

# RENDICONTI *del* SEMINARIO MATEMATICO *della* UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

S. ZAIDMAN

## **An asymptotic result for weak differential inequalities**

*Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova*,  
tome 55 (1976), p. 167-178

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP\\_1976\\_\\_55\\_\\_167\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1976__55__167_0)

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1976, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (<http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/legal.php>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques  
<http://www.numdam.org/>

## An Asymptotic Result for Weak Differential Inequalities.

S. ZAIDMAN (\*)

### Introduction.

In this paper we present in weak form a result concerning differential inequalities which, for strong solutions, was proved in [1], [3], [4]. The functions here involved need not be differentiable and they are not supposed to belong to the domain of the given unbounded operator.

**§ 1.** — Let be  $H$  a Hilbert space and  $A$ , a linear closed operator,  $\mathcal{D}(A) \subset H \rightarrow H$ , with dense domain in  $H$ .

Let be  $A^*$  the adjoint operator to  $A$ , defined on the dense set

$$\mathcal{D}(A^*) = \{h \in H, (Ak, h) = (k, h^*)\} \quad \forall k \in \mathcal{D}(A),$$

through formula  $A^*h = h^*$ , so that  $(Ah, k) = (h, A^*k)$ ,  $\forall h \in \mathcal{D}(A)$  and  $k \in \mathcal{D}(A^*)$ .

Define now a natural class of vector-valued test-functions  $K_{A^*}(0, \infty)$ , consisting of continuously differentiable functions  $0 < t < \infty \rightarrow H$ ,  $\phi(t)$ , having compact support in the open interval  $(0, \infty)$ , such that  $\phi(t) \in \mathcal{D}(A^*)$ ,  $\forall t \in (0, \infty)$  and  $(A^*\phi)(t)$  is continuous,  $0 < t < \infty \rightarrow H$ .

Our aim is to demonstrate the following:

---

(\*) Indirizzo dell'A.: Département de Mathématiques, Université de Montréal, Montréal, (P.Q.), Canada.

This research is supported through a grant of the National Research Council, Canada.

**THEOREM.** *Let us assume that  $u(t)$  and  $f(t)$  are strongly continuous functions,  $0 \leq t < \infty \rightarrow H$ , related through the integral identity:*

$$(1.1) \quad \int_0^{\infty} (u(t), \varphi'(t) + (A^* \varphi)(t)) dt = - \int_0^{\infty} (f(t), \varphi(t)) dt$$

for any  $\varphi(t) \in K_{A^*}(0, \infty)$ .

Assume also that on a sequence of vertical lines in the complex plane:  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda = \sigma_n \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the resolvent operator

$$(\sigma_n + i\tau - A)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(H; H) \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots, -\infty < \tau < \infty,$$

and verifies an estimate

$$\|(\sigma_n + i\tau - A)^{-1}\| \leq M, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, -\infty < \tau < \infty.$$

In these conditions, if  $\|f(t)\| \leq \phi(t)\|u(t)\|$ ,  $0 \leq t < \infty$ , where  $\phi(t) \leq c < 1/M$ ,  $0 \leq t < \infty$  and if  $\sup_{t \geq 0} \exp[-at]\|u(t)\| < \infty$  for any real number  $a$ , it follows that  $u(t) = \theta$ ,  $\forall t \geq 0$ .

We shall start with following

**LEMMA.** *Let be  $\zeta(t)$  a scalar-valued continuously differentiable function defined as:*

$$\zeta(t) = 0 \quad \text{for } t \leq 0, \quad \zeta(t) = 1 \quad \text{for } t \geq t_0 > 0,$$

increasing between  $t = 0$  and  $t = t_0$ .

Let be  $v(t) = \exp[at]\zeta(t)u(t)$  for  $t \geq 0$ ,  $v(t) = \theta$  for  $t < 0$ , where  $a$  is a real number. Then the integral identity:

$$(1.2) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (v(t), \psi'(t) + (A^* \psi)(t)) dt = \\ = - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\exp[at]\zeta(t)f(t) + \exp[at]\zeta'(t)u(t) + av(t), \psi(t)) dt$$

is verified,  $\forall \psi \in K_{A^*}(-\infty, \infty)$ .

