

RENDICONTI
del
SEMINARIO MATEMATICO
della
UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

JACQUES LOUIS LIONS

On a trace problem

Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova,
tome 31 (1961), p. 232-242

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1961__31__232_0>

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1961, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (<http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

*Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques*
<http://www.numdam.org/>

ON A TRACE PROBLEM

Nota () di JACQUES LOUIS LIONS (a Nancy) ¹⁾*

1. Introduction

In the plane $\{x_1, x_2\}$, let Ω be the open set $\{x_2 > 0\}$; let u_1 and u_2 be two functions given in Ω with the properties

$$(1.1) \quad u_j \in L^2(\Omega), \quad \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_1}, \quad \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_2} \in L^2(\Omega)^2, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

and

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_2} = 0.$$

Assuming that only (1.1) holds, one can define, as it is well known (Aronszajn [1], J. L. Lions [1], G. Prodi [1]), the « traces » $u_j(x_1, 0)$ of $u_j(x)$ on the boundary Γ of Ω , and $u_j(x_1, 0) \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma)$ i.e.

$$(1.3) \quad \int (1 + |y_1|) |\hat{u}_j(y_1, 0)|^2 dy_1 < \infty, \quad j = 1, 2$$

(*) Pervenuta in Redazione il 21 marzo 1961.

Indirizzo dell'A.: Institut Mathématique, 2 Rue de la Craffe, Nancy (Francia).

¹⁾ Work done under contract AF 61(052)-414 with the U.S. Air Force.

²⁾ $L^2(\Omega)$, as usually, denotes the space of square integrable functions in Ω for the measure $dx = dx_1 dx_2$; the derivatives are taken in the sense of distributions in Ω cf. SCHWARTZ [1], SOBOLEV [1].

where $\hat{u}_j(y_1, 0)$ denotes the Fourier transform in x_1 of $u_j(x_1, 0)$.

If we assume now that *moreover* (1.2) holds, then $u_2(x_1, 0)$ satisfies a stronger condition than (1.3), as we shall see in sections 2 and 3 — where we shall define the best (i.e the smallest) spaces spanned by $u_1(x_1, 0)$ and $u_2(x_1, 0)$ —. The problem of characterizing the « traces spaces » spanned by $u_1(x_1, 0)$, $u_2(x_1, 0)$ arises in connection with the boundary value problems related to the Navier-Stokes equations.

Let us now replace in the above problem $L^2(\Omega)$ by $L^p(\Omega)$, $1 < p < \infty$, $p \neq 2$. Then, *without assuming* (1.2), the condition which plays the role of (1.3) has been found by E. Gagliardo [1] — Next, the Gagliardo's result was extended in Lions [3]. The combination of this last paper and the above remarks leads naturally to the following general problem.

Let E be a complex Banach space; if $e \in E$, $\|e\|$ will denote the norm of e in E . Let \wedge be an unbounded operator in E satisfying:

$$(1.4) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \wedge \text{ is the infinitesimal generator of a semi-group } G(t), \\ \text{strongly continuous in } E \text{ for } t \geq 0, \text{ and bounded } ^3). \end{array} \right.$$

We shall denote by $D(\wedge)$ the domain of \wedge ; provided with the norm

$$\|e\|_{D(\wedge)} = (\|e\|^2 + \|\wedge e\|^2)^{1/2},$$

$D(\wedge)$ becomes a Banach Space.

Let p and α be given with the following properties:

$$(1.5) \quad 1 < p \leq \infty, (1/p) + \alpha = \vartheta \in]0, 1[.$$

We denote by $W(p, \alpha, D(\wedge), E)$ (cf. Lions [3]) the space of function u satisfying,

$$(1.6) \quad t^\alpha u \in L^p(0, \infty, D(\wedge))^4,$$

³) For the semi-group theory, the reader is referred to Hille-Phillips [1].

⁴) i.e. u is measurable with values in $D(\wedge)$ and $\left(\int_0^\infty \|t^\alpha u(t)\|_{D(\wedge)}^{1/p} dt\right)^{1/p} < \infty$.

