

# RENDICONTI *del* SEMINARIO MATEMATICO *della* UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

EMILIA PERRI

## **On a characterization of reflexive Banach spaces**

*Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova*,  
tome 69 (1983), p. 211-219

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP\\_1983\\_\\_69\\_\\_211\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1983__69__211_0)

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1983, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (<http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

*Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques*  
<http://www.numdam.org/>

## On a Characterization of Reflexive Banach Spaces.

EMILIA PERRI (\*)

### 0. Introduction.

Let  $X$  be a Banach space. Consider the Cauchy problem

$$(1) \quad \dot{x} = f(t, x), \quad x(t_0) = x_0$$

where  $x, x_0 \in X$  and  $f: \mathbb{R} \times X \rightarrow X$  (here the symbol « $\cdot$ » denotes the strong derivative).

Let  $C$  be the space of all strongly continuous functions from  $\mathbb{R} \times X$  into  $X$ , with supremum norm. It is well known that, when  $X$  is finite dimensional, (1) has a solution for every  $f \in C$  and  $x_0 \in X$ .

Dieudonné ([3]) remarked that in the case  $X = e_0$  the existence of solutions is not guaranteed for every continuous function  $f$ .

Recent results assure that in the infinite dimensional case the set of all  $f \in C$  for which problem (1) has no solution is a non-empty, dense, of first category subset of  $C$  (see [7], [5], [8], [4]).

In this paper we are interested in nonexistence of weak solutions of problem (1). Let  $\mathfrak{C}$  be the set of all continuous functions from  $\mathbb{R} \times (X, \tau)$  into  $(X, \tau)$ , where  $\tau$  denotes the weak topology of  $X$ . For  $f \in \mathfrak{C}$  and  $(t_0, x_0) \in \mathbb{R} \times X$  denote by  $[f; t_0, x_0]$  the weak version of problem (1). Let  $\mathfrak{C}$  the set of all  $f \in \mathfrak{C}$  for which the problem  $[f; t_0, x_0]$  has no weak solution.

It is well known that in reflexive Banach spaces the problem  $[f; t_0, x_0]$  has a weak solution for every  $f \in \mathfrak{C}$  ([9]). Moreover, it has

(\*) Indirizzo dell'A.: Via Caldieri 3 - Firenze.

been shown that for every non reflexive retractive Banach space the set  $\mathbf{C}$  is non empty.

In this paper we state that the non reflexivity is in itself sufficient to imply  $\mathbf{C} \neq \emptyset$ , and hence that the existence of a weak solution for every  $f \in \mathfrak{G}$  is a characterization of the reflexive Banach spaces. Furthermore we prove that  $\mathbf{C}$  and  $\mathfrak{G} \setminus \mathbf{C}$  are dense in  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

## 1. Definitions.

Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $\tau$  the weak topology of  $X$  and  $\Omega$  an open subset of  $\mathbf{R} \times (X, \tau)$ . We recall some definitions which we shall use in the following.

DEFINITION 1. We shall call  $\tau$ -neighbourhood of  $\bar{x} \in X$  the set

$$U = \{x \in X : |x^*(x - \bar{x})| < \varepsilon, x^* \in Y^*\}$$

where  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $Y^*$  is a finite subset of the dual space  $X^*$  of  $X$ .

DEFINITION 2. The map  $f: \mathbf{R} \times X \rightarrow X$  is continuous from  $\Omega$  into  $(X, \tau)$  if for every  $(t', x') \in \Omega$  and arbitrary  $\tau$ -neighbourhood  $U$  of the point  $f(t', x')$ , there exist  $\delta > 0$  and a  $\tau$ -neighbourhood  $V$  of  $x'$  such that  $f(t, x) \in U$  if  $x \in V$ ,  $|t - t'| < \delta$  and  $(t, x) \in \Omega$ .

Denote by  $\mathfrak{G}$  the set of all continuous functions from  $\mathbf{R} \times (X, \tau)$  into  $(X, \tau)$ .

DEFINITION 3.  $x: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow X$  is weakly continuous at  $t_0$  if  $t \rightarrow t_0$  implies

$$x^*(x(t)) \rightarrow x^*(x(t_0)) \quad \text{for every } x^* \in X^*.$$

DEFINITION 4.  $x: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow X$  is weakly differentiable at  $t_0$  if there exists  $y \in X$  such that  $t \rightarrow t_0$  implies

$$\frac{x^*(x(t) - x(t_0))}{t - t_0} \rightarrow x^*(y) \quad \text{for every } x^* \in X^*.$$

We shall say that  $y$  is the weak derivative of  $x$  at  $t_0$  and we shall denote it by  $\dot{x}(t_0)$ .