Here,  $K_{A^*}(-\infty, \infty)$  has a similar definition to  $K_{A^*}(0, \infty)$ ; precisely, it consists of continuously differentiable functions  $-\infty < t < \infty \rightarrow H$   $\psi(t)$ , having compact support, the range being in  $\mathfrak{D}(A^*)$ , and  $(A^*\psi)(t)$  being  $H$ -continuous function.

PROOF OF LEMMA. We have

$$(1.3) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (v(t), \psi'(t)) \, dt = \int_0^{\infty} (\exp[at]\zeta(t)u(t), \psi'(t)) \, dt = \\ = \int_0^{\infty} (u(t), \exp[at]\zeta(t)\psi'(t)) \, dt.$$

Write now the identity

$$(1.4) \quad \exp[at]\zeta(t)\psi'(t) = (\exp[at]\zeta(t)\psi(t))' - (\exp[at]\zeta(t))'\psi(t);$$

hence we get

$$(1.5) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (v(t), \psi'(t)) \, dt = \\ = \int_0^{\infty} (u(t), (\exp[at]\zeta(t)\psi(t))') \, dt - \int_0^{\infty} (u(t), (\exp[at]\zeta(t))'\psi(t)) \, dt.$$

Denote:  $\phi(t) = \exp[at]\zeta(t)\psi(t)$  and take also a scalar-valued function  $v_\varepsilon(t)$ , depending on parameter  $\varepsilon > 0$ , such that:

$$v_\varepsilon(t) = 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq \varepsilon, \quad v_\varepsilon(t) = 1 \quad \text{for } t \geq 2\varepsilon, \\ v_\varepsilon(t) \in C^1[0, \infty), \quad |v'_\varepsilon(t)| \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon}, \quad 0 \leq t < \infty.$$

Now, it is easy to see that  $v_\varepsilon(t)\phi(t)$  belongs to  $K_{A^*}(0, \infty)$ .

Consequently, using (1.1), we obtain

$$\int_0^{\infty} (u(t), (v_\varepsilon\phi)'(t)) \, dt = \\ = - \int_0^{\infty} (u(t), A^*(v_\varepsilon\phi)(t)) \, dt - \int_0^{\infty} (f(t), (v_\varepsilon\phi)(t)) \, dt, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

We get now:

$$\int_0^{\infty} (u(t), (v_{\varepsilon} \phi')(t)) \, dt = \int_{\varepsilon}^{2\varepsilon} (u(t), v_{\varepsilon}(t) \phi'(t)) \, dt + \int_{2\varepsilon}^{\infty} (u(t), \phi'(t)) \, dt.$$

Actually we can estimate

$$\left| \int_{\varepsilon}^{2\varepsilon} (u(t), v_{\varepsilon}(t) \phi'(t)) \, dt \right| \leq \varepsilon \sup_{\varepsilon \leq t \leq 2\varepsilon} \|u(t)\| \|\phi'(t)\| C \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Obviously it is:

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{2\varepsilon}^{\infty} (u(t), \phi'(t)) \, dt = \int_0^{\infty} (u(t), \phi'(t)) \, dt.$$

Consider also

$$\int_0^{\infty} (u(t), v'_{\varepsilon}(t) \phi(t)) \, dt = \int_{\varepsilon}^{2\varepsilon} (u(t), v'_{\varepsilon}(t) \phi(t)) \, dt.$$

Here we can estimate

$$\left| \int_{\varepsilon}^{2\varepsilon} (u(t), v'_{\varepsilon}(t) \phi(t)) \, dt \right| < \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \sup_{\varepsilon \leq t \leq 2\varepsilon} \|u(t)\| \|\phi(t)\|.$$

which  $\rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  because  $\phi(0) = \zeta(0) \psi(0) = \theta$  and  $u(t)$ ,  $\phi(t)$  are continuous,  $u(t)$  is bounded near  $t = 0$  and  $\phi(t) \rightarrow \theta$  as  $t \downarrow 0$ .

