

RENDICONTI
del
SEMINARIO MATEMATICO
della
UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

A. BALLESTER

L. M. EZQUERRO

A note on the Jordan-Hölder theorem

Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova,
tome 80 (1988), p. 25-32

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1988__80__25_0

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1988, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (<http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

A Note on the Jordan-Hölder Theorem.

A. BALLESTER - L. M. EZQUERRO (*)

1. Introduction.

All groups considered in this note will be finite. In recent years a number of generalizations of the classic Jordan-Hölder Theorem have been done. First, Carter, Fischer and Hawkes in [1; 2, 6] proved that in a soluble group G an one-to-one correspondence like in the Jordan-Hölder theorem can be defined preserving not only G -isomorphic chief factors but even their property of being Frattini or complemented. Later, Lafuente in [4] extended this result to any (not necessarily soluble) finite group.

If P is a subgroup of G with the Cover and Avoidance Property, i.e. a subgroup which either covers or avoids any chief factor of G , one can wonder if it is possible to give a one-to-one correspondence between the chief factors avoided by P with the properties of the one in the Jordan-Hölder Theorem or in the Lafuente Theorem. Here we prove that, in general, the answer is partially affirmative. We give some sufficient conditions for a subgroup with the Cover and Avoidance Property to ensure an affirmative answer to our problem.

2. Notation and preliminaries.

A primitive group is a group G such that for some maximal subgroup U of G , $U < G$, $U_g = \cap \{U^g : g \in G\} = 1$. A primitive group is one of the following types:

(*) Indirizzo degli AA.: Departamento de Algebra, Facultad de C. C. Matemáticas, Universidad de Valencia, C/Dr. Moliner s/n, 46100 Burjassot (Valencia), Spain.

(1) $\text{Soc}(G)$ is an abelian minimal normal subgroup of G complemented by U .

(2) $\text{Soc}(G)$ is a non-abelian minimal normal subgroup of G .

(3) $\text{Soc}(G)$ is the direct product of the two minimal normal subgroups of G which are both non-abelian and complemented by U .

We will denote with $\mathfrak{F}_i, i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, the class of all primitive groups of type i . For basic properties of the primitive groups, the reader is referred to [2, 3].

DEFINITION 2.1. We say that two isomorphic chief factors $H_i/K_i, i = 1, 2$, of a group G are G -isomorphic if $C_G(H_1/K_1) = C_G(H_2/K_2)$. We denote then $H_1/K_1 \cong_G H_2/K_2$.

DEFINITIONS 2.2. (a) If H/K is a chief factor of G such that $H/K \leq \Phi(G/K)$ then H/K is said to be a *Frattini chief factor* of G .

If H/K is not a Frattini chief factor of G then it is *supplemented* by a maximal subgroup U in G (i.e. $G = UH$ and $K \leq U \cap H$). Moreover, this U can be chosen such that G/U_G is a primitive group and $\text{Soc}(G/U_G) = HU_G/U_G \cong H/K$.

(b) [2, 3] If H/K is an abelian supplemented chief factor of G , then G/U_G is isomorphic to the semidirect product

$$[H/K](G/C_G(H/K)) \in \mathfrak{F}_1$$

since $C_G(H/K) = HU_G$. If H/K is non-abelian then $U_G = C_G(H/K)$ and $G/U_G = G/C_G(H/K) \in \mathfrak{F}_2$. Denote

$$[H/K] * G = \begin{cases} [H/K](G/C_G(H/K)) & \text{if } H/K \text{ is abelian} \\ G/C_G(H/K) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The primitive group $[H/K] * G$ is called the *monolithic primitive group associated with the chief factor H/K of the group G* .

The chief factor $\text{Soc}(G/U_G) = HU_G/U_G$ is called *precrown of G associated with H/K and U* . (Notice that if H/K is non-abelian $HC_G(H/K)/C_G(H/K)$ is the unique precrown of G associated with H/K .)

