

CONSTANTIN TUDOR

**On the Two Parameter Ito Equations**

*Publications des séminaires de mathématiques et informatique de Rennes*, 1983, fascicule 1

« Séminaire de probabilités », , p. 1-17

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=PSMIR\\_1983\\_\\_1\\_A5\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=PSMIR_1983__1_A5_0)

© Département de mathématiques et informatique, université de Rennes, 1983, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la série « Publications mathématiques et informatiques de Rennes » implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

## ON THE TWO PARAMETER ITO EQUATIONS

by  
CONSTANTIN TUDOR

## CONTENT

- o. Introduction
- 1. Existence and uniqueness theorems
- 2. Convergence theorems
- 3. A priori estimate of errors in the numerical resolution
- References

## o. Introduction

In the last time a number of papers are devoted to studying the two parameter Ito equation

$$(o.1) \quad X_z = H_z + \int_0^z F(u, X) dw_u + \int_0^z G(u, X) du \quad ; \quad z \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$$

Here  $(w_z)$  is a two parameter Wiener process and the first integral is in the Ito sense.

In section 1 some important results concerning the existence and the uniqueness of solutions of (o.1) are formulated.

When the equation (o.1) possesses a weak solution which is pathwise unique, we give in section 2 a condition which guarantees the convergence in maximal quadratic mean of successive approximations to the solution. Also, a convergence theorem in maximal quadratic mean is established under a topology on the set of drift terms which is weaker than the one usually used.

In section 3 we give bounds for  $E(\sup_{u \leq z} \|X_u - X_u^n\|^2)$ , where  $X^n$  is an approximant process obtained from the solution  $X$  of (o.1) by a determinist discretization of time.

## 1. Existence and uniqueness theorems

We introduce the following notations:

$C(\mathbb{R}_+^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  = the collection of all continuous functions from  $\mathbb{R}_+^2$  to  $\mathbb{R}^d$  endowed with the uniform convergence on compact subsets.

$D(\mathbb{R}_+^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  = the collection of all right continuous and with left hand limits functions from  $\mathbb{R}_+^2$  to  $\mathbb{R}^d$  endowed with the Skorohod topology.

$\Gamma = C(\mathbb{R}_+^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  or  $D(\mathbb{R}_+^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ .

$\mathcal{R}_z$  = the Borel field on  $\Gamma$  generated by the sets of the form  $\{f \in \Gamma ; f(u) \in C\}$ , where  $u \leq z$  and  $C \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^d}$ .

$$\|f\|_z = \sup_{u \leq z} \|f(u)\| \quad \text{if } f \in \Gamma.$$

$\mathcal{M}_a(\Gamma)$  = the collection of all real valued functions  $\alpha$  defined on  $R_+^2 \times \Gamma$  which are  $B_{R_+^2 \times \Gamma}$ -measurable and such that for every  $z, \alpha(z, \cdot)$  is  $\mathcal{H}_z$ -measurable.

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_z, P; z \geq 0)$  be a filtered probability space and  $(w_z)_{z \geq 0} = (w_z^1, \dots, w_z^d)_{z \geq 0}$  be a  $R^d$ -valued two parameter Wiener process i.e.

- 1)  $w$  is null on the axes and has continuous paths,
- 2) for every  $z, w_z$  is  $\mathcal{F}_z$ -measurable ( $w$  is  $\mathcal{F}_z$ -adapted) and for each rectangle  $[(s, t), (\bar{s}, \bar{t})]$  the increment  $\square_{s, t}^{w_{\bar{s}, \bar{t}}} = w_{\bar{s}, \bar{t}} - w_{s, t} - w_{\bar{s}, t} + w_{s, \bar{t}}$  is independent on the Borel field  $B(\mathcal{F}_{s, v} \cup \mathcal{F}_{u, t}; u \leq s \text{ or } v \leq t) = \mathcal{F}_{s, t}$ .
- 3) the processes  $(w_z^1), \dots, (w_z^d)$  are independent,
- 4) every  $w_{s, t}^j$  has a gaussian law with mean 0 and variance  $st$ .

Let  $F: R_+^2 \times \Gamma \times \Omega \rightarrow R^d \otimes R^d$ ,  $G: R_+^2 \times \Gamma \times \Omega \rightarrow R^d$  be  $B_{R_+^2 \times \Gamma} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ -measurable such that P-a.s  $\omega$  the mappings  $F(\cdot, \omega), G(\cdot, \omega)$  have the components in  $\mathcal{M}_a(\Gamma)$ .

$F$  is called the diffusion coefficient and  $G$  the drift coefficient.

Definition 1. A strong solution of (o.1) with initial process  $H$  is a process  $(X_z)_{z \geq 0}$  with paths in  $\Gamma$  ( $H$  is supposed having the paths in  $\Gamma$ ),  $\mathcal{F}_z$ -adapted and which satisfies (o.1) with probability one.

Definition 2. A system  $(\bar{\Omega}, \bar{\mathcal{F}}, \bar{\mathcal{F}}_z, P, \bar{H}, F, G, \bar{w}, \bar{X}; z \geq 0)$  is a weak solution of (o.1) if

- 1)  $(\bar{\Omega}, \bar{\mathcal{F}}, \bar{\mathcal{F}}_z, P)$  is a filtered probability space,
- 2) On  $(\bar{\Omega}, \bar{\mathcal{F}}, \bar{P})$  are given the processes  $\bar{H}_z, \bar{F}(z, f), \bar{G}(z, f), \bar{w}_z, \bar{X}_z$  such that
  - 2.1) The system  $(\bar{H}_z, \bar{F}(z, f), \bar{G}(z, f)); z \geq 0, f \in \Gamma$ , is stochastic equivalent with the system  $(H_z, F(z, f), G(z, f)); z \geq 0; f \in \Gamma$ ,  $\bar{H}$  has the paths in  $\Gamma$ ,
  - 2.2)  $(\bar{w}_z)$  is a two parameter Wiener process,  $(\bar{X}_z)$  is a process with paths in  $\Gamma$ ,  $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_z$ -adapted and which satisfies (o.1) with probability one.

It is clear that every strong solution is a weak solution.

Definition 3. We say that (o.1) has a pathwise unique weak solution if every two weak solutions  $X, Y$  on the same filtered probability space and with the same initial processes and the coefficients and having the same two parameter Wiener process are indistinguishable.

Definition 4. We say that (o.1) has a unique in law solution if

every two weak solutions  $X, Y$  have the same law on  $\Gamma$ .

