

Positive solutions for slightly super-critical elliptic equations in contractible domains

Riccardo Molle^a, Donato Passaseo^b

^a Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Roma “Tor Vergata”, Via della Ricerca Scientifica, 00133 Roma, Italy

^b Dipartimento di Matematica “E. De Giorgi”, Università di Lecce, P.O. Box 193, 73100 Lecce, Italy

Received and accepted 8 July 2002

Note presented by Haïm Brézis.

Abstract

We give examples of bounded domains Ω , even contractible, having the following property: there exists $\bar{k}(\Omega)$ such that, for every integer $k \geq \bar{k}(\Omega)$, problem $P(\varepsilon, \Omega)$ below, for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, has at least one solution blowing up as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ at exactly k points. We also prove that the blow-up points tend to some points of $\partial\Omega$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. **To cite this article:** R. Molle, D. Passaseo, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 335 (2002) 459–462.

© 2002 Académie des sciences/Éditions scientifiques et médicales Elsevier SAS

Solutions positives pour l'équation $\Delta u + u^{(n+2)/(n-2)+\varepsilon} = 0$ en ouverts contractibles

Résumé

On donne des exemples d'ouverts bornés Ω , même contractibles, satisfaisant la propriété suivante : il existe $\bar{k}(\Omega)$ tel que, pour tout $k \geq \bar{k}(\Omega)$, le problème $P(\varepsilon, \Omega)$ ci-dessous, pour $\varepsilon > 0$ suffisamment petit, a des solutions qui pour $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ explosent exactement en k points. On prouve aussi que ces points convergent vers des points de $\partial\Omega$ quand $k \rightarrow \infty$. **Pour citer cet article :** R. Molle, D. Passaseo, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 335 (2002) 459–462.

© 2002 Académie des sciences/Éditions scientifiques et médicales Elsevier SAS

Let us consider the problem

$$P(\varepsilon, \Omega) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta u + u^{(n+2)/(n-2)+\varepsilon} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where Ω is a bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 3$, and ε is a small positive parameter.

It is well known that $P(\varepsilon, \Omega)$ has no solution if $\varepsilon \geq 0$ and Ω is starshaped (see [11]), while (see [9]) it has solution for all $\varepsilon \geq 0$ if Ω is, for example, an annulus (when $\varepsilon < 0$, $P(\varepsilon, \Omega)$ is solvable in any bounded domain Ω).

For $\varepsilon = 0$, in [4] the existence of a solution is proved for domains with small holes; in [1] this result is extended to all domains having “nontrivial” topology (in a suitable sense). This nontriviality condition (which covers a large class of domains) is only sufficient for the solvability but not necessary as shown by some examples of contractible domains Ω such that $P(0, \Omega)$ has solutions (see [5,7,12]).

E-mail address: molle@mat.uniroma2.it (R. Molle).

For $\varepsilon > 0$ large enough, nonexistence results have been proved also in some domains having nontrivial topology in the sense of [1] (see [13]); on the other hand, existence and multiplicity results hold for all $\varepsilon > 0$ in the same contractible domains considered in [12] (see [14]).

When $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, some concentration phenomena occur, which have been first investigated in the subcritical case, i.e. when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^-$ (see [3,15,8,2], etc.). In particular, in [2], multi-peak solutions are found, blowing-up as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^-$ at some points, which are critical points of suitable functions defined in terms of the Green and Robin functions in Ω .

In [6] similar phenomena are described in the super-critical case; in domains with small holes, for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, it is proved the existence of a finite number of solutions blowing-up as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ at some pairs of points localized near the holes.

