



Centro-affine normal flows on curves: Harnack estimates and ancient solutions

Mohammad N. Ivaki

Institut für Diskrete Mathematik und Geometrie, Technische Universität Wien, Wiedner Hauptstr. 8–10, 1040 Wien, Austria

Received 23 February 2014; accepted 9 July 2014

Available online 24 July 2014

Abstract

We prove that the only compact, origin-symmetric, strictly convex ancient solutions of the planar p centro-affine normal flows are contracting origin-centered ellipses.

© 2014 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

MSC: primary 53C44, 53A04, 52A10; secondary 53A15

Keywords: Centro-affine normal flow; Affine differential geometry; Affine support function; Ancient solutions

1. Introduction

The setting of this paper is the two-dimensional Euclidean space, \mathbb{R}^2 . A compact convex subset of \mathbb{R}^2 with non-empty interior is called a *convex body*. The set of smooth, strictly convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^2 is denoted by \mathcal{K} . Write \mathcal{K}_0 for the set of smooth, strictly convex bodies whose interiors contain the origin of the plane.

Let K be a smooth, strictly convex body \mathbb{R}^2 and let $X_K : \partial K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be a smooth embedding of ∂K , the boundary of K . Write \mathbb{S}^1 for the unit circle and write $\nu : \partial K \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ for the Gauss map of ∂K . That is, at each point $x \in \partial K$, $\nu(x)$ is the unit outwards normal at x . The support function of $K \in \mathcal{K}_0$ as a function on the unit circle is defined by $s(z) := \langle X(\nu^{-1}(z)), z \rangle$, for each $z \in \mathbb{S}^1$. We denote the curvature of ∂K by κ which as a function on ∂K is related to the support function by

$$\frac{1}{\kappa(\nu^{-1}(z))} := \tau(z) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} s(z) + s(z).$$

Here and afterwards, we identify $z = (\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$ with θ . The function τ is called the radius of curvature. The affine support function of K is defined by $\sigma : \partial K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma(x) := s(\nu(x))\tau^{1/3}(\nu(x))$. The affine support function is invariant under the group of special linear transformations, $SL(2)$, and it plays a basic role in our argument.

E-mail address: mohammad.ivaki@tuwien.ac.at.

Let $K \in \mathcal{K}_0$. A family of convex bodies $\{K_t\}_t \subset \mathcal{K}_0$ given by the smooth map $X : \partial K \times [0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is said to be a solution to the p centro-affine normal flow, in short p -flow, with the initial data X_K , if the following evolution equation is satisfied:

$$\partial_t X(x, t) = - \left(\frac{\kappa(x, t)}{\langle X(x, t), \nu(x, t) \rangle^3} \right)^{\frac{p}{p+2} - \frac{1}{3}} \kappa^{\frac{1}{3}}(x, t) \nu(x, t), \quad X(\cdot, 0) = X_K, \tag{1.1}$$

for a fixed $1 < p < \infty$. In this equation, $0 < T < \infty$ is the maximal time that the solution exists, and $\nu(x, t)$ is the unit normal to the curve $X(\partial K, t) = \partial K_t$ at $X(x, t)$. This family of flows for $p > 1$ was defined by Stancu [12]. The case $p = 1$ is the well-known affine normal flow whose asymptotic behavior was investigated by Sapiro and Tannenbaum [11], and by Andrews in a more general setting [2,4]: Any convex solution to the affine normal flow, after appropriate rescaling converges to an ellipse in the C^∞ norm. For $p > 1$, similar result was obtained with smooth, origin-symmetric, strictly convex initial data by the author and Stancu [7,8]. Moreover, ancient solutions of the affine normal flow have been also classified: the only compact, convex ancient solutions of the affine normal flow are contracting ellipsoids. This result in \mathbb{R}^n , for $n \geq 3$, was proved by Loftin and Tsui [9] and in dimension two by S. Chen [5], and also by the author with a different method. We recall that a solution of flow is called an ancient solution if it exists on $(-\infty, T)$. Here we classify compact, origin-symmetric, strictly convex ancient solutions of the planar p centro-affine normal flows:

Theorem. *The only compact, origin-symmetric, strictly convex ancient solutions of the p -flows are contracting origin-centered ellipses.*

Throughout this paper, we consider origin-symmetric solutions.

2. Harnack estimate

In this section, we follow [1] to obtain the Harnack estimates for p -flows.

