

RENDICONTI
del
SEMINARIO MATEMATICO
della
UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

FRANZ J. FRITZ

**On centralizers of involutions having a
component of type A_6 and A_7**

Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova,
tome 54 (1975), p. 1-29

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1975__54__1_0>

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1975, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (<http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

On Centralizers of Involutions Having a Component of Type A_6 and A_7 .

FRANZ J. FRITZ (*)

Recently, M. Aschbacher [1] has shown under certain assumptions, that every finite simple group G containing an involution t such that $C_G(t)$ is not 2-constrained contains a subgroup of standard type. So it is of fundamental interest for the theory of finite simple groups to classify finite groups by standard subgroups.

A standard subgroup A of a finite group G is a quasisimple group such that $C_G(A)$ is a group of even order and satisfies certain further properties.

Aschbacher [2] has classified all simple groups with a standard subgroup A such that $A/Z(A) = A_n$ and that $C(A)$ has a 2-rank of at least 2.

On the other hand, the case of 2-rank 1 is of considerable interest as well. The Mathieu group M_{12} contains an involution t_2 such that $C(t_2) = \langle t_2 \rangle \times S$, where S is isomorphic to Σ_5 . The Higman Sims simple group contains an involution with centralizer isomorphic to $Z_2 \times \text{Aut}(A_6)$. Both groups have been classified by these centralizers (cf. [4] and [5]).

In this paper, we consider centralizers of the form $Z_2 \times \Sigma_6$ and $Z_2 \times \Sigma_7$. We shall prove the following theorems:

THEOREM A. Let G be a finite group of even order containing an involution t such that $C_G(t)$ is isomorphic to the direct product of a group of order 2 and the symmetric group on 6 letters. Then G has a subgroup of index 2.

THEOREM B. Let G be a finite group of even order containing an involution t such that $C_G(t)$ is isomorphic to the direct product of a

(*) Indirizzo dell'A.: Mathematisches Institut., 6500 Mainz, Saarstraße 21,
Rep. Fed. Tedesca.

group of order 2 and the symmetric group on 7 letters. Then G has a subgroup of index 2.

The methods used in the proof are elementary. Throughout the paper we assume that G has no subgroup of index 2; we use the Thompson transfer lemma (cf. [3]) to derive a contradiction. The crucial fact seems to be that in the Sylow-2-subgroup of our centralizer we have two elementary groups of order 16, say E_1 and E_2 , which « should » be conjugate in the centralizer, but are not. (This is contrary to the situation in [4] leading to the Higman Sims group).

Theorem B will be a corollary of the proof of theorem A. We will only have to redo parts of §§ 1-4. Then we will see that the two elementary groups which are the basis for the whole proof, are not conjugate in G , so §§ 5-8 can be applied.

Now we fix some notation. G is a finite group having no subgroup of index 2, $t \in G$ is an involution such that $H := C_G(t) = \langle t \rangle \times \Sigma$, $\Sigma \cong \Sigma_6$. We choose a fixed Sylow-2-subgroup of H , say T_0 , where $T_0 = \langle t \rangle \times S_0$ such that S_0 is a Sylow-2-subgroup of Σ .

Σ_n and A_n always denote the symmetric resp. alternating group on n letters, E_k denotes an elementary abelian group of order k , D_n denotes a dihedral group of order n . If a group X operates on a group B , then put $A_X(B) := N_X(B)/C_X(B)$.

For this paper, it is useful to define the Thompson subgroup $J(T)$ of a 2-group T as follows: $J(T) = \langle E/E < T, E \cong E_{16} \rangle$. If X is a subgroup of G , $N(X)$ and $C(X)$ always stand for $N_G(X)$ and $C_G(X)$.

When we regard a permutation representation of a group X on a set $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$, then we describe the action of an element $x \in X$ on S as follows:

$$x \cong (s_{j11}, s_{j12}, \dots, s_{j1r_1}), \dots, (s_{in1}, \dots, s_{inr_n}).$$

For sake of convenience, we do not always assume that the representation of X on S is faithful.

The remainder of the notation follows [3] and is fairly standard; for example we use the « bar convention » for homomorphic images, $V(\text{ccl}_G(g); G_0)$ denotes the weak closure of g in G_0 with respect to G .

1. The structure of H .

As S_0 is a Sylow-2-subgroup of the symmetric group on 6 letters, we may assume that S_0 is generated by the elements $i_2 = (5, 6)$,

$i_4 = (1, 2)(3, 4)$, $i_6 = i_2 i_4$, $a_1 = (1, 4)(2, 3)$ and $a_2 = (1, 2)(5, 6)$. We have $(a_1 a_2)^2 = i_4$.

We see that $S'_0 = T'_0 = \langle i_4 \rangle$ and that $Z(T_0) = \langle t, i_2, i_4 \rangle$. T_0 contains precisely 2 elementary subgroups of order 16, namely $E_1 = Z(T_0)\langle a_1 \rangle$ and $E_2 = Z(T_0)\langle a_2 \rangle$. It is clear that $Z(T_0) = E_1 \cap E_2$.

The involutions i_2 , i_4 , and i_6 represent the three conjugacy classes in Σ , i_2 having 15 conjugates, i_4 having 45 conjugates and i_6 having 15 conjugates in H .

Altogether, H contains 7 classes of involutions, all the involutions of $Z(T_0)$ being representatives of the different classes. This shows that if t is conjugate to any other class of involutions of H in G , then t is conjugate to this class in $N_G(T_0)$.

Finally, we have that $N_H(E_1) = E_1\langle d_1, a_2 \rangle$, where $d_1 \in \Sigma$ can be taken as $(1, 2, 3)$, and that $N_H(E_2) = E_2\langle d_2, a_1 \rangle$ with $d_2 = (1, 6, 4)(2, 5, 3)$. Then a_2 inverts d_1 and a_1 inverts d_2 .

2. The first centralizer case.

In this paragraph, we want to show that 2^6 divides the order of G . So assume the contrary.

LEMMA 2.1. $N_G(T_0)$ has order $2^5 \cdot 3$. G has precisely 3 classes of involutions. E_1 and E_2 are not conjugate in G . $N_G(E_i)$ controls the fusion on E_i for $i = 1, 2$.

PROOF. By the Thompson transfer lemma, all involutions of T_0 must be conjugate to some involution of S_0 , but S_0 contains involutions of at most 3 different classes. Hence, fusion must take place in $Z(T_0)$ under the action of $N_G(T_0)$. The group $T'_0 = \langle i_4 \rangle$ is characteristic in T_0 , so there must be precisely 3 different classes in $Z(T_0)$ and $N(T_0)$ must have order $2^5 \cdot 3$.

If E_1 and E_2 are conjugate in G , they are conjugate in $N(T_0)$ by Burnside's lemma; but this is not possible. Therefore $N_G(E_i)$ must control the fusion on E_i .

THEOREM 2.2. 2^6 divides the order of G .

PROOF. It is clear that $N_G(T_0) \leq N_G(E_i)$, $i = 1, 2$. $A_G(E_i)$ has a Sylow-2-subgroup of order 2 and hence must be solvable. The involution t is conjugate into S_0 by an element of $N_G(T_0)$. We conclude that t

has 3 or 7 conjugates in E_i . If t has 7 conjugates, then $A_g(E_i)$ has order $2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7$, which contradicts the structure of $GL(3, 2)$. Therefore t has 3 conjugates in E_1 and 3 conjugates in E_2 , which all must be elements of $Z(T_0)$. But this is impossible.

3. The case $2^6 T |G|$.

LEMMA 3.1. Put $T := N_g(T_0)$. Then T is a Sylow-2-subgroup of G , $Z(T)$ has order 4, t is conjugate to ti_4 in T , and t does not fuse in G to any other class of involutions in H .

PROOF. It is clear that $Z(T)$ is elementary of order 4. So at least 3 involutions of $Z(T_0)$ cannot be conjugate to t , and we see that T_0 does not admit a 3-automorphism. Therefore t is conjugate to precisely one other involution of $Z(T_0)$ and we have shown that t centralizes either 16 or 46 of its conjugates in G . We assume that G has no subgroup of index 2, so t operates on its conjugacy class as an even permutation. If t centralizes 16 of its conjugates, then there are $O \pmod{4}$ conjugates of t in G . But 2^5 divides $|C_G(t)|$, so 2^7 divides the order of G , contrary to the assumption of this paragraph. We have proved that t has 46 conjugates in H , so t must be conjugate to ti_4 . The lemma is proved.

LEMMA 3.2. E_i is normal in T , for $i = 1, 2$.

PROOF. Put $T = T_0\langle y \rangle$ and suppose $E_1^y = E_2$. By lemma 3.1., t has 1 or 4 conjugates in E_i under the action of $N_g(E_i)$. The order of $N_H(E_i)$ is $2^5 \cdot 3$, so if t has 4 conjugates in E_i under the action of $N_g(E_i)$, then 2^7 divides the order of $N_g(E_i)$, which is not possible. Therefore $N_g(E_i) = N_H(E_i)$, and t has an orbit of length 1 under $N_g(E_i)$. Applying lemma 3.1., we see that we must have $t^y = t$. This is a contradiction.

THEOREM 3.3. The order of G is divisible by 2^7 .

PROOF. We have shown that 2^6 divides the order of $N_g(E_i)$. Applying lemma 3.1. again we see that t has 4 conjugates in E_i under the action of $N_g(E_i)$. But then 2^7 must divide the order of $N(E_i)$. Theorem 3.3. is proved.

4. The case $|N_G(T_0):T_0| = 2$.

LEMMA 4.1. Set $T_1 := N_G(T_0) = T_0\langle y \rangle$. Then $T_1 = J(T_1)$, E_1 and E_2 are normal in T_1 , t is fused to precisely one other involution of $Z(T_0)$, and there are precisely 16 G -conjugates of t in H .

PROOF. As 2^7 divides the order of G and, by assumption of this paragraph, the normalizer of T_0 has order 2^6 , T_0 cannot be characteristic in T_1 . Therefore $T_1 = J(T_1)$. It is clear from some remarks in § 1 that t can only be conjugate to one more class of involutions of H . From the fact that 2^7 divides $|G|$, we conclude that t cannot have 46 conjugates in H ; so t must be conjugate to 16 involutions of H . As $T_1 = J(T_1)$, we conclude, using our definition of $J(T_1)$, that we cannot have $E'_1 = E_2$. Lemma 4.1. is proved.

