

RENDICONTI *del* SEMINARIO MATEMATICO *della* UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

TELEMACHOS HATZIAFRATIS

**On the multiplicity of holomorphic maps
and a residue formula**

Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova,
tome 89 (1993), p. 37-45

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1993__89__37_0

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1993, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (<http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

On the Multiplicity of Holomorphic Maps and a Residue Formula.

TELEMACHOS HATZIAFRATIS (*)

ABSTRACT - We obtain integral formulas for the multiplicity of a holomorphic map at an isolated zero of it. The proof is based on Stokes' theorem and a process of passing to a residue.

1. Introduction.

Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be an open set, $0 \in U$ and $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n): U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ a holomorphic map with 0 an isolated zero of f . Then it is defined, by various equivalent ways, the multiplicity $\text{mult}(f, 0)$ of f at 0 ; see [3, p. 667]. This multiplicity turns out to be the following integral

$$(1) \quad \text{mult}(f, 0) = \int_{S_\epsilon} \frac{\omega'_n(\bar{f}) \wedge \omega_n(f)}{|f|^{2n}}$$

where

$$\omega'_n(\bar{f}) = c(n) \det [\bar{f}_j, \overline{\partial \bar{f}_j}] = c(n)(n-1)! \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j-1} \bar{f}_j \bigwedge_{k \neq j} \overline{\partial \bar{f}_k},$$

$$\omega_n(f) = \det [\overline{\partial f_j}] = n! \partial f_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \partial f_n, \quad |f|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n |f_j|^2,$$

(*) Indirizzo dell'A.: Department of Mathematics, University of Athens, Panepistimiopolis 157 84, Athens, Greece.

$$S_\varepsilon = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z| = \varepsilon\} \quad \text{and} \quad c(n) = \frac{1}{n!} \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n};$$

see [1, p. 20].

(In the above determinants j runs from $j = 1$ to $j = n$ forming the n rows; the integer above a column means that this column is to be repeated so many times as the integer indicates.)

If $n = 1$ then the above integral is reduced to $(1/2\pi i) \int_{S_\varepsilon} (f'/f) dz$ which by the residue theorem is equal to $\text{Res}(f'/f, 0)$.

In this paper we generalize, in a sense, this situation (to the case $n > 1$) by writing integral (1) which is an integral of a $(2n - 1)$ -form as an integral of a differential form of lower degree, more precisely of $(2n - 2p - 1)$ degree for $1 \leq p \leq n - 1$. In particular (by applying our formula for $p = n - 1$) we express the multiplicity of f at 0 as a line integral. Let us also point out that there are certain choices that can be made in constructing these differential forms which give various formulas.

The $(2n - 2p - 1)$ -dimensional cycles on which the integrals are taken lie on appropriately chosen analytic varieties which pass from 0 and which could be singular at 0.

As for the process of obtaining these formulas it is the classical process of passing to a residue after the use of Stokes' theorem (see [3, chap. 3]).

The arrangement of the paper is as follows: in Section 2 we state the formula, in Section 3 we give the proof of it and in Sections 4 and 5 we obtain some consequences of it in some special cases.

2. Statement of the result.

With notation as above let us consider a holomorphic map $h = (h_1, \dots, h_p): U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^p$ so that

$$h_i = h_{i1} f_1 + \dots + h_{in} f_n, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p$$

for some $h_{ij} \in O(U)$, $1 \leq i \leq p$, $1 \leq j \leq n$.

Let us also assume that $M = \{z \in U : h(z) = 0\}$ is smooth near the points of S_ε and that M meets S_ε transversally so that $T_\varepsilon = M \cap S_\varepsilon$ is a smooth $(2n - 2p - 1)$ -dimensional manifold. Of course $0 \in M$ and 0 could be a singular point of M .

Let us define

$$A(z) = c(n - p) \det [h_{1j}, \dots, h_{pj}, \overbrace{\bar{f}_j}^{n-p-1}]$$

and

$$B(z) = \det \left[\frac{\overline{\partial h_1}}{\partial z_j}, \dots, \frac{\overline{\partial h_p}}{\partial z_j}, \overline{dz_j} \right] / |\nabla h|^2$$

where

$$|\nabla h|^2 = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_p \leq n} \left| \frac{\partial(h_1, \dots, h_p)}{\partial(z_{j_1}, \dots, z_{j_p})} \right|^2.$$

With this notation we will prove the following

THEOREM 1. The multiplicity of f at 0 is given by the formula

$$\text{mult}(f, 0) = \int_{z \in T_f} \frac{\partial(f_1, \dots, f_n)}{\partial(z_1, \dots, z_n)} \frac{A(z) \wedge B(z)}{|f(z)|^{2(n-p)}}.$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1.