Proposition. *Under the flow (1.1) we have $\partial_t (s^{1 - \frac{3p}{p+2}} \tau^{-\frac{p}{p+2}} t^{\frac{p}{2p+2}}) \geq 0$.*

Proof. For simplicity we set $\alpha = -\frac{p}{p+2}$. To prove the proposition, using the parabolic maximum principle we prove that the quantity defined by

$$\mathcal{R} := t\mathcal{P} - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1} s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^\alpha \tag{2.1}$$

remains negative as long as the flow exists. Here \mathcal{P} is defined as follows

$$\mathcal{P} := \partial_t (-s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^\alpha).$$

Lemma 2.1. (See [7].)

- $\partial_t s = -s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^\alpha,$
- $\partial_t \tau = -[(s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^\alpha)_{\theta\theta} + s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^\alpha].$

Using the evolution equations of s and τ we find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P} &= (1 + 3\alpha) s^{1+6\alpha} \tau^{2\alpha} + \alpha s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^{\alpha-1} [(s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^\alpha)_{\theta\theta} + s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^\alpha] \\ &:= (1 + 3\alpha) s^{1+6\alpha} \tau^{2\alpha} + \alpha s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^{\alpha-1} \mathcal{Q}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Lemma 2.2. *We have the following evolution equation for \mathcal{P} as long as the flow exists:*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \mathcal{P} = & -\alpha s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^{\alpha-1} [\mathcal{P}_{\theta\theta} + \mathcal{P}] + \left[(3\alpha + 1)(3\alpha + 2) - \frac{(\alpha - 1)(3\alpha + 1)^2}{\alpha} \right] s^{1+9\alpha} \tau^{3\alpha} \\ & + \left[-3(3\alpha + 1) + \frac{2(\alpha - 1)(3\alpha + 1)}{\alpha} \right] s^{3\alpha} \tau^\alpha \mathcal{P} - \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} \frac{\mathcal{P}^2}{s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We repeatedly use the evolution equation of s and τ given in [Lemma 2.1](#).

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \mathcal{P} &= -(1 + 3\alpha)(1 + 6\alpha)s^{1+9\alpha} \tau^{3\alpha} - 2\alpha(1 + 3\alpha)s^{1+6\alpha} \tau^{2\alpha-1} \left[(s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^\alpha)_{\theta\theta} + s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^\alpha \right] \\ &\quad - \alpha(1 + 3\alpha)s^{1+6\alpha} \tau^{2\alpha-1} \left[(s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^\alpha)_{\theta\theta} + s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^\alpha \right] \\ &\quad - \alpha(\alpha - 1)s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^{\alpha-2} \left[(s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^\alpha)_{\theta\theta} + s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^\alpha \right]^2 - \alpha s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^{\alpha-1} [\mathcal{P}_{\theta\theta} + \mathcal{P}] \\ &= -(1 + 3\alpha)(1 + 6\alpha)s^{1+9\alpha} \tau^{3\alpha} - 3\alpha(1 + 3\alpha)s^{1+6\alpha} \tau^{2\alpha-1} \mathcal{Q} \\ &\quad - \alpha(\alpha - 1)s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^{\alpha-2} \mathcal{Q}^2 - \alpha s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^{\alpha-1} [\mathcal{P}_{\theta\theta} + \mathcal{P}]. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of \mathcal{Q} , [\(2.2\)](#), we have

$$\mathcal{Q}^2 = \frac{\mathcal{P}^2}{\alpha^2 s^{2+6\alpha} \tau^{2\alpha-2}} - \frac{2(3\alpha + 1)}{\alpha^2} \frac{\mathcal{P} \tau^2}{s} + \frac{(3\alpha + 1)^2}{\alpha^2} s^{6\alpha} \tau^{2\alpha+2}$$

and

$$\mathcal{Q} = \frac{\mathcal{P} - (1 + 3\alpha)s^{1+6\alpha} \tau^{2\alpha}}{\alpha s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^{\alpha-1}}.$$

Substituting these expressions into the evolution equation of \mathcal{P} we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \mathcal{P} = & -\alpha s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^{\alpha-1} [\mathcal{P}_{\theta\theta} + \mathcal{P}] + \left[(3\alpha + 1)(3\alpha + 2) - \frac{(\alpha - 1)(3\alpha + 1)^2}{\alpha} \right] s^{1+9\alpha} \tau^{3\alpha} \\ & - 3(3\alpha + 1)s^{3\alpha} \tau^\alpha \mathcal{P} - \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} \frac{\mathcal{P}^2}{s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^\alpha} + \frac{2(\alpha - 1)(3\alpha + 1)}{\alpha} s^{3\alpha} \tau^\alpha \mathcal{P}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of [Lemma 2.2](#). \square

