

# RENDICONTI *del* SEMINARIO MATEMATICO *della* UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

R. C. GRIMSON

## **Enumeration of symmetric arrays with different row sums**

*Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova*,  
tome 48 (1972), p. 105-112

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP\\_1972\\_\\_48\\_\\_105\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1972__48__105_0)

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1972, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (<http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques  
<http://www.numdam.org/>

ENUMERATION OF SYMMETRIC ARRAYS  
WITH DIFFERENT ROW SUMS

R. C. GRIMSON \*)

1. Introduction.

Let  $S(r_1, \dots, r_n)$  denote the number of  $n \times n$  symmetric arrays  $\{a_{ij}\}$  where the  $a_{ij}$  are nonnegative integers satisfying

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} = r_i; \quad i=1, 2, \dots, n.$$

It may be easily verified that  $S(r)=1$  and  $S(r_1, r_2)=\min(r_1, r_2)+1$ . Professor L. Carlitz [1] found formulas for  $S(r, r, r)$ ,  $S(r, r, r, r)$  and  $S(1, \dots, 1)$  and in [2] he found related formulas. The author [4] derived formulas for  $S(1, \dots, 1, r)$  and  $S(1, \dots, 1, r, r)$ . Professor H. Gupta [7] and the author [4] found formulas for  $S(r_1, r_2, r_3)$ .

Apparently, beyond these cases no other explicit formulas are known. Various recurrences and generating functions are known for other aspects of the problem; a bibliography on the problem is included in the list of references at the end of the paper. MacMahon [8] gives a treatment of similar problems.

Subject only to a condition based on the relative magnitude of the  $r$ 's a formula for  $S(r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4)$  is a result in this paper. See (3.9) below.

---

\*) Indirizzo dell'A.: Dept. of Mathematics Elon College - Elon College, North Carolina, U.S.A.

**2. Some Preliminaires.**

Here we consider the sum

$$(2.1) \quad \sigma_n = \sum_{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_q=n} (r_1-i_1)^{e_1}(r_2-i_2)^{e_2} \dots (r_t-i_t)^{e_t}$$

where, of course,  $t \leq q$ . This becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{i_1+\dots+i_t=k} (r_1-i_1)^{e_1} \dots (r_t-i_t)^{e_t} \sum_{i_{t+1}+\dots+i_q=n-k} 1 \\ & = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{i_1=0}^k \sum_{i_2=0}^{k-i_1} \dots \sum_{i_{t-1}=0}^{k-i_1-\dots-i_{t-2}} (r_1-i_1)^{e_1} \\ & \dots (r_{t-1}-i_{t-1})^{e_{t-1}}(r_t-k+i_1+\dots+i_{t-1})^{e_t} \binom{q-t+n-k-1}{n-k}. \end{aligned}$$

By multiplying this last expression by  $x^n$  and then summing on  $n$  from 0 to  $\infty$ , and by a little manipulation we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma_n x^n \\ & = \sum_{n, k, i_1, \dots, i_{t-1}=0}^{\infty} (r_1-i_1)^{e_1} \dots (r_{t-1}-i_{t-1})^{e_{t-1}}(r_t-k)^{e_t} \binom{q-t+n-1}{n} \cdot x^{n+k+i_1+\dots+i_{t-1}} \\ (2.2) \quad & = (1-x)^{t-q} \sum_{i_1=0}^{\infty} (r_1-i_1)^{e_1} x^{i_1} \dots \sum_{i_t=0}^{\infty} (r_t-i_t)^{e_t} x^{i_t} \end{aligned}$$

where, for consistency of appearance, we replaced  $k$  by  $i_t$  in the last step.

The expression (2.2) may again be obtained, rather heuristically, as follows: From (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma_n x^n & = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1+\dots+i_q=n} (r_1-i_1)^{e_1}(r_2-i_2)^{e_2} \dots (r_t-i_t)^{e_t} x^n \\ & = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{i_1+\dots+i_t=k} (r_1-i_1)^{e_1} \dots (r_t-i_t)^{e_t} \binom{q-t+n-k-1}{n-k} x^n \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{n, k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_t = k} (r_1 - i_1)^{e_1} \dots (r_t - i_t)^{e_t} \binom{q-t+n-1}{n} n^{n+k} \\
 &= (1-x)^{t-a} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_t = k} (r_1 - i_1)^{e_1} \dots (r_t - i_t)^{e_t} x^{i_1 + \dots + i_t}.
 \end{aligned}$$

At this point it must be observed that all of the values of  $i_1, \dots, i_t$  for which  $i_1 + \dots + i_t = k$  as  $k$  ranges from 0 to  $\infty$  do not exclude any combination of values for the  $i$ 's. Therefore we may rewrite the last expression as

$$(1-x)^{t-a} \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_t = 0}^{\infty} (r_1 - i_1)^{e_1} \dots (r_t - i_t)^{e_t} x^{i_1 + \dots + i_t},$$

which is (2.2).