LEMMA 4.2. Set $Z(T_1) =: \langle z_1, z_2 \rangle$ such that $z_2 = i_4$. Then z_1 can be chosen such that $t' = tz_1$. Furthermore, we may assume that $Z(T_1) \cap Z(N_H(E_1)) = \langle z_1 \rangle$ and that $Z(T_1) \cap Z(N_H(E_2)) = \langle z_1 z_2 \rangle$. Finally

$$|N_G(E_1)| = 2^6 \cdot 3, \quad \text{and} \quad |N_G(E_2)| = 2^7 \cdot 3.$$

PROOF. From the structure of T_0 we see that $z_2 (= i_4)$ is not conjugate to t . So we may set $Z(T_1) = \langle z_1, z_2 \rangle$ such that $t' = tz_1$.

As the normalizers of E_1 and E_2 in H are isomorphic we may alter the notation such that the last part of the lemma holds.

LEMMA 4.3. We can choose y to be an involution and to centralize $\langle z_1, z_2, a_1 \rangle$.

PROOF. Set $D_1 := \langle d_1 \rangle$ and $N_1 := N_G(E_1)$. Then D_1 is a Sylow-3-subgroup of N_1 . From our information about N_1 and using Sylow's theorem, we conclude that a Sylow-2-subgroup R of $N_{x_1}(D_1)$ has order 2^4 . As a_2 inverts d_1 , it follows that $C_R(D_1)$ has order 2^8 . On the other hand, $C_{R \cap H}(D_1) = \langle t, z_1 \rangle$, so $C_H(D_1)$ is a dihedral group of order 8. We choose y to be an involution of this group. As y centralizes d_1 , y operates on $[E_1, d_1] = \langle z_2, a_1 \rangle$. By the Thompson $A \times B$ -lemma, y centralizes $\langle z_2, z_1 \rangle$. Lemma 4.3. is proved.

LEMMA 4.4. $[y, a_2] \in \langle z_1 \rangle$.

PROOF. Using lemma 4.3., we can choose a Sylow-2-subgroup of $N_{N_1}(D_1)$: $R = \langle z_1, t, y, a_2 \rangle$. It is clear that $\langle z_1, t, y \rangle = C_R(D_1)$ and $\langle z_1, t, a_2 \rangle = R \cap H$ are normal in R ; we conclude that $[y, a_2] \in \langle z_1, t \rangle$ is an involution and therefore must be centralized by y . The lemma is proved.

LEMMA 4.5. Set $N_2 := N_G(E_2)$ and take T_2 to be the Sylow-2-subgroup of N_2 which contains T_1 . Further set $Q_2 := O_2(N_2)$. The N_2/E_2 is isomorphic to Σ_4 , and elementary subgroups of T_2 are contained in T_1 or in Q_2 .

PROOF. The orbit of t in E_2 under the action of N_2 is $\{t, tz_1, tz_1z_2a_2, tz_1a_2\}$. Call these elements $\underline{1}, \underline{2}, \underline{3}, \underline{4}$, respectively. Then d_2 acts as $(\underline{2}, \underline{3}, \underline{4})$ and y acts as $(\underline{1}, \underline{2})$. So d_2 and y generate the full symmetric group on the orbit of t , therefore T_2/E_2 is dihedral of order 8 having elementary subgroups T_1/E_2 and Q_2/E_2 . The lemma is proved.

LEMMA 4.6. $E_2 = J(Q_2)$.

PROOF. We can see from the proof of lemma 4.5. that $a_1y \in Q_2$. Set $f_2 := (ya_1)^{d_2}$. It follows that $[ya_1, E_2] = \langle z_1, z_2 \rangle$ and that $[f_2, E_2] = \langle z_1z_2, a_2 \rangle$. Therefore $Z(Q_2) = \langle z_1z_2 \rangle$. Now it is very easy to see that E_2 is the only elementary subgroup of order 16 of Q_2 , so $E_2 = J(Q_2)$. The lemma is proved.

LEMMA 4.7. $Z(T_1) = \langle z_1, z_2 \rangle =: Z$. T_1 contains precisely 4 elementary subgroups of order 16, namely $E_1, E_2, E_3 = Z\langle a_1, y \rangle$ and $E_4 = Z\langle ta_2, y \rangle$.

PROOF. From the proof of lemma 4.5. it follows that $[y, a_2] = z_1$. Therefore $[y, ta_2] = 1$. On the other hand, y centralizes a_1 by lemma 4.3. We conclude that $T_1 = \langle a_1, a_2t \rangle \times \langle y, t \rangle$ is the direct product of two dihedral groups of order 8. Now it is immediate that T_1 contains precisely 4 elementary subgroups of order 16, namely those listed above. The lemma is proved.

LEMMA 4.8. E_2 is characteristic in T_2 .

PROOF. First of all, note that $E_1' = E_4$, and from the order of $N_G(E_1)$ we conclude that neither E_1 nor E_4 is conjugate to E_2 in G . Suppose that E_3 is conjugate to E_2 . The involution t operates on E_3 centralizing a hyperplane of E_3 , but no involution of $Q_2 - E_2$ centralizes a hyperplane of E_3 . Therefore E_3t must be conjugate to E_2a_1 .

In particular, t is conjugate to an involution of $E_2 a_1$. Involutions of $E_2 a_1$ are contained in $\langle t, z_1, z_2 \rangle a_1 = \langle t, z_1 \rangle a_1 \cup \langle t, z_1 \rangle a_1 z_2$. These last sets consisting of 4 elements are conjugate under d_1 to $\langle t, z_1 \rangle z_2$. But lemma 4.2. says that t is not conjugate to any element of $\langle t, z_1 \rangle z_2$. This is a contradiction. So E_3 cannot be conjugate to E_2 .

Using lemmas 4.5. and 4.6. we see that $T_1 = J(T_2)$, so every automorphism of T_2 operates on the set $\{E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4\}$, and, as we have shown above, fixes E_2 : The lemma is proved.

THEOREM 4.9. The hypothesis of this paragraph cannot be satisfied. We have $|N_G(T_0) : T_0| = 4$.

PROOF. It follows from lemmas 4.8 and 4.2. that T_2 is a Sylow-2-subgroup of G . Furthermore, we see that $N_G(E_2)$ controls the fusion of the involutions of E_2 . We find that z_1 is fused to z_2 , but to no other H -class of involutions. So z_1 has 60 conjugates in H . Let t act on the conjugacy class of z_1 in G . From the assumption that G has no subgroup of index 2, and from the fact that 2^6 divides the order of $C_G(z_1)$, we get that 2^8 divides the order of G , which is a contradiction. Theorem 4.9. is proved.

5. The case $E_1 \not\sim E_2$ in T_1 , first results.

LEMMA 5.1. $T_1 = N_G(T_0)$ has order $2^7 \cdot E_1$ and E_2 are not conjugate in G , t has 8 conjugates in E_i under the action of $N_G(E_i)$ and not more conjugates in E_i under the action of G . The order of $N_G(E_i)$ is $2^8 \cdot 3$.

PROOF. The first assertion has been proved in § 4. As E_1 and E_2 are normal in T_1 , it is immediate that t has 8 conjugates in E_i under the action of $N_G(E_i)$ and that further fusion is impossible. If E_1 and E_2 were conjugate in G , they would be conjugate in $C(t)$, which is not the case. The lemma is proved.

We fix some notation. $D_i := \langle d_i \rangle$, $N_i := N_G(E_i)$, $Q_i := O_2(N_i)$, $Q_{10} := [Q_i, D_i] E_i$. By a 16-group we always mean an elementary abelian group of order 16. Unless stated otherwise, we use the « bar » convention for the canonical homomorphism $N_i \rightarrow A_i = N_i/E_i$ ($i = 1, 2$).

LEMMA 5.2. $N_{A_i}(\overline{D_i})$ has order 12. There are involutions y_1 and y_2 such that y_i centralizes D_i . Put $Z := Z(T_1)$. Then $Z = \langle z_1, z_2 \rangle$. Furthermore, $[y_1, t] = z_1$ and $[y_2, t] = z_2$:

PROOF. The operator group A_i is a subgroup of $GL(4, 2) \simeq A_8$ of order $2^4 \cdot 3$; therefore no group of order 3 is normalized by a group of order 16 in A_i : We know that $N_{A_i}(\overline{D_i})$ has order at least 6, so we conclude by Sylows therem that $|N_{A_i}(\overline{D_i})|$ must be 12.

We have shown that $|N_{A_i}(\overline{D_i})| = 2^4 \cdot 3$, and similarly as in lemma 4.3. we see that $C_{N_i}(D_i)$ is the direct product of D_i and a dihedral group of order 8, say R_i : This shows that we may choose involutions y_1 and y_2 , which are not contained in H , and involutions z_1 and z_2 from $Z(T_0)$ such that $R_i = \langle z_1, t, y_i \rangle$. Now it is immediate that $[y_i, t] = z_i$.

It is easy to see that y_1 and y_2 normalize T_0 , so T_1/T_0 is elementary, and $Z(T_1)$ is a four-group. Using the definition of y_i and the Thompson $A \times B$ -lemma we see that $Z(T_1) = \langle z_1, z_2 \rangle$.

The lemma is proved.

Now we want to consider the possible structures of N_i . As the roles of E_1 and E_2 are interchangeable so far, we introduce some common notation.

For the permutation representation of A_i on the orbit of t in E_i we use the following numbering as a common reference:

Setting $z := z_1 z_2$, we have the orbit $\{t, tz, ta_i, tza_i, tz_i, tz_i z, tz_i a_i, tz_i a_i\}$ for E_i . Let these elements, listed in this order, correspond to $\{\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{5}, \mathbf{6}, \mathbf{7}, \mathbf{8}\}$.

The 3-elements d_1 resp. d_2 operate as $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4})(\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{7}, \mathbf{8})$, the involutions a_2 resp. a_1 inverting d_i have the action $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4})(\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{8})$, and y_i operates as $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{5})(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{6})(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{7})(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{8})$.

Now it is of interest to investigate the action of y_j , $j \neq i$, on E_i .

LEMMA 5.3. Let c_i be the involution of the set $\{a_1, a_2\}$ inverting d_i . Then we have two possibilities:

I) c_i centralizes y_i , y_i operates on the orbit of t in E_i , $j \neq i$, as $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{6})(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{5})(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{8})(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{7})$.

