

RENDICONTI
del
SEMINARIO MATEMATICO
della
UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

TULLIO VALENT

**A property of multiplication in Sobolev
spaces. Some applications**

Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova,
tome 74 (1985), p. 63-73

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1985__74__63_0

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1985, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (<http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

A Property of Multiplication in Sobolev Spaces. Some Applications.

TULLIO VALENT (*)

SUMMARY - Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n having the cone property. In Sect. 1, Theorem 1 concerns the conditions on the numbers p, q, r and m for (the pointwise) multiplication is a continuous function of $W^{m,p}(\Omega) \times W^{m,q}(\Omega)$ into $W^{m,r}(\Omega)$. As a consequence of Theorem 1, multiplication is a continuous function of $W^{m,p}(\Omega) \times W^{m,q}(\Omega)$ into $W^{m,q}(\Omega)$ if the following conditions are satisfied: $q < p$, $mp > n$ and, if $p \neq q$ and the volume of Ω is infinite, $mq < n$. In particular one deduces the well known fact that $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ is a Banach algebra if $mp > n$. In Sect. 2 we apply Theorem 1 in showing a property of the Nemytsky operator: see Theorem 2. The proof of such a property given in [2] (see Lemma 1) is not completely correct.

1. A property of multiplication in Sobolev spaces.

Let Ω be an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n (n \geq 1)$, let m be an integer ≥ 1 and let p, q, r be real numbers ≥ 1 . $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ will denote the vector space $\{v \in L^p(\Omega) : D^\alpha v \in L^p(\Omega), 0 < |\alpha| < m\}$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{m,p}$ defined by

$$\|v\|_{m,p} = \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|D^\alpha v\|_{0,p}^p \right)^{1/p},$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{0,p}$ is the usual norm of $L^p(\Omega)$. We will put $D_i = \partial/\partial x_i$, ($i = 1, \dots, n$).

(*) Indirizzo dell'A.: Seminario Matematico, Università di Padova, via Belzoni 7, 35131 Padova (Italy).

THEOREM 1. *Assume that Ω has the cone property, and that $p \geq r$, $q \geq r$ and*

$$\frac{m}{n} > \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r}.$$

If the volume of Ω is infinite, assume further that $mp \leq n$ when $q \neq r$, that $mq \leq n$ when $p \neq r$ and that

$$\frac{m-1}{n} \leq \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} \quad \text{when } p \neq r, q \neq r.$$

Then, if $u \in W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ and $v \in W^{m,q}(\Omega)$, we have $uv \in W^{m,r}(\Omega)$ and there exists a positive number c independent of u and v such that $\|uv\|_{m,r} \leq c \|u\|_{m,p} \|v\|_{m,q}$.

PROOF (by induction on m). As a first step we prove that the statement is true for $m = 1$. Then we suppose that $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $v \in W^{1,q}(\Omega)$ with $p \geq r$, $q \geq r$, $p \leq n$ if $q \neq r$, $q \leq n$ if $p \neq r$,

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} < \frac{1}{n}$$

and

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} \geq 0 \quad (\text{if } p \neq r \neq q),$$

and we show that $uv \in W^{1,r}(\Omega)$ and that $\|uv\|_{1,r} \leq c \|u\|_{1,p} \|v\|_{1,q}$, where c is a positive number independent of u and v . Moreover we show that, if Ω has finite volume, the conclusion holds without assumption (1.2) and without the conditions $q \neq r \Rightarrow p \leq n$ and $p \neq r \Rightarrow q \leq n$.

If $q > r$ [resp. $p > r$] let α [resp. β] be the real number such that

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r}, \quad \left[\text{resp. } \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{\beta} = \frac{1}{r} \right],$$

(i.e., $\alpha = qr/(q-r)$ and $\beta = pr/(p-r)$). Holder's inequality yields

the following implications

$$(1.3) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} w_1 \in L^p(\Omega), \quad p > r, \quad w_2 \in L^\beta(\Omega) \Rightarrow w_1 w_2 \in L^r(\Omega), \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \|w_1 w_2\|_{0,r} \leq c_1 \|w_1\|_{0,p} \|w_2\|_{0,\beta}, \\ w_1 \in L^q(\Omega), \quad q > r, \quad w_2 \in L^\alpha(\Omega) \Rightarrow w_1 w_2 \in L^r(\Omega), \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \|w_1 w_2\|_{0,r} \leq c_1 \|w_1\|_{0,q} \|w_2\|_{0,\alpha}, \end{array} \right.$$

where c_1 is a positive number independent of w_1 and w_2 .