Remark 1. There is a deep difference between strong and weak solutions. The investigation of strong solutions uses usually the successive approximation and for weak solutions one use in principal the two parameter martingale technique.

Remark 2. The famous result of Watanabe and Yamada from the one dimensional time which states that pathwise uniqueness implies uniqueness in law holds in the two parameter case too.

The study of two parameter Ito equations begins around 1972 with existence and uniqueness theorems for Lipschitz coefficients (without past) (see Cairoli [1], Ponomarenko [7], Tzarenco [10]).

Let us define

$B_2(\mathcal{F}_z, \Gamma)$  = the  $R^d$ -valued processes  $(f_z)_{z \geq 0}$  having paths in  $\Gamma, \mathcal{F}_z$ -adapted and such that for every  $T > 0$

$$\int_0^T \int_0^T E(\|f_z\|^2) dz < \infty$$

$B_2(\mathcal{F}_z, \Gamma)$  = the processes  $(f_z)_{z \geq 0}$  from  $B_2(\mathcal{F}_z, \Gamma)$  such that for every  $T > 0$  verify

$$\int_0^T \int_0^T E(\|f_z\|^2) dz < \infty$$

The following two theorems give convenient forms of existence and uniqueness theorems in the Lipschitz case.

Theorem 1 (Gihman-Piashetzkaia [2]). Assume that for every  $T > 0$  there exists  $C_T > 0$  such that for  $z \leq (T, T)$  and  $g, h \in \Gamma$

$$(1) \text{ (Lipschitz condition): } \|F(\cdot, g) - F(\cdot, h)\|_z + \|G(\cdot, g) - G(\cdot, h)\|_z \leq C_T \|g - h\|_z$$

$$(2) \text{ (Growth condition): } \|F(\cdot, g)\|_z + \|G(\cdot, g)\|_z \leq C_T (1 + \|g\|_z)$$

Then for  $H \in B_2(\mathcal{F}_z, \Gamma)$  the equation (0.1) has a strong solution in  $B_2(\mathcal{F}_z, \Gamma)$  and the solution is pathwise unique in  $B_2(\mathcal{F}_z, \Gamma)$ .

Let us define

$B(\mathcal{F}_z, \Gamma)$  = the  $R^d$ -valued processes  $(f_z)_{z \geq 0}$  with paths in  $\Gamma, \mathcal{F}_z$ -adapted which verify for each  $T > 0$

$$\sup_{z \leq (T, T)} E(\|f_z\|^2) < \infty$$

Theorem 2 (Yeh [4]): Let  $F: R_+^2 \times \Gamma \rightarrow R^d \otimes R^d, G: R_+^2 \times \Gamma \rightarrow R^d$  be with the components in  $\mathcal{M}_a(\Gamma)$ . Moreover assume that there exists a Radon mea-

sure  $\lambda$  on  $R_+^2$  such that for every  $T > 0$  there exists  $C_T > 0$  such that

$$(1) \text{ (Lipschitz condition): } \|F(z, g) - F(z, h)\|^2 + \|G(z, g) - G(z, h)\|^2 \leq \\ \leq C_T \left\{ \int_0^z \|h(u) - g(u)\|^2 d\lambda(u) + \|g(z) - h(z)\|^2 \right\}$$

$$(2) \text{ (Growth condition): } \|F(z, g)\|^2 + \|G(z, g)\|^2 \leq C_T \left\{ \int_0^z \|g(u)\|^2 d\lambda(u) + \|g(z)\|^2 + 1 \right\}$$

for  $z \in (T, T)$  and  $g, h \in \Gamma$ .

Then for  $H \in S(\mathcal{F}_z, \Gamma)$  the equation (0.1) possesses a pathwise unique strong solution in  $S(\mathcal{F}_z, \Gamma)$ .

For more general coefficients the existence of weak solutions can be obtained. The next three results give an answer in this direction.

Theorem 3 (Gihman-Piashetzkaia [2]): Let  $F: R_+^2 \times C(R_+, R^d) \times \Omega \rightarrow R^d \otimes R^d$ ,  $G: R_+^2 \times C(R_+, R^d) \times \Omega \rightarrow R^d$  be measurable functions such that  $P$ -a.s.  $\omega$  the mappings  $F(\cdot, \omega), G(\cdot, \omega)$  have the component in  $\mathcal{M}_a(C(R_+, R^d))$ . Moreover assume that

(1) (Growth condition): For every  $T > 0$  there exists  $L_T > 0$  such that

$$\|F(\cdot, g)\|_z + \|G(\cdot, g)\|_z \leq L_T (1 + \|g\|_z)$$

for  $z \in (T, T)$  and  $g \in C(R_+, R^d)$ .

(2) The functions  $(z, g) \rightarrow \int_0^z F(u, g) du, (z, g) \rightarrow \int_0^z G(u, g) du$  are continuous on  $R_+^2 \times C(R_+, R^d)$  and  $H$  has the paths in  $C(R_+, R^d)$ .

(3) For every  $T > 0$  there exists  $K_T > 0$  such that

$$E(\|H\|_{(T, T)}^6) \leq K_T; E\left(\sup_{\substack{0 \leq z' - z \leq (h, h) \\ z, z' \leq (T, T)}} \|H_z - H_{z'}\|^6\right) \leq K_T h^3$$

Then the equation (0.1) has a continuous weak solution.

Theorem 4 (Gihman [3]) Let  $F: R_+^2 \times R^d \rightarrow R^d \otimes R^d, G: R_+^2 \times R^d \rightarrow R^d$  such that

(1)  $F$  is continuous, nonsingular and  $G$  is measurable.

(2) (Growth condition): For every  $T > 0$  there exists  $C_T > 0$  such that

$$\|F(z, p)\| + \|G(z, p)\| \leq C_T (1 + \|p\|) \quad \text{for } z \in (T, T) \text{ and } p \in R^d.$$

Then for each  $x \in R^d$  there exists a continuous weak solution of the following equation

$$(1.1) \quad X_z = x + \int_0^z F(u, X_u) dW_u + \int_0^z G(u, X_u) du$$

Theorem 5 (Tudor [3]). Suppose we are given  $F, G: \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  measurable, bounded and moreover assume that  $F(z, x) \geq C > 0$  for every  $z, x$ . Then the equation (1.1) has a continuous weak solution.

Remark 3. Unfortunately there is no satisfactory results concerning the uniqueness in law of weak solutions.