(c) [3] If $H_i/K_i, i = 1, 2$ are supplemented chief factors of G , we say that they are G -related if there exist precrowns C_i/R_i associated with H_i/K_i , such that $C_1 = C_2$ and there exists a common complement U of the factors $R_i/(R_1 \cap R_2)$ in G .

Two G -isomorphic non-abelian supplemented chief factors have the same precrown and therefore are G -related. If they are abelian, then they are G -isomorphic if and only if they are G -related.

G -relatedness is an equivalence relation on the set of all supplemented chief factors of G .

For more details the reader is referred to [3].

3. CAP-subgroups.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let G be a group, M and N two normal subgroups of G , $N \leq M$, and P a subgroup of G . We say that.

(a) P covers M/N if $M \leq PN$.

(b) P avoids M/N if $P \cap M \leq N$.

(c) P is CAP-subgroup of G if every chief factor of G is either covered or avoided by P .

LEMMA 3.2 (Schaller [5]). Let G be a group, P a subgroup of G and N a normal subgroup of G .

(a) If P is a CAP-subgroup of G then NP and $N \cap P$ are CAP-subgroups of G and PN/N is a CAP-subgroup of G/N .

(b) If $N \leq P$ and P/N is a CAP-subgroup of G/N , then P is a CAP-subgroup of G .

THEOREM 3.3. Given a group G and a CAP-subgroup P of G , there exists a one-to-one correspondence between the chief factors covered by P in any two chief series of G in which corresponding factors have the same order (but they are not necessarily G -isomorphic).

PROOF. Denote

$$(1) \quad 1 = G_0 \triangleleft G_1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft G_n = G,$$

$$(2) \quad 1 = H_0 \triangleleft H_1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft H_m = G,$$

two chief series of G and suppose

$$\mathfrak{S} = \{G_{i_j}/G_{i_{j-1}} : j = 1, \dots, r; i_j < i_{j'}, \text{ if } j < j'\},$$

$$\mathfrak{K} = \{H_{l_k}/H_{l_{k-1}} : k = 1, \dots, s; l_k < l_{k'}, \text{ if } k < k'\},$$

are the chief factors of G covered by P in (1) and (2) respectively. We prove $r = s$ by induction on $|P|$. If $P = 1$ there is nothing to prove. The normal series of P , $\{P \cap H_l: l = 0, \dots, m\}$ is by, omitting repetitions, $\{P \cap H_{l_k}: k = 0, \dots, s; l_0 = 0\}$ and similarly with (1): $\{P \cap G_{i_j}: j = 0, 1, \dots, r; i_0 = 0\}$ is a normal series of P . Consider $Q = P \cap G_{i_{r-1}}$. Q is strictly contained in P and by 3.2, Q is a CAP-subgroup of G covering $G_{i_j}/G_{i_{j-1}}$ for $j = 1, \dots, r-1$ and avoiding all the other chief factors in (1). By induction Q covers $r-1$ chief factors of \mathcal{K} . If $r-1 = s$ we have $|Q| = |P|$ and then $Q = P$ which is not true. Hence $r < s$. Similarly taking $Q^* = P \cap H_{l_{s-1}}$ we obtain $s < r$ and then $s = r$, as required.

Finally, by induction, there exists a one-to-one correspondence between the chief factors covered by Q in \mathcal{G} and in \mathcal{K} in which corresponding factors have the same order. The only chief factor in \mathcal{K} avoided by Q is $H_{i_r}/H_{i_{r-1}}$, say; then

$$|G_{i_r}/G_{i_{r-1}}| = |P|/|Q| = |H_{i_r}/H_{i_{r-1}}|$$

and the theorem is proved.

EXAMPLE 1. If we consider $G = \langle a, b; c: a^9 = b^2 = c^9 = 1 = [a, c] = [b, c], a^b = a^{-1} \rangle \cong D_9 \times Z_9$ and $P = \langle a^3 c^3 \rangle$ then P is a CAP-subgroup of G (G is supersoluble) and if we take the two chief series.