Note that, by virtue of (1.1), $p \leq n$ implies $q > r$ and $q \geq n$ implies $p > r$.

A basic remark is that, if $p < n$ [resp. $q < n$], condition (1.1) is equivalent to the condition

$$\alpha < \frac{np}{n-p} \quad \left[\text{resp. } \beta < \frac{nq}{n-q} \right],$$

while condition (1.2) is equivalent to the condition $p \leq \alpha$ [resp. $q \leq \beta$].

Hence, by the Sobolev imbedding theorem (see e.g. Adams [1], Theorem 5.4) the following continuous imbedding holds if $p \leq n$ [resp. $q \leq n$]:

$$(1.4) \quad W^{1,p}(\Omega) \subseteq L^\alpha(\Omega), \quad [\text{resp. } W^{1,q}(\Omega) \subseteq L^\beta(\Omega)].$$

We are now in a position to easily recognize that

$$(1.5) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} w_1 \in L^p(\Omega), \quad w_2 \in W^{1,q}(\Omega) \Rightarrow w_1 w_2 \in L^r(\Omega), \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \|w_1 w_2\|_{0,r} \leq c_2 \|w_1\|_{0,p} \|w_2\|_{1,q}, \\ w_1 \in L^q(\Omega), \quad w_2 \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \Rightarrow w_1 w_2 \in L^r(\Omega), \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \|w_1 w_2\|_{0,r} \leq c_2 \|w_1\|_{0,q} \|w_2\|_{1,p}, \end{array} \right.$$

where c_2 is a positive number independent of w_1 and w_2 .

Indeed, if $p \leq n$ and $q < n$, then by (1.1) we have $p > r$ and $q > r$; thus (1.5) is an immediate consequence of (1.3) and (1.4). If $q > n$ and $p \leq n$ [resp. $p > n$ and $q \leq n$] then $p = r$ and $W^{1,q}(\Omega) \subseteq L^\beta(\Omega)$ [resp. $q = r$ and $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \subseteq L^\alpha(\Omega)$], and therefore the first [resp. second] of the implications (1.5) follows from Hölder's inequality because $W^{1,q}(\Omega)$ [resp. $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$], by the Sobolev imbedding theorem, can be

continuously imbedded into $L^\infty(\Omega)$, while the second [resp. first] of the implications (1.5) is a consequence of the second [resp. first] of the implications (1.3). Finally, if $p > n$ and $q > n$, then $p = r = q$ and $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ can be continuously imbedded into $L^\infty(\Omega)$; thus (1.5) follows once more from Hölder's inequality.

Observe that, if Ω has finite volume, then $s_1 \leq s_2 \Rightarrow L^{s_2}(\Omega) \subseteq L^{s_1}(\Omega)$; therefore, in this case, the continuous imbedding (1.4) does not need condition (1.2), and the deduction of (1.5) does not need the implications $q \neq r \Rightarrow p \leq n$ and $p \neq r \Rightarrow q \leq n$.

In view of (1.5) we have

$$(1.6) \quad uv \in L^r(\Omega), \quad vD_i u \in L^r(\Omega), \quad uD_i v \in L^r(\Omega), \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

Let now $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(v_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be sequences in $C^\infty(\Omega) \cap W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and in $C^\infty(\Omega) \cap W^{1,q}(\Omega)$ respectively such that

$$(1.7) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|u_k - u\|_{1,p} = 0, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|v_k - v\|_{1,q} = 0.$$