## 2. Convergence Theorems

Let  $F(z, x): \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d, G(z, x): \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  be measurable in  $(z, x)$ , locally bounded in the first variable and continuous in the second variable.

We introduce the following assumption:

Assumption (A): There exists a function  $\omega: \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  such that

(i)  $\omega(\cdot, 0) = 0$ ,  $\omega$  is continuous in both variables, concave nondecreasing in the second variable.

For every nonnegative constants  $T, C_1, C_2, C_3$  the trivial solution is the unique solution of every inequation

$$0 \leq u(s) \leq C_1 \int_0^s \int_0^T \omega(p+T, q, u(p)) dp dq$$

$$0 \leq u(t) \leq C_2 \int_0^T \int_0^t \omega(p, q+T, u(q)) dp dq$$

$$0 \leq u(s, t) \leq C_3 \int_0^s \int_0^t \omega(p+T, q+T, u(p, q)) dp dq$$

(ii)  $\|F(z, x) - F(z, y)\|^2 + \|G(z, x) - G(z, y)\|^2 \leq \omega(z, \|x - y\|^2)$

Consider the following Ito equation

$$(2.1) \quad X_z = H_z + \int_0^z F(u, X_u) dw_u + \int_0^z G(u, X_u) du$$

Remark 4. Under assumption (A) the stochastic equation has a pathwise unique solution.

Theorem 6. Suppose that (2.1) possesses a weak solution  $X$ . Define the successive approximations associated to  $X$  by

$$X_z^0 = H_z$$

$$X_z^{n+1} = H_z + \int_0^z F(u, X_u^n) dw_u + \int_0^z G(u, X_u^n) du$$

If the assumption (A) is satisfied and for every  $T > 0$

$$E(\|H\|_{(T, T)}^2) < \infty$$

Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(\|X^n - X\|_{(T, T)}^2) = 0$

We need the following two lemmas whose proofs are easily consequences of the Schwartz inequality, of the Doob inequality and of the fact that the assumption (A) implies the existence, for every  $z$ , of a constant  $C(z)$  such that

$$(2.2) \quad \|F(u, x)\|^2 + \|G(u, x)\|^2 \leq C(z)(1 + \|x\|^2)$$

for every  $u \leq z$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

We omit the details.

Lemma 1. Suppose the hypotheses of theorem 6 are satisfied. Then for every  $z$  there exists a constant  $C_1(z)$  such that we have

$$(2.3) \quad \sup_n \mathbb{E}(\|X^n\|_z^2) \leq C_1(z)$$

$$(2.4) \quad \mathbb{E}(\|X\|_z^2) \leq C_1(z)$$

$$(2.5) \quad \mathbb{E}(\|X - H\|_u^2) \leq C_1(z) \lambda([0, u]) \text{ for } u \leq z \text{ (here } \lambda \text{ is the Lebesgue measure)}.$$

Now fix  $T > 0$ . Define  $z_0 = (T, T)$  and choose  $0 < T_1 \leq T$  such that

$$(2.6) \quad \omega_1(z, C_1(z_0) \lambda([0, z])) \leq C_1(z_0) \text{ for } z \leq z_1 = (T_1, T_1)$$

where  $\omega_1(z, x) = (32 + 2T^2)\omega(z, x)$ .

For  $n=0, 1, \dots$  and  $z \leq z_1$  define

$$h_0(z) = C_1(z_0) \lambda([0, z])$$

$$h_{n+1}(z) = \int_0^z \omega_1(u, h_n(u)) du$$

$$\bar{h}_n(z) = \mathbb{E}(\|X^n - X\|_z^2)$$

Lemma 2. Under the hypotheses of theorem 6 and for every  $n=1, \dots$  the following inequalities hold

$$(2.7) \quad 0 \leq \bar{h}_n(z) \leq h_n(z) \leq h_{n-1}(z) ; z \leq z_1$$

Proof of theorem 6. Step 1. We shall show that

$$(2.8) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(\|X^n - X\|_{z_1}^2) = 0$$

Denote  $h(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n(z)$  for  $z \leq z_1$ . Of course  $h$  is continuous, null on the axes and satisfies

$$h(z) = \int_0^z \omega_1(u, h(u)) du$$

The assumption (A) tells us that  $h=0$  and (2.8) follows by utilising Lemma 2.

Step 2. We define

$$T_2 = \sup\{T; 0 \leq T \leq T \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(\|X^n - X\|_{(T, T)}^2) = 0$$

It is clear that  $0 < T_1 \leq T_2 \leq T$ . In this step we shall show that

$$(2.9) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(\|X^n - X\|_{z_2}^2) = 0$$

where  $z_2 = (T_2, T_2)$ .

Let  $\bar{\epsilon} = (\epsilon, \epsilon)$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ . We have

$$(2.10) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(\|X^n - X\|_{z_2 - \bar{\epsilon}}^2) = 0.$$

Denote  $D_1 = [(0, T_2 - \epsilon), (T_2 - \epsilon, T_2)]$ ,  $D_2 = [z_2 - \bar{\epsilon}, z_2]$ ,  $D_3 = [(T_2 - \epsilon, 0), (T_2, T_2 - \epsilon)]$ .

All we must to show is

$$(2.11) \quad E(\sup_{z \in D_i} \|X_z^n - X_z\|^2) \leq L(T) \epsilon$$

for  $n$  enough large. We have

$$\begin{aligned} E(\sup_{z=(s,t) \in D_1} \|X_z^n - X_z\|^2) &\leq 3E(\sup_{z \in D_1} \|X_z^n - X^n(s, T_2 - \epsilon)\|^2) + \\ &+ 3E(\sup_{z \in D_1} \|\square_{z, T_2 - \epsilon} X\|^2) + 3E(\|X^n - X\|_{z_2 - \bar{\epsilon}}^2) = 3I_1^n + 3I_2 + 3I_3^n \end{aligned}$$

By using the Schwartz and Doob inequalities, lemma 1 and (2.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_1^n &\leq 2E[\sup_{z \in D_1} \|\int_0^s \int_{T_2 - \epsilon}^t F(u, X_u^{n-1}) dw_u\|^2] + \\ &2E[\sup_{z \in D_1} \|\int_0^s \int_{T_2 - \epsilon}^t G(u, X_u^{n-1}) du\|^2] \leq 2 \int_0^{T_2 - \epsilon} \int_{T_2 - \epsilon}^{T_2} E(\|F(u, X_u^{n-1})\|^2) du + \\ &2(T_2 - \epsilon) \epsilon \int_0^{T_2 - \epsilon} \int_{T_2 - \epsilon}^{T_2} E(\|G(u, X_u^{n-1})\|^2) du \leq 32C(z_2)(T_2 - \epsilon)\epsilon \\ &2C(z_2)(T_2 - \epsilon)\epsilon \leq L_1(T_2)\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we obtain  $I_2 \leq L_1(T_2)\epsilon$

On the other hand from (2.10) we arrive to  $I_3^n \leq \epsilon$  for  $n$  enough large.