Standard modification when $p = \infty$.

$$(1.7) \quad t^\alpha u' \in L^p(0, \infty, E)^5);$$

provided with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W(p, \alpha, D(\wedge), E)} = \max \left[\left(\int_0^\infty \|t^\alpha u(t)\|_{D(\wedge)}^p dt \right)^{1/p}, \left(\int_0^\infty \|t^\alpha u'(t)\|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \right],$$

$W(p, \alpha, D(\wedge), E)$ becomes a Banach space. If E is a Hilbert space and if $p = 2$, it is a Hilbert space.

Now let u_1 and u_2 be given in $W(p, \alpha, D(\wedge), E)$, with the property:

$$(1.8) \quad \wedge u_1 + u_2' = 0.$$

We set the following problem:

Problem 1.1: To characterize the spaces spanned by $u_1(0)$ and $u_2(0)$ when u_1 and u_2 span the space $W(p, \alpha, D(\wedge), E)$, subject to condition (1.8).

We give in section 2 necessary conditions; we conjecture these condition to be sufficient — and we prove in section 3 that this is true in the Hilbert case.

2. Necessary conditions.

2.1 Let us set

$$u_1(0) = f_1, \quad u_2(0) = f_2.$$

It follows from Lions [3] that f_1 and f_2 satisfy

$$(2.1) \quad \int_0^\infty \|t^{(\alpha-1)}(G(t)f_j - f_j)\|^p dt < \infty, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

⁵⁾ $u' = (du/dt)$ is the derivative of u considered as a distribution in the open set $]0, \infty[$ with values in $D(\wedge)$. (Cf. SCHWARTZ [2]).

We are going to prove that f_2 satisfies a stronger condition.

2.2 Let us denote by $\tilde{u}_j, j = 1, 2$, the function which equals $u_j(t)$ for $t > 0$ and 0 for $t < 0$. One has (taking the derivative d/dt in the distribution's sense on the whole line):

$$\frac{d}{dt} \tilde{u}_2 - \wedge \tilde{u}_2 = f_2 \otimes \delta + \left(\frac{du_2}{dt}\right)^\sim - \wedge \tilde{u}_2$$

where δ = measure of mass 1 at the origin.

But by (1.8), $\left(\frac{du_2}{dt}\right)^\sim = - \wedge \tilde{u}_1$ hence

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{d\tilde{u}_2}{dt} - \wedge \tilde{u}_2 = f_2 \otimes \delta - \wedge (\tilde{u}_1 + \tilde{u}_2).$$

The solution of the Cauchy problem (2.2) is given by

$$(2.3) \quad \tilde{u}_2(t) = G(t)f_2 - G * \wedge (\tilde{u}_1 + \tilde{u}_2),$$

(where we extend $G(t)$ by 0 for $t < 0$).

But since

$$G * (d/dt - \wedge) = \delta \otimes I$$

where I = identity mapping from $D(\wedge)$ into itself, we have

$$- G * \wedge (\tilde{u}_1 + \tilde{u}_2) = - D * G * (u_1 + u_2)^\sim + \tilde{u}_1 + \tilde{u}_2$$

and therefore (2.3) gives

$$(2.4) \quad G(t)f_2 = D * G * (u_1 + u_2)^\sim - \tilde{u}_1.$$

From this equality it follows that

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t G(\sigma)f_2 d\sigma = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t G(t - \sigma)(u_1(\sigma) + u_2(\sigma))d\sigma - \\ - \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t u_1(\sigma)d\sigma.$$

Applying here an inequality due to Hardy (cf. Hardy-Littlewood-Polya [1], p. 245 (9.9.8)) we obtain:

$$(2.6) \quad \int_0^{\infty} \left\| t^{\alpha-1} \int_0^t G(\sigma) f_2 d\sigma \right\|_{D(\wedge)}^p dt < \infty .$$

Summing up:

Theorem 2.1.: Let u_1 and u_2 be given in $W(p, \alpha, D(\wedge), E)$ subject to (1.8). Then $u_2(0) = f_2$ satisfies condition (2.6).