Since by (1.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_k D_i u_k - v D_i u\|_{0,r} &\leq \|v_k (D_i u_k - D_i u)\|_{0,r} + \\ &+ \|(v_k - v) D_i u\|_{0,r} \leq \|D_i u_k - D_i u\|_{0,p} \|v_k\|_{1,q} + \\ &+ \|v_k - v\|_{1,q} \|D_i u\|_{0,p} \leq \|u_k - u\|_{1,p} \|v_k\|_{1,q} + \|v_k - v\|_{1,q} \|u\|_{1,p}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_k D_i v_k - u D_i v\|_{0,r} &\leq \|u_k (D_i v_k - D_i v)\|_{0,r} + \\ &+ \|(u_k - u) D_i v\|_{0,r} \leq \|D_i v_k - D_i v\|_{0,q} \|u_k\|_{1,p} + \\ &+ \|u_k - u\|_{1,p} \|D_i v\|_{0,q} \|v_k - v\|_{1,q} \|u_k\|_{1,p} + \|u_k - u\|_{1,p} \|v\|_{1,q}, \end{aligned}$$

then from (1.7) it follows that

$$(1.8) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|(v_k D_i u_k + u_k D_i v_k) - (v D_i u + u D_i v)\|_{0,r} = 0.$$

Using Holder's inequality we can immediately deduce from (1.8)

that

$$\int_{\Omega} (vD_i u + uD_i v)\varphi \, dx + \int_{\Omega} uvD_i \varphi \, dx =$$

$$= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[\int_{\Omega} (v_k D_i u_k + u_k D_i v_k)\varphi \, dx + \int_{\Omega} u_k v_k D_i \varphi \, dx \right] \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega),$$

whence

$$(1.9) \quad \int_{\Omega} (vD_i u + uD_i v)\varphi \, dx + \int_{\Omega} uvD_i \varphi \, dx = 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega),$$

because, being $D_i(u_k v_k) = v_k D_i u_k + u_k D_i v_k$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} (v_k D_i u_k + u_k D_i v_k)\varphi \, dx + \int_{\Omega} u_k v_k D_i \varphi \, dx = 0$$

Note that (1.9) means that

$$vD_i u + uD_i v = D_i(uv);$$

hence $D_i(uv) \in L^r(\Omega)$ because of (1.6). Moreover by (1.6) uv belongs to $L^r(\Omega)$. Thus we conclude that $uv \in W^{1,r}(\Omega)$. Finally, from (1.5) we obtain

$$\|uv\|_{1,r} \leq c_3 \left(\|uv\|_{0,r} + \sum_{i=1}^n \|vD_i u + uD_i v\|_{0,r} \right) \leq$$

$$\leq c_4 (\|u\|_{1,p} \|v\|_{1,q}) + \sum_{i=1}^n (\|D_i u\|_{0,p} \|v\|_{1,q} + \|u\|_{1,p} \|D_i v\|_{0,q}) \leq c_5 \|u\|_{1,p} \|v\|_{1,q},$$

where c_3 , c_4 and c_5 are positive numbers independent of u and v .

As a second step of our induction argument, we now suppose that the statement of Theorem 1 is true for an $m (\geq 1)$ and we will prove that, consequently, it is true even when m is replaced by $m + 1$. Accordingly, let p_1 , q_1 , r_1 be real numbers ≥ 1 such that $p_1 \geq r_1$, $q_1 \geq r_1$ and

$$(1.10) \quad \frac{m+1}{n} > \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{q_1} - \frac{1}{r_1}$$

and let $u_1 \in W^{m+1,p}(\Omega)$ and $v \in W^{m+1,q}(\Omega)$. If the volume of Ω is infinite we also suppose that

$$(1.11) \quad \frac{m}{n} \leq \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{q_1} - \frac{1}{r_1} \quad \text{in the case } p_1 \neq r_1 \neq q_1,$$

that $(m+1)p_1 \leq n$ in the case $q_1 \neq r_1$ and that $(m+1)q_1 \leq n$ in the case $p_1 \neq r_1$.