II) $c_i t$ centralizes y_i , y_i operates on the orbit of t in E_j as $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{6})(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{5})$.

PROOF. Again look at $N_{A_i}(D_i)$. Similarly as in lemma 4.4., we see that $[y_i, c_i] = 1$ or $[y_i, c_i] = [y_i, t]$. Now it is straightforward to compute the action of y_i on E_j .

LEMMA 5.4. Assume that we have case I) for E_i . Then Q_{i0} is generated by E_i , $y_1 y_2$, and $(y_1 y_2)^{d_i}$.

PROOF. It is immediate from our knowledge about A_i that $O_2(A_i)$ is elementary of order 8 and contains \bar{y}_i . Now use the permutation representation of A_i on the orbit of t . We compute $y_1 y_2 \triangleq (1, 2)(3, 4) \cdot (5, 6)(7, 8)$. It follows that $(y_1 y_2)^{a_i} = (1, 3)(2, 4)(5, 7)(6, 8)$ and that $(y_1 y_2)^{a_i} = (y_1 y_2)(y_1 y_2)^{a_i} \bmod E_i$. The lemma is proved.

LEMMA 5.5. Assume that we have case II) for E_i . Then Q_{i0} is generated by E_i , $e_i y_j$ ($j \neq i$), and $(e_i y_j)^{a_i}$.

PROOF. This time we see that the action of $e_i y_j$ on the orbit of t is $(1, 6)(7, 8)(2, 5)(3, 4)$. We finish by calculating in the same way as in the proof of lemma 5.4.

LEMMA 5.6. Assume that we have case II) for E_i . Set $e_i := e_i y_j$ and $f_i := e_i^{a_i}$. Then $f_i^{a_i} = f_i e_i h_i$ with $h_i \in \langle z_i \rangle$. Furthermore, $[e_i, f_i] = 1$.

PROOF. We easily compute that $[E_i, e_i] = C_{E_i}(e_i) = \langle z_i, z \rangle$. This implies that $C_{E_i}(f_i) = \langle z_i, a_i \rangle$. The commutator $[e_i, f_i]$ is an involution in E_i and therefore centralized by e_i and f_i , so $[e_i, f_i] \in \langle z_i \rangle$.

We put $f_i^{a_i} =: f_i e_i h_i$ with $h_i \in E_i$. Now we see that $[e_i, f_i] = [e_i, f_i]^{a_i} = [f_i, f_i e_i h_i] = [f_i, e_i][f_i, h_i]$; so h_i is centralized by f_i , and similarly, h_i is centralized by e_i . As $f_i e_i h_i$ must be an involution, $f_i e_i$ is an involution and so $[f_i, e_i] = 1$. The proof is complete.

LEMMA 5.7. Assume that we have case II) for E_i . Then either (A) $[y_i, e_i] = 1$ or (B) $[y_i, e_i] = z z_i$.

PROOF. First of all, note that $[a_i, e_i] = z z_i = z_i$. It is clear that $[y_i, e_i] \in \langle z, z_i \rangle$.

Suppose that $[y_i, e_i] = z_i$. Then $[y_i, f_i] = z_i$ and $[y_i, f_i e_i h_i] = [y_i, f_i][y_i, e_i] = 1$. On the other hand, $[y_i, f_i e_i h_i] = [y_i, f_i]^{a_i} = z_i$ which is a contradiction.

So assume that $[y_i, e_i] = z$. Then $[y_i, f_i] = a_i$ and $[y_i, f_i e_i h_i] = [y_i, e_i][y_i, f_i][y_i, f_i, e_i] = z a_i z_i$, which leads to the same contradiction as above. The lemma is proved.

For the next three paragraphs, put $R_i := T_1 \langle f_i \rangle = T_1 Q_i$.

Because of the symmetry of E_1 and E_2 , we may split the analysis into the following cases:

- I) $[a_1, y_2] = 1$, $[a_2, y_1] = z_1$,
- II) $[a_1, y_2] = z_2$, $[a_2, y_1] = z_1$,
- III) $[a_1, y_2] = [a_2, y_1] = 1$.

6. The non-isomorphic case.

We will deal with these cases in §§ 6-8.

HYPOTHESIS 6.0. $[y_1, a_2] = z_1$ and $[y_2, a_1] = 1$.

LEMMA 6.1. Put $B_1 := [Q_1, D_1]$ and $Z_1 := \langle z_1, z, a_1 \rangle$. Then B_1 is a non-abelian group of order 32; further-more, $Z_1 = Z(B_1)$.

PROOF. First of all, we remark that Z_1 is the subgroup of E_1 generated by the involutions of E_1 which are not conjugate to t . Therefore Z_1 is normal in N_1 . From the definition of y_i and from hypothesis 6.0. we conclude that $Z_1 < Z(Q_1)$.

We try to compute $[Q_1, D_1] =: B_1$. If we look at Q_1/Z_1 , we see that B_1 is contained in a group of order 32 of the form $Z_1 \langle e_1, f_1 \rangle =: B_{10}$, such that d_1 operates non-trivially on B_{10}/Z_1 . Lemma 5.4. says that modulo Z_1 , e_1 may be chosen to be either $y_1 y_2$ or $t \cdot y_2 y_1$. In either case, $[a_2, e_1] = z_1$, from hypothesis 6.0. This shows that z_1 must be contained in B_1 , as a_2 operates on $[Q_1, D_1]$. So B_1 must be non-abelian and of order 32, and we have $B_1 = B_{10}$.

LEMMA 6.2. Put $B_1 = Z_1 \langle e_1, f_1 \rangle$ such that $e_1 \in \{ty_1 y_2, y_1 y_2\}$. Then we have $e_1 = ty_1 y_2$, $[y_1, y_2] = z_1$, $e_1^2 = z_1 z$, $[e_1, f_1] = z_1$, $[e_1, y_1] = 1$, and $f_1^{a_1} = f_1 e_1 z a_1$.

PROOF. Choose e_1 from the set $\{y_1 y_2, ty_1 y_2\}$ to be contained in $[Q_1, D_1]$. Then from lemma 6.1., B_1 is non-abelian and B'_1 must be a D_1 -invariant group of order 2. Therefore $B'_1 = \langle z_1 \rangle$ and $[e_1, f_1] = z_1$.

From lemma 5.7. we know that $[y_2, e_2] = [y_2, y_1]$ is contained in $\langle z_1 \rangle$ from our definitions, we conclude that $[y_1, e_1] \in \langle z_1 \rangle$. The same argument as in the proof of lemma 5.7. shows that we must have $[y_1, e_1] = 1$.

As, by lemma 6.1., B_1 is non-abelian, e_1 cannot be an involution, which implies that $e_1 = ty_1 y_2$ and that $[y_1, y_2] = z_1$. Also, it is easy to verify that $e_1^2 = zz_1$.

Now $(f_1)^{a_1} = f_1 e_1 e$ for some $e \in Z_1$: We compute $(f_1 e_1 e)^{a_1} = f_1 e_1 e \cdot f_1 \cdot e^{a_1} = e_1$, hence $(f_1 e_1)^2 [e, d_1] = e_1^2$ and so $[e, d_1] = a_1$. This shows that $e \in za_1 \langle z_1 \rangle$. Interchanging y_1 and $y_1 z_1$ if necessary, we may assume that $e = za_1$. The lemma is proved.

LEMMA 6.3. Put $Z := Z(T_1) = \langle z_1, z_2 \rangle$. The group T_1 contains precisely 9 16-groups, namely

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= Z\langle a_1, t \rangle, & E_2 &= Z\langle a_2, t \rangle, & E_3 &= Z\langle a_1, y_2 \rangle, \\ E_4 &= Z\langle a_2, y_2 \rangle, & E_5 &= Z\langle y_1, ta_2 \rangle, & E_6 &= Z\langle y_1 y_2 a_1 a_2 t, a_1 y_2 \rangle, \\ E_n &= Z\langle y_1, y_2 a_2 \rangle, & E_8 &= Z\langle y_1 y_2 a_1 a_2 t, a_1 t \rangle, & E_9 &= Z\langle a_1, y_1 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. First, note that $\langle z_1, y_1 \rangle$ is normal in T_1 . Put $\hat{T}_1 := T_1/\langle y_1, z_1 \rangle$. Then we see from earlier results that \hat{T}_1 is extra-special of order 32 with center $\langle \hat{z}_2 \rangle$. We can write \hat{T}_1 as the central product of two dihedral groups. $\hat{T}_1 = \langle \hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_2 \rangle \rtimes (\hat{i}, \hat{y}_2)$.

Such a group has precisely 6 maximal elementary subgroups all of which have order 8. Take U_i , $1 \leq i \leq 6$, to be their inverse images. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} U_1 &= Z\langle y_1, a_1, t \rangle & = E_1 E_9, \\ U_2 &= Z\langle y_1, a_1, y_2 \rangle & = E_3 E_9, \\ U_3 &= Z\langle y_1, a_2, t \rangle & = E_2 E_5, \\ U_4 &= Z\langle y_1, a_2, y_2 \rangle & = E_4 E_7, \\ U_5 &= Z\langle y_1, a_1 t, a_2 y_2 \rangle & = E_7 E_8, \\ U_6 &= Z\langle y_1, a_1 y_2, ta_2 \rangle & = E_5 E_6. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that all maximal elementary subgroups of T_1 are contained in some U_i . So we have determined all elementary subgroups of order 16, as one can easily verify that E_i , $1 < i \leq 9$, are elementary abelian. The lemma is proved.

LEMMA 6.4. $N_G(T_1) = T_1\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle =: T$ is a group of order 2^{10} , the factor group T/T_1 is dihedral of order 8. There are precisely 3 G -classes of 16-groups in T_1 , namely $\{E_1, E_3, E_5, E_7\}$, $\{E_2, E_4, E_6, E_8\}$, $\{E_9\}$.