Summing up these results we get

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_0^{\infty} (u(t), (v_{\varepsilon} \phi)'(t)) \, dt = \int_0^{\infty} (u(t), \phi'(t)) \, dt.$$

Consider also the expression

$$-\int_0^{\infty} (u(t), A^*(v_{\varepsilon} \phi)(t)) \, dt = -\int_{\varepsilon}^{2\varepsilon} (u(t), (A^* \phi)(t)) v_{\varepsilon}(t) \, dt - \int_{2\varepsilon}^{\infty} (u(t), (A^* \phi)(t)) \, dt$$

which tends obviously as  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$  to  $-\int_0^\infty (u(t), (A^*\phi)(t)) dt$ . Similarly we have

$$-\int_0^\infty (f(t), (v_\varepsilon \phi)(t)) dt \rightarrow -\int_0^\infty (f(t), \phi(t)) dt \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \downarrow 0 .$$

Summing up again we obtain the equality

$$\int_0^\infty (u(t), \phi'(t)) dt = -\int_0^\infty (u(t), (A^*\phi)(t)) dt - \int_0^\infty (f(t), \phi(t)) dt$$

and remembering definition of  $\phi(t)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty (u(t), (\exp[at]\zeta(t)\psi(t))') dt = \\ = -\int_0^\infty (u(t), \exp[at]\zeta(t)(A^*\psi)(t)) dt - \int_0^\infty (f(t), \exp[at]\zeta(t)\psi(t)) dt \end{aligned}$$

or also, turning back to (1.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (v(t), \psi'(t)) dt = -\int_0^\infty (u(t), \exp[at]\zeta(t)(A^*\psi)(t)) dt - \\ - \int_0^\infty (f(t), \exp[at]\zeta(t)\psi(t)) dt - \int_0^\infty (u(t), (\exp[at]\zeta(t))' \psi(t)) dt = \\ = -\int_{-\infty}^\infty (v(t), (A^*\psi)(t)) dt - \int_{-\infty}^\infty (\exp[at]\zeta(t)f(t), \psi(t)) dt - \\ - \int_{-\infty}^\infty (\exp[at]\zeta'(t)u(t), \psi(t)) dt - a \int_{-\infty}^\infty (v(t), \psi(t)) dt . \end{aligned}$$

Which is what we had to prove.

If we denote

$$h(t) = \exp[at]\zeta(t)f(t) + \exp[at]\zeta'(t)u(t) + av(t),$$

we see that  $h(t)$  is continuous,  $-\infty < t < \infty \rightarrow H$ .

**§ 2.** — Let us consider now a sequence of scalar-valued, non-negative  $C^1$ -functions,  $\{\alpha_n(t)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , vanishing for  $|t| > 1/n$  and such that  $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha_n(\tau) d\tau = 1$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Consider the convolution

$$(2.1) \quad (v * \alpha_n)(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v(\tau) \alpha_n(t - \tau) d\tau = \int_{|t-\tau| \leq 1/n} v(\tau) \alpha_n(t - \tau) d\tau$$

where  $v$  was defined in Lemma.

As usual,  $(v * \alpha_n)(t)$  is well-defined for  $-\infty < t < +\infty$  and is  $H$ -continuously differentiable there.

As was proved in our papers [5], [6], from (1.2) we can deduce that  $(v * \alpha_n)(t) \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ ,  $\forall t \in (-\infty, \infty)$  and that

$$(2.2) \quad (v * \alpha_n)'(t) = A(v * \alpha_n)(t) + (h * \alpha_n)(t).$$

We have now the following

**PROPOSITION 1.** *It is*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \|(v * \alpha_n)'(t)\| dt < \infty, \quad \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \|(h * \alpha_n)(t)\| dt < \infty, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Remark that

$$(v * \alpha_n)'(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} v(\tau) \alpha_n'(t - \tau) d\tau = \int_{t-1/n}^{t+1/n} v(\tau) \alpha_n'(t - \tau) d\tau.$$

Now,  $v(t)$  is estimated:

$$\|v(t)\| = \exp[at]\zeta(t)\|u(t)\|, \quad t \geq 0, \quad \|v(t)\| = 0, \quad t \leq 0.$$

On the other hand, our main hypothesis on  $u(t)$  implies that  $\forall$  real  $\alpha$ ,  $\exists N_\alpha > 0$ , such that  $\|u(t)\| \leq N_\alpha \exp[\alpha t]$ ,  $t \geq 0$ .