In this paper our aim is to give some examples showing, in particular, that these concentration phenomena for super-critical problems occur even in some contractible domains (notice that the solutions obtained in [14] for all $\varepsilon > 0$ do not blow-up as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$). In order to construct such examples, we consider some domains having radial symmetry with respect to a pair of co-ordinates and, for every integer k large enough, we prove the existence of solutions blowing-up as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ at exactly k points, regularly placed around circles, whose distance from $\partial\Omega$ tends to 0 as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Thus we obtain, in particular, that the number of geometrically distinct solutions tends to infinity as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$. Notice that, as proved in [2], for $\varepsilon < 0$ the blow-up points remain uniformly away from $\partial\Omega$ and, for k large enough, there are not solutions blowing-up at k points as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^-$.

It is worth pointing out that the domains we consider in this paper (unlike [5,7,12] etc.) are not required to be close to domains having different topology (for example, the parameters r and σ in Theorem 1 are not required to be small).

Let us first consider a simple example. For all $\sigma > 0$ and $r \in]0, 1[$, set

$$\Omega_r^\sigma = \left\{ x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid r < |x| < 1, \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i^2 \right)^{1/2} > \sigma x_n \right\}.$$

We look for solutions to $P(\varepsilon, \Omega_r^\sigma)$ of the form

$$u_{k,\varepsilon}(x) = [n(n-2)]^{(n-2)/4} \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{\lambda_{k,\varepsilon} \varepsilon^{1/(n-2)}}{\lambda_{k,\varepsilon}^2 \varepsilon^{2/(n-2)} + |x - \xi_{i,k,\varepsilon}|^2} \right)^{(n-2)/2} + \theta_{k,\varepsilon}(x), \tag{1}$$

where $\theta_{k,\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$ uniformly as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, $\lambda_{k,\varepsilon}$ is a concentration parameter and the concentration points $\xi_{i,k,\varepsilon} \in \Omega_r^\sigma$ have the form $\xi_{i,k,\varepsilon} = (\rho_{k,\varepsilon} \cos(2\pi/k)i, \rho_{k,\varepsilon} \sin(2\pi/k)i, x_{3,k,\varepsilon}, \dots, x_{n,k,\varepsilon})$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

THEOREM 1. – For all $\sigma > 0$ and $r \in]0, 1[$, there exist $\bar{k} = \bar{k}(r, \sigma)$ and a sequence $(\varepsilon_k)_k$, $\varepsilon_k > 0$, $\forall k \geq \bar{k}$, such that, for all $k \geq \bar{k}$ and $\varepsilon \in]0, \varepsilon_k[$, $P(\varepsilon, \Omega_r^\sigma)$ has at least two solutions $u_{k,\varepsilon}^{(1)}$ and $u_{k,\varepsilon}^{(2)}$ of the form (1). The corresponding concentration points $\xi_{i,k,\varepsilon}^{(1)}$ and $\xi_{i,k,\varepsilon}^{(2)}$ satisfy $x_{3,k,\varepsilon}^{(j)} = x_{4,k,\varepsilon}^{(j)} = \dots = x_{n-1,k,\varepsilon}^{(j)} = 0$ for $j = 1, 2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \rho_{k,\varepsilon}^{(1)} &= \frac{\sigma r}{\sqrt{1 + \sigma^2}}, & \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} x_{n,k,\varepsilon}^{(1)} &= \frac{r}{\sqrt{1 + \sigma^2}}, \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \rho_{k,\varepsilon}^{(2)} &= r, & \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} x_{n,k,\varepsilon}^{(2)} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The concentration parameters $\lambda_{k,\varepsilon}^{(1)}$ and $\lambda_{k,\varepsilon}^{(2)}$ behave as follows: $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lambda_{k,\varepsilon}^{(j)} > 0$, $\forall k \geq \bar{k}$, $j = 1, 2$; $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lambda_{k,\varepsilon}^{(j)} = 0$ for $j = 1, 2$.