DEFINITION 5.  $x: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow X$  is weakly integrable in  $[a, b]$  if the function  $x^*x: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ , defined by  $x^*x(t) = x^*(x(t))$ , is Riemann-integrable in  $[a, b]$  for every  $x^* \in X^*$  and there exists  $\bar{x} \in X$  such that

$$x^*(\bar{x}) = \int_a^b x^*(x(t)) dt \quad \text{for every } x^* \in X^*.$$

DEFINITION 6.  $x: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow X$  is a weak solution of  $[f; t_0, x_0]$  if there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for every  $t \in [t_0, t_0 + \delta]$

- a)  $(t, x(t)) \in \Omega$ ,
- b)  $x$  is weakly differentiable at  $t$ ,
- c)  $\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x(t))$  in the sense of definition 4,
- d)  $x(t_0) = x_0$ .

Hence if  $x$  is a weak solution of  $[f; t_0, x_0]$ , this implies that, for every  $x^* \in X^*$

$$(2) \quad x^*(x(t)) = x^*(x_0) + \int_{t_0}^t x^*(f(s, x(s))) ds, \quad t \in [t_0, t_0 + \delta].$$

## 2. Nonexistence of weak solutions.

THEOREM 1. Let  $X$  be a nonreflexive Banach space with norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . Given  $a \in X$  and  $(t_0, y_0) \in \mathbf{R} \times X$ , there exists  $f \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $f(t_0, y_0) = a$  and the problem  $[f; t_0, y_0]$  has no  $\tau$ -solution.

REMARK 1. In the case of  $X$  retractive, the result has been obtained in [10]: the additional hypothesis guarantees the crucial fact, required by the technique used there, that a continuous function defined on a subspace of  $(X, \tau)$  can be extended to the whole space. The strategy here is, instead, the direct construction of a family of continuous functions defined on the whole space and, from this, of the  $f$  which satisfies the statement.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Let  $B$  be the closed ball with center at  $y_0$  and radius 1. Let  $S^*$  be the boundary of the unite ball of  $X^*$ . From

the James' characterization of reflexivity ([2]) there exists  $v \in S^*$  such that  $|v(x - y_0)| < 1$  for every  $x \in B$ .

If  $v(y_0) = b$ , by definition of the norm of  $v$ , we can get a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in the boundary of  $B$ , with  $x_1 = y_0 + x/\|x\|$  ( $x \in \ker v$ ), such that  $v(x_n) < v(x_{n+1})$ ,  $v(x_n) \rightarrow 1 + b$ .

Let  $\vartheta$  be the pseudonormed topology generated by  $v$  ( $|x|_\vartheta = |v(x)|$ ). Let  $B_\vartheta = \{x \in X: |x - y_0|_\vartheta < 1\}$  and  $x_0 = 2y_0 - x_2$ .

Note that the sets

$$O_n = \{x \in X: 2v(x_{n-1}) < v(x) + 1 + b < 2v(x_{n+1})\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

are non-empty (for every  $\bar{x}$ , with  $v(\bar{x}) = 1$ ,  $x = 2x_n - y_0 - \bar{x} \in O_n$ ),  $\vartheta$ -open, and their union is a point finite cover of  $B_\vartheta$ . In fact it is not difficult to check that every point  $x \in B_\vartheta$  belongs to at most two  $O_n$  and moreover that  $B_\vartheta = \bigcup_{n \geq 2} O_n$ .

Define

$$\varphi_0(x) = 1 - \frac{v(x) + 1 + b - 2v(x_0)}{2v(x_1 - x_0)},$$

and

$$\varphi_n(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \notin O_n; \\ 1 - \varphi_{n-1}(x), & x \in O_n \setminus O_{n+1}; \\ 1 - \frac{v(x) + 1 + b - 2v(x_n)}{2v(x_{n+1} - x_n)}, & x \in O_n \cap O_{n+1}. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to prove that the functions  $\varphi_0, \varphi_n: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  have the following properties:

- for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$   $\varphi_n$  is continuous from  $(X, \vartheta)$  into  $[0, 1]$ ;
- $\varphi_0$  is continuous on  $(X, \vartheta)$  and  $\varphi_0(x) \in [0, 1)$  if  $x \in O_1 \setminus O_2$ ;
- $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n(x) \leq 1$  for every  $x \in X$  and in particular  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n(x) = 1$  if  $x \in B_\vartheta$ .

