members of these coefficients from decomposition of only those multipliers (semigroups) of the operator \(V_1(\tau)\), which are generated by operators \((-\gamma A_i)\), \((-\gamma A_j)\) and \((-\gamma A_k)\). From decomposition of other semigroups only first addends (identical operators) will be used. So we have:

\[ [V_1(\tau)]_{i,j,k} = [U(\tau, \alpha A_{i_1})U(\tau, \alpha A_{i_2})U(\tau, A_{i_3})U(\tau, \alpha A_{i_2})U(\tau, A_{i_3})]_{i,j,k}. \]
Analogously

$$[V_2(\tau)]_{i,j,k} = [U(\tau, \alpha A_i) \ U(\tau, \alpha A_j) \ U(\tau, \alpha A_k)]_{i,j,k},$$

where \((i_1, i_2, i_3)\) is a triple of \(i, j\) and \(k\) indices, arranged in an increasing order.

Firstly let us consider the case when \(i = j = k\), we have:

$$[V_1(\tau)]_{i,j,k} = [U(\tau, \alpha A_i)]_{i,i,i} = \frac{1}{6}$$

and

$$[V_2(\tau)]_{i,j,k} = [U(\tau, \alpha A_i)]_{i,i,i} = \frac{1}{6}$$

Now let us consider the case when only two of \(i, j, k\) indices are different. In this case we have:

$$[V_1(\tau)]_{i,j,k} = [U(\tau, \alpha A_i) \ U(\tau, \alpha A_j)]_{i,j,k}$$

and

$$[V_2(\tau)]_{i,j,k} = [U(\tau, \alpha A_i) \ U(\tau, \alpha A_j)]_{i,j,k}. \quad \text{where } \quad (i_1, i_2) \text{ is a pair of different indices of } i, j \text{ and } k \text{ triple, arranged in an increasing order. According to the lemma we have:}$$

$$[V(\tau)]_{i,j,k} = \frac{1}{6}$$

Now let us consider the case when \(i, j, k\) indices are different. We have six variants. Let us consider each one separately:

**Case 1.** If \(i < j < k\), then

$$[V_1(\tau)]_{i,j,k} = [U(\tau, \alpha A_i) \ U(\tau, \alpha A_j) \ U(\tau, \alpha A_k)]_{i,j,k}$$

$$= [U(\tau, \alpha A_i)]_{i} [U(\tau, \alpha A_j)]_{j} [U(\tau, \alpha A_k)]_{k} = \alpha^2$$

and

$$[V_2(\tau)]_{i,j,k} = [U(\tau, \alpha A_k) \ U(\tau, \alpha A_j) \ U(\tau, \alpha A_i)]_{i,j,k}$$

$$= [U(\tau, \alpha A_i)]_{i} [U(\tau, \alpha A_j)]_{j} [U(\tau, \alpha A_k)]_{k} = \alpha^2.$$

So we have

$$[V(\tau)]_{i,j,k} = \frac{1}{2} (\alpha^2 + \alpha^2) = \frac{1}{6}$$

**Case 2.** If \(i < k < j\), then

$$[V_1(\tau)]_{i,j,k} = [U(\tau, \alpha A_i) \ U(\tau, \alpha A_k) \ U(\tau, \alpha A_j)]_{i,j,k}$$

$$= [U(\tau, \alpha A_i)]_{i} [U(\tau, \alpha A_k)]_{k} [U(\tau, \alpha A_j)]_{j} = \alpha \alpha$$
and

\[ [V_2(\tau)]_{i,j,k} = [U(\tau, \overline{\alpha}A_j) U(\tau, \overline{\alpha}A_k) U(\tau, A_i) U(\tau, \alpha A_k) U(\tau, \alpha A_j)]_{i,j,k} = 0. \]

So we have

\[ [V(\tau)]_{i,j,k} = \frac{1}{2} \alpha \overline{\alpha} = \frac{1}{6}. \]