PROOF. From lemmas 5.6. and 6.2. we know the action of f_1 and f_2 on T_1 . Regard the operation on the set of 16-groups. By easy computations, we find

$$f_1 \cong (E_5, E_7)(E_2, E_8)(E_4, E_6), \quad f_2 \cong (E_1, E_5)(E_3, E_7)(E_6, E_8).$$

Multiplying this action, we get $f_1 f_2 \cong (E_1, E_5, E_3, E_7)(E_2, E_6, E_4, E_8)$, and we see that there are precisely 3 G -classes of 16-groups with elements as stated. The elements f_1 and f_2 generate an outer automorphism group on T_1 which is dihedral of order 8. To finish, we use the fact that $N(T_1) \cap N(E_1)$ has order 2^8 and that E_1 has precisely 4 conjugates under $N_G(T_1)$ in T_1 . The lemma is proved.

LEMMA 6.5. The group Q_1 contains precisely 5 16-groups namely E_9 , E_1 , E_3 , and the groups $E_{31} = Z_1 \langle f_1 y_1 t \rangle$ and $E_{32} = Z_1 \langle f_1 y_2 \rangle$.

PROOF. We have $Z(Q_1) = Z_1$, and we know the multiplication table of Q_1 : So we just check which elements of Q_1/Z_1 belong to cosets of involutions, and we see that the assertion of the lemma holds.

LEMMA 6.6. $R_1 = T_1 \langle f_1 \rangle$ is a Sylow-2-subgroup of N_1 : T_1 and Q_1 are characteristic in R_1 . $N_G(R_1) = R_1 \langle (f_1 f_2)^2 \rangle$ is a group of order 2^9 .

Put $S_1 = N_G(R_1)$. Then $S_1 = V(\text{ccl}_G(t); T)$. $N_G(Q_1)$ has order $2^{10} \cdot 3$. Furthermore, 2^{11} divides the order of G .

PROOF. First of all, regard the 16-subgroups of R_1 . As $R_1/E_1 \langle y_1 \rangle$ is dihedral of order 8, we only have to look at T_1 and Q_1 , and so we have determined all 16-subgroups in the lemmas 6.3. and 6.5.

The groups E_9 , E_1 , and E_4 are normal in R_1 , the other 6 groups contained in T_1 are normalized by T_1 but not by f_1 , and E_{31} , E_{32} have normalizer Q_1 in R_1 . We know that $Q_1 = J(Q_1)$ and that $T_1 = J(T_1)$.

This shows that T_1 and Q_1 are characteristic in R_1 . Furthermore, E_1 can only have 2 conjugates in $N_G(R_1)$, as t cannot be conjugate to any involution of E_9 ; so $N_G(R_1)$ is as described.

The group S_1 is generated by R_1 and R_1' , and $R_1 = V(\text{ccl}_G(t); R_1)$. Having the structure of T/T_1 in mind, we only have to prove that there are no conjugates of t in $R_2 - T_1$:

As usual, we only have to determine the involutions of $Q_2 - Q_2 \cap T_1$: The factor group Q_2/Z involves a direct factor which is dihedral, so we may reduce to $Q_{21} = \langle z_2, z, a_2, e_2, f_2, ty_2 \rangle$. This group has the normal subgroup $\langle z, z_2, a_2, ty_2 \rangle$ and d_2 permutes the non-trivial cosets of this subgroup.

It suffices to consider one coset which we can choose to be contained in T_1 , but from lemma 6.3. we conclude that t has no conjugates in the group $\langle z_2, z, a_2, ty_2, a_1 y_1 \rangle$. So we have shown that $T_1 = V(\text{ccl}_G(t); R_1)$, which implies that $S_1 = V(\text{ccl}_G(t); T)$.

As to the next assertion, we note that Q_1 contains precisely 4 16-subgroups conjugate to E_1 , and they are conjugate in $N_G(Q_1)$. So $N_G(Q_1)$ must have order $2^{10} \cdot 3$.

Regard the center of a Sylow-2-subgroup of $N_G(Q_1)$ containing S_1 . From the action on the 16-subgroups of Q_1 we see that $(f_1 f_2)^2 =: g$ is contained in $O_2(N_G(Q_1) \text{ mod } Q_1)$. As g and f_1 centralize Z , we see that a Sylow-2-subgroup of $N_G(Q_1)$ has a center of order 4. But $Z(T) = \langle z_2 \rangle$ is of order 2. Therefore 2^{11} must divide the order of G . Lemma 6.6. is proved.

LEMMA 6.7. Put $f := f_1 f_2$, $g := f^2$ and $U := T_1 \langle g \rangle = Z(T \text{ mod } T_1)$. Then t is not conjugate to any involution of $U - T_1$ in G .

PROOF. To start, we determine $C_{T_1}(f)$. We compute $z'_1 = z$, $a'_1 = y_1 h_2$ with $h_2 \in \langle z_2 \rangle$, $a'_2 \in a_1 a_2 y_1 y_2 tZ$, $t' = y_1 a_2 t z_2 h$, $y'_2 = a_2 y_1 y_2 h$, $(ty_2) = z t y_2$. From this, it follows that $C_{T_1}(f) = \langle a_1 y_1, z_2 \rangle$.

As $g^2 = f^4$ we must have $g^2 \in \langle a_1 y_1, z_2 \rangle$. The element g centralizes Z and normalizes the intersections $E_1 \cap E_3$, $E_5 \cap E_7$, $E_2 \cap E_4$, $E_6 \cap E_8$, hence g^2 centralizes these intersections, in particular, g^2 centralizes a_2 . We have proved that $g^2 \in \langle z_2 \rangle$.

Put $T_{10} := E_9 \langle a_2, ty_2 \rangle$. Then we see that g normalizes T_{10} , and that g centralizes $T_{10} \text{ mod } Z$. On the other hand, we can compute that $t^g \in yZ$. If gx is an involution, for some $x \in T_1$, then $g^2 x^2 [g, x] = 1$ and therefore $x \in T_{10}$.

We note that $[g, ty_2] = (ty_2)^2 = z_2$, this implies that if gx is an involution then $gxty_2$ is an involution as well. (It is straightforward to see that ty_2 is contained in the center of T_{10}). So choose $x \in E, \langle a_2 \rangle$. We have $[g, a_2] = z$.

Assume $g^2 = 1$. If $x^2 = [g, x] = 1$, then $x \in E_9$. But g centralizes E_9 and so $E_9 \langle g \rangle$ is elementary of rank 5. Hence, if involutions of this type occur, they cannot be conjugate to t . If $g^2 = z_2$, then $E_9 g$ does not contain any involutions.

The coset $T_{10}g$ contains 64 elements, but we have already excluded 32 elements. Trivial computations show that not all of the remaining 32 elements can be involutions, so there are less than 32 conjugates of t in $U - T_1$. But T normalizes U and T has order 2^{10} . On the other hand, $C_G(t)$ has Sylow-2-subgroups of order 2^5 . Hence U contains $O \pmod{32}$ involutions which are G -conjugate to t . Altogether, this means that there cannot be any conjugates of t in $U - T_1$. Lemma 6.7. is proved.

LEMMA 6.8. Take P_1 to be the Sylow-2-subgroup of $N_G(Q_1)$ containing S_1 . Then $g_2 := g^{d_1}$ is contained in P_1 , and we have $P_1 = Q_1\langle g, g_2, f_1 \rangle$. $S = P_1\langle f_2 \rangle = N_G(S_1)$, and $S_1 = V(\text{ccl}_G(t); P_1)$.

PROOF. Regard the action of $N_G(Q_1)$ on the set $\{E_1, E_3, E_{31}, E_{32}\}$. We know that $N(Q_1)$ induces the full symmetric group on 4 letters. R_1 operates as (E_{31}, E_{32}) and corresponds to a transposition.

Suppose that $g \cong (E_1, E_3)$. Then $R_1 = Q_1\langle a_2 \rangle$ is isomorphic to $Q_1\langle g \rangle$, but $Z(R_1) = Z$ and $Z(Q_1\langle g \rangle) = Z_1$, as g centralizes a_1 , a contradiction. So we must have $g \cong (E_1, E_3)(E_{31}, E_{32})$, and $g \in O_2(N(Q_1) \text{ mod } Q_1) = O_2(N(Q_1))$. The 3-element d_1 normalizes Q_1 , so $g^{d_1} \in O_2(N_G(Q_1))$.

The group S_1 contains precisely 64 involutions, which are G -conjugates of t , and 2^{11} divides $|N(S_1)|$, so $S = P_1\langle f_2 \rangle = N_G(S_1)$.

The factor group P_1/Q_1 is dihedral, so, for determining the elementary subgroups of P_1 , it suffices to determine those of $S_1 = Q_1\langle g, f_1 \rangle$ and of $Q_1\langle g, g_2 \rangle = O_2(N(Q_1))$. As we have the action of d_1 , it is enough to consider the group $Q_1\langle g \rangle$.

This group is normalized by P_1 which is of order 2^{10} , so $Q_1\langle g \rangle$ contains $O \pmod{32}$ involutions which are conjugate to t . On the other hand, $Q_1\langle g \rangle \leq S_1$ and S_1 contains 64 t -conjugates. The involution $a_2 t$ is conjugate to t and lies in S_1 but not in $Q_1\langle g \rangle$, so $Q_1\langle g \rangle$ contains precisely 32 conjugates of t . But these must already be contained in Q_1 . This shows that $Q_1 = V(\text{ccl}_G(t); O_2(N(Q_1)))$, and it follows that $S_1 = V(\text{ccl}_G(t); P_1)$. Lemma 6.8. is proved.

LEMMA 6.9. The elementary group E_9 is normal in S . Put $C_9 := C_S(E_9) = E_9\langle ty_2, f_1, g, g_2 \rangle$. Then $\bar{S} := S/C_9 = \langle t, d_2, f_2 \rangle$ is dihedral of order 8. The inverse images of the elementary maximal subgroups of \bar{S} are $P_1 = C_9\langle t, a_2 \rangle$ and $P_2 = C_9\langle f_2, a_2 \rangle$.

PROOF. Trivial.

LEMMA 6.10. Put $P_3 := C_9\langle a_2 \rangle$. Then P_3 does not contain any involutions which are conjugate to t .

PROOF. Obviously, P_3 is contained in P_1 , and we know the conjugates of t in P_1 . It is obvious from our earlier results that no involution which is conjugate to t and appears in R_1 , is contained in P_3 . But P_3 is f_2 -invariant. The lemma is proved.

LEMMA 6.11. Put $P_4 := C_9\langle f_2 \rangle$. Then P_4 does not contain any involutions which are conjugate to t .