Now, note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.3) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (r-i)^e x^i &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^e \binom{e}{j} r^{e-j} (-i)^j x^i \\
 &= \sum_{j=0}^e \binom{e}{j} r^{e-j} (-1)^j \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} i^j x^i \\
 &= \sum_{j=0}^e \binom{e}{j} r^{e-j} (-1)^j \frac{a_j(x)}{(1-x)^{j+1}}
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $a_j(x)$  is the  $(j+1)^{st}$  Eulerian polynomial (cf. Riordan [9; problem 2, Chapter 2]). Consequently, combining (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) we find

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_q = n} (r_1 - i_1)^{e_1} \dots (r_t - i_t)^{e_t} x^n = (1-x)^{-a} \prod_{k=1}^t \left( r_k - \frac{a}{(1-x)} \right)^{e_k}$$

where it is understood that in the expansion of  $(r_k - a/(1-x))^{e_k}$  we replace  $a^j$  by  $a_j = a_j(x)$ .

The generating function given by (2.4) will be useful in what follows.

Also useful are the first few Eulerian polynomials,

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_0(x) &= 1 \\
 a_1(x) &= x
 \end{aligned}$$

$$a_2(x) = x + x^2$$

$$a_3(x) = x + 4x^2 + x^3.$$

3. The  $4 \times 4$  array,  $S(r_1, \dots, r_n)$  is symmetric in  $r_1, \dots, r_n$  so we may assume  $r_1 \leq \dots \leq r_n$  without loss of generality. Thus taking  $r \leq s \leq t$  the author [4] showed that

$$(3.1) \quad S(r, s, t) = \frac{3rs}{2} + \frac{r^2s}{2} - \frac{r^3}{6} + \frac{7r}{6} + s + 1 + F(r, s, t)$$

where  $F(r, s, t)$  is a function given in [4] and where  $F(r, s, t) = 0$  if  $t \geq r + s$ . (Here we are assuming  $r \leq s \leq t$  whereas in [4] we assumed  $t \leq s \leq r$ ; this accounts for the different appearance.) Also, [4], we may easily establish the recurrence

$$(3.2) \quad S(r_1, \dots, r_n) = \sum_{a_1 + \dots + a_{n-1} \leq r_1} S(r_2 - a_2, \dots, r_n - a_n).$$

Therefore, from (3.1) and (3.2)

$$(3.3) \quad S(r, s, t, u) = \sum_{i+j+k \leq r} S(s-i, t-j, u-k) \\ = \sum_{i+j+k \leq r} \left\{ \frac{3}{2}(s-i)(t-j) + \frac{1}{2}(s-i)^2(t-j) - \frac{1}{6}(s-i)^3 + \frac{6}{7}(s-i) + (t-j) + 1 \right\}.$$

Provided

$$(3.4) \quad u - k \geq s - i + t - j$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad s - i \leq t - j \leq u - k.$$

Inequality (3.4) is equivalent to

$$(3.6) \quad s - i \leq u - k - t + j.$$

Since  $i + j + k \leq r \leq s$ , the largest the left side of (3.6) can be is  $s$ . The smallest the right side of (3.6) can be is  $u - r - t$ . Therefore (3.4) holds provided  $s \leq u - r - t$  or  $u \geq r + s + t$ .

Regarding (3.5), the inequality  $u - k \geq t - j$  holds if (3.4) is true. On the other hand,  $t - j \geq s - i$  provided  $t - r \geq s$  since  $i + j + k \leq r \leq s$ . That is,  $t \geq r + s$ . Therefore (3.4) and (3.5) hold provided  $u \geq r + s + t$  and  $t \geq r + s$ . Note that this implies  $u \geq t \geq s$ .

According to (2.4),

$$(3.7) \quad \sum_{r=0} \sum_{i+j+k \leq r} (s-i)(t-j)x^r = (1-x)^{-4} \left( s - \frac{x}{1-x} \right) \left( t - \frac{x}{1-x} \right),$$

where, in this case,  $a = a_1(x) = x$ . (Note that the inequality  $i + j + k \leq r$  may be replaced by the equation  $i + j + k + p = r$ ; hence  $q = 4$ .)

Expanding the right side of (3.7) and comparing the coefficient of  $x^r$  with that of the left side we find

$$(3.8) \quad \sum_{i+j+k \leq r} (s-i)(t-j) = st \binom{5+r}{5} - (s+t+2st) \binom{4+r}{5} + (1+s)(1+t) \binom{3+r}{5}.$$

By similarly applying (2.4) to each of the other terms of the summand of (3.3) we eventually arrive at the formula

$$(3.9) \quad S(r, s, t, u) = \frac{1}{6} \binom{r+3}{3} (3st(s+5) + s(19-s^2) + 18t + 30) - \binom{r+4}{4} (s+3)(t+2) + \binom{r+5}{5} (t+2)$$

provided  $u \geq t + s + r$ ,  $t \geq s + r$  and  $s \geq r$ .