We begin by considering the case when $mp_1 \leq n$ and $mq_1 \leq n$, with $m > 1$. We set

$$\tilde{p}_1 = \frac{np_1}{n-p_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{q}_1 = \frac{nq_1}{n-q_1}.$$

By the Sobolev imbedding theorem, under our hypotheses, we have

$$u \in W^{m, \tilde{p}_1}(\Omega), \quad v \in W^{m, \tilde{q}_1}(\Omega),$$

besides

$$D_i u \in W^{m, p_1}(\Omega), \quad D_i v \in W^{m, q_1}(\Omega), \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

Remark that, since

$$(1.12) \quad \frac{1}{\tilde{p}_1} = \frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\tilde{q}_1} = \frac{1}{q_1} - \frac{1}{n},$$

(1.10) implies

$$(1.13) \quad \frac{m}{n} > \frac{1}{\tilde{p}_1} + \frac{1}{q_1} - \frac{1}{r_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{m}{n} > \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{\tilde{q}_1} - \frac{1}{r_1}.$$

If the volume of Ω is finite, this suffices to deduces (via the induction hypothesis) that

$$vD_i u \in W^{m, r_1}(\Omega), \quad uD_i v \in W^{m, r_1}(\Omega)$$

and that

$$(1.14) \quad \|vD_i u\|_{m, r_1} \leq c_6 \|v\|_{m, \tilde{q}_1} \|D_i u\|_{m, p_1}, \quad \|uD_i v\|_{m, r_1} \leq c_6 \|u\|_{m, \tilde{p}_1} \|D_i v\|_{m, q_1},$$

where c_8 is a positive number independent of u , v and i ; then, in view of the Sobolev imbedding theorem, there exists a positive number c_7 independent of u , v and i such that

$$(1.15) \quad \|vD_i u\|_{m,r_1} \leq c_7 \|u\|_{m+1,p_1} \|v\|_{m+1,q_1}, \quad \|uD_i v\|_{m,r_1} \leq c_7 \|u\|_{m+1,p_1} \|v\|_{m+1,q_1}.$$

If the volume of Ω is infinite, it is not difficult to realize that our assumptions imply that

$$(1.16) \quad \frac{m-1}{n} \leq \frac{1}{\tilde{p}_1} + \frac{1}{q_1} - \frac{1}{r_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{m-1}{n} \leq \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{\tilde{q}_1} - \frac{1}{r_1}.$$

Indeed, by (1.12) each of the conditions (1.16) is equivalent to (1.11) and therefore (1.16) holds if $p_1 \neq r_1 \neq q_1$; moreover (1.16) also holds if $p_1 = r_1$ and if $q_1 = r_1$, because (1.11) becomes $mq_1 \leq n$ if $p_1 = r_1$ and becomes $mq_1 \leq n$ if $q_1 = r_1$.

Furthermore, since $m\tilde{p}_1 = (m+1)p_1$ and $m\tilde{q}_1 = (m+1)q_1$, if the volume of Ω is infinite we have $m\tilde{p}_1 \leq n$ in the case $q_1 \neq r_1$ and $m\tilde{q}_1 \leq n$ in the case $p_1 \neq r_1$.

Therefore, by the induction hypothesis, estimates (1.15), and consequently (1.16), are true even when the volume of Ω is infinite.

By an analogous way as we obtained (1.15) we can show that $uv \in W^{m,r_1}(\Omega)$ and that a positive number c_8 independent of u and v exists such that

$$(1.17) \quad \|uv\|_{m,r_1} \leq c_8 \|u\|_{m+1,p_1} \|v\|_{m+1,q_1}.$$

We now prove that estimates (1.15) and (1.17) hold also in the four cases: $mp_1 > n$, $mq_1 > n$, $p_1 = n$ with $m = 1$ and $q_1 = n$ with $m = 1$.

If $mp_1 > n$ or $mq_1 > n$ it is easily seen that all hypotheses of the statement of Theorem 1 are satisfied, so that (by the induction assumption) multiplication is a continuous operator from $W^{m,p_1}(\Omega) \times W^{m,q_1}(\Omega)$ to $W^{m,r_1}(\Omega)$. This is obvious if the volume of Ω is finite; if the volume of Ω is infinite we need only remark that, if $mp_1 > n$ [resp. $mq_1 > n$], then $q_1 = r_1$ [resp. $p_1 = r_1$].

