

RENDICONTI  
*del*  
SEMINARIO MATEMATICO  
*della*  
UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

MARTIN FAN CHENG

**On the approximation of continuous functions  
by polynomials on  $(-\infty, \infty)$  and  $(0, \infty)$  in terms  
of exponential weight factor**

*Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova*,  
tome 36, n° 2 (1966), p. 310-314

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP\\_1966\\_\\_36\\_2\\_310\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1966__36_2_310_0)

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1966, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (<http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques  
<http://www.numdam.org/>

ON THE APPROXIMATION OF CONTINUOUS  
FUNCTIONS BY POLYNOMIALS ON  $(-\infty, \infty)$   
AND  $(0, \infty)$  IN TERMS OF EXPONENTIAL  
WEIGHT FACTOR

*di* MARTIN FAN CHENG (*a Minneapolis*) \*)

**I. Introduction**

On studying the completeness of the Hermite and Laguerre polynomials, one is led to the consideration of the following more general problem.

Suppose

$X = \{f \mid f \text{ a real-valued function continuous over the real line; } \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} |f(x)| e^{-\delta|x|^\alpha} = 0, \alpha > 0, \delta > 0\},$

$Y = \{p \mid p \text{ a polynomial in } x\}.$

Define, for  $f \in X$

$$\|f\| = \sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} |f(x)e^{-\delta|x|^\alpha}|.$$

The problem is: can any  $f$  in  $X$  be approximated by polynomials in the above defined norm  $\|\cdot\|$ ? In this paper, we show that the above mentioned  $f$  can be approximated by polynomials in this norm if  $\alpha \geq 1$ , but not for  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . The demarcation is shifted to  $\alpha = 1/2$ , if the norm is defined as

$$\|f\|_{1/2} = \sup_{x \geq 0} \{ |f(x)| e^{-\delta|x|^\alpha} \}.$$

---

\*) Indirizzo dell'A.: School of Math., University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota (U.S.A.).

The proof is based on reducing this problem to a corresponding problem in the theory of moments. From these results we find that a theorem of Carlson [1] follows as a natural consequence. It is also clear that convergence in the norm  $\| \cdot \|$  implies convergence in  $L^p(\mu)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , for any measure  $\mu$  on  $(-\infty, \infty)$  satisfying

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\varepsilon|x|^\alpha} d\mu(x) < \infty, \quad \varepsilon = p\delta.$$

## II. The Main Theorem

The following is our main theorem where  $X$ ,  $Y$  and the norm are defined as in the introduction.

**THEOREM 1:** *If  $\alpha \geq 1$ , then  $Y$  is dense in  $X$ . However this conclusion is false if  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .*

Before presenting the proof, we need the following well known theorem of Riesz [5, p. 115].

**THEOREM 2 (Riesz):**  *$Y$  is dense in  $X$  if and only if there does not exist a nontrivial finite signed measure  $\mu$  such that*

$$\text{I) } \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^n d\mu(x) = 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$\text{II) } \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\delta|x|^\alpha} d|\mu(x)| < \infty.$$

We also need

**LEMMA 3:** *If  $\mu$  is a finite signed measure satisfying the conditions I) and II) of theorem 2, and if  $\alpha \geq 1$ ,  $\delta > 0$ , then  $\mu$  is trivial, i.e.*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f d\mu = 0, \quad \forall f \in X.$$

*Proof.*: Let  $\mu^+$  and  $\mu^-$  be the positive and negative part of  $\mu$  respectively. Then from I),

$$\text{III) } \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^n d\mu^+ = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^n d\mu^-, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots .$$

From II) we have

$$\text{IV) } \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\delta|x|^\alpha} d\mu^+ < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\delta|x|^\alpha} d\mu^- < \infty .$$

By a theorem of Carleman [2], a sufficient condition that two positive measures  $\mu^+$  and  $\mu^-$  satisfying III) are equal is that  $\sum_1^{\infty} \mu_{2n}^{-1/2n}$  diverges, where

$$\mu_n = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^n d\mu^+ = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^n d\mu^-, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots .$$

An easy estimation, based on IV), shows that  $\mu_{2n} = 0[(2n)^{2n}]$  and therefore  $\sum_1^{\infty} \mu_{2n}^{-1/2n}$  diverges. (The sufficiency of condition IV) is due to Hardy [3]. For more details, see [6, p. 19]).

