

RENDICONTI
del
SEMINARIO MATEMATICO
della
UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

HARIDAS BAGCHI

**Note on the two congruences $ax^2 + by^2 + e \equiv 0$,
 $ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 + dw^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, where p is an odd
prime and $a^{-1} \equiv 0, b^{-1} \equiv 0, c^{-1} \equiv 0, d^{-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$**

Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova,
tome 18 (1949), p. 311-315

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1949__18__311_0

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1949, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (<http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/legal.php>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

NOTE ON THE TWO CONGRUENCES
 $ax^2 + by^2 + e \equiv 0, ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 + dw^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p},$
 WHERE p IS AN ODD PRIME AND
 $a \equiv 0, b \equiv 0, c \equiv 0, d \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$

Nota () di HARIDAS BAGCHI, M. A., Ph. D.*

Offg. Head of the Department of Pure Mathematics, Calcutta University.

Introduction. - The object of the present note is to generalise two *known* propositions of the Theory of Numbers, *viz.*, that each of the two arithmetical congruences :

$$(i) \quad x^2 + y^2 + 1 \equiv 0, \pmod{p}$$

and (ii) $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + w^2 \equiv 0, \pmod{p}$

is possible, provided that p is an odd prime. The basic principle to be made use of is the same as that employed by Professors HARDY and WRIGHT in the book noted below (1).

1. - Suppose that p is an odd prime and that a, b, c are integers prime to p .

Then in the first place we observe that, as x runs through the sequence of integral values :

$$(1) \quad 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2},$$

(*) Pervenuta in Redazione il 19 Maggio 1949.

(1) Vide HARDY and WRIGHT's « *Theory of Numbers* » (1945), § 6 · 7 (p. 70) and § 20 · 5 (p. 300).

no two integers of the set :

$$(2) \quad \{ ax^2 \},$$

can be congruent. For a congruential relation of the form :

$$ax_1^2 \equiv ax_2^2 \pmod{p},$$

would be equivalent to :

$$a(x_1 + x_2)(x_1 - x_2) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

This is absurd, seeing that :

$$\text{and :} \quad a \equiv 0 \pmod{p};$$

$$x_1 + x_2 \equiv 0, \quad x_1 - x_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

$$\text{for } x_1 < \frac{p}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad x_2 < \frac{p}{2}.$$

Hence the $\frac{p+1}{2}$ numbers of the set (2) must be all *incongruent*.

In the second place we notice that, when y runs through the series of integral values (1), no two members of the set :

$$(3) \quad \{ -by^2 - e \},$$

can be congruent. For a relation like :

$$-by_1^2 - e \equiv -by_2^2 - e \pmod{p},$$

would be tantamount to :

$$b(y_1 + y_2)(y_1 - y_2) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

But such a relation is untenable, for

$$b \equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

$$\text{and :} \quad y_1 + y_2 \equiv 0, \quad y_1 - y_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

$$\text{for } y_1 < \frac{p}{2}, \quad y_2 < \frac{p}{2}.$$

Consequently the $\frac{p+1}{2}$ numbers of the set (3) must be all *incongruent*. Bearing in mind that the residue of an arbitrary or unrestricted integer *w. r. t.* the modulus p must belong to the set of p numbers, *vix.*:

$$0, 1, 2, \dots, p-1,$$

it follows that the totality of a set of mutually incongruent integers can never exceed p . Hence remarking that the aggregate number of integers, included in the two sets (2) and (3), (counted together), is:

$$\frac{p+1}{2} + \frac{p+1}{2} > p,$$

we reach the conclusion that *some* number of the set (2) must be congruent to *some* number of the set (3), so that the congruence:

$$ax^2 \equiv -by^2 - c \pmod{p},$$

must be *possible*.

We have thus disposed of the generalised form of the congruence (i), mentioned in the *Introduction*. The generalised proposition may be formally enunciated as follows:

If p be an odd prime and:

$$a \equiv 0, \quad b \equiv 0, \quad e \equiv 0, \quad \pmod{p},$$

then there must exist integers x, y , which are each numerically $< \frac{p}{2}$ and satisfy the congruence:

$$ax^2 + by^2 + e \equiv 0, \quad \pmod{p}.$$

It is scarcely necessary to add that because of the relation: $e \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, x, y cannot vanish simultaneously.

2. - We shall now start with four given integers, each of which is prime to an odd prime number p .

Then, by Art. 1, each of the two congruences :

$$ax^2 + by^2 + e \equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

$$cx^2 + dw^2 - e \equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

is possible ; so that by addition the congruence :

$$ax^2 + by^2 + cx^2 + dw^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

is also possible.

We have thus arrived at the *extended* form of the congruence (ii), mentioned in the Introduction. The extended proposition evidently reads as follows :

If p be an odd prime and

$$a \equiv 0, \quad b \equiv 0, \quad c \equiv 0, \quad d \equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

then there must exist integers x, y, z, w (not all zero), which are each $< \frac{p}{2}$ and conform to the congruential relation :

$$ax^2 + by^2 + cx^2 + dw^2 \equiv 0, \quad \pmod{p}.$$

That is to say, subject to the afore-said restrictions on a, b, c, d , it must be possible to choose the integers x, y, z, w , so that the integer

$$(I) \quad ax^2 + by^2 + cx^2 + dw^2$$

shall be a multiple of p (say, np).

In the particular case when $a = b = c = d = 1$, we know⁽²⁾ that the least multiple of an odd prime p , which admits of representation in the form (I), is no other than p itself.

Inquisitive readers may propose to tackle the similar problem in the more general case, when a, b, c, d are any given integers, prime to p . The precise form of the query is to investigate about the *least* multiple of a given odd prime number p , which can, by a proper adjustment of the integers x, y, z, w , be put in the form :

$$ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 + dw^2,$$

it being implied that a, b, c, d are four *pre-assigned* integers, prime to p .

⁽²⁾ See HARDY and WRIGHT (*loc. cit.*, Art. 20 · 5, p. 300).