We now proceed to find the evolution equation of \mathcal{R} which is defined by [\(2.1\)](#). First notice that

$$-\alpha s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^{\alpha-1} \mathcal{R}_{\theta\theta} = -t \alpha s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^{\alpha-1} \mathcal{P}_{\theta\theta} + \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha - 1} s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^{\alpha-1} (s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^\alpha)_{\theta\theta}.$$

Therefore, by [Lemma 2.2](#) and identity [\(2.2\)](#) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \mathcal{R} &= -t \alpha s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^{\alpha-1} [\mathcal{P}_{\theta\theta} + \mathcal{P}] + t \left[(3\alpha + 1)(3\alpha + 2) - \frac{(\alpha - 1)(3\alpha + 1)^2}{\alpha} \right] s^{1+9\alpha} \tau^{3\alpha} \\ &\quad + t \left[-3(3\alpha + 1) + \frac{2(\alpha - 1)(3\alpha + 1)}{\alpha} \right] s^{3\alpha} \tau^\alpha \mathcal{P} - t \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} \frac{\mathcal{P}^2}{s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^\alpha} + \mathcal{P} + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1} \mathcal{P} \\ &\quad - \alpha s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^{\alpha-1} \mathcal{R}_{\theta\theta} + t \alpha s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^{\alpha-1} \mathcal{P}_{\theta\theta} - \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha - 1} s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^{\alpha-1} (s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^\alpha)_{\theta\theta} \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha - 1} s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^{\alpha-1} (s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^\alpha) - \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha - 1} s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^{\alpha-1} (s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^\alpha) \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha(3\alpha + 1)}{\alpha - 1} s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^{\alpha-1} (s^{1+6\alpha} \tau^{2\alpha}) - \frac{\alpha(3\alpha + 1)}{\alpha - 1} s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^{\alpha-1} (s^{1+6\alpha} \tau^{2\alpha}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= -\alpha s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^{\alpha-1} \mathcal{R}_{\theta\theta} + t \left[(3\alpha + 1)(3\alpha + 2) - \frac{(\alpha - 1)(3\alpha + 1)^2}{\alpha} \right] s^{1+9\alpha} \tau^{3\alpha} \\
 &\quad + t \left[-3(3\alpha + 1) + \frac{2(\alpha - 1)(3\alpha + 1)}{\alpha} \right] s^{3\alpha} \tau^\alpha \mathcal{P} - t \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} \frac{\mathcal{P}^2}{s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^\alpha} + \mathcal{P} \\
 &\quad + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1} \mathcal{P} - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1} \mathcal{P} - t \alpha s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^{\alpha-1} \mathcal{P} + \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha - 1} s^{2+6\alpha} \tau^{2\alpha-1} + \frac{\alpha(3\alpha + 1)}{\alpha - 1} s^{2+9\alpha} \tau^{3\alpha-1} \\
 &= -\alpha s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^{\alpha-1} \mathcal{R}_{\theta\theta} + t \left[(3\alpha + 1)(3\alpha + 2) - \frac{(\alpha - 1)(3\alpha + 1)^2}{\alpha} \right] s^{1+9\alpha} \tau^{3\alpha} \\
 &\quad + t \left[-3(3\alpha + 1) + \frac{2(\alpha - 1)(3\alpha + 1)}{\alpha} \right] s^{3\alpha} \tau^\alpha \mathcal{P} - t \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} \frac{\mathcal{P}^2}{s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^\alpha} + \mathcal{P} \\
 &\quad - t \alpha s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^{\alpha-1} \mathcal{P} + \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha - 1} s^{2+6\alpha} \tau^{2\alpha-1} + \frac{\alpha(3\alpha + 1)}{\alpha - 1} s^{2+9\alpha} \tau^{3\alpha-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