PROOF. In proofs of some earlier lemmas, we have seen that C_9

does not contain any conjugates of t . So suppose xf_2 to be an involution, $x \in C_9$. Then $x^2 = [x, f_2]$, and f_2 centralizes x modulo E_9 .

Now $(f_1 f_2)^2 = g = f_1^2 [f_1, f_2]$, hence $[f_1, f_2] \in gE_9$. $C_{90} := C_{C_9 \text{ mod } E_9}(f_2)$ is a group of order at most 2^7 , and x must be chosen from C_{90} . It is immediate that xf_2 , $z_1 xf_2$, $y_1 xf_2$ and $zy_1 xf_2$ have all different squares, so there are at most 2^5 involutions in $P_4 - C_9$.

On the other hand, P_4 is normalized by a group of order 2^{10} and therefore contains $O \pmod{32}$ conjugates of t . But in the proof of lemma 6.6. we have seen that f_2 is not conjugate to t . So P_4 cannot contain any conjugates of t . The lemma is proved.

LEMMA 6.12. P_2 does not contain any conjugates of t .

PROOF. This is clear from the preceeding lemmas, as P_2 is the union of P_3 , P_4 , and P'_4 .

LEMMA 6.13. $S_1 = V(\text{ccl}_G(t); S)$.

PROOF. This follows from lemmas 6.6., 6.8. and 6.12.

THEOREM 6.14. Hypothesis 6.0. cannot be satisfied.

PROOF. From lemmas 6.8. and 6.13. we conclude that S is a Sylow-2-subgroup of G . Lemma 6.12. says that P_2 , which is a maximal subgroup of S , does not contain any conjugates of t . By the Thompson transfer lemma, it follows that G has a subgroup of index 2, which is a contradiction.

7. $E_1 \sim E_2$, the « case II » case.

HYPOTHESIS 7.0. $[y_2, a_1] = z_2$, $[y_1, a_2] = z_1$.

We use the notation introduced in lemma 5.6. for $i = 1$ and $i = 2$.

LEMMA 7.1. We have $[e_i, t] = zz_i$, $[e_i, a_i] = z_i$, $[e_i, y_i] = zz_i$, $[y_1, y_2] = z$, for $i = 1, 2$.

PROOF. The first two relations are immediate from lemma 5.6. and the definition of e_i .

To prove the other two relations, use lemma 5.7. and assume that $[y_1, e_1] = 1$, which implies that $[y_1, y_2] = z_1$. But then $[y_2, e_2] = [y_2, y_1 a_1] = z_1 z_2$ which contradicts lemma 5.7. for $i = 2$. So we must have $[y_1, y_2] = z$. The lemma is proved.

LEMMA 7.2. Put again $T_1 := T_0 \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle = N_G(T_0)$. Then T_1 contains precisely 8 16-groups, which are:

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= Z\langle a_1, t \rangle, & E_2 &= Z\langle a_2, t \rangle, \\ E_3 &= Z\langle a_1, y_1 \rangle, & E_4 &= Z\langle a_2, y_2 \rangle, \\ E_5 &= Z\langle t y_1 a_2, y_1 \rangle, & E_6 &= Z\langle t y_2 a_1, y_2 \rangle, \\ E_7 &= Z\langle a_1 y_1, t y_1 y_2 \rangle, & E_8 &= Z\langle a_2 y_2, t y_1 y_2 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. First, check that the groups listed above, are elementary. But this follows from lemma 7.1.

Now we determine the maximal elementary subgroups of T_1 with the aid of a suitable factor group. The factor group $\hat{T}_1 = T_1 / \langle z, a_1 a_2 t \rangle = \langle \hat{y}_1, \hat{a}_1 \hat{t} \rangle \langle \hat{y}_2, \hat{a}_2 \hat{t} \rangle$ is the central product of two dihedral groups of order 8, so T_1 has precisely 6 maximal elementary subgroups which are all of order 8. Take U_i , $1 \leq i \leq 6$, to be their inverse images in T_1 : Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} U_1 &= Z\langle a_1 a_2 t, y_1, y_2 \rangle, \\ U_2 &= Z\langle a_1, a_2 t, y_1 \rangle = E_3 E_5, \\ U_3 &= Z\langle a_2, a_1 t, y_2 \rangle = E_4 E_6, \\ U_4 &= Z\langle a_1, a_2, t \rangle = E_1 E_2, \\ U_5 &= Z\langle a_1 a_2 t, y_1 y_2, a_1 a_2 \rangle, \\ U_6 &= Z\langle a_1 y_1, a_2 y_2, y_1 y_2 t \rangle = E_7 E_8. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that U_1 and U_5 do not contain elementary groups of order 16. The lemma is proved.

LEMMA 7.3. Neither E_3 nor E_4 is conjugate to E_1 or E_2 in G .

PROOF. We show that E_3 and E_4 contain more than 7 involutions which are not conjugate to t .

As to E_3 , we have $C(e_2) = C(a_1y_1) \geq Z\langle a_1, y_1, f_2, ty_2 \rangle$, so e_2 is centralized by a group of order 2^6 and cannot be conjugate to t . As a_1 is not conjugate to t either, we are done for E_3 . Take the involution e_1 for E_4 , and use the same argument.

LEMMA 7.4. Let EE be the set $\{E_1, E_5, E_7, E_2, E_6, E_8\}$. Then we have the G -orbits $\{E_1, E_5, E_7\}$ and $\{E_2, E_6, E_8\}$. Furthermore, $N_G(T_1)$ has order $2^8 \cdot 3$.

PROOF. Regard the action of f_1 and f_2 on EE . We find

$$f_1 \cong (E_5, E_7)(E_2, E_6) \quad \text{and} \quad f_2 \cong (E_1, E_5)(E_6, E_8).$$

Having the non-fusion of E_1 and E_2 in mind, we see that the orbits are as described.

We have seen that E_1 has precisely 3 conjugates under the action of $N_G(T_1)$. As $N_G(T_1) \cap N_G(E_1) = T_1\langle f_1 \rangle$ has order 2^8 , we have determined the order of $N_G(T_1)$ and finished the proof of lemma 7.4.

LEMMA 7.5. $J(Q_1) = E_1E_3$, $J(Q_2) = E_2E_4$.

PROOF. Regard $\hat{Q}_1 := Q_1/Z\langle e_1, ty_1 \rangle = \langle \hat{a}_1, \hat{t}_1, \hat{f}_1 \rangle$, which is dihedral of order 8. As usual, take the inverse images of the maximal elementary subgroups. We get

$$Q_{11} = Z\langle e_1, ty_1, a_1, t \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad Q_{12} = Z\langle e_1, ty_1, a_1, f_1 \rangle.$$

The group Q_{11} is contained in T_1 , and with the aid of lemma 7.2. it follows that $E_1E_3 = J(Q_{11})$.

Turn to Q_{12} . We can write $Q_{12} = \langle z_2, f_1 \rangle \times \langle a_1, e_1 \rangle \times \langle ty_1 \rangle$, so Q_{12} is the central product of two dihedral groups of order 8 and a cyclic group of order 4. It is straightforward that such a group has 2-rank 3. This proves the lemma.

LEMMA 7.6. R_1 is a Sylow-2-subgroup of G .

PROOF. Lemma 7.5. implies that $T_1 = J(R_1)$. On the other hand, we know the order of $N_G(T_1)$, and R_1 must be a Sylow-2-subgroup of $N_G(T_1)$.

THEOREM 7.7. Hypothesis 7.0. cannot be satisfied.

PROOF. By lemma 7.6., R_1 is conjugate to R_2 . Regard the normal elementary subgroups of R_i of order 16. We find that $\{E_1, E_3\}$ is conjugate to $\{E_2, E_4\}$. But this cannot happen. Theorem 7.7. is proved.

8. $E_1 \sim E_2$, final.

HYPOTHESIS 8.0. $[y_1, a_2] = [y_2, a_1] = 1$.

LEMMA 8.1. Put $B_i := [Q_i, D_i]$. Then B_1 and B_2 are homocyclic abelian groups of order 16 and of the same type. We may write $B_i = \langle z, a_i, e_i, f_i \rangle$ such that $e_i \in y_1 y_2 \langle z_i \rangle$ and $f_i = e_i^{d_i}$. There are two possibilities:

I) B_1 and B_2 have exponent 4, $[y_1, y_2] = z$,

II) B_1 and B_2 are elementary, $[y_1, y_2] = 1$.

PROOF. First of all, we have $B_i \leq Q_{i0}$ for $i = 1, 2$. It is clear that $Z(Q_{i0}) = \langle z_1, z_2, a_i \rangle$. Put

$$B_{i0} := [Q_{i0}, D_i] Z(Q_{i0}) = Z(Q_{i0}) \langle e_i, f_i \rangle$$

such that $e_i \in \{y_1 y_2, t y_1 y_2\}$ and $f_i = e_i^{d_i}$.

The involution a_j , $j \neq i$, inverts d_i and centralizes e_i for either choice of e_i . Put $f_1^{d_1} := f_i e_i q_i$, $q_i \in Z(Q_{i0})$. Then $(f_i e_i q_i)^{a_j} = f_i = f_i e_i q_i \cdot e_i \cdot q_i^{a_j}$, hence $e_i^2 = [q_i, a_j] \in \langle z \rangle$. Looking at the square of $f_i e_i q_i$ we see that we have $[e_i, f_i] = 1$ and that B_{i0} is abelian.

Interchange e_i and $e_i z_i$, if necessary, to see that we can write B_i as asserted.

As $(t y_1 y_2)^2 = z (y_1 y_2)^2$ we see that $[y_1, y_2] \in \langle z \rangle$. Suppose that $e_1 \in y_1 y_2 t \langle z_1 \rangle$. Then $[y_1, e_1] \in \{z_1 z, z_1\}$ which is not compatible with the operation of d_1 on Q_1 . So $e_1 \in y_1 y_2 \langle z_1 \rangle$. The same argument holds for e_2 , and our lemma is proved.

LEMMAS 8.3.-8.5. will be proved under

HYPOTHESIS 8.2. B_1 and B_2 have exponent 4.