Sketch of the proof. – Let us set $S_r^\sigma = \{(\rho, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid r^2 < \rho^2 + x_n^2 < 1, \rho > \sigma x_n, \rho > 0\}$ and consider the function $\psi_k : S_r^\sigma \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\psi_k(\rho, x_n, \Lambda) = \frac{\Lambda^2}{2} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k H(\xi_{i,k}, \xi_{i,k}) - 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} G(\xi_{i,k}, \xi_{j,k}) \right\} + k \lg \Lambda,$$

where $\xi_{i,k} = (\rho \cos \frac{2\pi}{k}i, \rho \sin \frac{2\pi}{k}i, 0, \dots, 0, x_n)$, G denotes the Green function of $-\Delta$ in Ω_r^σ and H its regular part.

Using the method introduced in [2] and [15], suitably adapted to the super-critical case (see [6] for example), and taking also into account the symmetry of Ω_r^σ with respect to the co-ordinates x_3, x_4, \dots, x_{n-1} , the problem reduces to finding critical points of the function ψ_k , which persist with respect to small C^1 perturbations. Clearly $\psi_k(\rho, x_n, \Lambda) = k[\frac{\Lambda^2}{2}\gamma_k(\rho, x_n) + \lg \Lambda]$, with $\gamma_k(\rho, x_n) = H(\xi_{1,k}, \xi_{1,k}) - \sum_{i=2}^k G(\xi_{1,k}, \xi_{i,k})$. Taking into account the properties of H and G , it is easy to verify that $\gamma_k(\rho, x_n) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $\text{dist}(\xi_{1,k}, \partial\Omega) \rightarrow 0$ and that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_k(\rho, x_n) = -\infty, \forall (\rho, x_n) \in S_r^\sigma$.

Notice that any critical point for ψ_k must satisfy the condition $\Lambda^2 = -1/\gamma_k(\rho, x_n)$, which is possible only if $\gamma_k(\rho, x_n) < 0$; a direct computation shows that finding critical points for ψ_k is equivalent to finding critical points (ρ, x_n) for γ_k , such that $\gamma_k(\rho, x_n) < 0$.

Now the crucial step is to observe that, if we set, for example, $c_k = |\gamma_k((r+1)/2, 0)|$, then we obtain $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{c_k} \gamma_k(\rho, x_n) = -((r+1)/2\rho)^{n-2} \forall (\rho, x_n) \in S_r^\sigma$. Moreover, we have $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf\{\frac{1}{c_k} \gamma_k(\rho, x_n) \mid (\rho, x_n) \in S_r^\sigma, \rho = \tilde{\rho}\} = -((r+1)/2\tilde{\rho})^{n-2}$, for all $\tilde{\rho} \in]0, 1[$. Therefore, for all $\tilde{\rho} \in]\frac{\sigma r}{\sqrt{1+\sigma^2}}, r[$, the minimum $\min\{\gamma_k(\rho, x_n) \mid (\rho, x_n) \in S_r^\sigma, \rho < \tilde{\rho}, x_n > 0\}$ is achieved for k large enough and the minimum points must converge to $(\sigma r/\sqrt{1+\sigma^2}, r/\sqrt{1+\sigma^2})$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Now observe that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf\{\frac{1}{c_k} \gamma_k(\rho, 0) \mid r < \rho < 1\} = -((r+1)/2r)^{n-2}$ and that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup\{\frac{1}{c_k} \gamma_k(\rho, x_n) \mid (\rho, x_n) \in S_r^\sigma, \rho^2 + x_n^2 = \tilde{r}^2, x_n \leq r/\sqrt{1+\sigma^2}\} = -((r+1)/2\tilde{r})^{n-2}, \forall \tilde{r} \in]r, 1[$. It follows that for k large enough there exists at least another critical point for γ_k (a saddle point) which converges to $(r, 0)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Finally, notice that these two critical points, we get for γ_k , both correspond to negative critical values (which tend to $-\infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$); the corresponding critical points for ψ_k , of the form $(\rho, x_n, \sqrt{-1/\gamma_k(\rho, x_n)})$, persist with respect to small C^1 perturbations, so they give rise to solutions which behave as described in Theorem 1 when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $k \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Remark 1. – When $\varepsilon = 0$, for all $r \in]0, 1[$ there exists $\sigma(r) > 0$ such that $P(0, \Omega_r^\sigma)$ has solution for all $\sigma \in]0, \sigma(r)[$ (see [12]), while it is natural to expect that it has no solution if σ is large enough. On the contrary, Theorem 1 holds for all $\sigma > 0$ and gives solutions which do not converge to solutions of $P(0, \Omega_r^\sigma)$ since they vanish as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

We describe now some results (whose proof is reported in [10]) which extend Theorem 1.