Take then  $\alpha + a = \beta < 0$ , and get  $\|v(t)\| \leq N_\alpha \exp[\beta t]$  for  $t \geq 0$ , hence  $\forall t \in R^1$  too. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|(v * \alpha_n)'(t)\| &\leq \int_{t-1/n}^{t+1/n} \|v(\tau)\| \cdot |\alpha_n'(t-\tau)| d\tau = \int_{-1/n}^{1/n} \|v(t-\sigma)\| \cdot |\alpha_n'(\sigma)| d\sigma \leq \\ &\leq N_\alpha \int_{-1/n}^{1/n} \exp[\beta(t-\sigma)] |\alpha_n'(\sigma)| d\sigma = N_\alpha \exp[\beta t] \int_{-1/n}^{1/n} \exp[|\beta|\sigma] |\alpha_n'(\sigma)| d\sigma \leq \\ &\leq N_\alpha \exp[\beta t] \exp\left[\frac{|\beta|}{n}\right] \int_{-1/n}^{1/n} |\alpha_n'(\sigma)| d\sigma = C_n \exp[\beta t], \quad \forall t \in R^1. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, for  $t < -1/n$ ,  $(v * \alpha_n)'(t) = 0$  because  $v(\tau) = 0$  for  $\tau \leq 0$ . This proves integrability of  $(v * \alpha_n)'(t)$  on real axis.

Consider now  $h(t)$  which was defined as the sum

$$\exp[at] \zeta(t) f(t) + \exp[at] \zeta'(t) u(t) + av(t).$$

It follows:  $h = \theta$  for  $t \leq 0$  and

$$\|h(t)\| \leq \exp[at] \|f(t)\| + \exp[at] |\zeta'(t)| \|u(t)\| + |a| \|\exp[at] \zeta(t) u(t)\| \quad \text{for } t \geq 0.$$

Actually

$$\|f(t)\| \leq \phi(t) \|u(t)\| \leq c \|u(t)\| \leq c N_\alpha \exp[\alpha t], \quad t \geq 0.$$

Also,  $\zeta'(t) = 0$  for  $t \geq t_0$ , and  $\|av(t)\| \leq |a| N_\alpha \exp[\beta t]$ ,  $t \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha = \beta - a$ . Consequently  $\exp[at] \|f(t)\| \leq c N_\alpha \exp[\beta t]$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , and  $\exists c_1 > 0$  such that

$$\exp[at] |\zeta'(t)| \|u(t)\| \leq c_1 \exp[\beta t], \quad t \geq 0$$

(in fact  $\exp[(a-\beta)t] |\zeta'(t)| \|u(t)\| > 0$  only on  $0 \leq t \leq t_0$ ; it is a continuous function there, take  $c_1$  its supremum).



It is consequently

$$\|h(t)\| \leq cN_\alpha \exp[\beta t] + c_1 \exp[\beta t] + |a|N_\alpha \exp[\beta t] = C_2(\alpha, a) \exp[\beta t],$$

$$t \geq 0, \quad h = \theta, \quad t \leq 0.$$

It we take again the convolution

$$(h * \alpha_n)(t) = \int_{|t-\tau| \leq 1/n} h(\tau) \alpha_n(t-\tau) d\tau,$$

it is identically null for  $t < -1/n$ , and is otherwise estimated by

$$\|(h * \alpha_n)(t)\| \leq \int_{-1/n}^{1/n} \|h(t-\sigma)\| \alpha_n(\sigma) d\sigma \leq C_2 \int_{-1/n}^{1/n} \exp[\beta(t-\sigma)] \alpha_n(\sigma) d\sigma \leq$$

$$\leq C_2 \exp[\beta t] \exp\left[\frac{|\beta|}{n}\right] = c_{3,n} \exp[\beta t], \quad \text{for all real } t.$$

This proves our Proposition 1.

PROPOSITION 2. *The functions  $(v * \alpha_n)(t)$  and  $A(v * \alpha_n)(t)$  are norm-integrable on the real axis.*

The second term is obviously integrable by Prop. 1. The proof for the first term is similar to the one given above.

Let us multiply now equality (2.2) by  $1/\sqrt{2\pi} \exp[-i\tau t]$ , where  $-\infty < \tau < \infty$ ,  $i = \sqrt{-1}$  and then integrate on  $R^1$ ; we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp[-i\tau t] (v * \alpha_n)'(t) dt =$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp[-i\tau t] A(v * \alpha_n)(t) dt + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp[-i\tau t] (h * \alpha_n)(t) dt.$$

If we effectuate partial integration in the left-hand integral—say on intervals  $(-r, r)$ , and use vanishing of  $(v * \alpha_n)(t)$  for  $t < -1/n$  and exponential decay for  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ , we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp[-i\tau t] (v * \alpha_n)'(t) dt = i\tau \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp[-i\tau t] (v * \alpha_n)(t) dt.$$