The idea of this proof is similar to the one in the proof of Theorem 2.1 of [4]; so we will give only the modifications which are needed to carry out the proof in this case and we will refer to [4] where more details can be found about some calculations.

We devide the proof into several steps.

STEP 1. Let

$$g_j = \frac{\overline{f_j}}{|f|^2} \quad \text{and} \quad s_j = \frac{1}{|h|^2} \sum_{i=1}^p h_{ij} \overline{h_i}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

Then $s_j(z)$ is defined for $z \in U - M$ and

$$(1) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n s_j f_j = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^n g_j f_j = 1.$$

Let us set

$$\eta = -c(n) \sum_{l=0}^{n-2} \det [g_j, s_j, \overline{\partial g_j}, \overline{\partial s_j}].$$

We claim that $\bar{\partial}\eta = \omega'_n(g_j) = \omega'_n(\bar{f}_j)/|f|^{2n}$ on $U - M$.

To prove this it suffices to work close to a point where $f_1 \neq 0$ and to write

$$\eta = -\frac{c(n)}{f_1} \sum_{l=0}^{n-2} \det \begin{bmatrix} g_1 f_1 & s_1 f_1 & \overbrace{\bar{\partial}(g_1 f_1)}^l & \overbrace{\bar{\partial}(s_1 f_1)}^{n-l-2} \\ g_j & s_j & \bar{\partial}g_j & \bar{\partial}s_j \end{bmatrix}_{2 \leq j \leq n},$$

(in the last determinant j runs from $j = 2$ to $j = n$ forming the 2nd to n -th row of it).

Then, in view of (1), η can be written

$$\eta = -\frac{c(n)}{f_1} \sum_{l=0}^{n-2} \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \overbrace{0}^l & \overbrace{0}^{n-l-2} \\ g_j & s_j & \bar{\partial}g_j & \bar{\partial}s_j \end{bmatrix}_{2 \leq j \leq n},$$

which implies that $\bar{\partial}\eta = (1/f_1) \sum_{l=0}^{n-2} (X_{l+1} - X_l)$ where

$$X_l = c(n) \det \left[\overbrace{\bar{\partial}g_j}^l, \overbrace{\bar{\partial}s_j}^{n-l-1} \right]_{2 \leq j \leq n}.$$

Therefore $\bar{\partial}\eta = (1/f_1)(X_{n-1} - X_0)$.

But it is easy to calculate and find that $X_{n-1} = f_1 \omega'_n(g_j)$ and $X_0 = 0$, which proves the claim.

STEP 2. Let us start with the integral

$$\int_{S_\varepsilon} \frac{\omega'_n(\bar{f}) \wedge \omega_n(f)}{|f|^{2n}} = \text{mult}(f, 0)$$

and write it as

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{S_{\varepsilon, \delta}} \frac{\omega'_n(\bar{f}) \wedge \omega_n(f)}{|f|^{2n}}$$

where $S_{\varepsilon, \delta} = \{z \in S_\varepsilon : |h(z)| > \delta\}$.

But for $z \in S_{\varepsilon, \delta}$ we have (by Step 1)

$$d[\eta \wedge \omega_n(f)] = \frac{\omega'_n(\bar{f}) \wedge \omega_n(f)}{|f|^{2n}}.$$

Therefore the above limit can be written (also in view of Stokes' theorem) as

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{T_{\varepsilon, \delta}} \eta \wedge \omega_n(f)$$

where $T_{\varepsilon, \delta} = \{z \in S_\varepsilon : |h(z)| = \delta\}$ (here ε and δ are small enough and so that the various sets over which we integrate are smooth).

STEP 3. We can write $\eta = \tilde{\eta} + \sum_{m=0}^{p-2} r_m$ where

$$\tilde{\eta} = -c(n) \det [g_j, s_j, \overbrace{\partial g_j}^{n-p-1}, \overbrace{\partial s_j}^{p-1}]$$

and

$$r_m = -c(n) \det [g_j, s_j, \overbrace{\partial g_j}^{n-m-2}, \overbrace{\partial s_j}^m]$$

provided that differential forms are restricted to $T_{\varepsilon, \delta}$.

This follows from the fact that on $T_{\varepsilon, \delta}$ we have $r_m = 0$ if $m \geq p$; see [4, p. 791].