Consider the function  $g: X \rightarrow X$  given by

$$g(x) = y_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_n(x)}{2v(x_{n+1} - y_0)} (x_{n+1} - y_0)(v(x) + 1 - b).$$

$g$  being continuous from  $(X, \vartheta)$  into  $X$ ,  $g$  is in fact continuous from  $(X, \tau)$  into  $(X, \tau)$  because the topology  $\vartheta$  is weaker than the  $\tau$ -topology.

For every  $x \in X$ ,  $g(x) \in B$ . In fact:

if  $x \notin \cup O_n$  then  $g(x) = y_0$ ;

if  $x \in O_1 \setminus B_\vartheta$  then

$$\|g(x) - y_0\| = \frac{\|\varphi_1(x)(x_2 - y_0)(v(x) + 1 - b)\|}{2v(x_2 - y_0)} < 1;$$

if  $x \in B_\vartheta$  and  $n'$  is such that  $x$  belongs at most to  $O_{n'-1}$  and  $O_{n'}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|g(x) - y_0\| &= \varphi_{n'-1}(x)\|x_{n'} - y_0\| \frac{|v(x) + 1 - b|}{2v(x_{n'} - y_0)} + \\ &+ \varphi_{n'}(x)\|x_{n'+1} - y_0\| \frac{|v(x) + 1 - b|}{2v(x_{n'+1} - y_0)} < \varphi_{n'-1}(x) + \varphi_{n'}(x) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for every  $x \in B_\vartheta$ ,

$$(3) \quad v(g(x)) = b + \frac{v(x) + 1 - b}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n(x) = \frac{v(x) + 1 + b}{2}.$$

Let

$$h(t, x) = \frac{x - y_0 - a(t - t_0)}{(t - t_0)^2}.$$

We claim that the function  $f: \mathbf{R} \times X \rightarrow X$  given by

$$f(t, x) = \begin{cases} 2(t - t_0)g(h(t, x)) + a, & t \neq t_0; \\ a & t = t_0, \end{cases}$$

satisfies the statement of the theorem. Indeed, for every  $t \neq t_0$ ,  $g(h) \in \mathfrak{C}$ , and for every  $x^* \in S^*$  we have

$$|x^*[(f(t, x)) - a]| \leq 2|t - t_0| \|g(h(t, x))\| \leq 2|t - t_0|(1 + \|y_0\|).$$

So  $f \in \mathfrak{C}$ . Furthermore, if  $y: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow X$  is a weak solution of  $[f; t_0, y_0]$ , then there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for any  $t \in [t_0, t_0 + \delta]$ ,  $x^* \in S^*$ :

(see [2])

$$\begin{aligned} |x^*[h(t, y(t)) - y_0]| &\leq \frac{1}{(t-t_0)^2} \int_{t_0}^t |x^*[f(s, y(s)) - a - 2(s-t_0)y_0]| ds \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(t-t_0)^2} \int_{t_0}^t 2(s-t_0) |x^*(g(h(s, y(s))) - y_0)| ds \leq \frac{1}{(t-t_0)^2} \int_{t_0}^t 2(s-t_0) ds = 1 \end{aligned}$$

hence  $h(t, y(t)) \in B$ . Consequently (see [3]),

$$v(g(h(t, y(t)))) = \frac{v(h(t, y(t))) + 1 + b}{2}$$

and so

$$\dot{v}(y(t)) = v(\dot{y}(t)) = v(f(t, y(t))) = \frac{v(y(t)) - b}{(t-t_0)} + (t-t_0)(1+b).$$

Since the only solution of

$$\dot{\eta} = \frac{\eta - b}{(t-t_0)} + (t-t_0)(1+b),$$

such that

$$\left| \frac{\eta - b - v(a)(t-t_0)}{(t-t_0)^2} - b \right| \leq 1$$

and  $\eta(t_0) = b$ , is given by

$$\eta(t) = (b+1)(t-t_0)^2 + v(a)(t-t_0) + b,$$

then

$$v(h(t, y(t))) = \frac{1}{(t-t_0)^2} [\eta(t) - b - v(a)(t-t_0)] = b+1.$$

But this is a contradiction as  $h(t, x(t)) \in B$ . This completes the proof.