LEMMA 8.3. Let T_1 and Z be as usual. Then T_1 contains 12 16-groups, namely (if $u = a_1 a_2 y_1 y_2$)

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= Z\langle a_1, t \rangle, & F_1 &= Z\langle a_1, y_1 \rangle, \\ E_2 &= Z\langle a_2, t \rangle, & F_2 &= Z\langle a_2, y_1 \rangle, \\ E_3 &= Z\langle u, a_2 t \rangle, & F_3 &= Z\langle u, a_2 y_1 \rangle, \\ E_4 &= Z\langle a_1, y_1 y_2 t \rangle, & F_4 &= Z\langle a_1, y_2 \rangle, \\ E_5 &= Z\langle a_2, y_1 y_2 t \rangle, & F_5 &= Z\langle a_2, y_2 \rangle, \\ E_6 &= Z\langle u, a_1 t \rangle, & F_6 &= Z\langle u, a_1 y_1 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Again we use our « factor group method ». The group $\langle z, a_1, a_2, y_1 y_2 \rangle$ is normal in T_1 and the factor group is dihedral of order 8. We get $T_{11} = Z\langle a_1, a_2, y_1 y_2, t \rangle = \langle a_1, a_2 \rangle \times \langle y_1 y_2 t, t \rangle \times \langle z_1 \rangle$ and $T_{12} = Z\langle a_1, a_2, y_1 y_2, y_1 \rangle = \langle a_1, a_2 \rangle \times \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle \times \langle z_1 \rangle$. Both maximal subgroups are the direct product of an extraspecial group of type $D_8 \times D_8$ and a group of order 2, the list of elementary subgroups now is immediate.

LEMMA 8.4. Put $T_K := Z\langle a_1, a_2, y_1 y_2 \rangle$. For any 16-subgroup of T_1 , E say, put $K(E) := E \cap T_K$. Then T_K is characteristic in T_1 . Furthermore, $\langle z \rangle$ is characteristic in T_1 .

PROOF. Regard the intersections of the 16-subgroups of T_1 , which are or order 8. The only ones occuring more than once are $Z\langle a_1 \rangle$, $Z\langle a_2 \rangle$, and $Z\langle u \rangle$. These three groups of order 8 generate T_K , hence T_K is characteristic in T_1 , and so is $\langle z \rangle = T'_K$.

LEMMA 8.5. 2^9 divides the order of G .

PROOF. Suppose that R_1 is a Sylow-2-subgroup of G . We choose a maximal subgroup $R_{11} = T_K \langle f_1, y_1 t \rangle$. We see that $Z(R_{11}) \geq Z(T_K) = Z\langle y_1 y_2 \rangle$. Regarding cosets of involutions of $R_{11}/Z(T_K)$, we easily see that $T_K = Q_1(R_{11})$. As t is not conjugate to any element of T_K , t is not conjugate into R_{11} , hence G has a subgroup of index 2, which cannot be the case. The lemma is proved.

THEOREM 8.6. Hypothesis 8.2. cannot be satisfied.

PROOF. $\{E_1, E_4, F_1, F_4\}$ is the set of normal 16-groups in R_1 ; E_1 and F_1 are normal in N_1 . On the other hand, R_2 has the normal 16-subgroups E_2, E_5, F_2, F_5, E_2 and F_5 are normal in N_2 .

The action of $T_1\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$ on the set of 16-subgroups of T_1 causes the orbits $\{E_1, E_3, E_5\}, \{E_2, E_4, E_6\}, \{F_1, F_3, F_5\}$ and $\{F_2, F_4, F_6\}$.

As $N_G(R_1) > R_1$, E_1 is conjugate to F_1 or to F_4 . Suppose that E_1 is conjugate to F_1 . Then E_1 is conjugate to F_5 , hence N_1 is conjugate to N_2 , and as E_1 and F_1 are conjugate, E_2 and F_5 must be conjugate in G . But this is a contradiction.

Therefore E_1 is conjugate to F_4 and F_1 is conjugate to E_4 . Again we see that N_1 and N_2 are conjugate. We have $E_1 \sim F_1$, but $E_2 \sim F_5$. This again is a contradiction. The theorem is proved.

We have proved that we are in case II) of lemma 8.1., so B_1 and B_2 are elementary abelian, and, in particular, $[y_1, y_2] = 1$.

LEMMA 8.7. T_1 possesses 4 elementary subgroups of order 16 which contain conjugates of t . They are $E_1 = Z\langle a_1, t \rangle$, $E_2 = Z\langle a_2, t \rangle$, $E_3 = Z\langle a_1 y_1 y_2, a_2 t \rangle$, $E_4 = Z\langle a_2 y_1 y_2, a_1 t \rangle$. $N_G(T_1) = T_1\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle =: T$ is a group of order 2^9 .

PROOF. The factor group $T_1/\langle z, a_1, a_2, y_1 y_2 \rangle$ is dihedral. We get $T_{11} = Z\langle a_1, a_2, y_1, y_2 \rangle$ and $T_{12} = Z\langle a_1, a_2, y_1 y_2, t \rangle$. T_{11} has a center of order 16 and does not contain any conjugates of t . T_2 can be written in the form $D_8 \times D_8 \times Z_2$ and contains precisely 6 elementary 16-groups. Two of them are contained in T_{11} , and the other ones are listed above.

It is easy to see that we have $f_1 \cong (E_2, E_4)$ and $f_2 \cong (E_1, E_3)$. As f_1 and f_2 both normalize T_1 , we see in the usual way that the normalizer of T_1 in G must be as described.

LEMMA 8.8. T is a Sylow-2-subgroup of G .

PROOF. We will show that $T_1 = V(\text{ccl}_G(t); T)$, then our assertion will follow immediately.

First of all, we show that $T_1 = V(\text{ccl}_G(t); R_1)$. To this end, we show that conjugates of t which are contained in Q_1 , also are contained in T_1 . In fact, Q_1 contains an elementary group of order 64, $Q_{11} = \langle z, z_1, a_1, y_1, y_2, f_1 \rangle$, and the only involutions in $Q_1 - Q_{11}$ are the conjugates of t in E_1 and contained in T_1 .

In the same way, we see that $T_1 = V(\text{ccl}_G(t); R_2)$. Put $R_3 := T_1\langle f \rangle$ where $f := f_1 f_2$. To finish, we have to show that $T_1 = V(\text{ccl}_G(t); R_3)$.

We get from easy calculations that $C_{T_1}(f) = Z\langle y_1, y_2 \rangle$ so $f^2 \in Z\langle y_1, y_2 \rangle$. If fx is an involution, $x \in T_1$, then $f^2 x^2 = [f, x] \in Z\langle y_1, y_2 \rangle$.

Put $T_{11} = Z\langle y_1, y_2, a_1, a_2 \rangle$ as above. Then x must be in T_{11} . But $Z(T_{11}) = Z\langle y_1, y_2 \rangle$, hence the centralizer of fx has 2-rank at least 5. Therefore fx cannot be conjugate to t . The lemma is proved.

THEOREM 8.9. E_1 and E_2 are conjugate in $N(T_0)$.

PROOF. Take $M = Z\langle y_1, y_2, a_1, a_2, f_1, f_2 \rangle$, which is a maximal subgroup of T . $Z(M) = Z\langle y_1, y_2 \rangle$ is a 16-group, so t cannot be conjugate into M , and G has a subgroup of index 2, a contradiction. Hence hypothesis 8.0. cannot be satisfied, and we have proved that E_1 and E_2 are conjugate in G .

9. The case of conjugation.

In this section we finish the proof of theorem A. We fix some notation. As before, $T_1 = N_G(T_0)$ is a group of order 2^7 . Put $T_2 = T_1 \cap N_G(E_1)$ and $Z = Z(T_2)$. We keep the notation $Z = \langle z_1, z_2 \rangle$ such that

$$\langle z_i \rangle = Z \cap Z(N_H(E_i)) \quad \text{and} \quad z = z_1 z_2 = [a_1, a_2].$$

Furthermore, $N_i := N_G(E_i)$, $Q_i := O_2(N_i)$, $Z_i := Z(Q_i)$, $i = 1, 2$.

LEMMA 9.1. Put $T_2 = T_0\langle y \rangle$. Then we have $t^y = zt$. Furthermore, $|N_i| = 2^7 \cdot 3$.

PROOF. Suppose that t has 8 conjugates in $N_G(E_i)$, then E_1 and E_2 are conjugate in $C(t)$, which is not the case. So the conjugates of t in E_i split into 2 orbits with 4 elements each under the action of $N(E_i)$. From the structure of $N_H(E_i)$ we easily conclude that we must have $t^y = zt$. As t has 4 conjugates under the action of $N_G(E_i)$, we must have $|N_G(E_i)| = 2^7 \cdot 3$.

LEMMA 9.2. We can choose y to be an involution and to centralize $Z\langle a_1, a_2 \rangle$.

PROOF. T_2 is a maximal subgroup of a Sylow-2-subgroup of N_1 . If T_0 is characteristic in T_2 , T_1 must be a Sylow-2-subgroup of N_1 , but this is not the case. This implies that $T_2 = J(T_2)$ and in particular that $T_2 = \Omega_1(T_2)$. So we may choose y to be an involution and

to centralize a hyperplane of a 16-group of T_0 , and we may assume that y centralizes a hyperplane of E_1 . But then we have $[T_2, E_1] = \langle z \rangle$. As T_1 interchanges E_1 and E_2 , we get $[T_2, E_2] = \langle z \rangle$ and y centralizes a hyperplane of E_2 as well. Hence, in each of the sets $\{ya_1, ty a_1\}$ and $\{ya_2, ty a_2\}$ there is precisely one involution. Suppose that $[y, a_1] = z$. Then replace y by ya_2 or $ty a_2$. Therefore we may assume that $[y, a_1] = 1$. Replacing y by ya_1 if necessary, we may assume that y also centralizes a_2 . Our lemma is proved.

LEMMA 9.3. $A_g(E_i) \cong \Sigma_4$, for $i = 1, 2$.

PROOF. Regard the action of $A(E_i)$ on the orbit of t in E_i which is the set $\{t, tz, ta_i, tza_i\}$; the element d_i operates as (tz, ta_i, tza_i) ; the element d_i operates as (tz, ta_i, tza_i) , y acts as $(t, tz)(ta_i, tza_i)$ and a_j , $j \neq i$, interchanges ta_i and tza_i . So we have the full symmetric group on this orbit.