STEP 4. With differential forms restricted to $T_{\varepsilon, \delta}$, $\tilde{\eta}$ can be written (up to a sign) as follows:

$$\tilde{\eta} = A \wedge \omega_p \left(\frac{\bar{h}}{|h|^2} \right).$$

The proof of this is similar to the proof of Lemma 3 of [4].

STEP 5. $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{T_{\varepsilon, \delta}} r_m \wedge \omega_n(f) = 0$ for $0 \leq m \leq p-2$.

This follows from an analogous estimate to the one in Lemma 4 of [4].

STEP 6. By Steps 2, 3 and 5 we obtain

$$\text{mult}(f, 0) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{T_{\varepsilon, \delta}} \tilde{\eta} \wedge \omega_n(f).$$

But

$$\omega_n(f) = \frac{\partial(f_1, \dots, f_n)}{\partial(z_1, \dots, z_n)} \omega_n(z) = \frac{\partial(f_1, \dots, f_n)}{\partial(z_1, \dots, z_n)} B(z) \wedge \omega_p(h)$$

(up to a sign); this follows from Lemma 1 of [4].

Therefore (also by Step 4)

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{T_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon}} \tilde{\eta} \wedge \omega_n(f) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{T_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon}} \frac{\partial(f_1, \dots, f_n)}{\partial(z_1, \dots, z_n)} \frac{A \wedge B}{|f|^{2(n-p)}} \wedge \omega'_p \left(\frac{\bar{h}}{|h|^2} \right) \wedge \omega_p(h) = \\ &= \int_{T_\varepsilon} \frac{\partial(f_1, \dots, f_n)}{\partial(z_1, \dots, z_n)} \frac{A \wedge B}{|f|^{2(n-p)}} \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.

4. Parametrizing T_ε .

With the notation of Theorem 1 let us consider the case $p = n - 1$ in which case the integrand θ in the integral of Theorem 1 is a differential form of type $(1, 0)$.

Now suppose that ζ is a holomorphic map from a neighborhood $V \subset \mathbb{C}$ of 0 to U so that the map $\lambda \mapsto \zeta(\lambda) = (\zeta_1(\lambda), \dots, \zeta_n(\lambda))$ is a parametrization of $M = \{z \in U: h_1(z) = \dots = h_{n-1}(z) = 0\}$ with $\zeta(0) = 0$.

Let $\tau_\varepsilon = \left\{ \lambda \in V: \sum_{j=1}^n |\zeta_j(\lambda)|^2 = \varepsilon^2 \right\}$. Then $\zeta: \tau_\varepsilon \rightarrow T_\varepsilon$ and let $\deg \zeta$ denote the degree of ζ .

With this notation we have the following.

THEOREM 2. The multiplicity of f at 0 is given by the formula

$$\text{mult}(f, 0) = 2\pi i (\deg \zeta) \text{Res} \left(\frac{\zeta^*(\theta)}{d\lambda}, \lambda = 0 \right)$$

where $\zeta^*(\theta)$ is the pull-back of θ via ζ .

PROOF. First let us mention that $\bar{\partial}\theta = 0$ (as a computation shows) whence $\bar{\partial}(\zeta^*(\theta)) = 0$; from this it follows that there is a holomorphic function in $V - \{0\}$, denoted by $\zeta^*(\theta)/d\lambda$ which has a pole at 0 so that $\zeta^*(\theta) = (\zeta^*(\theta)/d\lambda) d\lambda$.

Now, by Theorem 1, $\text{mult}(f, 0) = \int_{T_\epsilon} \theta$. But by [2, p.253] we have:

$$\int_{T_\epsilon} \theta = (\text{deg } \zeta) \int_{T_\epsilon} \zeta^*(\theta).$$

Also, by the residue theorem,

$$\int_{T_\epsilon} \zeta^*(\theta) = 2\pi i \text{Res} \left(\frac{\zeta^*(\theta)}{d\lambda}, \lambda = 0 \right)$$

and the formula of the theorem follows.

REMARK. Of course an analogue of Theorem 2 can be proved in the case $1 \leq p \leq n - 2$ too.

5. A special case.

We will prove the following.

THEOREM 3. Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be an open neighborhood of 0 and $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n): U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ a holomorphic map with 0 isolated zero of f . Suppose that 0 is an isolated singular point of $M = \{z \in U: f_1 = \dots = f_p = 0\}$.

Then for $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small we have

$$\text{mult}(f, 0) = \int_{M \cap S_\epsilon} \frac{\omega'_m(\bar{\phi}) \wedge \omega_m(\phi)}{|\phi|^{2m}}$$

where $m = n - p$ and $\phi = (f_{p+1}, \dots, f_n)$.