2.3 Since

$$\wedge \left(\int_0^t G(\sigma) f_2 d\sigma \right) = G(t) f_2 - f_2 ,$$

condition (2.6) implies that

$$t^{(\alpha-1)}(G(t)f_2 - f_2) \in L^p(0, \infty; E) ,$$

i.e. condition (2.1) for $j = 2$.

Reciprocally, if f_2 is given with (2.1), then

$$\wedge \left(t^{\alpha-1} \int_0^t G(\sigma) f_2 d\sigma \right) \in L^p(0, \infty; E)$$

but in general, if \wedge is not an isomorphism from $D(\wedge)$ onto E ,

$$t^{\alpha-1} \int_0^t G(\sigma) f_2 d\sigma$$

does not belong to $L^p(0, \infty; E)$ — so that (2.6) is in general a stronger condition than (2.1), $j = 2$ —.

Example: We consider $E = L^p(\mathbb{R})$, $\wedge = d/dx$, $G(t)f(x) =$

$= f(x + t)$. Then f_2 satisfies:

$$(2.7) \quad f_2 \in L^p(R),$$

$$(2.8) \quad t^{\alpha-1} \int_0^t f_2(x + \sigma) d\sigma \in L^p(R \times (0, \infty))$$

and

$$(2.9) \quad t^{\alpha-1}(f_2(x + t) - f_2(x)) \in L^p(R \times (0, \infty)).$$

And in general (2.8) does not hold for a function satisfying (2.7) and (2.9).

When $p = 2$, (2.8) is equivalent to

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |y|^{-2\theta} |\widehat{f}_2(y)|^2 dy < \infty, \quad \frac{1}{2} + \alpha = \theta,$$

\widehat{f}_2 = Fourier transform of f_2 .

We can also notice that $f_2 \in D(\wedge^\infty)$ does not imply (2.6) in general.

2.4 Remark.

The proof of Theorem 2.1 give also the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_1\| + \|f_2\| + \left(\int_0^\infty \|t^{\alpha-1}(G(t)f_1 - f_1)\|^p dt \right)^{1/p} + \\ + \left(\int_0^\infty \|t^{\alpha-1} \int_0^t G(\sigma) f_2 d\sigma\|_{\mathcal{D}(\wedge)}^p d\sigma \right)^{1/p} \leq \\ \leq C (\|u_1\|_{\mathcal{W}(p,\alpha,\mathcal{D}(\wedge),\mathcal{E})} + \|u_2\|_{\mathcal{W}(p,\alpha,\mathcal{D}(\wedge),\mathcal{E})}) \end{aligned}$$

where c is a suitable constant ⁷⁾.

⁶⁾ i.e. $f_2 \in D(\wedge), \wedge f_2 \in D(\wedge), \dots$

⁷⁾ We made no attempt for calculating the best constant c .

2.5 We conjecture that the result of Theorem 2.1 is the best possible, i.e. given f_1 with (2.1) and f_2 with (2.6), $f_1, f_2 \in E$, there exists $u_1, u_2 \in W(p, \alpha, D(\wedge), E)$, with the properties:

$$u_1(0) = f_1, u_2(0) = f_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \wedge u_1 + u_2' = 0.$$

We have been unable to prove this result in general; we shall prove in section 3 that this is indeed correct when E is a Hilbert space, $p = 2$ and \wedge (or $i \wedge$) self adjoint.

3. Hilbertian case. Necessary and sufficient conditions.

Let E be a separable Hilbert space and A be a self-adjoint operator in E . By diagonalization of A , we can always assume that

$$E = \int^{\oplus} h(\lambda) d\mu(\lambda),$$

$d\mu =$ positive measure on R ,

$h(\lambda) = d\mu -$ measurable family of Hilbert spaces (cf. Dixmier [1]), and that for $f \in D(A)$ (domain of A),

$$(3.1) \quad Af(\lambda) = \lambda f(\lambda), \quad d\mu - \text{a.e.}$$

If we take

$$(3.2) \quad \wedge = iA$$

then \wedge is the infinitesimal generator of the (unitary) group given by

$$(3.3) \quad G(t)f(\lambda) = \exp(i\lambda t)f(\lambda), \quad f \in E.$$

We apply Theorem 2.1 in this situation, with $p = 2$.