LEMMA 9.4. T_1/T_0 is cyclic, $T_1 = T_0\langle x \rangle$, where x can be chosen to have the following properties:

- (1) $a_1^x = a_2$, $a_2^x = a_1$,
- (2) $t^x = tz_1$.

Let $y_0 := x^2$. Then y can be chosen to be the unique involution of the set $\{y_0, ty_0\}$.

PROOF. From lemma 9.3. it follows that $\langle z_i \rangle = Z(N_i)$, hence T_1 interchanges z_1 and z_2 , $Z(T_1) = \langle z \rangle$ is of order 2. Suppose that T_1/T_0 is elementary. Then there are 3 maximal subgroups of T_1 containing T_0 . We know that 4 elements of $Z(T_0)$ are conjugate to t , and that a group M with $T_0 < M < T_1$ has a center of order 4, so we must have $Z(M) = Z$ for any choice of M and therefore $Z(T_1) = Z$, a contradiction. We have proved that T_1/T_0 is cyclic.

It is clear that x acts transitively on $Z(T_0) - Z$. Replacing x by x^{-1} if necessary, we may assume that condition (2) holds.

Suppose that $a_1^x = za_2$. Then replace x by a_2x . On the other hand, if $a_2^x = za_1$, then replace x by xa_2 . So x can be chosen to satisfy condition (1) as well.

Put $y_0 := x^2$. Then y_0 centralizes $Z\langle a_1, a_2 \rangle$, and so does ty_0 . We have seen in the proof of lemma 9.2. that $\Phi(T_2) = \langle z \rangle$, so either y_0 or ty_0 is an involution. The lemma is proved.

LEMMA 9.5. T_2 contains precisely 6 elementary subgroups of order 16

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= Z\langle a_1, t \rangle, & E_2 &= Z\langle a_2, t \rangle, \\ E_3 &= Z\langle a_1, y \rangle, & E_4 &= Z\langle a_2, y \rangle, \\ E_5 &= Z\langle a_1y, a_2t \rangle, & E_6 &= Z\langle a_1t, a_2y \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. We write $T_2 = \langle a_1, a_2 \rangle \vartriangleleft \langle y, t \rangle \langle z_1 \rangle$ in order to « see » the elementary subgroups as usual.

LEMMA 9.6. Put $R_i := T_2 Q_i$. Then R_i is a Sylow-2-subgroup of N_i . Set $f_i := y^{d_i}$, $f_i y q_i := f_i^{d_i}$, $q_i \in E_i$. Then $[f_i, a_j] = y q_i$.

There are two cases:

I) $q_i \in \langle z_i \rangle$, $[y, f_i] = 1$, E_3 and E_4 are not conjugate to E_1 .

II) $q_i \in a_i t \langle z_i \rangle$, $[y, f_i] = a_i z$, all 16-subgroups of T_2 are conjugate in $N_G(T_2)$.

PROOF. First of all, $(f_i y q_i)^{a_j} = f_i y q_i \cdot y \cdot q_i^{a_j} = f_i$, therefore we get the relation $[y, q_i] = [q_i, a_j]$ and we find two cases:

Case I. $q_i \in Z$,

Case II. $q_i \in Za_i t$.

We shall prove that we always are in the same case for $i = 1$ and $i = 2$. But for the first part of this proof, this does not matter.

Now use the action of d_i . We get

$$[y, f_i]^{d_i} = [f_i, f_i y q_i] = [f_i, q_i] [f_i, y].$$

In case I, we get $[f_i, q_i] = 1$ and therefore $[y, f_i] \in E_i \cap C(y) \cap C(d_i) = \langle z_i \rangle$. In case II we have $[f_i, q_i] = a_i$ and $[y, f_i] \in za_i \langle z_i \rangle$.

Furthermore, $(f_i y q_i)^{d_i} = y = f_i y q_i \cdot f_i \cdot q_i^{d_i}$, which implies the relation $[y, f_i] [f_i, q_i] [q_i, d_i] = 1$. In case I, we conclude that $[y, f_i] = 1$ and that $[q_i, d_i] = 1$; whereas in case II $[y, f_i]$ must be za_i , so $[q_i, d_i] = z$ and $q_i \in a_i t \langle z_i \rangle$.

From the definition of x , we get $E_1^x = E_2$, $E_3^x = E_4$ and $E_5^x = E_6$.

Now suppose that for $i = 1$ or $i = 2$ we are in case I. Then $C(y) \geq Z(a_1, a_2, y, f_i)$, hence y is centralized by a group of order 2^6 and cannot be conjugate to t . As a_1 and a_2 are not conjugate to t either, we see that E_3 and E_4 cannot be conjugate to E_1 .

On the other hand, if for $i = 1$ or for $i = 2$ we have case II, then, if $i = 1$ we have $E_4^{f_1} = E_5$ and if $i = 2$ we have $E_3^{f_2} = E_6$. In either case, we have $E_2^{f_1} = E_6$ resp. $E_1^{f_2} = E_5$. So if we have «case II» for $i = 1$ or $i = 2$, then all 16-groups of T_2 are conjugate. This proves that we must have case I resp. case II simultaneously for $i = 1$ and $i = 2$. The lemma is proved.

We will deal with these two cases separately. Lemmas 9.8-9.10. will be proved under

HYPOTHESIS 9.7. We have case I of lemma 9.6.

LEMMA 9.8. $N_G(T_2) = T_2 \langle x, f_1, f_2 \rangle =: T$ has order 2^9 . The factor group T/T_2 is dihedral or order 8.

PROOF. Put $EE := \{E_1, E_2, E_5, E_6\}$. It follows from lemma 9.6. that $N_G(T_2)$ operates on EE , and as $N_G(T_2) \cap N_G(E_1) \cap N_G(E_2) = T_2$, $N_G(T_2)/T_2$ acts faithfully on EE .

We compute $f_1 \cong (E_2, E_6)$, $f_2 \cong (E_1, E_5)$, and $x \cong (E_1, E_2)(E_5, E_6)$, there elements generate a dihedral group of order 8. Furthermore, $N_G(T_2) \cap N_G(E_1)$ has order 2^7 , hence the order of $N_G(T_2)$ must be 2^9 ; the lemma is proved.

LEMMA 9.9. $T_2 \leq V(\text{ccl}_G(t); T) \leq T_2 \langle f_1 f_2 \rangle$.

PROOF. First we prove that $T_2 = V(\text{ccl}_G(t); R_1)$. Involutions of R_1 are contained in T_2 or in Q_1 . It is clear that $Z(Q_1) = Z\langle a_1 \rangle$. Determine the elements of $Q_1/Z(Q_1)$ corresponding to cosets of involutions. We find 4 nontrivial cosets with representatives t , y , f_1 , and $f_1 y$. Note that $Z(Q_1)\langle y \rangle$, $Z(Q_1)\langle f_1 \rangle$ and $Z(Q_1)\langle f_1 y \rangle$ are conjugate under d_1 . So it is sufficient to prove that no involution of E_3 is conjugate to t . But $E_3\langle f_1 \rangle$ is elementary of order 32, and we are done.

Regard the inverse images of the involutions of T/T_2 . We have see that T_1/T_0 is cyclic, hence $T_2 = Q_1(T_1)$. Above we have excluded $R_1 - T_2$. The elements x and f_1 correspond to representatives of the 2 non-central classes of involutions in T/T_2 , therefore only the inverse image of $Z(T/T_2)$ is left.

LEMMA 9.10. T is a Sylow-2-subgroup of G .

PROOF. Put $U := T\langle f_1 f_2 \rangle = Z(T \text{ mod } T_2)$ and write $f := f_1 f_2$. It is immediate that $Z = Z(U)$. Regard $\hat{U} := U/Z(U)$. We get from lemma 9.6. that $[\hat{f}, \hat{d}_1] = [\hat{f}, \hat{d}_2] = \hat{y}$ and that $[\hat{f}, \hat{i}] = \hat{d}_1 \hat{d}_2 \hat{y}$. It is clear

that \hat{T}_2 is an elementary 16-group. Suppose that \hat{U} contains a further maximal group which is elementary. Then \hat{U}' must be of order 2. But we have seen that \hat{U}' is of order 4, so \hat{T}_2 is the only elementary 16-group contained in \hat{U} , therefore \hat{T}_2 is characteristic in \hat{U} and T_2 is characteristic in U .

We get that T_2 is characteristic in T . Indeed, if $T_2 = V(\text{ccl}_G(t); T)$ this is obvious. On the other hand, if $U = V(\text{ccl}_G(t); T)$ then U is characteristic in T ; as T_2 is characteristic in U , we get that T_2 is characteristic in T again.

Now it follows directly that T is a Sylow-2-subgroup of G .

THEOREM 9.11. We have case II of lemma 9.6. for $i = 1$ and $i = 2$.

PROOF. Suppose not. Then we shall derive a contradiction with the aid of the Thompson transfer lemma.

$M := Z\langle a_1, a_2, y, f_1, f_2, x \rangle$ is a maximal subgroup of T . We conclude from the structure of T/T_2 that $T_1 := \langle a_1, a_2, y, f_1, f_2, t \rangle = \Omega_1(T)$.

Assume that t is conjugate into M . Then t is conjugate into $\Omega_1(M) \leqslant M \cap T =: M_0$. We have $M_0 = Z\langle y, a_1, a_2, f_1, f_2 \rangle$ and that $Z(M_0) = Z\langle y \rangle$.

We know that $[a_2, f_1] = q_1 y$ and $[a_1, f_2] = q_2 y$. Because of $(xt)^2 = y_0 z_1$, we may interchange y and yz_1 such that $q_1 = 1$.

Now calculate $t' = (a_1 t)^{f_2} = q_2 y a_1 a_2 t$, so

$$t'^* = q_2 y \cdot q_2 y a_1 \cdot y a_2 \cdot q_2 y a_1 a_2 t = (a_1 a_2)^2 q_2 t,$$

but $f^2 \in T_2$, so we must have $q_2 = 1$ and $t'^* = zt$. On the other hand, $f^2 \in C_{T_2}(f) = Z\langle y, a_1 a_2 \rangle$ and f^2 centralizes $Z\langle y, a_1, a_2 \rangle$, so we must have $f^2 = yv$, $v \in Z$.

We look for involutions in M_0 which can be conjugate to t . As we have seen in the proof of lemma 9.9., we only have to regard $M_{00} := Z\langle y, a_1, a_2, f \rangle$. Let fx be an involution, $x \in Z\langle y, a_1, a_2 \rangle$.