Hence (2.11) is proved for  $i=1$ . The cases  $i=2, 3$  follow in the same manner.

Step 3. We shall show that  $T_2 = T$ . Contrary assume that  $T_2 < T$ . Observe that the above calculus shows the existence of a sequence  $a_n > 0$  such that



$$(2.12) \quad E(\|X^n - X\|_{z_2}^2) \leq a_n$$

Define  $z=(s, t)$ ,  $D_1(s) = [(T_2, 0), (T_2+s, T_2)]$ ,  $D_2(t) = [(0, T_2), (T_2, T_2+t)]$ ,  
 $D(z) = [z_2, z_2+z]$ . For  $z_2+z \leq z_0$  as in step 2 follows

$$(2.13) \quad E(\sup_{u \in D_1(s)} \|X_u^n - X_u\|^2) = I_n^1(s) \leq 3a_n + L(T)s$$

$$(2.14) \quad E(\sup_{u \in D_2(t)} \|X_u^n - X_u\|^2) = I_n^2(t) \leq 3a_n + L(T)t$$

$$(2.15) \quad E(\sup_{u \in D(z)} \|\square_{z_2}(X^n - X)_u\|^2) = I_n^3(s, t) \leq L(T)st$$

Choose  $0 < \eta_1 \leq T - T_2$  and a positive integer  $p$  such that

$$T_2 \omega^1(s+T_2, t, 3a_p + L(T)s) \leq L(T) \quad \text{for } s \leq \eta_1, t \leq T_2$$

where  $\omega^1 = (48 + 3T\eta_1)\omega$ .

For  $s \leq \eta_1$  we define

$$g_p(s) = 3a_p + L(T)s$$

$$g_{p+n}(s) = 3a_{p+n} + \int_0^s \int_0^{T_2} \omega^1(u+T_2, v, g_{p+n-1}(v)) du dv$$

$$\bar{g}_{p+n}(s) = E(\sup_{u \in D_1(s)} \|X_u^{n+p} - X_u\|^2)$$

By induction on  $n$  we obtain (we omit the details)

$$(2.16) \quad \bar{g}_{p+n} \leq g_{p+n} \leq g_{p+n-1}$$

We put  $g(s) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_{p+n}(s)$ , we have  $g(0) = 0$ ,  $g$  is continuous and (2.16)

implies

$$g(s) = \int_0^s \int_0^{T_2} \omega^1(u+T_2, v, g(v)) du dv$$

Hence  $g=0$  by assumption (A). In particular we obtain

$$(2.17) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(\sup_{u \in D_1(s)} \|X_u^n - X_u\|^2) = 0; \quad s \leq \eta_1$$

Similarly follows the existence of  $0 < \eta_2 \leq T - T_2$  such that

$$(2.18) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(\sup_{u \in D_2(t)} \|X_u^n - X_u\|^2) = 0; \quad t \leq \eta_2$$

Denote  $\omega^2 = 4(32 + 2T^2)\omega$  and choose  $0 < \eta_3 \leq \min(\eta_1, \eta_2, T - T_2)$  such that

$$\omega^2(s+T_2, t+T_2, L(T)st) \leq L(T) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq (s, t) \leq \eta_3$$

Now for  $z=(s, t) \leq (\eta_3, \eta_3)$  we define

$$\alpha_0^1 = \alpha_0^2 = \alpha_0^3 = 0$$

$$\alpha_n^1 = \sup_{k \geq n} I_k^1(\eta_3), \alpha_n^2 = \sup_{k \geq n} I_3^2(\eta_3), \alpha_n^3 = \sup_{k \geq n} I_k^3(\eta_3, \eta_3); n \geq 1$$

$$h_0(z) = L(T) \text{ st}$$

$$h_{n+1}(z) = \int_0^z \omega^2(u+z_2, h_n(u) + \alpha_n^1 + \alpha_n^2 + \alpha_n^3) du$$

$$\bar{h}_n(z) = E \left( \sup_{u \in D(z)} \left\| \square_{z_2} (X^n - X)_u \right\|^2 \right)$$

By utilising the inequality

$$\sup_{u \in D(z)} \left\| X_u^n - X_u \right\|^2 \leq 4 \left\| X^n - X \right\|_{z_2}^2 + 4 \sup_{u \in D_1(s)} \left\| X_u^n - X_u \right\|^2 +$$

$$4 \sup_{u \in D_2(t)} \left\| X_u^n - X_u \right\|^2 + 4 \cdot \sup_{u \in D(z)} \left\| \square_{z_2} (X_u^n - X_u) \right\|^2$$

we shall obtain  $4\bar{h}_n \leq h_n \leq h_{n-1}$  and hence  $h=0$ . In particular

$$(2.19) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \left( \sup_{u \in D(z)} \left\| X_u^n - X_u \right\|^2 \right) = 0$$

Finally from (2.9), (2.17)-(2.19) we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \left( \left\| X^n - X \right\|_{z_2 + (\eta_3, \eta_3)}^2 \right) = 0$$

which is contradictory with the definition of  $T_2$ .

Theorem is now proved.

Remark 5. In the one parameter case a similar result as in theorem 6 has been obtained by Yamada [13].

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_z, P, w_z; z \geq 0)$  a two parameter Wiener process and  $X$  be a class of continuous processes  $(X_z)_{z \geq 0}$  defined on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  and with values in  $R^d$  such that for every  $T > 0$  there exists a constant  $K(T)$  independent of  $X$  with properties

$$(2.20) \quad E \left( \left\| X \right\|_{(T, T)}^6 \right) \leq K(T)$$

$$(2.21) \quad E \left( \left\| X_z - X_{z'} \right\|^6 \right) \leq K(T) \left\{ \lambda([0, z']) - \lambda([0, z]) \right\}^3$$

for  $0 \leq z \leq z' \leq (T, T)$ .