In the last expression, using the definition of \mathcal{R} , identity (2.1), we replace $t\mathcal{P}$ by $\mathcal{R} + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^\alpha$. Therefore, at the point where the maximum of \mathcal{R} is achieved we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\partial_t \mathcal{R} \\
 &\leq \mathcal{R} \left[-\alpha s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^{\alpha-1} - \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} \frac{\mathcal{P}}{s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^\alpha} + \left[\frac{2(\alpha - 1)(3\alpha + 1)}{\alpha} - 3(3\alpha + 1) \right] s^{3\alpha} \tau^\alpha \right] \\
 &\quad + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1} \left[\frac{2(\alpha - 1)(3\alpha + 1)}{\alpha} - 3(3\alpha + 1) \right] s^{2+6\alpha} \tau^{2\alpha} + \frac{\alpha(3\alpha + 1)}{\alpha - 1} s^{2+9\alpha} \tau^{3\alpha-1} \\
 &\quad + t \left[(3\alpha + 1)(3\alpha + 2) - \frac{(\alpha - 1)(3\alpha + 1)^2}{\alpha} \right] s^{1+9\alpha} \tau^{3\alpha} \\
 &\leq \mathcal{R} \left[-\alpha s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^{\alpha-1} - \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} \frac{\mathcal{P}}{s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^\alpha} + \left[\frac{2(\alpha - 1)(3\alpha + 1)}{\alpha} - 3(3\alpha + 1) \right] s^{3\alpha} \tau^\alpha \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

To get the last inequality, we used the fact that the terms on the second and third line are negative for $p \geq 1$. Hence, by the parabolic maximum principle and the fact that at the time zero we have $\mathcal{R} \leq 0$, we conclude $\mathcal{R} = t\mathcal{P} - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^\alpha \leq 0$. Negativity of \mathcal{R} is equivalent to $\partial_t \ln(s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^\alpha) \geq \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \frac{1}{t}$ for $t > 0$. From this we infer that $\partial_t (s^{1+3\alpha} \tau^\alpha t^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}) \geq 0$ for $t > 0$. \square

Proposition 2.3. Ancient solutions of the flow (1.1) satisfy $\partial_t (s(\frac{1}{\tau s^3})^{\frac{p}{p+2}}) \geq 0$.

Proof. By the Harnack estimate every solution of the flow (1.1) satisfies

$$\partial_t \left(s \left(\frac{1}{\tau s^3} \right)^{\frac{p}{p+2}} \right) + \frac{p}{2t(p+1)} \left(s \left(\frac{1}{\tau s^3} \right)^{\frac{p}{p+2}} \right) \geq 0. \tag{2.3}$$

We let the flow starts from a fixed time $t_0 < 0$. So the inequality (2.3) becomes

$$\partial_t \left(s \left(\frac{1}{\tau s^3} \right)^{\frac{p}{p+2}} \right) + \frac{p}{2(t-t_0)(p+1)} \left(s \left(\frac{1}{\tau s^3} \right)^{\frac{p}{p+2}} \right) \geq 0.$$

Now letting t_0 goes to $-\infty$ proves the claim. \square

Corollary 2.4. Every ancient solution of the flow (1.1) satisfies $\partial_t (s\tau^{\frac{1}{3}}) \leq 0$.

Proof. The $s(\cdot, t)$ is decreasing on the time interval $(-\infty, 0]$. The claim now follows from the previous proposition. \square

3. Affine differential setting

We will recall several definitions from affine differential geometry. Let $\gamma : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be an embedded strictly convex curve with the curve parameter θ . Define $\mathfrak{g}(\theta) := [\gamma_\theta, \gamma_{\theta\theta}]^{1/3}$, where for two vectors u, v in \mathbb{R}^2 , $[u, v]$ denotes the determinant of the matrix with rows u and v . The affine arc-length is defined as

$$\mathfrak{s}(\theta) := \int_0^\theta \mathfrak{g}(\alpha) d\alpha.$$

Furthermore, the affine normal vector \mathfrak{n} is given by $\mathfrak{n} := \gamma_{\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{s}}$. In the affine coordinate \mathfrak{s} , there hold $[\gamma_{\mathfrak{s}}, \gamma_{\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{s}}] = 1$, $\sigma = [\gamma, \gamma_{\mathfrak{s}}]$, and $\sigma_{\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{s}} + \sigma\mu = 1$, where $\mu = [\gamma_{\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{s}}, \gamma_{\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{s}}]$ is the affine curvature.