Note that the formula is independent of  $u$ . The number of solutions of

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} a + b + c + d &= 1 \\ b + e + f + g &= 2 \\ c + f + h + i &= 3 \\ d + g + i + j &= 99 \end{aligned}$$

is the same if we replace the number 99 by 6; inspection of the system (3.10) reveals that this is as it ought to be. The conditions of (3.9) are met and we have

$$S(1, 2, 3, 99) = S(1, 2, 3, 6) = 65.$$

4. Related formulas and generating functions. Let  $T(r_1, \dots, r_n)$  be the number of arrays as described in section 1 expect that we replace (1.1) by

$$\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \leq r_i; \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Without loss of generality we let  $r_n = \max(r_1, \dots, r_n)$ . Then, if

$$r_n \geq r_1 + \dots + r_{n-1}$$

it is apparent that

$$S(r_1, \dots, r_n) = T(r_1, \dots, r_{n-1}).$$

Therefore the number of solutions of

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} a + b + c &\leq r \\ b + d + e &\leq s \\ c + e + f &\leq t \end{aligned}$$

is given by (3.9) provided  $t \geq r + s$ .

The generating function for  $T(r, s, t)$  is found as follows:

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{r, s, t=0}^{\infty} T(r, s, t) x^r y^s z^t = \sum_{r, s, t=0}^{\infty} \sum_I x^r y^s z^t$$

where  $I$  is the system (4.1). We may replace the symbols  $\leq$  of (4.1) by  $=$  provided we add new variables  $g, h, i$  respectively to the left sides of these equations. Then the right side of (4.2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{a, b, \dots, i=0}^{\infty} x^{a+b+c+g} y^{b+d+e+h} z^{c+e+f+i} \\ &= (1-x)^{-2} (1-y)^{-2} (1-z)^{-2} (1-xy)^{-1} (1-xz)^{-1} (1-yz)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

In general, we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_n=0}^{\infty} T(r_1, \dots, r_n) x_1^{r_1} \dots x_n^{r_n} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n (1-x_i)^{-2} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (1-x_j x_k)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

In a similar fashion, and (see Roselle [10])

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_n=0}^{\infty} S(r_1, \dots, r_n) x_1^{r_1} \dots x_n^{r_n} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n (1-x_i)^{-1} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (1-x_j x_k)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

It is evident that  $T(r)=r+1$ .  $T(r, s)$  is found as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (4.3) \quad T(r, s) &= \sum_{\substack{a+b \leq r \\ b+d \leq s}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{j=0}^s \sum_{\substack{a+b=i \\ b+d=j}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{j=0}^s \min(i, j) + \sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{j=0}^s 1. \end{aligned}$$

Now it is not difficult to show that

$$(4.4) \quad \sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{j=0}^s \min(i, j) = \sum_{k \leq \min(r, s)} (r-k)(s-k).$$

(Equation (4.4) is also a special case of (3.3) in [5]). Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n (r-k)(s-k) x^n \\ &= (1-x)^{-1} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r s x^k - (r+s) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k x^k + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^2 x^k \right) \\ &= (1-x)^{-4} (rs(1-x)^2 - (r+s)x(1-x) + x + x^2). \end{aligned}$$

From this and (4.3) we readily find

$$T(r, s) = rs \binom{3 + \min(r, s)}{3} - (2rs + r + s - 1) \binom{2 + \min(r, s)}{3} \\ + (rs + r + s + 1) \binom{1 + \min(r, s)}{3} + (r + 1)(s + 1).$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] CARLITZ, L.: *Enumeration of symmetric arrays*, Duke Math. J., vol. 33 (1966), pp. 771-782.
- [2] CARLITZ, L.: *Enumeration of symmetric arrays II*, Duke Math. J., vol. 38 (1971), pp. 717-731.
- [3] CARLITZ, L.: *Enumeration of  $3 \times 3$  arrays*, to appear in *The Fibonacci Quarterly*.
- [4] GRIMSON, R. C.: *Some results on the enumeration of symmetric arrays*, Duke Math. J., vol. 38 (1971), pp. 711-715.
- [5] GRIMSON, R. C.: *The evaluation of certain arithmetic sums*, To appear in *The Fibonacci Quarterly*.
- [6] GUPTA, H.: *Enumeration of symmetric matrices*, Duke Math. J., vol. 35 (1968), pp. 653-660.
- [7] GUPTA, H.: *On the enumeration of symmetric matrices*, Duke Math. J., vol. 38 (1971), pp. 709-710.
- [8] MACMAHON, P. A.: *Combinatory Analysis*, vol. 2, Cambridge, 1916.
- [9] RIORDAN, J.: *An Introduction to Combinatorial Analysis*, New York, 1958.
- [10] ROSELLE, D. P.: *Some Remarks on the Enumeration of Symmetric Matrices*, Duke Math. J., vol. 35 (1968), pp. 661-662.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 29 marzo 1972.