PROOF. We will apply Theorem 1 with $h_1 = f_1, \dots, h_p = f_p$. Then we may choose $h_{ij} = 1$ if $i = j$ and $h_{ij} = 0$ if $i \neq j$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1) \quad A(z) &= c(m) \det \begin{array}{c} \overbrace{\hspace{2cm}}^p \hspace{1cm} \overbrace{\hspace{2cm}}^{m-1} \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \bar{f}_1 & \bar{\partial}\bar{f}_1 & \cdots & \bar{\partial}\bar{f}_1 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & \bar{f}_2 & \bar{\partial}\bar{f}_2 & \cdots & \bar{\partial}\bar{f}_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & \bar{f}_p & \bar{\partial}\bar{f}_p & \cdots & \bar{\partial}\bar{f}_p \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \bar{\phi}_1 & \bar{\partial}\bar{\phi}_1 & \cdots & \bar{\partial}\bar{\phi}_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \bar{\phi}_m & \bar{\partial}\bar{\phi}_m & \cdots & \bar{\partial}\bar{\phi}_m \end{bmatrix} \\ \end{array} = \\
 &= (-1)^p c(m) \det \begin{array}{c} \overbrace{\hspace{2cm}}^{n-1} \\ \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 & \bar{\partial}\bar{\phi}_1 & \cdots & \bar{\partial}\bar{\phi}_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \phi_m & \bar{\partial}\bar{\phi}_m & \cdots & \bar{\partial}\bar{\phi}_m \end{bmatrix} \\ \end{array} = (-1)^p \omega'_m(\bar{\phi}).
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$(2) \quad \frac{\partial(f_1, \dots, f_n)}{\partial(z_1, \dots, z_n)} B(z) = (-1)^p \omega_m(\phi)$$

provided that differential forms are restricted to M . This follows from [5, p. 483] and the representation of $B(z)$ in terms of local coordinates as is given by [4, Lemma 2]. Now (1) and (2) and Theorem 1 complete the proof.

EXAMPLES. 1) Let $f: \mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ be the map

$$f(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (z_1^2 - z_2^3, z_2^5 - z_3^7, z_1^2 z_2 z_3 - z_1^3 - z_2 z_3^2).$$

Consider the map $\zeta: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ defined by $\zeta(\lambda) = (\lambda^{21}, \lambda^{14}, \lambda^{10})$. If $T_\varepsilon = \{z \in S_\varepsilon: z_1^2 = z_2^3, z_2^5 = z_3^7\}$ and $\tau_\varepsilon = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}: |\lambda|^{42} + |\lambda|^{28} + |\lambda|^{20} = \varepsilon^2\}$ then $\zeta: \tau_\varepsilon \rightarrow T_\varepsilon$ and $\deg \zeta = 1$.

Thus combining Theorems 1 and 2 we obtain that

$$\text{mult}(f, 0) = \text{Res} \left[\frac{1}{d\lambda} \zeta^* \left(\frac{df_3}{f_3} \right), \lambda = 0 \right] = 34.$$

2) Let $g: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the function

$$g(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{3}z_1^3 - z_1z_2^3 + z_2^5$$

which defines the hypersurfaces $S = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2: g(z_1, z_2) = 0\}$ whose 0 is an isolated singular point.

Then the Milnor number of S at 0 is the multiplicity of the map $f = (\partial g/\partial z_1, \partial g/\partial z_2)$ at 0 (see [6]).

Now $f(z_1, z_2) = (z_1^2 - z_2^3, -3z_1z_2^2 + 5z_2^4)$. Hence in the setting of Theorem 2, if we set $z_1 = \lambda^3$, $z_2 = \lambda^2$ we compute (using also Theorem 3) that the Milnor number of S at 0 is 7.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. AIZENBERG - A. YUZHAKOV, *Integral representations and residues in multidimensional complex analysis*, Transl. Math. Monos., 58, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I. (1983).
- [2] M. BERGER - B. GOSTIAUX, *Differential Geometry Manifolds, Curves and Surfaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York (1988).
- [3] P. GRIFFITHS - J. HARRIS, *Principles of Algebraic Geometry*, Wiley, New York (1978).
- [4] T. HATZIAFRATIS, *On certain integrals associated to CR-functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 314 (2) (1989), pp. 781-802.
- [5] T. HATZIAFRATIS, *A global residue theorem on analytic varieties*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 149 (2) (1990), pp. 475-488.
- [6] J. MILNOR, *Singular points of complex hypersurfaces*, Ann. Math. Studies, 61, Princeton Univ. Press, New Jersey (1968).

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 19 Novembre 1991.