On the other hand, as  $\exp[-i\tau t](v * \alpha_n)(t)$  is integrable on  $R^1$  and

$$A(\exp[-i\tau t](v * \alpha_n)(t)) = \exp[-i\tau t]A(v * \alpha_n)(t)$$

has the same property we get, as well-known [2], that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp[-i\tau t](v * \alpha_n)(t) dt \in \mathcal{D}(A)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp[-i\tau t]A(v * \alpha_n)(t) dt = A \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp[-i\tau t](v * \alpha_n)(t) dt .$$

Hence, using a standard notation, we get

$$i\tau(v * \alpha_n)^\wedge(\tau) = A(v * \alpha_n)^\wedge(\tau) + (h * \alpha_n)^\wedge(\tau) .$$

Write now  $h(t)$  in the form

$$h(t) = g(t) + av(t), \quad g(t) = \exp[at]\zeta(t)f(t) + \exp[at]\zeta'(t)u(t) .$$

Then  $(h * \alpha_n)(t) = (g * \alpha_n)(t) + a(v * \alpha_n)(t)$  and consequently

$$(h * \alpha_n)^\wedge(\tau) = (g * \alpha_n)^\wedge(\tau) + a(v * \alpha_n)^\wedge(\tau)$$

and also

$$(i\tau - a - A)(v * \alpha_n)^\wedge(\tau) = (g * \alpha_n)^\wedge(\tau) ,$$

where  $-\infty < \tau < \infty$  and  $a$  is an arbitrary real number.

Take in particular  $a = -\sigma_n$ ; then  $(i\tau - a - A) = (\sigma_n + i\tau - A)$  which has a bounded inverse  $\forall n = 1, 2, \dots$ . We get

$$(v * \alpha_n)^\wedge(\tau) = (\sigma_n + i\tau - A)^{-1}(g * \alpha_n)^\wedge(\tau), \quad -\infty < \tau < \infty, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots .$$

**§ 3.** - Remember now well-known relation

$$(v * \alpha_n)^\wedge(\tau) = v(\tau)\hat{\alpha}_n(\tau), \quad (g * \alpha_n)^\wedge(\tau) = \hat{g}(\tau)\hat{\alpha}_n(\tau) .$$

Consider also a particular sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}_1^\infty$ , constructed as follows: Take one function  $0 \leq \alpha(t) \in C^1(-\infty, \infty)$ ,  $= 0$  for  $|t| \geq 1$ , with  $\int_{-\infty}^\infty \alpha(t) \cdot dt = 1$ . Then put  $\alpha_n(t) = n\alpha(nt)$  and see that it verifies the required above conditions: also it is  $\hat{\alpha}_n(\tau) = \hat{\alpha}(\tau/n)$  as easily seen. Hence, from section 2, we get now:

$$\hat{v}(\tau) \hat{\alpha}\left(\frac{\tau}{n}\right) = (\sigma_n + i\tau - A)^{-1} \hat{g}(\tau) \hat{\alpha}\left(\frac{\tau}{n}\right), \quad -\infty < \tau < \infty, n = 1, 2, \dots$$

and

$$\left\| \hat{v}(\tau) \hat{\alpha}\left(\frac{\tau}{n}\right) \right\| \leq M \|\hat{g}(\tau)\| \left| \hat{\alpha}\left(\frac{\tau}{n}\right) \right|, \quad -\infty < \tau < \infty, n = 1, 2, \dots$$

If we let here  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get  $\hat{\alpha}(\tau/n) \rightarrow \hat{\alpha}(0) = 1, \forall \tau \in (-\infty, \infty)$  hence  $\|\hat{v}(\tau)\| \leq M \|\hat{g}(\tau)\|, -\infty < \tau < \infty$  and by Plancherel's equality we get

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty \|v(t)\|^2 dt \leq M^2 \int_{-\infty}^\infty \|g(t)\|^2 dt.$$

The final steps are the standard ones (see [4]); we give here the full details, for sake of completeness.