THEOREM 2. – Let Ω be a bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^n and assume that there exist $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and two functions $\rho_1, \rho_2 : [a, b] \rightarrow [0, +\infty[$ such that $\overline{\Omega} = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid a \leq x_n \leq b, \rho_1^2(x_n) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i^2 \leq \rho_2^2(x_n)\}$. Let $\bar{x}_n \in [a, b]$ satisfy $\rho_1(\bar{x}_n) > 0$ and assume that there exists a neighbourhood $I(\bar{x}_n)$ of \bar{x}_n such that $\rho_1(\bar{x}_n) < \rho_1(x_n), \forall x_n \in I(\bar{x}_n) \setminus \{\bar{x}_n\}$ or $\bar{x}_n \in]a, b[$ and $\rho_1(\bar{x}_n) > \rho_1(x_n), \forall x_n \in I(\bar{x}_n) \setminus \{\bar{x}_n\}$. Then there exist $\bar{k} = \bar{k}(\Omega)$ and a sequence $(\varepsilon_k)_k, \varepsilon_k > 0, \forall k \geq \bar{k}$, such that, for all $k \geq \bar{k}$ and $\varepsilon \in]0, \varepsilon_k[$, $P(\varepsilon, \Omega)$ has at least one solution $u_{k,\varepsilon}$ of the form (1) satisfying $x_{3,k,\varepsilon} = x_{4,k,\varepsilon} = \dots = x_{n-1,k,\varepsilon} = 0$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} x_{n,k,\varepsilon} = \bar{x}_n, \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \rho_{k,\varepsilon} = \rho_1(\bar{x}_n)$. Moreover, $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lambda_{k,\varepsilon} > 0, \forall k \geq \bar{k}$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lambda_{k,\varepsilon} = 0$.

Remark 2. – Consider two balls of $\mathbb{R}^n, B(c_1, r_1)$ and $B(c_2, r_2)$, such that $\overline{B(c_1, r_1)} \subset B(c_2, r_2)$. Then Theorem 2 clearly applies when $\Omega = B(c_2, r_2) \setminus \overline{B(c_1, r_1)}$ (and r_1 is not required to be small enough).

Notice that the symmetry of Ω with respect to the co-ordinates x_3, x_4, \dots, x_{n-1} (we use in Theorems 1 and 2) is not really essential to get solutions. What we really need, in order to find solutions of the form (1), is the radial symmetry of Ω with respect to x_1 and x_2 (i.e., $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \Omega$ if and only if $(0, \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}, x_3, \dots, x_n) \in \Omega$). In fact, the following theorem holds.

THEOREM 3. – *Let Ω be a bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^n , radially symmetric with respect to x_1 and x_2 and set $S_\Omega = \{(\rho, x_3, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \mid \rho > 0, (0, \rho, x_3, \dots, x_n) \in \Omega\}$. Moreover consider the function $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\varphi(\rho, x_3, \dots, x_n) = \rho$ and let $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{x}_3, \dots, \bar{x}_n)$, with $\bar{\rho} > 0$, be an “essential” critical point for the function φ constrained on $\overline{S_\Omega}$, according to a suitable definition (see [10] and also Remark 3). Then, for all $k \geq \bar{k} = \bar{k}(\Omega)$, there exists $\varepsilon_k > 0$ such that, for all $\varepsilon \in]0, \varepsilon_k[$, $P(\varepsilon, \Omega)$ has at least one solution $u_{k,\varepsilon}$ of the form (1), satisfying $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \rho_{k,\varepsilon} = \bar{\rho}$, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} x_{i,k,\varepsilon} = \bar{x}_i$ for $i = 3, 4, \dots, n$. Moreover, $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lambda_{k,\varepsilon} > 0$, $\forall k \geq \bar{k}$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lambda_{k,\varepsilon} = 0$.*