We can express the area of $K \in \mathcal{K}$, denoted by $A(K)$, in terms of affine invariant quantities:

$$A(K) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial K} \sigma d\mathfrak{s}.$$

The p -affine perimeter of $K \in \mathcal{K}_0$ (for $p = 1$ the assumption $K \in \mathcal{K}_0$ is not necessary and we may take $K \in \mathcal{K}$), denoted by $\Omega_p(K)$, is defined as

$$\Omega_p(K) := \int_{\partial K} \sigma^{1-\frac{3p}{p+2}} d\mathfrak{s},$$

[10]. We call the quantity $\Omega_p^{2+p}(K)/A^{2-p}(K)$, the p -affine isoperimetric ratio and mention that it is invariant under $GL(2)$. Moreover, for $p > 1$ the p -affine isoperimetric inequality states that if K has its centroid at the origin, then

$$\frac{\Omega_p^{2+p}(K)}{A^{2-p}(K)} \leq 2^{p+2}\pi^{2p} \tag{3.1}$$

and equality cases are obtained only for origin-centered ellipses. In the final section, we will use the 2-affine isoperimetric inequality.

Let $K \in \mathcal{K}_0$. The polar body of K , denoted by K^* , is a convex body in \mathcal{K}_0 defined by

$$K^* = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \langle x, y \rangle \leq 1, \forall x \in K\}.$$

The area of K^* , denoted by $A^* = A(K^*)$, can be represented in terms of affine invariant quantities:

$$A^* = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial K} \frac{1}{\sigma^2} d\mathfrak{s} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \frac{1}{s^2} d\theta.$$

Let $K \in \mathcal{K}_0$. We consider a family of convex bodies $\{K_t\}_t \subset \mathcal{K}$, given by the smooth embeddings $X : \partial K \times [0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$, which are evolving according to (1.1). Then up to a time-dependant diffeomorphism, $\{K_t\}_t$ evolves according to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} X := \sigma^{1-\frac{3p}{p+2}} \mathfrak{n}, \quad X(\cdot, 0) = X_K(\cdot). \tag{3.2}$$

Therefore, classification of compact, origin-symmetric ancient solutions to (1.1) is equivalent to the classification of compact, origin-symmetric ancient solutions to (3.2). In what follows our reference flow is the evolution equation (3.2).

Notice that as a family of convex bodies evolve according to the evolution equation (3.2), in the Gauss parametrization their support functions and radii of curvature evolve according to Lemma 2.1. Assume Q and \bar{Q} are two smooth functions $Q : \partial K \times [0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\bar{Q} : \mathbb{S}^1 \times [0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that are related by $Q(x, t) = \bar{Q}(v(x, t), t)$. It can be easily verified that

$$\partial_t \bar{Q} = \partial_t Q - Q_{\mathfrak{s}} \left(\sigma^{1-\frac{3p}{p+2}} \right)_{\mathfrak{s}}.$$

In particular, for ancient solutions of (3.2), in views of Corollary 2.4, $Q = \sigma$ must satisfy $0 \geq \partial_t \sigma - \sigma_{\mathfrak{s}} \left(\sigma^{1-\frac{3p}{p+2}} \right)_{\mathfrak{s}}$. The preceding argument proves the next proposition.

Proposition 3.1. Every ancient solution satisfies $\partial_t \sigma \leq -\left(\frac{3p}{p+2} - 1\right) \sigma_s^2 \sigma^{-\frac{3p}{p+2}}$.

The next two lemmas were proved in [7].

Lemma 3.2. (See [7, Lemma 3.1].) The following evolution equations hold:

- (1) $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \sigma = \sigma^{1-\frac{3p}{p+2}} \left(-\frac{4}{3} + \left(\frac{p}{p+2} + 1\right) \left(1 - \frac{3p}{p+2}\right) \frac{\sigma_s^2}{\sigma} + \frac{p}{p+2} \sigma_{ss}\right)$,
- (2) $\frac{d}{dt} A = -\Omega \rho$.

Lemma 3.3. (See [7, Section 6].) The following evolution equation for Ω_l holds for every $l \geq 2$ and $p \geq 1$:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Omega_l(t) = \frac{2(l-2)}{l+2} \int_{\gamma_t} \sigma^{1-\frac{3p}{p+2}-\frac{3l}{l+2}} d\mathfrak{s} + \frac{18pl}{(l+2)^2(p+2)} \int_{\gamma_t} \sigma^{-\frac{3p}{p+2}-\frac{3l}{l+2}} \sigma_s^2 d\mathfrak{s}, \quad (3.3)$$

where $\gamma_t := \partial K_t$ is the boundary of K_t .

Lemma 3.4. (See [12].) The area product, $A(t)A^*(t)$, and the p -affine isoperimetric ratio are both non-decreasing along (3.2).

Write respectively $\max_{\gamma_t} \sigma$ and $\min_{\gamma_t} \sigma$ for σ_M and σ_m .