Because of definition of  $v(t)$  we get, for  $a = -\sigma_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty \|v(t)\|^2 dt = \int_0^\infty \exp [2at] \zeta^2(t) \|u(t)\|^2 dt \leq M^2 \int_{-\infty}^\infty \|g(t)\|^2 dt = M^2 \int_0^\infty \|g(t)\|^2 dt$$

because  $g = \theta$  for  $t < 0$ ; also, as  $\zeta(t) = 1$  for  $t \geq t_0$ , we deduce

$$\int_{t_0}^\infty \exp [2at] \|u(t)\|^2 dt \leq M^2 \int_0^\infty \|g(t)\|^2 dt.$$

Actually,  $g(t) = \exp [at] f(t)$  for  $t \geq t_0$ ; for  $0 < t \leq t_0, g(t)$  is estimated by:

$$\begin{aligned} \|g(t)\| &\leq \exp [at] \|f(t)\| + C_1 \exp [at] \|u(t)\| \leq \exp [at] (\phi(t) + C_1) \|u(t)\| < \\ &< \exp [at] \left( \frac{1}{M} + C_1 \right) \|u(t)\|, \quad 0 \leq t \leq t_0. \end{aligned}$$

For  $t \geq t_0$ ,  $\|g(t)\| \leq \exp[at] \|f(t)\| \leq c \|u(t)\| \exp[at]$  where  $c < 1/M$ .

We obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \exp[2at] \|u(t)\|^2 dt &\leq M^2 \left( \int_0^{t_0} \exp[2at] \left( \frac{1}{M} + C_1 \right)^2 \|u(t)\|^2 dt \right) + \\ + M^2 \left( \int_{t_0}^{\infty} c^2 \|u(t)\|^2 \exp[2at] dt \right) &\text{ hence } \left( \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \exp[2at] \|u(t)\|^2 dt \right) (1 - M^2 c^2) \leq \\ &\leq M^2 \left( \frac{1}{M} + C_1 \right)^2 \int_0^{t_0} \exp[2at] \|u(t)\|^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $a = -\sigma_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$

As  $\sigma_n \rightarrow -\infty$ ,  $a$  is  $> 0$  for  $n \geq \bar{n}$ ; hence  $\forall \delta > 0$  and  $n \geq \bar{n}$

$$\int_{t_0+\delta}^{\infty} \exp[2at] \|u(t)\|^2 dt \leq \frac{M^2(1/M + C_1)^2}{1 - M^2 c^2} \exp[2at_0] \int_0^{t_0} \|u(t)\|^2 dt$$

and also

$$\exp[2a(t_0 + \delta)] \int_{t_0+\delta}^{\infty} \|u(t)\|^2 dt \leq \frac{M^2(1/M + C_1)^2}{1 - M^2 c^2} \exp[2at_0] \int_0^{t_0} \|u(t)\|^2 dt$$

which implies

$$\int_{t_0+\delta}^{\infty} \|u(t)\|^2 dt \leq \exp[-2a\delta] \frac{M^2(1/M + C_1)^2}{1 - M^2 c^2} \int_0^{t_0} \|u(t)\|^2 dt.$$

As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\exp[-2a\delta] = \exp[2\sigma_n \delta] \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\forall \delta > 0$ ; we get hence forth

$$\int_{t_0+\delta}^{\infty} \|u(t)\|^2 dt = 0 \quad \forall \delta > 0, \text{ so } u = \theta \text{ on } (t_0, \infty).$$

As  $t_0$  is arbitrary  $> 0$ ,  $u = \theta$  on  $[0, \infty)$  too.

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. AGMON - L. NIRENBERG, *Properties of solutions of ordinary differential equations in Banach spaces*, Communications on Pure and Applied Math., **16** (May 1963), pp. 121-239.
- [2] E. HILLE - R. S. PHILLIPS, *Functional Analysis and Semi-Groups*, second revised edition, A.M.S. Coll. Publ., Providence, R. I. (1957).
- [3] P. D. LAX, *A stability theorem of abstract differential equations ....* Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **8** (1956), pp. 747-766.
- [4] L. NIRENBERG, *Lectures at C.I.M.E.*, Varenna (1963), Edizioni Cremonese, Roma.
- [5] S. ZAIDMAN, *Un teorema di esistenza globale per alcune equazioni differenziali astratte*, Ricerche di Matematica, **13** (1964), pp. 56-69.
- [6] S. ZAIDMAN, *Remarks on weak solutions of differential equations in Banach spaces*, Boll. U.M.I., (4) **9** (1974), pp. 638-643.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 14 luglio 1975.