Condition (2.1) for f_1 becomes

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{\infty} t^{2(x-1)} |1 - e^{i\lambda t}|^2 |f_1(\lambda)|_{h(\lambda)}^2 d\mu(\lambda) dt < \infty \text{ } ^8)$$

i.e.

$$(3.4) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\lambda|^{1-2x} |f_1(\lambda)|_{h(\lambda)}^2 d\mu(\lambda) < \infty$$

condition (2.6) becomes

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{\infty} t^{2(x-1)} \left| \int_0^t e^{i\lambda\sigma} d\sigma \right|^2 (1 + |\lambda|)^2 |f_2(\lambda)|_{h(\lambda)}^2 d\mu(\lambda) dt < \infty \text{ } ^9)$$

i.e.

$$(3.5) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\lambda|^{-1-2x} (1 + |\lambda|)^2 |f_2(\lambda)|_{h(\lambda)}^2 d\mu(\lambda) < \infty .$$

We can now prove the

THEOREM 3.1.: *Let f_1 and f_2 be given in E , satisfying conditions (3.4) and (3.5). Then there exist u_1 and u_2 such that*

$$(3.6) \quad t^\alpha u_j \in L^2(0, \infty; D(\wedge)), \quad t^\alpha \frac{du_j}{dt} \in L^2(0, \infty; E), \quad j = 1, 2,$$

$$(3.7) \quad \wedge u_1 + \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial t} = 0,$$

$$(3.8) \quad u_1(\lambda, 0) = f_1(\lambda), \quad u_2(\lambda, 0) = f_2(\lambda).$$

⁸⁾ $|f(\lambda)|_{h(\lambda)}$ denotes the norm in $h(\lambda)$; one has

$$\|f\|_{h(\lambda)}^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(\lambda)|_{h(\lambda)}^2 d\mu(\lambda).$$

⁹⁾ $\|f\|_{D(\wedge)}^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (1 + |\lambda|)^2 |f(\lambda)|_{h(\lambda)}^2 d\mu(\lambda).$

Proof.: Let M and N be two functions given on $t \geq 0$, real valued, twice continuously differentiable, with compact support, and satisfying

$$(3.9) \quad M(0) = 1, \quad M'(0) = 0, \quad N(0) = 1.$$

We introduce $u_2(\lambda, t)$ by

$$(3.10) \quad u_2(\lambda, t) = M(|\lambda|t)f_2(\lambda) - i\lambda t N(t(1 + |\lambda|))f_1(\lambda).$$

The second condition (3.8) is fulfilled.

Let us check that (3.6) holds, for $j = 2$. We can check separately that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{\infty} t^{2\alpha}(1 + |\lambda|)^2 M(|\lambda|t)^2 |f_2(\lambda)|_{\mathfrak{h}(\lambda)}^2 d\mu(\lambda) dt < \infty,$$

and that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{\infty} t^{2\alpha}(1 + |\lambda|)^2 \lambda^2 t^2 N(t(1 + |\lambda|))^2 |f_1(\lambda)|_{\mathfrak{h}(\lambda)}^2 d\mu(\lambda) dt < \infty.$$

The first condition is equivalent to

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\lambda|^{-2\alpha-1}(1 + |\lambda|)^2 |f_2(\lambda)|_{\mathfrak{h}(\lambda)}^2 d\mu(\lambda) < \infty$$

and this is (3.5); the second condition is equivalent to

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \lambda^2(1 + |\lambda|)^{-2\alpha-1} |f_1(\lambda)|_{\mathfrak{h}(\lambda)}^2 d\mu(\lambda) < \infty$$

and this follows from (3.4).