Let now $p_1 = n$ [resp. $q_1 = n$] and $m = 1$. If the volume of Ω is finite it may occur that $q_1 > r_1$ [resp. $p_1 > r_1$]: in this case all hypotheses of the statement of Theorem 1 are again satisfied. If the volume of Ω is infinite we have $q_1 = r_1$ [resp. $p_1 = r_1$]. Note that, in the case when $p_1 = n$, $q_1 = r_1$ [resp. $q_1 = n$, $p_1 = r_1$] and $m = 1$, the hypotheses

of the statement of Theorem 1 are satisfied provided p_1 [resp. q_1] is replaced by \tilde{p}_1 [resp. \tilde{q}_1], where \tilde{p}_1 [resp. \tilde{q}_1] is any number $> p_1$ [resp. $> q_1$]. Thus, recalling that (by the Sobolev imbedding theorem) $W^{2,p_1}(\Omega)$ [resp. $W^{2,q_1}(\Omega)$] can be continuously imbedded into $W^{1,\tilde{p}_1}(\Omega)$ [resp. $W^{1,\tilde{q}_1}(\Omega)$], from the induction hypothesis we get that, if $p_1 = n$ [resp. $q_1 = n$], then multiplication is a continuous operator from $W^{2,p_1}(\Omega) \times W^{1,q_1}(\Omega)$ [resp. $W^{1,p_1}(\Omega) \times W^{2,q_1}(\Omega)$] to $W^{1,r_1}(\Omega)$.

This evidently shows what we wanted: that (1.15) and (1.17) are true also in the four cases $mp_1 > n$, $mq_1 > n$, $p_1 = n$ with $m = 1$, and $q_1 = n$ with $m = 1$.

Now, using the density of $C^\infty(\Omega) \cap W^{m,s}(\Omega)$ in $W^{m,s}(\Omega)$, $1 \leq s \in \mathbf{R}$, we can deduce, by a procedure quite analogous to the one developed in the first step, that $D_i(uv) = v D_i u + u D_i v$. Then, in view of (1.15) and (1.17), we can conclude that $uv \in W^{m+1,r_1}(\Omega)$ and that $\|uv\|_{m+1,r_1} \leq c_9 \|u\|_{m+1,p_1} \|v\|_{m+1,q_1}$, where c_9 is a positive number independent of u and v . Thus the induction argument is complete. \square

2. A property of the Nemytsky operator.

Let N be an integer ≥ 1 and let $(x, y) \mapsto f(x, y)$ be a real function defined in $\Omega \times \mathbf{R}^N$. For any function $\sigma: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^N$ let $F(\sigma): \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be the function defined by setting

$$(2.1) \quad F(\sigma)(x) = f(x, \sigma(x)), \quad x \in \Omega.$$

We will denote by $C^m(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbf{R}^N)$ the set of real functions defined in $\Omega \times \mathbf{R}^N$ which are restrictions to $\Omega \times \mathbf{R}^N$ of some C^m -function of $\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^N$ into \mathbf{R} .

THEOREM 2. *Assume that Ω is bounded and has the cone property, that $f \in C^m(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbf{R}^N)$ and that $mp > n$. Then $\sigma \mapsto F(\sigma)$ is a continuous operator of $(W^{m,p}(\Omega))^N$ into $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$.*

PROOF (by induction on m). We denote by $F_{x_i}(\sigma)$ and $F_{y_j}(\sigma)$, ($i = 1, \dots, n$; $j = 1, \dots, N$), the real functions defined in Ω by setting

$$F_{x_i}(\sigma)(x) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x, \sigma(x)), \quad F_{y_j}(\sigma)(x) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_j}(x, \sigma(x)).$$

We begin with the case $m = 1$. Accordingly, let $f \in C^1(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbf{R}^N)$ and