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\triangleleft \langle a^3 \rangle \triangleleft \Phi(G) \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft G, \\ 1 &\triangleleft \langle c^3 \rangle \triangleleft \Phi(G) \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft G, \end{aligned}$$

then P covers $\Phi(G)/\langle a^3 \rangle$ and $\Phi(G)/\langle c^3 \rangle$. The first is central and the latter eccentric and so they are not G -isomorphic.

COROLLARY 3.4 (Jordan). All chief series of the group, G have the same length.

4. SCAP-subgroups.

DEFINITION 4.1. Let P be a CAP-subgroup of a group G . We will say that P is a *Strong CAP-subgroup* of G , SCAP-subgroup for short, if P satisfies

(a) Given a supplemented chief factor H/K of G avoided by P then P is contained in some maximal supplement U of H/K in G , such that $G/U_G \in \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2$.

(b) If Y and M are normal subgroups of G , $M \leq Y$ and $Y/M \leq \Phi(G/M)$ then $(P \cap Y)M/M$ is a normal subgroup of G/M .

If t is a trasposition, $\langle t \rangle$ is a SCAP-subgroup of $\text{Sym}(n)$, for every n ; if G is soluble, then Hall subgroups and maximal subgroups are SCAP-subgroups. In the example of theorem 3.3, P is a CAP-subgroup satisfying (a) but not (b).

Notice that if P is a SCAP-subgroup of G and $N \triangleleft G$, then PN/N is a SCAP-subgroup of G/N .

Given a supplemented chief factor H/K of G avoided by the SCAP-subgroup P , then there exists always a precrown of G associated with H/K avoided by P by condition (a). Conversely if P avoids a precrown of G associated with H/K then P avoids H/K .

Consider now, for each SCAP-subgroup P of G and for each supplemented chief factor H/K of G , avoided by P ,

$$R_P = \cap \{T: C/T \text{ is a precrown of } G \text{ avoided by } P \text{ associated with a chief factor } H_0/K_0, G\text{-related to } H/K\}.$$

If $P = 1$, we simply denote $R = R_1$.

DEFINITION 4.2. With the above notation, the P -crown of G associated with H/K is the factor group C/R_P , $C = HC_G(H/K)$.

Clearly C/R_P is avoided by P . For more details on crowns see [3].

LEMMA 4.3. Let P be a SCAP-Subgroup of G and H/K a supplemented chief factor of G avoided by P . Denote C/R_P the P -crown of G associated with H/K .

If H_0/K_0 is a chief factor of G such that $K_0R_P < H_0R_P \leq C$ then H_0/K_0 is a supplemented chief factor of G avoided by P and G -related to H/K .

PROOF. Because of [3; 2.7] we have only to prove that P avoids H_0/K_0 . Since $P \cap R_P H_0 \leq P \cap C = P \cap R_P \leq P \cap R_P K_0$ then equality holds and H_0R_P/K_0R_P is a chief factor of G avoided by P . If H_0/K_0 were covered by P , then $H_0 = K_0(H_0 \cap P)$ and $R_P H_0 = R_P K_0(H_0 \cap P) \leq R_P K_0(H_0R_P \cap P)$ and hence P would cover H_0R_0/K_0R_P , a contradiction. Therefore P avoids H_0/K_0 .

LEMMA 4.4. Let N_i , $i = 1, 2$, two distinct supplemented minimal normal subgroups of G and P a SCAP-subgroup covering N/N_i , ($N = N_1 \times N_2$), and avoiding N_i , $i = 1, 2$. Denote C_i/R_i the P -crown associated to N_i . Then N_1 and N_2 are G -isomorphic.

PROOF. Suppose that for some $i \in \{1, 2\}$, $N_i \triangleleft R_{3-i}$. If N/N_i is covered by R_{3-i} then $N_{3-i} \triangleleft N \triangleleft R_{3-i}$ and this is a contradiction. So, N/N_i is avoided by R_{3-i} and by 4.3, NR_{3-i}/R_{3-i} is a chief factor of G avoided by P and $R_{3-i} \cap P = NR_{3-i} \cap P$. Now $N \cap P \triangleleft R_{3-i} \cap P$ and $N = N_i(P \cap N) \triangleleft N_i(P \cap R_{3-i}) \triangleleft R_{3-i}$, a contradiction.