### 3. Density result.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $U$  a  $\tau$ -neighbourhood of the origin of  $X$ . If  $F, F' \in \mathfrak{G}$  are such that  $F(t_0, y_0) = F'(t_0, y_0)$ , where  $(t_0, y_0)$  is any fixed point of  $\mathbb{R} \times X$ , then there exist  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $F'' \in \mathfrak{G}$  and*

a  $\tau$ -neighbourhood  $V$  of  $y_0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} F''(t, x) &\in F'(t, x) + U, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times X; \\ F''(t, x) &= F'(t, x), & x \in V \text{ and } |t - t_0| \leq \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Let  $U = \{x \in X : |x_i^*(x)| < \varepsilon, x_i^* \in X^*, i = 1, \dots, n\}$ . Since  $(F - F') \in \mathfrak{C}$ , there exist  $\delta > 0, \sigma > 0$  and a finite subset  $Y^*$  of  $X^*$  such that  $F(t, x) - F'(t, x) \in U$  if  $|t - t_0| < \delta$  and  $|x^*(x - y_0)| < \sigma$  for every  $x^* \in Y^*$ .

Set  $K(x) = \max_{x^* \in Y^*} |x^*(x - y_0)|$ . Clearly  $K$  is a continuous function from  $(X, \tau)$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  and moreover, if  $|t - t_0| < \delta$  and  $K(x) < \sigma$ , then  $F(t, x) - F'(t, x) \in U$ .

Let

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \left[ t_0 - \frac{\delta}{2}, \quad t_0 + \frac{\delta}{2} \right], \\ A &= \{(t, x) : t \in I, K(x) < \sigma/2\}, \\ B &= \{(t, x) : t \in I, \sigma/2 \leq K(x) \leq (2/3)\sigma\}, \\ C &= \{(t, x) : t \in I, K(x) > (2/3)\sigma\}, \end{aligned}$$

and consider the function  $G: I \times X \rightarrow X$  given by

$$G(t, x) = \begin{cases} F'(t, x), & (t, x) \in A; \\ F(t, x) + \frac{4\sigma - 6K(x)}{\sigma} [F'(t, x) - F(t, x)], & (t, x) \in B; \\ F(t, x) & (t, x) \in C. \end{cases}$$

We claim that  $G(t, x) \in F(t, x) + U$  for every  $(t, x) \in I \times X$ . Indeed, if  $(t, x) \in A \cup C$  it is obvious; if  $(t, x) \in B$  then

$$\begin{aligned} |x_i^*(F(t, x) - G(t, x))| &= \frac{|4\sigma - 6K(x)|}{\sigma} |x_i^*[F(t, x) - F'(t, x)]| < \varepsilon, \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad (i = 1, \dots, n). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover  $G$  is continuous from  $I \times (X, \tau)$  into  $(X, \tau)$ .

Define a function  $\gamma: I \times X \rightarrow U$  by

$$\gamma(t, x) = G(t, x) - F(t, x).$$



Let  $r$  be a continuous function from  $\mathbb{R}$  into  $I$  such that  $r(t) = t$  if  $t \in I$ .  
 The function  $F'' : \mathbb{R} \times X \rightarrow X$  given by

$$F''(t, x) = F(t, x) + \gamma(r(t), x)$$

is the required function, provided that  $\alpha = \delta/2$  and  $V = \{x \in X : |x^*(x - y_0)| < \sigma/2, x^* \in Y^*\}$ . In fact,  $F''(t, x) - F(t, x) = \gamma(r(t), x) \in U$  for every  $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times X$ . In addition, if  $(t, x) \in A$  then  $\gamma(r(t), x) = \gamma(t, x)$  and so  $F''(t, x) = G(t, x) = F'(t, x)$ . This completes the proof.

**DEFINITION 7.** A subset  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\mathfrak{C}$  is said to be  $\tau$ -dense in  $\mathfrak{C}$  if, for every  $F \in \mathfrak{C}$  and for every  $\tau$ -neighbourhood  $U$  of the origin of  $X$ , there exists  $f \in \mathfrak{C}$  such that  $f(t, x) \in F(t, x) + U$  for every  $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times X$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C} = \{f \in \mathfrak{C} : [f; t_0, y_0] \text{ has no weak solution}\}$ .

**REMARK 2.** Given  $a \in X$  and  $(t_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{R} \times X$ , there exists  $\xi \in \mathfrak{C} \setminus \mathcal{C}$  such that  $\xi(t_0, y_0) = a$ ; in fact the function  $y(t) = a(e^{t-t_0} - 1) + y_0$  is a weak solution of the problem  $[\xi; t_0, y_0]$  with  $\xi(t, x) = x - y_0 + a$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *In nonreflexive Banach spaces,  $\mathcal{C}$  is  $\tau$ -dense in  $\mathfrak{C}$ .*

**PROOF.** Given  $F \in \mathfrak{C}$ ,  $(t_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{R} \times X$  and an arbitrary  $\tau$ -neighbourhood  $U$  of the origin of  $X$ , by Theorem 1, there exists  $f \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $f(t_0, y_0) = F(t_0, y_0)$ . Thence, by Lemma 1, there exist  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $F'' \in \mathfrak{C}$  and  $V \subset X$  such that  $F''(t, x) \in F(t, x) + U$  for  $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times X$  and  $F''(t, x) = f(t, x)$  for  $x \in V$  and  $|t - t_0| < \alpha$ .