**Case 3.** If \( j < i < k \), then

\[ [V_1(\tau)]_{i,j,k} = [U(\tau, \overline{\alpha}A_j) U(\tau, \overline{\alpha}A_k) U(\tau, A_i) U(\tau, \alpha A_i) U(\tau, \alpha A_j)]_{i,j,k} = 0 \]

and

\[ [V_2(\tau)]_{i,j,k} = [U(\tau, \overline{\alpha}A_k) U(\tau, \overline{\alpha}A_i) U(\tau, A_j) U(\tau, \alpha A_i) U(\tau, \alpha A_k)]_{i,j,k} = [U(\tau, \overline{\alpha}A_i)]_i [U(\tau, A_j)]_j [U(\tau, \alpha A_k)]_k = \alpha \overline{\alpha}. \]

So we have

\[ [V(\tau)]_{i,j,k} = \frac{1}{2} \alpha \overline{\alpha} = \frac{1}{6}. \]

**Case 4.** If \( j < k < i \), then

\[ [V_1(\tau)]_{i,j,k} = [U(\tau, \overline{\alpha}A_j) U(\tau, \overline{\alpha}A_k) U(\tau, A_i) U(\tau, \alpha A_k) U(\tau, \alpha A_j)]_{i,j,k} = 0 \]

and

\[ [V_2(\tau)]_{i,j,k} = [U(\tau, \overline{\alpha}A_i) U(\tau, \overline{\alpha}A_k) U(\tau, A_j) U(\tau, \alpha A_k) U(\tau, \alpha A_i)]_{i,j,k} = [U(\tau, \overline{\alpha}A_i)]_i [U(\tau, A_j)]_j [U(\tau, \alpha A_k)]_k = \alpha \overline{\alpha}. \]

So we have

\[ [V(\tau)]_{i,j,k} = \frac{1}{2} \alpha \overline{\alpha} = \frac{1}{6}. \]

**Case 5.** If \( k < i < j \), then

\[ [V_1(\tau)]_{i,j,k} = [U(\tau, \overline{\alpha}A_k) U(\tau, \overline{\alpha}A_i) U(\tau, A_j) U(\tau, \alpha A_i) U(\tau, \alpha A_k)]_{i,j,k} = [U(\tau, \overline{\alpha}A_i)]_i [U(\tau, A_j)]_j [U(\tau, \alpha A_k)]_k = \alpha \overline{\alpha} \]

and

\[ [V_2(\tau)]_{i,j,k} = [U(\tau, \overline{\alpha}A_j) U(\tau, \overline{\alpha}A_i) U(\tau, A_k) U(\tau, \alpha A_i) U(\tau, \alpha A_j)]_{i,j,k} = 0. \]