Therefore $N_i \cap R_{3-i} = 1$ for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and hence

$$R_{3-i}N_i/R_{3-i} \cong_G N_i \quad \text{and} \quad R_{3-i} < R_{3-i}N_i \triangleleft C_{3-i}.$$

By 4.3 N_i is G -related to N_{3-i} .

Suppose N_1 and N_2 are not G -isomorphic; then $T_1 \neq T_2$, where $T_i = C_G(N_i)$, $i = 1, 2$. P avoids N_i and then avoids its precrown and $P \cap T_i = P \cap N_i T_i$, $i = 1, 2$. Then $P \cap T_1 \cap T_2 = P \cap N(T_1 \cap T_2)$ and $T_1 \cap N(T_1 \cap T_2) = T_1 \cap N_2 T_2 = T_1 \cap N_1 T_1 = T_1$ since $N_1 T_1 = N_2 T_2$, and $P \cap T_1 = P \cap T_1 \cap T_2 = P \cap T_2$. Hence P avoids $T_i/T_1 \cap T_2$, $i = 1, 2$ and then avoids its precrowns $T_1 T_2/T_i$, $i = 1, 2$. Since P covers N/N_i , $N \triangleleft PN_i$ and $P \cap N \triangleleft P \cap T_2$. Consequently $N \triangleleft PN_1 \cap T_2$ and then $N_2 \triangleleft T_2$, a contradiction. Therefore $N_1 \cong_G N_2$.

THEOREM 4.5. Let P be a SCAP-subgroup of G and consider two sections

$$(1) \quad X = N_0 \triangleleft N_1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft N_n = Y,$$

$$(2) \quad X = M_0 \triangleleft M_1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft M_n = Y,$$

of two chief series of G . Denote

$$\mathcal{N}_P = \{N_i/N_{i-1} : \text{chief factors in (1) avoided by } P\},$$

$$\mathcal{M}_P = \{M_j/M_{j-1} : \text{chief factors in (2) avoided by } P\}.$$

Then, there exists a one-to-one correspondence σ between \mathcal{N}_P and \mathcal{M}_P such that

(a) N_i/N_{i-1} is a Frattini chief factor if and only if $(N_i/N_{i-1})^\sigma$ is a Frattini chief factor; in this case $N_i/N_{i-1} \cong_G (N_i/N_{i-1})^\sigma$.

(b) If N_i/N_{i-1} is supplemented, then N_i/N_{i-1} is G -isomorphic to $(N_i/N_{i-1})^\sigma$.

PROOF. WLOG we can assume that $X = 1$, $N = N_1 \neq M_1 = M$, $Y = N \times M$; by [3; 3, 2] we can assume that P covers Y/M and

Y/N and avoids N and M . So we must see if the correspondence

$$N \leftrightarrow M, \quad Y/N \leftrightarrow Y/M$$

satisfies the theorem. We have three cases:

(1) $Y \cap \Phi(G) = 1$. Then all chief factors below Y are supplemented, by [3, 2, 8]. Now apply 4.4 to get that N_1 is G -isomorphic to N_2 .

(2) $1 < Y \cap \Phi(G)$ and Y non-abelian. Then we can assume that N is abelian and M non-abelian. By order considerations P cannot cover Y/N and Y/M at the same time.

(3) $1 < Y \cap \Phi(G)$ and Y abelian. Suppose first that $W = P \cap Y \cap \Phi(G) = 1$. Then $Y = (P \cap Y) \times (Y \cap \Phi(G))$ and we can suppose that $N \cap \Phi(G) = 1$. Then P is contained in some maximal complement of N in G , say U . Since $Y \cap \Phi(G) \leq Y \cap U < Y$, $Y \cap \Phi(G) = Y \cap U$. But $Y \cap P \leq Y \cap U = Y \cap \Phi(G)$ and then $P \cap Y = 1$, a contradiction. Therefore $W \neq 1$, and by condition (b) in the definition of SCAP-subgroup, $1 \neq W \triangleleft G$ and then $Y = W \times N = W \times M$. Hence $Y/N \cong_g Y/M$ and $N \cong_g M$. Finally $N \leq \Phi(G)$ if and only if $M \leq \Phi(G)$.