$p > n$. By the Sobolev imbedding theorem each $v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is an equivalence class of functions containing a continuous and bounded function, which we still denote by v , and there exists a positive number $c_{1,p}$ independent of v such that

$$(2.2) \quad \|v\|_{0,\infty} \leq c_{1,p} \|v\|_{1,p} \quad \forall v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega),$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{0,\infty}$ is the norm of $L^\infty(\Omega)$. Then, if $\sigma \in (W^{1,p}(\Omega))^N$, the equivalence classes $F(\sigma)$, $F_{x_i}(\sigma)$ and $F_{y_j}(\sigma)$ can be identified with continuous and bounded functions. Let $\sigma = (\sigma_j)_{j=1, \dots, N} \in (W^{1,p}(\Omega))^N$ and let $(\sigma^k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $(C^\infty(\Omega) \cap W^{1,p}(\Omega))^N$ which converges to σ in $(W^{1,p}(\Omega))^N$, and therefore by (2.2) in $(L^\infty(\Omega))^N$. We have

$$(2.3) \quad D_i F(\sigma^k) = F_{x_i}(\sigma^k) + \sum_{j=1}^N F_{y_j}(\sigma^k) D_i \sigma_j^k.$$

Since $(\sigma^k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to σ in $(L^\infty(\Omega))^N$, then $(F(\sigma^k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, $(F_{x_i}(\sigma^k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(F_{y_j}(\sigma^k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converge in $L^\infty(\Omega)$ respectively to $F(\sigma)$, $F_{x_i}(\sigma)$ and $F_{y_j}(\sigma)$, and therefore $(F_{x_i}(\sigma^k) + \sum_{j=1}^N F_{y_j}(\sigma^k) D_i \sigma_j^k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges in $L^p(\Omega)$ to $F_{x_i}(\sigma) + \sum_{j=1}^N F_{y_j}(\sigma) D_i \sigma_j$. Consequently, by Hölder's inequality we have, for any $\varphi \in \mathfrak{D}(\Omega)$,

$$(2.4) \quad \int_{\Omega} \left(F_{x_i}(\sigma) + \sum_{j=1}^N F_{y_j}(\sigma) D_i \sigma_j \right) \varphi \, dx + \int_{\Omega} F(\sigma) D_i \varphi \, dx = \\ = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[\int_{\Omega} \left(F_{x_i}(\sigma^k) + \sum_{j=1}^N F_{y_j}(\sigma^k) D_i \sigma_j^k \right) \varphi \, dx + \int_{\Omega} F(\sigma^k) D_i \varphi \, dx \right].$$

Because of (2.3) we have for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $\varphi \in \mathfrak{D}(\Omega)$

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(F_{x_i}(\sigma^k) + \sum_{j=1}^N F_{y_j}(\sigma^k) D_i \sigma_j^k \right) \varphi \, dx + \int_{\Omega} F(\sigma^k) D_i \varphi \, dx = 0$$

and therefore, by (2.4), we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(F_{x_i}(\sigma) + \sum_{j=1}^N F_{y_j}(\sigma) D_i \sigma_j \right) \varphi \, dx + \int_{\Omega} F(\sigma) D_i \varphi \, dx = 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathfrak{D}(\Omega),$$

which means

$$(2.5) \quad D_i F(\sigma) = F_{x_i}(\sigma) + \sum_{j=1}^N F_{y_j}(\sigma) D_i \sigma_j.$$

Since the equivalence classes $F(\sigma)$, $F_{x_i}(\sigma)$ and $F_{y_j}(\sigma)$ contain a continuous and bounded function, from (2.5) it follows that $F(\sigma) \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

To prove that $F: (W^{1,p}(\Omega))^N \rightarrow W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is continuous we need only remark that, if a sequence $(\sigma^k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to σ in $(W^{1,p}(\Omega))^N$, then, by (2.2), $(\sigma^k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to σ in $(L^\infty(\Omega))^N$, and therefore the sequences $(F(\sigma^k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, $(F_{x_i}(\sigma^k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(F_{y_j}(\sigma^k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converge in $L^\infty(\Omega)$ respectively to $F(\sigma)$, $F_{x_i}(\sigma)$ and $F_{y_j}(\sigma)$: then $(D_i F(\sigma^k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $D_i F(\sigma)$ in $L^p(\Omega)$ in view of (2.5), and thus $(F(\sigma^k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $F(\sigma)$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