Then $f^2 x^2 = [f, x] = y$, and $x \in Z(M_0) a_1 \cup Z(M_0) a_2$. But

$$Z(M_0)\langle a_1 f_1, f_2 \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad Z(M_0)\langle f_1, f_2 a_2 \rangle$$

are elementary of order 32. So we have shown that t cannot be conjugate into M_{00} . But this implies that t cannot be conjugate into M , and we can apply the Thompson transfer lemma. Our theorem is proved.

LEMMA 9.12. The order of $N_G(T_2)$ is $2^8 \cdot 3$. $N_G(T_2)/T_2$ is isomorphic to $\Sigma_3 \times Z_2$.

PROOF. Put $EE = \{E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4, E_5, E_6\}$. Then, by lemma 9.6. and other facts, we get

$$f_1 \cong (E_2, E_6)(E_4, E_5), \quad f_2 \cong (E_1, E_5)(E_3, E_6),$$

$x \cong (E_1, E_2)(E_3, E_4)(E_5, E_6)$. This implies that $c := f_1x = (E_1, E_2, E_5, E_3, E_4, E_6)$. Furthermore, we compute $d := c^2 = (E_1, E_5, E_4)(E_2, E_3, E_6)$ and $e := c^3 = (E_1, E_3)(E_2, E_4)(E_5, E_6)$.

Now it is obvious that order and structure of $N_G(T_2)$ are as described.

LEMMA 9.13. The order of $N_G(Q_1)$ is $2^9 \cdot 3$.

PROOF. There are precisely 4 16-groups in Q_1 , namely $E_1, E_3, E_{31} = Z(Q_1)\langle f_1 \rangle$, and $E_{32} = Z(Q_1)\langle f_1yt \rangle$. The group $R_1 = T_2Q_1$ contains 8 16-groups. We see that E_1 and E_3 are normal in R_1 , E_{31} and E_{32} have normalizer Q_1 , finally E_2, E_4, E_5 , and E_6 have normalizer T_2 in R_1 . As T_2 and Q_1 are non-isomorphic, it follows that Q_1 and T_2 are characteristic in R_1 .

From lemma 9.12. we conclude that $N_G(R_1) = R_1\langle e \rangle$, hence e normalizes Q_1 , and all 16-subgroups of Q_1 are conjugate in $N(Q_1)$. As $N_G(E_1) \leq N_G(Q_1)$, we must have that the order of $N_G(Q_1)$ is $2^9 \cdot 3$.

LEMMA 9.14. Put $U := T_2\langle e \rangle$. Then $T_2 = J(U)$.

PROOF. $T := T_2\langle e, f_1 \rangle$ is a Sylow-2-subgroup of $N_G(T_2)$; as $Z(T_1) = \langle z \rangle$, we must have $Z(T) = \langle z \rangle$. On the other hand, $\langle z \rangle = T'_2$ is normal in $N_G(T_2)$, therefore d centralizes Z and so does f_1 . This shows that $z_1^e = zz_1$.

Take e_0 to be any involution of $U - T_2$. Then e_0 normalizes $E_1 \cap E_3, E_2 \cap E_4$, and $E_5 \cap E_6$, so e_0 normalizes $T_{20} := Z\langle a_1, a_2, yt \rangle$. On the other hand, $(E_1 \cap E_3)^{e_0} = (E_3 \cap E_4)$, therefore $(Zt)^{e_0} = Zy$. As e_0 centralizes T_{20} modulo Z , we then must have $C_{T_2}(e_0) \leq T_{20}$.

If U contains a 16-group which does not lie in T_2 , choose e_0 from such a group and outside T_2 . Then e_0 centralizes an elementary group of order 8 in T_{20} . But the only groups of this type in T_{20} are $Z\langle a_1 \rangle, Z\langle a_2 \rangle$, and $Z\langle a_1 a_2 ty \rangle$, and no one of these groups can be centralized by e_0 , as e_0 does not centralize Z . Our lemma is proved.

Now we are able to derive a final contradiction. To this end, we want to prove that $R_1 = J(T)$.

There is a group $T_2 < T_3 < T$ such that T_3 is isomorphic to T_1 , therefore T/T_2 cannot be covered by an elementary abelian group. So any 16-group of T is contained in R_1 , T_3 or U . But, as we have seen, $T_2 = J(U) = J(T_3)$. Hence $R_1 = J(T)$.

On the other hand, we have noted in the proof of lemma 9.13. that T_2 is characteristic in R_1 , hence T is a Sylow-2-subgroup of $N_G(R_1)$. This, however, implies that T is a Sylow-2-subgroup of G . But T has order 2^8 and we have seen in lemma 9.13. that 2^8 divides the order of G . This is the desired contradiction. Theorem A is proved.

10. Proof of theorem B.

Let G be a finite group having no subgroup of index 2, containing an involution t such that $H = C_G(t) = \langle t \rangle \not\sim \Sigma$, $\Sigma \cong \Sigma_7$.

We choose a fixed Sylow-2-subgroup of H , T_0 , which can be taken to correspond to the one introduced in § 1, when we regard Σ_6 as a subgroup of Σ_7 . We use the notation introduced in § 1.

LEMMA 10.1. In H , i_2 has 21 conjugates, i_4 has 105 conjugates and i_6 has 105 conjugates.

PROOF. The symmetric group on 7 letters contains $\binom{7}{2} = 21$ transpositions. There are $\binom{7}{4} \cdot 3$ involutions operating on 4 letters. The subgroup Σ_6 contains 15 involutions operating on 6 letters, so Σ_7 must contain $7 \cdot 15 = 105$ involutions of this type.

LEMMA 10.2. In H , d_1 has 70 conjugates, and d_2 has 280 conjugates. In particular, $\langle t, i_6 \rangle$ is a Sylow-2-subgroup of $C_H(d_2)$.

PROOF. There are $\binom{7}{3} \cdot 2$ 3-elements operating on 3 letters. Regard 3-elements operating on 6 letters. In Σ_6 , we find $\binom{5}{2} \cdot 4 = 40$ elements of this type in Σ_7 . The structure of $C_H(d_2)$ is obvious.

We remark that $N_H(E_1)$ and $N_H(E_2)$ have the same structure as in the case Σ_6 . So we can take § 2 literally to see that 2^6 divides the order of G .

LEMMA 10.3. 2^7 divides the order of G . Furthermore,

$$|N_G(T_0): T_0| = 4 .$$

PROOF. The first assertion obviously follows from the second one. So assume that $|N_G(T_0): T_0| = 2$. If t is conjugate to any other H -class of involution, t is conjugate to its representative in $Z(T_0)$ under the action of $N(T_0)$. Our assumption implies that t is conjugate to just one other class, therefore t has 22 or 106 conjugates in H , and as G does not have a subgroup of index 2, $T = N_G(T_0) = T_0\langle y \rangle$ is a Sylow-2-subgroup of G .

Suppose that E_1 and E_2 are normal in T . Then t must have 2 conjugates in E_1 and E_2 . But this is impossible.

Suppose that $E_1' = E_2$. Then T_0 must be a Sylow-2-subgroup of $N_G(E_i)$, t is isolated in $N_G(E_i)$, therefore $N_G(E_i) = N_H(E_i)$, $Z(N_G(E_1)) = \langle t, i_2 \rangle$ and $Z(N_G(E_2)) = \langle t, i_6 \rangle$. We conclude that $\langle t, i_2 \rangle^v = \langle t, i_6 \rangle$. But now either $t^v = t$ or t is conjugate to at least 3 elements of $Z(T_0)$. Both is not possible. The lemma is proved.

THEOREM 10.4. Suppose that K is a finite group of even order, $t \in K$ is an involution and $C_K(t)$ has a Sylow-2-subgroup which is elementary of order 4. Then the Sylow-2-subgroups of K are dihedral or semi-dihedral. In particular, the 2-rank of K is 2.

PROOF. Let $\langle s, t \rangle$ be a Sylow-2-subgroup of $C_K(t)$ and S be a Sylow-2-subgroup of K containing $\langle s, t \rangle$. Suppose that the order of S is 2^n . Then t has 2^{n-2} conjugates in S . The commutator subgroup of S has order at most 2^{n-2} , so t cannot be contained in S' . On the other hand, $S'\langle t \rangle$ is normal in S . This forces $|S'| = 2^{n-2}$. By [3], theorem 5.4.5., S is dihedral, semi-dihedral or generalized quaternion. As S contains at least 3 involutions, it cannot be quaternion. The theorem is proved.

LEMMA 10.5. d_1 and d_2 are not conjugate in G .

PROOF. It follows from theorem 10.4. that $C_G(d_2)$ has Sylow-2-subgroups of 2-rank 2. Suppose that d_1 and d_2 are conjugate in G . Then t centralizes $350 = 2 \pmod{4}$ conjugates of d_2 , hence a Sylow-2-subgroup of G can be at most twice as big as a Sylow-2-subgroup of $C_G(d_2)$. But G has 2-rank at least 4. This is a contradiction.

LEMMA 10.6. E_1 and E_2 are not conjugate in G .

PROOF. Suppose they are. Then, as before, we see that t has precisely 4 conjugates in E_i under the action of $N_G(E_i)$, and that the order of $N_G(E_i)$ is $2^7 \cdot 3$. In particular, $\langle d_1 \rangle$ is a Sylow-3-subgroup of $N_G(E_1)$, and $\langle d_2 \rangle$ is a Sylow-3-subgroup of $N_G(E_2)$. If E_1 and E_2 are conjugate,

then their normalizers are conjugate in G as well, and so are any two Sylow-3-subgroups. But that contradicts lemma 10.5. Our lemma is proved.

Now we are in a position to make use of §§ 5-8, where the lengths of conjugacy classes in H do not matter at all. This remark finishes the proof of theorem B.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. ASCHBACHER, *On finite groups of component type* (to appear).
- [2] M. ASCHBACHER, *Standard components of alternating type centralized by a four-group* (to appear).
- [3] D. GORENSTEIN, *Finite groups*, Harper and Row, 1968.
- [4] G. STROTH (unpublished).
- [5] G. N. THWAITES, *A characterization of M_{12} by centralizer of involution*, Quarterly J. of Math., **24** (1973), pp. 537-557.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione l'8 maggio 1974.