REMARK. If $P = 1$ this is Lafuente's lemma in [4]. If G is soluble (and $P = 1$) we obtain the Carter-Fischer-Hawkes lemma [1; 2, 6].

EXAMPLE 2. Conditions (a) and (b) in the definition of SCAP-subgroups are necessary. Example 1 shows that we cannot remove (b). To see the same for (a), take $G = \langle a, b : a^4 = b^2 = [a, b] = 1 \rangle \cong \cong Z_4 \times Z_2$ and $P = \langle a^2 b \rangle$. Then P avoids $\langle a^2 \rangle$ and $\langle b \rangle$. But $\langle a^2 \rangle = \Phi(G)$ and $\langle b \rangle$ is complemented by $\langle a \rangle$. Here P is not contained in any complement of $\langle b \rangle$ in G .

Notice that in this example P is a normal CAP-subgroup which is not a SCAP-subgroup.

EXAMPLE 3. SCAP-subgroups are not the only CAP-subgroups satisfying the thesis of theorem 4.5. Consider $V = \langle a, b \rangle \cong Z_4 \times Z_4$ and $Z = \langle z \rangle \cong Z_3$ such that $a^2 = b$, $b^2 = ab$. Take two copies V_1 and V_2 of V and form $W = V_1 \times V_2$ and then the semidirect product $G = [W]Z$. Consider $P = \langle a_1^2 b_2^2, a_2^2 b_2^2 \rangle$ (indexed in the obvious way).

Any chief series of G is of one of the following forms:

$$(1_{i,j}) \quad 1 \triangleleft \Phi(V_i) \triangleleft \Phi(G) \triangleleft \Phi(G)V_j \triangleleft F(G) \triangleleft G, \quad i, j \in \{1, 2\},$$

$$(2_t) \quad 1 \triangleleft \Phi(V_t) \triangleleft V_t \triangleleft \Phi(G)V_t \triangleleft F(G) \triangleleft G, \quad t \in \{1, 2\},$$

P covers $\Phi(G)/\Phi(V_i)$ and $\Phi(G)V_i/V_t$ and certainly $\Phi(G)/\Phi(V_i) \cong \cong_{\alpha} \Phi(G)V_i/V_t$ for each $i, t \in \{1, 2\}$. So P satisfies the thesis of 4.5.

However $P < \Phi(G)$ and P is not normal in G and then P does not satisfies condition (b) of SCAP-subgroups. Since P avoids $V_i/\Phi(V_i)$ but it is not contained in any complement of $V_i/\Phi(V_i)$ in G , P does not satisfy condition (a) of SCAP-subgroups either.

EXAMPLE 4. Take $G = N \times M$ where $N \cong \text{Alt}(5) \cong M$ and consider P the diagonal subgroup $P \cong \text{Alt}(5)$. Then P is a CAP-subgroup that avoids M and N . M and N are G -related but not G -isomorphic since $C_G(M) = N \neq M = C_G(N)$. Here P is a maximal subgroup of G and $G/P_{\alpha} = G \in \mathcal{F}_3$.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. CARTER - B. FISCHER - T. HAWKES, *Extreme classes of finite soluble groups*, J. of Algebra, **9** (1968), pp. 285-313.
- [2] P. FÖRSTER, *Projektive Klassen endlicher Gruppen. - I: Schunck- und Gastchützklassen*, Math. Z., **186** (1984), pp. 149-178.
- [3] P. FÖRSTER, *Chief factors, Crowns and the generalised Jordan-Hölder Theorem* (preprint).
- [4] J. LAFUENTE, *Homomorphs and formations of given derived class*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **84** (1978), pp. 437-441.
- [5] K. U. SCHALLER, *Über Deck-Meide-Untergruppen in endlichen auflösbaren Gruppen*, Ph. D. University of Kiel, 1971.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 5 giugno 1987.