Suppose  $F'' \notin \mathcal{C}$ . Then there exist  $\delta' > 0$  and  $y : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$  such that (see [2]) for every  $x^* \in X^*$ ,

$$x^*(y(t)) = x^*(y_0) + \int_{t_0}^t x^*[F''(s, y(s))] ds, \quad t \in [t_0, t_0 + \delta'].$$

Since  $y$  is weakly continuous, there exists  $\delta'' > 0$  such that  $y(t) \in V$  for  $|t - t_0| < \delta''$ . Hence  $F''(s, y(s)) = f(s, y(s))$  for  $|s - t| < \min(\alpha, \delta', \delta'')$  and so  $y(t)$  is a weak solution of  $[f; t_0, y_0]$ : a contradiction. The theorem is proved.

**THEOREM 3.** *In non reflexive Banach spaces the set  $\mathfrak{C} \setminus \mathcal{C}$  is  $\tau$ -dense in  $\mathfrak{C}$ .*

**PROOF.** Given  $F \in \mathfrak{C}$ ,  $(t_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{R} \times X$  and an arbitrary  $\tau$ -neighbourhood  $U$  of the origin of  $X$ , by Remark 2 there exists  $\xi \in \mathfrak{C} \setminus \mathcal{C}$

such that  $\xi(t_0, y_0) = F(t_0, y_0)$ . By Lemma 1 there exist  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $F'' \in \mathfrak{C}$  and  $V \subset X$  such that  $F''(t, x) \in F'(t, x) + U$  for  $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times X$  and  $F''(t, x) = \xi(t, x)$  for  $x \in V$  and  $|t - t_0| < \alpha$ . Since  $\xi \in \mathfrak{C} \setminus \mathfrak{C}$ , there exist  $\delta' > 0$  and a weak solution  $y$  of  $[\xi; t_0, y_0]$ , defined in  $[t_0, t_0 + \delta']$ , which is weakly continuous. Consequently there exists  $\delta'' > 0$  such that  $y(t) \in V$  if  $|t - t_0| < \delta''$ . Set  $\delta = \min(\alpha, \delta', \delta'')$ . Then, for every  $x^* \in X^*$ , we have (see [2])

$$x^*(y(t)) - x^*(y_0) = \int_{t_0}^t x^*[\xi(s, y(s))] ds = \int_{t_0}^t x^*[F''(s, y(s))] ds, \quad t \in [t_0, t_0 + \delta].$$

So  $F'' \in \mathfrak{C} \setminus \mathfrak{C}$  and the proof is complete.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] E. HILLE - R. PHILIPS, *Functional analysis and semi-groups*, Amer. Math. Soc. Coll. Publ., **31** (1957).
- [2] R. C. JAMES, *Characterization of reflexivity*, Stu. Math., **23** (1963-64), pp. 205-216.
- [3] J. DIEUDONNÉ, *Deux exemples singuliers d'équation différentielles*, Acta Sci. Math. B (Szeged), **12** (1950), pp. 38-40.
- [4] A. LASOTA - J. YORKE, *The generic property of existence of solutions of differential equations in Banach spaces*, J. Differential Equations, **13** (1973), pp. 1-12.
- [5] F. DE BLASI - J. MYJAK, *Two density properties of ordinary differential equations*, Rend. Accad. Naz. Lincei, **61** (1976), pp. 387-391.
- [6] A. CELLINA, *On the nonexistence of solution of differential equations in nonreflexive spaces*, Bull. Am. Math. Soc., **78** (1972), pp. 1069-1072.
- [7] A. V. GODUNOV, *Peano's theorem in Banach spaces*, Funct. Anal. Appl., **9** (1975), pp. 53-55.
- [8] G. PIANIGIANI, *A density result for differential equations in Banach spaces*, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci., **26**, no. 9-10 (1978), pp. 791-793.
- [9] A. SZÉP, *Existence theorem for weak solution of ordinary differential equations in reflexive Banach spaces*, Stud. Sci. Math. Hung., **6** (1971), pp. 197-203.
- [10] G. D. FAULKNER, *On the nonexistence of weak solutions to abstract differential equations in nonreflexive spaces*, Nonlin. Anal., **2**, no. 4 (1978), pp. 505-508.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 2 marzo 1982.