Hence  $\mu^+ = \mu^-$ , i.e.

$$\int f d\mu^+ = \int f d\mu^-, \quad \forall f \in X,$$

or

$$\int f d\mu = 0, \quad \forall f \in X .$$

This means  $\mu$  is trivial and the lemma is proved.

Now we are ready to prove the main theorem.

*Proof of theorem 1:* If  $\alpha \geq 1$ ,  $\delta > 0$ , then by lemma 3, there does not exist a nontrivial measure satisfying I) and II) of theorem 2. Hence by the sufficiency of theorem 2,  $Y$  is dense in  $X$ .

However, for  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , there does exist a nontrivial finite measure  $g(t)dt$  satisfying I) and II): namely let

$$g(x) = \operatorname{Re} \left[ e^{-\left(\frac{x}{i}\right)^\beta} \right] = e^{-(\cos \frac{\beta\pi}{2})|x|^\beta} \cos \left[ \left( \sin \frac{\beta\pi}{2} \right) |x|^\beta \right]$$

where  $\alpha < \beta < 1$ . Hence, by the same theorem,  $Y$  is not dense in  $X$  if  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , and the proof is complete.

As an application, we give an independent proof of the following theorem due to Carlson [1].

**THEOREM 4:** *If  $f(z)$  is regular and of the form  $O(e^{k|z|})$  for  $\operatorname{Im}(z) \geq 0$  and*

$$f(z) = O(e^{-a|z|^\alpha}), \quad a > 0, \quad \alpha \geq 1,$$

*on the line  $\operatorname{Im}(z) = 0$ , then  $f(z) = 0$  identically.*

*Proof.:* Let  $g(z) = e^{imz}f(z)$ , where  $m > k$ , and

$$\bar{g}(\beta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x)e^{i\beta x} dx .$$

Then by the Paley-Wiener Theorem [4], the derivatives

$$\bar{g}^{(n)}(0) = 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

i.e.

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^n g(t) dt = 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots .$$

Therefore  $g(t)dt$  satisfies the conditions of lemma 3, provided the constant  $\delta$  is chosen less than  $a$ . By lemma 3  $g(t)dt$  is a trivial measure, i.e.  $g(x) = 0$  for every  $x$ . Thus by the unique continuation theorem  $g(z) \equiv 0$ ; hence  $f(z) \equiv 0$  and the theorem is proved.

In the following, we shall give the result corresponding to theorem 1 for the case where

$\tilde{X} = \{f \mid f \text{ a real-valued function continuous over } x \geq 0,$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} |f(x)| e^{-\delta x^\alpha} = 0, \alpha > 0, \delta > 0\},$$

$\tilde{Y} = \{p \mid p \text{ a polynomial in } x, x \geq 0\},$

and

$$\|f\|_{1/2} = \sup_{x \geq 0} |f(x)e^{-\delta x^\alpha}|.$$

**THEOREM 5:** *If  $\alpha \geq 1/2$ , then  $\tilde{Y}$  is dense in  $\tilde{X}$ . However this conclusion is false if  $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ .*

The proof follows from a modified form of Carleman's theorem (see [6, p. 20]), and is otherwise exactly similar to the proof of theorem 1.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] CARLSON F.: *Sur Une Classe de Series de Taylor*, Thesis, Upsala, 1914.
- [2] CARLEMAN T.: *Sur le Probleme des Moments*. Comptes Rendus, 1922, 174, 1680-1682.
- [3] HARDY G. H.: *On Stieltjes' «Probleme des Moments»*. Messenger of Mathematics, 1917, 46, 175-182.
- [4] PALEY R. E. A. C. and WIENER N.: *Fourier Transforms in the Complex Domain*. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publication, 1934, 19, 545-554.
- [5] RIESZ F. and NAGY B.: *Functional Analysis, English Translation, Frederick Ungar Publishing Co.*, 1955.
- [6] SHOHAT J. A. and TAMARKIN J. D.: *The Problem of Moments*. American Mathematical Society, 1943. University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota (U.S.A.)

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 15 ottobre 1965.