Remark 3. – The “essential” critical points for φ constrained on $\overline{S_\Omega}$ are special points of the boundary of S_Ω . For example, if $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{x}_3, \dots, \bar{x}_n)$ is, in a suitable neighbourhood, the only minimum point for φ constrained on $\overline{S_\Omega}$, then it is an “essential” critical point in the sense we need in Theorem 3. This theorem applies to a large class of domains. For example, let S be a bounded domain of R^{n-h} with $1 \leq h \leq n-1$, such that $\overline{S} \subset]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^{n-h-1}$, and set $\Omega_S = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid ([\sum_{i=1}^{h+1} x_i^2]^{1/2}, x_{h+2}, \dots, x_n) \in S\}$ (nontrivial domains of this type, like solid tori, have been considered in [13]). Then Theorem 3 gives solutions of $P(\varepsilon, \Omega_S)$, blowing-up at k points as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ (other solutions, one can easily find exploiting the radial symmetry of Ω_S with respect to all the variables x_1, \dots, x_{h+1} , present a different behaviour).

References

- [1] A. Bahri, J.M. Coron, On a nonlinear elliptic equation involving the critical Sobolev exponent: the effect of the topology of the domain, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 41 (1988) 253–294.
- [2] A. Bahri, Y. Li, O. Rey, On a variational problem with lack of compactness: the topological effect of the critical points at infinity, *Calc. Var.* 3 (1) (1995) 67–93.
- [3] H. Brezis, L.A. Peletier, Asymptotics for elliptic equations involving critical growth, in: Colombini, Modica, Spagnolo (Eds.), *P.D.E. and the Calculus of Variations*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1989, pp. 149–192.
- [4] J.M. Coron, Topologie et cas limite des injections de Sobolev, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série I* 299 (7) (1984) 209–212.
- [5] E.N. Dancer, A note on an equation with critical exponent, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 20 (6) (1988) 600–602.
- [6] M. Del Pino, P. Felmer, M. Musso, Multipeak solutions for super-critical elliptic problems in domains with small holes, Preprint.
- [7] W.Y. Ding, Positive solutions of $\Delta u + u^{(n+2)/(n-2)} = 0$ on contractible domains, *J. Partial Differential Equations* 2 (4) (1989) 83–88.
- [8] Z.C. Han, Asymptotic approach to singular solutions for nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponent, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* 8 (2) (1991) 159–174.
- [9] J. Kazdan, F.W. Warner, Remarks on some quasilinear elliptic equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 28 (5) (1975) 567–597.
- [10] R. Molle, D. Passaseo, (to appear).
- [11] S.I. Pohožaev, On the eigenfunctions of the equation $\Delta u + \lambda f(u) = 0$, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 6 (1965) 1408–1411.
- [12] D. Passaseo, Multiplicity of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations with critical Sobolev exponent in some contractible domains, *Manuscripta Math.* 65 (2) (1989) 147–165.
- [13] D. Passaseo, Nonexistence results for elliptic problems with supercritical nonlinearity in nontrivial domains, *J. Funct. Anal.* 114 (1) (1993) 97–105.
- [14] D. Passaseo, Nontrivial solutions of elliptic equations with supercritical exponent in contractible domains, *Duke Math. J.* 92 (2) (1998) 429–457.
- [15] O. Rey, The role of the Green’s function in a nonlinear elliptic equation involving the critical Sobolev exponent, *J. Funct. Anal.* 89 (1) (1990) 1–52.