So we have

\[ [V(\tau)]_{i,j,k} = \frac{1}{2} \alpha \overline{\alpha} = \frac{1}{6}. \]
Case 6. If \( k < j < i \), then
\[
[V_1(\tau)]_{i,j,k} = [U(\tau, A_i)U(\tau, A_j)U(\tau, A_k)]_{i,j,k}
= [U(\tau, A_i)]_i [U(\tau, A_j)]_j [U(\tau, A_k)]_k = \alpha^2
\]
and
\[
[V_2(\tau)]_{i,j,k} = [U(\tau, A_i)U(\tau, A_j)U(\tau, A_k)]_{i,j,k}
= [U(\tau, A_i)]_i [U(\tau, A_j)]_j [U(\tau, A_k)]_k = \beta^2.
\]
So we have
\[
[V(\tau)]_{i,j,k} = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) = \frac{1}{6}.
\]
Finally, for any triple \((i, j, k)\) we have:
\[
[V(\tau)]_{i,j,k} = \frac{1}{6}.
\]
Inserting in (11) the obtained coefficients, we will get:
\[
V(\tau) = I - \tau \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i + \frac{1}{2} \tau^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} A_i A_j - \frac{1}{6} \tau^3 \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{m} A_i A_j A_k + R_4^{(m)}(\tau)
= I - \tau \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i + \frac{1}{2} \tau^2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i \right)^2 - \frac{1}{6} \tau^3 \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i \right)^3 + R_4^{(m)}(\tau).
\]
(13)
According to the formula (7) we have:
\[
U(\tau, A) = I - \tau A + \frac{1}{2} \tau^2 A^2 - \frac{1}{6} \tau^3 A^3 + R_4(\tau, A).
\]
(14)
According to the second inequality of the condition (b) of the theorem the following estimation is true for \( R_4(\tau, A) \):
\[
\| R_4(\tau, A) \varphi \| \leq c e^{\omega \tau} \tau^4 \| A^4 \varphi \| \leq c e^{\omega \tau} \tau^4 \| \varphi \|_{A^4}.
\]
(15)
According to the formulas (13) and (14) we have:
\[
U(\tau, A) - V(\tau) = R_4(\tau, A) - R_4^{(m)}(\tau).
\]
Hence using inequalities (12) and (15) we can get the following estimation:
\[
\| [U(\tau, A) - V(\tau)] \varphi \| \leq c e^{\omega \tau} \tau^4 \| \varphi \|_{A^4}.
\]
(16)
According to the formulas (2) and (9) we have:

$$u(t_k) - u_k(t_k) = \left[ U(t_k, A) - V^k(\tau) \right] \varphi = \left[ U^k(\tau, A) - V^k(\tau) \right] \varphi$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k} V^{k-i}(\tau) \left[ U(\tau, A) - V(\tau) \right] U((i-1)\tau, A) \varphi.$$ 

Hence according to the inequalities (10) and (16) we can obtain the following estimation:

$$\|u(t_k) - u_k(t_k)\| \leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k} \|V(\tau)\|^k \|U(\tau, A) - V(\tau)\| U((i-1)\tau, A) \|\varphi\| \right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} e^{-\alpha(k-i)\tau} \alpha e^{\alpha \tau} \tau^4 \left\| U((i-1)\tau, A) \varphi \right\|_{A^4}$$

$$\leq \alpha e^{\alpha t_k} \tau^4 \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left\| U((i-1)\tau, A) \varphi \right\|_{A^4}$$

$$\leq \alpha e^{\alpha t_k} \tau^3 \sup_{s \in [0, t_k]} \left\| U(s, A) \varphi \right\|_{A^4},$$

$$\square$$

5. Conclusion

In the case when operators $A_1, A_2, ..., A_m$ are matrices, it is obvious that conditions of the theorem are automatically satisfied. Also conditions of the theorem are satisfied, if $A_1, A_2, ..., A_m$ and $A$ are self-adjoint, positive definite operators. The requirement $\alpha A$ operator $(\alpha = 1/\sqrt{3}(\cos 30^\circ + i \sin 30^\circ))$ must generate a strongly continuous semigroup puts the condition for the spectrum of $A$. Namely, the spectrum of $A$ must be placed within sector with the angle less than 120 degrees, because in case of turning of spectrum by $\pm 30$ degrees (this is caused by multiplying of $A$ on $\alpha$ parameter) the spectrum area will stay in the positive (right) half-plane.

Third degree precision is reached by introducing a complex parameter. Because of this, each equation of the given decomposed system is changed by a pair of real equations, unlike lower degree precision schemas. To solve the specific problem, (for example) the matrix factorization may be used, where the coefficients are the matrices of the second order, unlike lower degree precision schemas, where the common factorization may be used.

It must be noted that the sum of the absolute values of coefficients of the addends of transition operator $V(\tau)$ equals to one, unlike the high degree precision decomposition schemas considered in [3]. Hence, the considered schema is stable for any bounded operators $A_1, A_2, ..., A_m$.
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