Next for $\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial t}(\lambda, t)$:

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial t}(\lambda, t) = & |\lambda| M'(|\lambda|t)f_2(\lambda) - i\lambda N'(t(1 + |\lambda|))f_1(\lambda) - \\ & - i\lambda(1 + |\lambda|)tN'(t(1 + |\lambda|))f_1(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

One has to check that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{\infty} t^{2\alpha} |\lambda|^2 M'(|\lambda|t)^2 |f_2(\lambda)|_{\mathfrak{h}(\lambda)}^2 d\mu(\lambda) dt < \infty$$

and that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{\infty} t^{2\alpha} \lambda P(t(1 + |\lambda|))^2 |f_1(\lambda)|_{\mathfrak{h}(\lambda)}^2 d\mu(\lambda) dt < \infty,$$

where $P(s) = N(s)$ or $sN'(s)$.

The first condition is equivalent to

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\lambda|^{1-2\alpha} |f_2(\lambda)|_{\mathfrak{h}(\lambda)}^2 d\mu(\lambda) < \infty$$

and this follows from (3.5); the second condition is equivalent to

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (1 + |\lambda|)^{-1-2\alpha} |\lambda|^2 |f_1(\lambda)|_{\mathfrak{h}(\lambda)}^2 d\mu(\lambda) < \infty$$

and this follows from (3.4).

Therefore, it is proved that (3.6) holds, $j = 2$.

We choose now u_1 in such a way that (3.7) is true, i.e. $i\lambda u_1 + (\partial u_2)/(\partial t) = 0$; by comparison with (3.11), it follows that

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{cases} u_1(\lambda, t) = N(t(1 + |\lambda|))f_1(\lambda) + t(1 + |\lambda|)N'(t(1 + \\ + |\lambda|))f_1(\lambda) + i \frac{|\lambda|}{\lambda} M'(t|\lambda|)f_2(\lambda). \end{cases}$$

We notice that $u_1(\lambda, 0) = f_1(\lambda)$, so that it remains only to check (3.6) for $j = 1$.

The verifications, which follow the same lines than above, are left to the reader.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- ARONSAJN N. [1] – *Boundary values of functions with finite Dirichlet integral*. O.N.R. Report, N° 14 (1955), Lawrence, Kansas.
- DIXMIER J. [1] – *Les algèbres d'opérateurs dans l'espace hilbertien*. Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1957.
- GAGLIARDO E. [1] – *Caratterizzazioni delle tracce sulla frontiera relative ad alcune classi di funzioni in n variabili*. Rend. Sem. Mat. di Padova, t. 27 (1957), 284-305.
- HARDY-LITTLEWOOD-POLYA [1] – *Inequalities*. Cambridge University Press, 1934.
- HILLE-PHILLIPS [1] – *Functionnal analysis and semi-groups*. Amer. Math. Soc. Coll. Publ. XXXI (1957).
- LIONS J. L. [1] – *Sur les problèmes aux limites du type dérivée oblique*. Annals of Mathem., vol 64 (1956), 207-239.
- LIONS J. L. [2] – *Espaces intermédiaires entre espaces hilbertiens et application*. Bull. Math. R. P. R. Bucarest, t. 50 (1958), 419-432.
- LIONS J. L. [3] – *Théoremes de traces et d'interpolation (I)*. Annali della Scuola Normale Sup. di Pisa, vol. XIII (1959), 389-403.
- PRODI G. [1] – *Tracce di funzioni con derivate di ordine l a quadrato integrabile su varietà di dimensione arbitraria*. Rend. Sem. Mat. Padova, vol. XXVIII (1958), 402-432.
- SCHWARTZ L. [1] – *Théorie des distributions*. Paris, Hermann, t. 1 (1950), t. 2 (1951, 2^e edition 1957).
- SCHWARTZ L. [2] – *Théorie des distributions à valeurs vectorielles*. Annales Institut Fourier; (I) (1957), 1-139; (II) (1958), 1-209.
- SOBOLEV S. L. [1] – *Certaines applications de l'Analyse fonctionnelle à la Physique Mathématique*. Leningrad, 1950.