Lemma 3.5. There is a constant $0 < c < \infty$ such that $\frac{\sigma_M}{\sigma_m} \leq c$ on $(-\infty, 0]$.

Proof. By Corollary 3.1 and part (1) of Lemma 3.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\left(\frac{3p}{p+2} - 1\right) \frac{\sigma_s^2}{\sigma^3} &\geq \frac{\partial_t \sigma}{\sigma^{3-\frac{3p}{p+2}}} \\ &= -\frac{4}{3\sigma^2} + \left(\frac{p}{p+2} + 1\right) \left(1 - \frac{3p}{p+2}\right) \frac{\sigma_s^2}{\sigma^3} + \frac{p}{p+2} \frac{\sigma_{ss}}{\sigma^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Integrating the inequality (3.4) against $d\mathfrak{s}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{4}{3} \int_{\gamma_t} \frac{1}{\sigma^2} d\mathfrak{s} &\geq \frac{p}{p+2} \left(2 - \frac{3p}{p+2}\right) \int_{\gamma_t} \frac{\sigma_s^2}{\sigma^3} d\mathfrak{s} \\ &= \frac{p}{p+2} \left(3 - \frac{3p}{p+2}\right) \int_{\gamma_t} \frac{(\ln \sigma)_s^2}{\sigma} d\mathfrak{s} \\ &\geq \frac{p}{p+2} \left(3 - \frac{3p}{p+2}\right) \frac{\left(\int_{\gamma_t} |(\ln \sigma)_s| d\mathfrak{s}\right)^2}{\int_{\gamma_t} \sigma d\mathfrak{s}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Set $d_p = \frac{p}{p+2} \left(3 - \frac{3p}{p+2}\right)$. Applying the Hölder inequality to the left-hand side and the right-hand side of inequality (3.5) yields

$$\left(\int_{\gamma_t} |(\ln \sigma)_s| d\mathfrak{s}\right)^2 \leq d'_p A^*(t) A(t),$$

for a new positive constant d'_p . Here we used the identities $\int_{\gamma_t} \frac{1}{\sigma^2} d\mathfrak{s} = 2A^*(t)$ and $\int_{\gamma_t} \sigma d\mathfrak{s} = 2A(t)$. Now by Lemma 3.4 we have $A(t)A^*(t) \leq A(0)A^*(0)$. This implies that

$$\left(\ln \frac{\sigma_M}{\sigma_m}\right)^2 \leq d''_p,$$

for a new positive constant d''_p . Therefore, on $(-\infty, 0]$ we find that

$$\frac{\sigma_M}{\sigma_m} \leq c \tag{3.6}$$

for some positive constant c . \square

Let $\{K_t\}_t$ be a solution of (3.2). Then the family of convex bodies, $\{\tilde{K}_t\}_t$, defined by

$$\tilde{K}_t := \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{A(K_t)}} K_t$$

is called a normalized solution to the p -flow, equivalently a solution that the area is fixed and is equal to π .

Furnish every quantity associated with the normalized solution with an over-tilde. For example, the support function, curvature, and the affine support function of \tilde{K} are denoted by \tilde{s} , $\tilde{\kappa}$, and $\tilde{\sigma}$, respectively.

Lemma 3.6. *There is a constant $0 < c < \infty$ such that on the time interval $(-\infty, 0]$ we have*

$$\frac{\tilde{\sigma}_M}{\tilde{\sigma}_m} \leq c. \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. The estimate (3.6) is scaling invariant, so the same estimate holds for the normalized solution. \square

Lemma 3.7. *$\Omega_2(t)$ is non-decreasing along the p -flow. Moreover, we have*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Omega_2(t) \geq \frac{9p}{4(p+2)} \int_{\gamma_t} \sigma^{-\frac{3p}{p+2}-\frac{3}{2}} \sigma_s^2 d\mathbf{s}.$$

Proof. Use the evolution equation (3.3) for $l = 2$. \square

Corollary 3.8. *There exists a constant $0 < b_p < \infty$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{\Omega_2^4(t)} < b_p$$

on $(-\infty, 0]$.