Definition. We say that a measurable function  $a(z, x, \omega) : R_+^2 \times R^d \times \Omega \rightarrow R^d$  satisfies assumption (B) if for every  $T > 0$  there exists a constant  $L(T)$  such that P-a.s

$$\left\| a(z, x) \right\| \leq L(T) (1 + \|x\|)$$

$$\left\| a(z, x) - a(z, y) \right\| \leq L(T) \|x - y\|$$

For  $0 \leq z \leq z' \leq (T, T)$  and  $x, y$  in  $R^d$ .

Proposition 1. Let  $(a_n)_{n=0,1,\dots}$  be a sequence of functions which satisfy the assumption (E) with the same constant  $L(T)$ . Define for  $n=0,1,\dots$  and  $T, N > 0$

$$\alpha_n(N, T) = E \left( \sup_{\|x\| \leq N} \sup_{0 \leq z \leq z' \leq (T, T)} \left| \int_z^{z'} [a_n(u, x) - a_0(u, x)] du \right|^2 \right)$$

Assume that for every  $n, N, T$  we have

$$(2.22) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n(N, T) = 0$$

Then

$$(2.23) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{X \in \mathcal{X}} E \left( \sup_{0 \leq z \leq z' \leq (T, T)} \left| \int_z^{z'} [a_n(u, X) - a_0(u, X)] du \right|^2 \right) = 0$$

Proof. Let  $D$  be a partition of the rectangle  $[0, T]^2$  of the form  $0 = s_0 < \dots < s_{r+1} = T, 0 = t_0 < \dots < t_{s+1} = T$  and denote  $z_{i,j} = (s_i, t_j), D_{i,j} = [z_{i,j}, z_{i+1,j+1}), b_n = a_n - a_0$ .

Computation gives

$$(2.24) \quad E \left( \sup_{0 \leq z \leq z' \leq (T, T)} \left| \int_z^{z'} b_n(u, X_{z_{i,j}}) du \right|^2 \right) = I_n^{i,j}(X, T) \leq 2\alpha_n(N, T) +$$

$$L_1(T) N^{-4} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty, N \rightarrow \infty$  (in this order) uniformly in  $X \in \mathcal{X}$

Let  $\eta$  be arbitrarily fixed and choose the partition  $D$  such that

$$\max_{i,j} \lambda(D_{i,j}) \leq \eta; \max_{i,j} [(s_{i+1} - s_i) + (t_{j+1} - t_j)]^{1/2} \leq \eta$$

Then we shall obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ E \left( \sup_{0 \leq z \leq z' \leq (T, T)} \left| \int_z^{z'} b_n(u, X) du \right|^2 \right) \right\}^{1/2} \leq \\ & \sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{j=0}^s \left\{ E \left( \left| \int_{D_{i,j}} b_n(u, X) du \right|^2 \right) \right\}^{1/2} + \\ & 4(r+1)(s+1) \left\{ E \left[ \sup_{i,j} \left( \int_{D_{i,j}} |b_n(u, X)| du \right)^2 \right] \right\}^{1/2} = \\ & J_1 + 4(r+1)(s+1) J_2 \end{aligned}$$

Next we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 & \leq \sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{j=0}^s \left\{ E \left( \left| \int_{D_{i,j}} b_n(u, X_{z_{i,j}}) du \right|^2 \right) \right\}^{1/2} + \\ & \sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{j=0}^s E \left( \left| \int_{D_{i,j}} [b_n(u, X) - b_n(u, X_{z_{i,j}})] du \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \end{aligned}$$

$$(r+1)(s+1) \sup_{i,j} [I_n^{i,j}(X,T)]^{1/2} +$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{j=0}^s \left\{ E \left( \left| \int_{D_{i,j}} [b_n(u,x) - b_n(u, X_{z_{i,j}})] du \right|^2 \right) \right\}^{1/2} =$$

$$(r+1)(s+1) \sup_{i,j} [I_n^{i,j}(X,T)]^{1/2} + \sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{j=0}^s L_{i,j}$$

A computation gives

$$L_{i,j} \leq L_2(T) \lambda(D_{i,j}) [(s_{i+1} - s_i) + (t_{j+1} - t_j)]^{1/2}$$

If we choose  $n_0$  enough large such that

$$(r+1)(s+1) \sup_{i,j} \sup_{X \in \mathcal{X}} [I_n^{i,j}(X,T)]^{1/2} \leq \eta \text{ for } n \geq n_0 \text{ (see (2.24))}$$

then we get

$$(2.25) \quad J_1 \leq \eta + L_2(T) \sum_{i,j} \lambda(D_{i,j}) \eta = (1 + L_2(T) T^2) \eta = L_3(T) \eta$$

for  $n \geq n_0$ .

Also a simple computation gives

$$J_2 \leq \max_{i,j} [\lambda(D_{i,j})]^{1/2} \left\{ \int_{D_{i,j}} E(|b_n(u,x)|^2) du \right\}^{1/2} \leq L_4(T) \eta$$

Finally we deduce

$$\left\{ E \left( \sup_{0 \leq z \leq z' \leq (T,T)} \left| \int_z^{z'} b_n(u,x) du \right|^2 \right) \right\} \leq L_3(T) \eta +$$

$$4(r+1)(s+1)L_4(T)\eta = L_5(T)\eta$$

for  $n \geq n_0$ , uniformly in  $X \in \mathcal{X}$ .

The proof is now complete.

Theorem 7. Suppose we are given for  $n=0,1,\dots$  the functions

$$F_n: \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d; \quad G_n: \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$$

which satisfy assumption (B) with the same constant  $L(T)$ .

Let  $(H_z^n)_{z \geq 0}; n=0,1,\dots$ , be a sequence of continuous and  $\mathcal{F}_z$ -adapted

$\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued processes such that for every  $T > 0$  there exists a constant

$M(T)$  satisfying

$$\sup_n E(\|H_z^n\|_{(T,T)}^6) \leq M(T)$$

$$\sup_n E(\|H_z^n - H_z^n\|_{(T,T)}^6) \leq M(T) \{ \lambda([0, z']) - \lambda([0, z]) \}^3$$

for  $0 \leq z \leq z' \leq (T,T)$ .