As a next step, we suppose that the statement of the theorem is true for an $m \geq 1$ and we show that, consequently, it holds when m is replaced by $m + 1$. In order to do this, we assume that $f \in C^{m+1}(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^N)$, that $(m + 1)p > n$ and that $\sigma \in (W^{m+1,p}(\Omega))^N$, and we prove that $F(\sigma) \in W^{m+1,p}(\Omega)$ and that $\sigma \mapsto F(\sigma)$ is a continuous operator from $(W^{m+1,p}(\Omega))^N$ to $W^{m+1,p}(\Omega)$.

Let us recall that (by the Sobolev imbedding theorem) each $v \in W^{m+1,p}(\Omega)$ can be identified with a continuous function and there is a positive number $c_{m+1,p}$ independent of v such that $\|v\|_{0,\infty} \leq c_{m+1,p} \|v\|_{m+1,p} \forall v \in W^{m+1,p}(\Omega)$. Then, by arguments quite similar to the ones given in the case $m = 1$, we can show that F is a continuous operator from $(W^{m+1,p}(\Omega))^N$ to $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and that (2.5) holds.

It is now convenient to distinguish the cases $p > n$, $p = n$ and $p < n$.

If $p > n$, from the (induction) assumption it follows that F_{x_i} and F_{y_j} are continuous operators of $(W^{m,p}(\Omega))^N$ into $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$; therefore F is a continuous operator of $(W^{m+1,p}(\Omega))^N$ into $W^{m+1,p}(\Omega)$, in view of (2.5), because $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ is a Banach algebra.

Let now $p = n$, and let $q \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $n < q$. Thus $mq > n \forall m \geq 1$ and (by the Sobolev imbedding theorem) $W^{m+1,n}(\Omega)$ can be continuously imbedded into $W^{m,q}(\Omega)$; furthermore, by the (induction) assumption, F_{x_i} and F_{y_j} are continuous operators of $(W^{m,q}(\Omega))^N$ into $W^{m,q}(\Omega)$.

Note that, since $mq > n$, from Theorem 1 it follows that the pointwise multiplication is a continuous operator of $W^{m,n}(\Omega) \times W^{m,q}(\Omega)$ into $W^{m,n}(\Omega)$. Then we can deduce by (2.5) that $\sigma \mapsto D_i F(\sigma)$ is a continuous operator of $(W^{m+1,n}(\Omega))^N$ into $W^{m,n}(\Omega)$. Consequently

$\sigma \mapsto F(\sigma)$ is a continuous operator of $(W^{m+1,n}(\Omega))^N$ into $W^{m+1,n}(\Omega)$.

Finally, let us consider the case $p < n$. In this case the condition $(m+1)p > n$ is equivalent to the condition

$$m \frac{np}{n-p} > n.$$

Now: F_{x_i} and F_{y_j} are continuous operators of $(W^{m,np/(n-p)}(\Omega))^N$ into $W^{m,np/(n-p)}(\Omega)$ (because of the induction hypothesis), $W^{m+1,p}(\Omega)$ can be continuously imbedded into $W^{m,np/(n-p)}(\Omega)$ (by the Sobolev imbedding theorem), and the pointwise multiplication is a continuous operator of $W^{m,p}(\Omega) \times W^{m,np/(n-p)}(\Omega)$ into $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ (by Theorem 1). This implies, by (2.5), that $\sigma \mapsto D_i F(\sigma)$ is a continuous operator of $(W^{m+1,p}(\Omega))^N$ into $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$. Therefore, also in this case $\sigma \mapsto F(\sigma)$ is a continuous operator of $(W^{m+1,p}(\Omega))^N$ into $W^{m+1,p}(\Omega)$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. ADAMS, *Sobolev Spaces*, Academic Press, 1975.
- [2] T. VALENT, *Teoremi di esistenza e unicit  in elastostatica finita*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova, **60** (1979), pp. 165-181.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 15 giugno 1984.