Proof. Notice that $\Omega_2(t) = (\int_{\partial\gamma_t} \sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}} d\mathbf{s})$ is a $GL(2)$ invariant quantity. Therefore, we need only to prove the claim after applying appropriate $SL(2)$ transformations to the normalized solution of the flow. By the estimate (3.7) and the facts that $\Omega_2(\tilde{K}_t)$ is non-decreasing and $A(\tilde{K}_t) = \pi$ we have

$$\frac{c^{\frac{3}{2}}}{2} \tilde{\sigma}_m^{\frac{3}{2}}(t) \tilde{\Omega}_2(0) \geq \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\sigma}_M^{\frac{3}{2}}(t) \tilde{\Omega}_2(0) \geq \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\sigma}_M^{\frac{3}{2}}(t) \tilde{\Omega}_2(t) \geq \tilde{A}(t) = \pi.$$

So we get $(\tilde{\sigma}^{1/3})(t) \geq a > 0$ on $(-\infty, 0]$, for an a independent of t . Moreover, as the affine support function is invariant under $SL(2)$ we may further assume, after applying a length minimizing special linear transformation at each time, that $\tilde{s}(t) < a' < \infty$, for an a' independent of t . Therefore

$$\frac{\tilde{\Omega}_1^3(t)}{\tilde{A}(t)} = \frac{(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \tilde{\tau}^{2/3} d\theta)^3}{\pi} > a'' > 0, \tag{3.8}$$

for an a'' independent of t . Now the claim follows from the Hölder inequality:

$$\left(\int_{\gamma_t} \sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}} d\mathbf{s} \right) \Omega_1^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) A^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \geq \int_{\gamma_t} \sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}} d\mathbf{s} \int_{\gamma_t} \sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} d\mathbf{s} \geq \Omega_1^2(t),$$

so

$$\tilde{\Omega}_2(t) = \Omega_2(t) \geq \left(\frac{\Omega_1^3(t)}{A(t)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\frac{\tilde{\Omega}_1^3(t)}{\tilde{A}(t)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad \square$$

Corollary 3.9. *As K_t evolve by (3.2), then the following limit holds:*

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \left(\frac{A(t)}{\Omega_p(t)\Omega_2^5(t)} \right) \int_{\gamma_t} (\sigma^{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{3p}{2(p+2)}})^2 d\mathfrak{s} = 0. \tag{3.9}$$

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, such that

$$\left(\frac{A(t)}{\Omega_p(t)\Omega_2^5(t)} \right) \int_{\gamma_t} (\sigma^{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{3p}{2(p+2)}})^2 d\mathfrak{s} \geq \varepsilon \frac{(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{3p}{2(p+2)})^2}{\frac{9p}{p+2}}$$

on $(-\infty, -N]$ for N large enough. Then $\frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{\Omega_2^4(t)} \leq \varepsilon \frac{d}{dt} \ln(A(t))$. So by integrating this last inequality against dt and by Corollary 3.8 we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \frac{1}{\Omega_2^4(-N)} &\leq \frac{1}{\Omega_2^4(t)} + \varepsilon \ln(A(-N)) - \varepsilon \ln(A(t)) \\ &< b_p + \varepsilon \ln(A(-N)) - \varepsilon \ln(A(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $t \rightarrow -\infty$ we reach to a contradiction: $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} A(t) = +\infty$, that is, the right-hand side becomes negative for large values of t . \square

Corollary 3.10. *For a sequence of times $\{t_k\}$ as t_k converge to $-\infty$ we have*

$$\lim_{t_k \rightarrow -\infty} \tilde{\sigma}(t_k) = 1.$$

Proof. Notice that the quantity $(\frac{A(t)}{\Omega_p(t)\Omega_2^5(t)}) \int_{\gamma_t} (\sigma^{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{3p}{2(p+2)}})^2 d\mathfrak{s}$ is scaling invariant and $\frac{\tilde{A}(t)}{\tilde{\Omega}_p(t)\tilde{\Omega}_2^5(t)}$ is bounded from below (by Lemmas 3.4 and 3.7, $\tilde{\Omega}_p(t) \leq \tilde{\Omega}_p(0)$ and $\tilde{\Omega}_2(t) \leq \tilde{\Omega}_2(0)$). Thus Corollary 3.9 implies that there exists a sequence of times $\{t_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k = -\infty$ and

$$\lim_{t_k \rightarrow -\infty} \int_{\tilde{\gamma}_{t_k}} (\tilde{\sigma}^{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{3p}{2(p+2)}})^2 d\tilde{\mathfrak{s}} = 0.$$

On the other hand, by the Hölder inequality

$$\frac{(\tilde{\sigma}_M^{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{3p}{2(p+2)}}(t_k) - \tilde{\sigma}_m^{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{3p}{2(p+2)}}(t_k))^2}{\tilde{\Omega}_1(t_k)} \leq \int_{\tilde{\gamma}_{t_k}} (\tilde{\sigma}^{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{3p}{2(p+2)}})^2 d\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}.$$