Moreover assume that for every  $N, T > 0$

$$(i_1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \left( \sup_{\|x\| \leq N} \int_0^T \int_0^T \|F_n(u, x) - F_0(u, x)\|^2 du \right) = 0$$

$$(i_2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \left( \sup_{\|x\| \leq N} \sup_{0 \leq z \leq z' \leq (T, T)} \left| \int_z^{z'} [G_n(u, x) - G_0(u, x)] du \right|^2 \right) = 0$$

$$(i_3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \left( \|H^n - H^0\|_{(T, T)}^2 \right) = 0$$

Let  $(X_z^n)$  be the solution of the Ito equation

$$X_z^n = H_z^n + \int_0^z F_n(u, X_u^n) dw_u + \int_0^z G_n(u, X_u^n) du$$

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \left( \|X^n - X^0\|_{(T, T)}^2 \right) = 0$$

Proof. The existence and the pathwise uniqueness of  $X^n$  follow from [2] and [14].

Note also that from [2; p.79, 80] it follows that (2.20), (2.21) are satisfied for  $X = \{X^n; n \geq 0\}$ .

Now the theorem follows by an application of the standard method for the Ito integral and of the previous proposition for the Lebesgue integral.

Remark 6. Usually the assumption  $(i_2)$  is given with the norm inverted with the integral.

Remark 7. In the one parameter case a similar result as in theorem 7 has been obtained by Vârșan [11].

### 3. A priori estimate of errors in the numerical resolution

Let  $S$  be a real separable Hilbert space with norm  $|\cdot|$  and inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_z, P; z \in [0, 1]^2)$  be a equipped probability space.

We denote

$$I = [0, 1]^2; I_0 = \{0, 1\} \times \{0\} \cup \{0\} \times [0, 1]$$

$\mathcal{L}_2(S)$  = the Hilbert space of all Hilbert-Schmidt operators on  $S$  with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_2$ .

$L_2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, S)$  = the Hilbert space of all  $S$ -valued functions on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  which are square integrable in the Bochner sense.

$$D(I, S) = \{f: I \rightarrow S; f \text{ is right continuous and with left limits}\}$$

$\mathcal{P}$  = the Borel field on  $I \times \Omega$  generated by the sets  $(z, z'] \times A, A \in \mathcal{F}_z$ .

(a  $S$ -valued process which is  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}_S)$ -measurable is called predictable)

Definition 6. A  $S$ -valued process  $(M_z)_{z \in I}$  is called square integrable strong martingale (or difference martingale) if

(a) for every  $z, M_z \in L_2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_z, P, S)$  and  $M$  vanishes on  $I_0$

(b)  $(M_{s,0}, \mathcal{F}_{s,1}), (M_{0,t}, \mathcal{F}_{1,t})$  are  $S$ -valued martingales with one parameter

(c)  $E(\square_{s',t} M_{s,t} | \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_{s,1} \cup \mathcal{F}_{1,t})) = 0$  if  $s \leq s', t \leq t'$ .

Definition 7. If  $M_z$  is a square integrable strong martingale then the process denoted by  $\langle M \rangle_z$  with properties

(i)  $\langle M \rangle$  has continuous paths.

(j)  $\langle M \rangle$  vanishes on  $I_0$  and is increasing.

(k)  $E(|\square_{s',t} M_{s,t}|^2 | \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_{s,1} \cup \mathcal{F}_{1,t})) = E(\square_{s',t} \langle M \rangle_{s,t} | \mathcal{F}_{s,1} \cup \mathcal{F}_{1,t})$

is called the quadratic variation of  $M$  ( $\langle M \rangle$  exists and is uniquely determined).

Remark 8. If  $H$  is a predictable process which satisfies

$$E\left(\int_I \|H\|_2^2 d\langle M \rangle\right) < \infty$$

then the Ito integral  $\int_I H dM$  as usual. We note the validity of the Doob inequality

$$E\left(\sup_{u \leq z} \left|\int_0^u H dM\right|^2\right) \leq 16E\left(\int_0^z \|H\|_2^2 d\langle M \rangle\right)$$

We have the following version of theorem 1 (The proof is similar).

Theorem 8. Suppose we are given:

(i<sub>1</sub>)  $(M_z)_{z \in I}$  a continuous  $S$ -valued process which is a square integrable strong martingale with quadratic variation  $M$  satisfying

$$\square_{s',t} \langle M \rangle_{s,t} \leq C_1 (s'-s)(t'-t)$$

(i<sub>2</sub>)  $(V_z)_{z \in I}$  a continuous  $S$ -valued process with finite variation

$$\Lambda_z = \int_0^z d|V_u|$$

that satisfies

$$\square_{s',t} \Lambda_{s,t} \leq C_2 (s'-s)(t'-t)$$

(i<sub>3</sub>)  $(H_z)_{z \in I}$  an adapted  $S$ -valued process with paths in  $D(I, S)$  such

that  $E(\|H\|_2^2(1,1)) = C_3 < \infty$ .

(i<sub>4</sub>)  $F, G: I \times D(I, S) \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2(S)$   $\mathcal{R}_z \otimes \mathcal{F}_z$ -predictable processes such that

$$\|F(z, h) - F(z, g)\|_2^2 \leq K_1 \|h - g\|_2^2; \|G(z, h) - G(z, g)\|_2^2 \leq K_2 \|g - h\|_2^2$$

$$\|F(z, h)\|_2^2 \leq K_3 + K_4 \|h\|_2^2; \|G(z, h)\|_2^2 \leq K_5 + K_6 \|h\|_2^2$$

then there exists a pathwise unique  $S$ -valued process  $X_z$  adapted and with paths in  $D(I, S)$  (if  $H$  is continuous so is  $X$ ) and such that

$$(2.26) \quad X_z = H_z + \int_0^z F(u, X) dM_u + \int_0^z G(u, X) dV_u \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Next we suppose the hypotheses of theorem 8 hold.

For simplicity we denote

$$t_i = i/2^n, D_{i,j} = [t_i, t_{i+1}] \times [t_j, t_{j+1}], i, j = 0, \dots, 2^n - 1$$

Proposition 2. Assume that

$$|H_z - H_{z'}|^2 \leq C_4 \|z - z'\|^2 \quad ; \quad 48C_1 K_4 + 3C_2^2 K_6 \leq 2^n$$

Then we have

$$E\left(\sup_{u \in D_{i,j}} |X_u - X_{t_i, t_j}|^2\right) \leq d_n = \alpha_1 2^{-4n} + \alpha_2 2^{-2n} + \alpha_3 2^{-n}$$

where

$$\alpha_1 = 7C_2^2 K_5 + 38C_2^2 K_6 (3C_3 + 48C_1 K_3 + 3C_2^2 K_5) \exp(48C_1 K_4 + 3C_2^2 K_6)$$

$$\alpha_2 = 14C_4 + 14C_2^2 K_5 + 112C_1 K_3 + (3C_3 + 48C_1 K_3 + 3C_2^2 K_5) (448C_1 K_4 + 28C_2 K_6) \exp(48C_1 K_4 + 3C_2^2 K_6)$$

$$\alpha_3 = 56C_1 K_3 + 112C_1 K_4 (3C_3 + 48C_1 K_3 + 3C_2^2 K_5) \exp(48C_1 K_4 + 3C_2^2 K_6)$$

A proof may be found in [9].