Moreover, $\tilde{\Omega}_1(t)$ is bounded from above: Indeed $(\frac{\tilde{\Omega}_1^3(t)}{\tilde{A}(t)})^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \tilde{\Omega}_2(t) \leq \tilde{\Omega}_2(0)$. Therefore, we find that

$$\lim_{t_k \rightarrow -\infty} (\tilde{\sigma}_M^{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{3p}{2(p+2)}}(t_k) - \tilde{\sigma}_m^{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{3p}{2(p+2)}}(t_k))^2 = 0.$$

Since $\tilde{\sigma}_m \leq 1$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_M \geq 1$ (see [3, Lemma 10]) the claim follows. \square

4. Proof of the main theorem

Proof. For each time $t \in (-\infty, T)$, let $T_t \in SL(2)$ be a special linear transformation that the maximal ellipse contained in $T_t \tilde{K}_t$ is a disk. So by John's ellipsoid lemma we have

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \leq s_{T_t \tilde{K}_t} \leq \sqrt{2}.$$

Then by the Blaschke selection theorem, there is a subsequence of times, denoted again by $\{t_k\}$, such that $\{T_{t_k} \tilde{K}_{t_k}\}$ converges in the Hausdorff distance to an origin-symmetric convex body $\tilde{K}_{-\infty}$, as $t_k \rightarrow -\infty$. By Corollary 3.10, and by the weak convergence of the Monge–Ampère measures, the support function of $\tilde{K}_{-\infty}$ is the generalized solution of the following Monge–Ampère equation on \mathbb{S}^1 :

$$s^3(s_{\theta\theta} + s) = 1$$

Therefore, by Lemma 8.1 of Petty [6], $\tilde{K}_{-\infty}$ is an origin-centered ellipse. This in turn implies that $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \tilde{\Omega}_2(t_k) = 2\pi$. On the other hand, by the 2-affine isoperimetric inequality, (3.1), and by Lemma 3.7, for $t \in (\infty, 0]$ we have

$$2\pi \geq \tilde{\Omega}_2(t) \geq \lim_{t_k \rightarrow -\infty} \tilde{\Omega}_2(t_k) = 2\pi.$$

Thus $\frac{d}{dt} \tilde{\Omega}_2(t) \equiv 0$ on $(-\infty, 0]$. Hence, in view of Lemma 3.7, K_t is an origin-centered ellipse for every time $t \in (-\infty, T)$. \square

Conflict of interest statement

The author declares that there are no conflicts of interest.

References

- [1] B. Andrews, Harnack inequalities for evolving hypersurfaces, *Math. Z.* 217 (1994) 179–197.
- [2] B. Andrews, Contraction of convex hypersurfaces by their affine normal, *J. Differ. Geom.* 43 (1996) 207–230.
- [3] B. Andrews, Affine curve-lengthening flow, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 506 (1999) 43–83.
- [4] B. Andrews, Motion of hypersurfaces by Gauss curvature, *Pac. J. Math.* 195 (1) (2000) 1–34.
- [5] S. Chen, Classifying convex compact ancient solutions to the affine curve shortening flow, *J. Geom. Anal.* (2013), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12220-013-9456-z>.
- [6] C.M. Petty, Affine isoperimetric problems, in: *Discrete Geometry and Convexity*, *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* 440 (1985) 113–127, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.1985.tb14545.x>.
- [7] M.N. Ivaki, Centro-affine curvature flows on centrally symmetric convex curves, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* (2014), in press, arXiv:1205.6456v2.
- [8] M.N. Ivaki, A. Stancu, Volume preserving centro-affine normal flows, *Commun. Anal. Geom.* 21 (2013) 671–685.
- [9] J. Loftin, M.P. Tsui, Ancient solutions of the affine normal flow, *J. Differ. Geom.* 78 (2008) 113–162.
- [10] E. Lutwak, The Brunn–Minkowski–Fiery theory II: affine and geominimal surface areas, *Adv. Math.* 118 (1996) 244–294.
- [11] G. Sapiro, A. Tannenbaum, On affine plane curve evolution, *J. Funct. Anal.* 119 (1994) 79–120.
- [12] A. Stancu, Centro-affine invariants for smooth convex bodies, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 2012 (10) (2012) 2289–2320.