The following three theorems are proved in [9].

Theorem 9. For each  $n$  let  $X^n$  be the process defined by

$$X_{t_i, 0}^n = H_{t_i, 0} \quad ; \quad X_{0, t_j}^n = H_{0, t_j}$$

$$X_{t_i, t_j}^n = H_{t_i, t_j} + \int_0^{t_i} \int_0^{t_j} F(u, X^n) dM_u + \int_0^{t_i} \int_0^{t_j} G(u, X^n) dV_u$$

$$X_{0, t}^n = X_{t_i, t_j}^n \quad \text{if } t_i \leq t < t_{i+1}, t_j \leq t < t_{j+1}$$

Then we have

$$(2.27) \quad E(\|X - X^n\|_{t_i, t_j}^2) \leq d_{n, i, j} = \beta_1 2^{-4n} + \beta_2 2^{-2n} + (96C_1 K_1 + 24C_2^2 K_2).$$

$$(d_n + \beta_1 2^{-4n} + \beta_2 2^{-2n}) t_i t_j \exp\{(96C_1 K_1 + 24C_2^2 K_2) t_i t_j\}$$

where

$$\beta_1 = 12C_2^2 K_5 + 48C_2^2 K_6 (3C_3 + 48C_1 K_3 + 3C_2^2 K_5) \exp(48C_1 K_4 + 3C_2^2 K_6)$$

$$\beta_2 = 6C_4 + 24C_2^2 K_5 + 48C_2^2 K_6 (3C_3 + 48C_1 K_3 + 3C_2^2 K_5) \exp(48C_1 K_4 + 3C_2^2 K_6)$$

Theorem 10. We add to (i<sub>1</sub>)-(i<sub>4</sub>) and the hypotheses of proposition 2 the assumptions

$$\|F(z, g) - F(z', g)\|_2^2 \leq L_1 \sup_{z \leq u \leq z'} |g_u - g_z|^2$$

$$\|G(z, g) - G(z', g)\|_2^2 \leq L_2 \sup_{z \leq u \leq z'} |g_u - g_z|^2$$

We define for every  $n$

$$X_{t_i, 0}^n = H_{t_i, 0} \quad ; \quad X_{0, t_j}^n = H_{0, t_j}$$

$$X_{t_{i+1}, t_{j+1}}^n = H_{t_{i+1}, t_{j+1}} + \sum_{r=0}^i \sum_{s=0}^j [F(t_r, t_s, X^n) (\square_{t_{r+1}, t_{s+1}} M_{t_r, t_s}) + G(t_r, t_s, X^n) (\square_{t_{r+1}, t_{s+1}} V_{t_r, t_s})]$$

$$X_{s, t}^n = X_{t_i, t_j}^n \quad \text{if } t_i \leq s \leq t_{i+1}, t_j \leq t \leq t_{j+1}.$$

Then

$$(2.28) E(\|X - X^n\|_{t_i, t_j}^2) \leq \beta_1 2^{-4n} + \beta_2 2^{-2n} + (96C_1 L_1 + 24C_2^2 L_2) (d_n +$$

$$\beta_1 2^{-4n} + \beta_2 2^{-2n}) t_i t_j \exp\{(96C_1 L_1 + 24C_2^2 L_2) t_i t_j\}$$

Theorem 11. Assume that  $H$  is continuous,  $F, G: I \times S \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2(S)$  are  $\mathcal{F}_z$ -adapted and continuous such that there exists constants  $k_i, \bar{k}_i, i=1, \dots, 6$  with properties:

$$\|F(z, h) - F(z, g)\|_2 \leq k_1 |h - g|^2; \|G(z, h) - G(z, g)\|_2 \leq \bar{k}_1 |h - g|^2$$

$$\|\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(z, h)\| \leq k_3; \|\frac{\partial G}{\partial x}(z, h)\| \leq \bar{k}_3; \|F(z, h)\|_2 \leq k_2; \|G(z, h)\|_2 \leq \bar{k}_2$$

$$\|\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(z, h)\| \leq k_4; \|\frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial x^2}(z, h)\| \leq \bar{k}_4$$

$$\|\frac{\partial F}{\partial s}(z, h)\| \leq k_5; \|\frac{\partial G}{\partial s}(z, h)\| \leq \bar{k}_5$$

$$\|\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(z, h)\| \leq k_6; \|\frac{\partial G}{\partial t}(z, h)\| \leq \bar{k}_6$$

For each  $n$  let  $X^n$  be the process defined by

$$X_{s, t}^n = H_{s, t} + \sum_{r=0}^{i-1} \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} [F(t_r, t_s, X_{t_r, t_s}^n) (\square_{t_{r+1}, t_{s+1}} M_{t_r, t_s}) +$$

$$G(t_r, t_s, X_{t_r, t_s}^n) (\square_{t_{r+1}, t_{s+1}} V_{t_r, t_s}) +$$

$$\int_{t_r}^{t_{r+1}} \int_{t_s}^{t_{s+1}} (F \cdot \frac{\partial F}{\partial x})(t_r, t_s, X_{t_r, t_s}^n) (\square_{t_r, t_s} M_{u, v}) dM_{u, v} +$$

$$\int_{t_r}^{t_{r+1}} \int_{t_s}^{t_{s+1}} (F \cdot \frac{\partial G}{\partial x})(t_r, t_s, X_{t_r, t_s}^n) (\square_{t_r, t_s} M_{u, v}) dV_{u, v}] +$$

$$F(t_i, t_j, X_{t_i, t_j}^n) (\square_{t_i, t_j} M_{t_i, t_j}) + G(t_i, t_j, X_{t_i, t_j}^n) (\square_{t_i, t_j} V_{t_i, t_j}) +$$

$$\int_{t_i}^s \int_{t_j}^t (F \cdot \frac{\partial G}{\partial x})(t_i, t_j, X_{t_i, t_j}^n) (\square_{u_1, u_2} M_{t_i, t_j}) dM_{u_1, u_2} +$$



$$+ \int_{t_i}^s \int_{t_j}^t (F \cdot \frac{\partial G}{\partial X})(t_i, t_j, X_{t_i, t_j}^n) (\square_{u_1, u_2} M_{t_i, t_j}) dV_{u_1, u_2}$$

if  $(s, t) \in [t_i, t_{i+1}] \times [t_j, t_{j+1}]$ .

Then

$$(2.29) \quad E(\|X - X^n\|_{t_i, t_j}^2) \leq 6 [(\bar{\alpha}_1 + \bar{\beta}_1) + (\bar{\alpha}_2 + \bar{\beta}_2) 2^{-n} + (\bar{\alpha}_3 + \bar{\beta}_3) 2^{-2n} + (\bar{\alpha}_4 + \bar{\beta}_4) 2^{-4n}] t_i t_j \exp\{(96C_1 k_1 + 6C_2^2 \bar{k}_1) + 12 \cdot 16^2 C_1^2 (k_2 k_4^2 + k_3^2 k_1) + 192C_1 C_2^2 (k_2 \bar{k}_4^2 + \bar{k}_3^2 k_1)\}$$

where

$$\bar{\alpha}_1 = 40C_1 k_4^2 E(\sup_{u \in D_{i,j}} |X_u - X_{t_i, t_j}|^4)$$

$$\bar{\alpha}_2 = 160(32k_2 k_3^2 C_1 + 2C_2^2 k_3^2)$$

$$\bar{\alpha}_3 = 160[k_5^2 + k_6^2 + 2k_3^2 + 32C_1 k_1 E(\sup_{u \in D_{i,j}} |X_u - X_{t_i, t_j}|^2) + C_2^2 k_3^2 \bar{k}_2]$$

$$\bar{\alpha}_4 = 160(64C_1 k_3^2 k_5^2 + 64C_1 k_6^2 k_3^2 + k_3^2 C_2^2 \bar{k}_2)$$

$$\bar{\beta}_1 = 10/40C_2^2 \bar{k}_4^2 E(\sup_{u \in D_{i,j}} |X_u - X_{t_i, t_j}|^4)$$

$$\bar{\beta}_2 = 10C_2^2(16k_2 \bar{k}_3^2 C_1 + 16k_2 k_3^2 C_1 + \bar{k}_3^2 C_2^2 + k_3^2 C_2^2)$$

$$\bar{\beta}_3 = 10C_2^2[k_5^2 + k_6^2 + 2\bar{k}_3^2 + 32C_1 \bar{k}_3^2 k_1 E(\sup_{u \in D_{i,j}} |X_u - X_{t_i, t_j}|^2) + \bar{k}_3^2 C_2^2 \bar{k}_2]$$

$$\bar{\beta}_4 = 10C_2^2(\bar{k}_3^2 C_2^2 \bar{k}_2 + 64C_1 k_5^2 \bar{k}_3^2 + 64C_1 \bar{k}_3^2 k_6^2)$$

Proposition 3. Under the hypotheses of theorem 11 and moreover if  $M_z$  is a two parameter Wiener process i.e

- (1)  $M$  vanishes on  $I_0$  and has continuous paths,
  - (2)  $E(M_z) = 0$  and  $M_z \in L_2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_z, P, S)$  for each  $z$ ,
  - (3)  $\text{Cov}(\square_{s', t'} M_{s', t'}, \square_{s, t} M_{s, t}) = (s' - s)(t' - t)W$ , where  $W$  is a positive, trace class operator on  $S$ ,
  - (4) the increments over disjoint rectangles are independent,
- then the following inequality holds:

$$(2.30) \quad E(\sup_{u \in D_{i,j}} |X_u - X_{t_i, t_j}|^4) \leq 7^3 C_2^4 \bar{k}_2^2 2^{-8n} + 7^3 [C_2^4 \bar{k}_2^2 (t_i^4 + t_j^4) + 36(3/4)^4 k_2^2 \cdot (1 + \|W\|^2)^2 + 4C_2^4] 2^{-4n} + 36 \cdot 7^3 (4/3)^4 k_2^2 (1 + \|W\|^2)^2 (t_i^2 + t_j^2) 2^{-2n}$$

A proof may be found in [9].

Remark 2. Approximation theorems for 1-parameter has been obtained in [4] . [6]

## References

1. CAIROLI R., Sur une équation différentielle stochastique. *Compte Rendus Acad. Sc. Paris*, 274, Ser. A, 1972.
2. GULIUMAN I.I., PIASETZKAIA T.E., A class of stochastic differential equations containing the two parameter white noise. In: *Limit theorems for random processes*, Kiev, 1977 (in Russian).
3. GULIUMAN I.I., The existence of weak solutions for a class of hyperbolic systems containing the two parameter white noise. *Theory of Random processes*, 6, 1978 (in Russian).
4. GLORENNEC P., Estimation a priori des erreurs dans la résolution numérique d'équations différentielles stochastiques. *Sem. de Prob. 1* (Rennes), 1977.
5. LEFORT P., Une propriété markovienne pour les processus à deux indices. Thèse de Doctorat de 3<sup>ième</sup> cycle, Université de Paris 6, 1980.
6. FLATEN E., An approximation method for a class of Ito processes. *Lit. Mat. Sb.*, 1, 1981.
7. PONOMARENCO L., Stochastic integral with respect to the multiparameter brownian motion and attached stochastic equations. *Teor. Veroiatn. i Mat. Stat.* 7, 1972 (in Russian).
8. TUDOR C., Stochastic integral equations in the plane. *Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et Appl.* 3, 1981.
9. TUDOR C., TUDOR M., On approximation in quadratic mean for the solutions of two parameter stochastic differential equations in Hilbert spaces. *Analele Univ. București*, 1983.
10. TZARENCO T.T., On the existence and the uniqueness of solutions to stochastic equations of Darboux type. *Kibernetika*, 4, 1972 (in Russian).
11. VARSAN C., Some properties of Ito differential equations (to appear in *Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et Appl.*).
12. YAMADA T., WATANABE S., On the uniqueness of solutions of stochastic differential equations. *J. of Math. of Kyoto Univ.* 11, 1971.
13. YAMADA T., On the successive approximation of solutions of stochastic differential equations. *J. of Math. of Kyoto Univ.* 3, 1981.
14. YEH J., Existence of strong solutions for stochastic differential equations in the plane. *Pacific J. of Math.* 1, 1981.

Constantin Tudor  
Faculty of Mathematics  
14 Academy Street  
Bucharest, ROMANIA